


P 3360.1: Ann/
995-996

THE
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION
OF UTAH



ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE
PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 TO
JUNE 30, 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter to the Governor, Members of the Senate and Members of the House of Representatives	1
Public Service Commission Personnel.	2
Public Service Commission of Utah Organization Chart	3
Public Service Commissioners	
Stephen F. Mecham	4
Constance B. White	5
Clark D. Jones	6
History of Public Service Commission of Utah	7
Working with the Division of Public Utilities & the Committee of Consumer Services	9
Public Service Commission of Utah Budget Summary	10
Statement of Utility Regulation Finances	11
Percentage Change in Cost of Living Index	12
Typical Utah Residential Customer Annual Bill for Electricity, Natural Gas & Telephone Utilities	12
Complaint Summary Comparison	13
Summary of Electric Utility Orders	14
Key Electric Utility Orders	14
PacifiCorp/Utah Power & Light Typical Residential Customer & Annual Bill	16
Summary of Natural Gas Utility Orders	17
Key Natural Gas Utility Orders	17
Mountain Fuel Typical Residential Customer & Annual Bill	18
Summary of Telecommunications Utility Orders.	19
Key Telecommunications Utility Orders & Issues	20
U S West Communications Typical Residential Customer & Annual Bill	22
Utah Speech & Hearing Impaired Program	23
Completed Calls Over Utah Relay System	24
Utah Relay Service Call Volume Report by Year	24
Utah Universal Service Fund	25
Summary of Water Utility Orders	27
Key Water Utility Orders	27
Summary of Miscellaneous Utility Orders	28
Key Miscellaneous Utility Orders & Issues	28
Transportation	30
Authorities & Permits Issued to Motor Carriers	31
Authorities & Permits Canceled	34
Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners	35
Public Service Commission of Utah List of Commissioners.	36
Public Service Commission of Utah List of Commission Secretaries	37
Public Utilities Operating in the State of Utah Under the Jurisdiction of the PSC	38
Telecommunications	39
Telecommunications Applicants	40
Natural Gas, Steam Heat & Electric.	40
Water	41
Combination Water and Sewer	42
Sewer	42



**LETTER TO THE GOVERNOR, MEMBERS OF THE SENATE, AND
MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

July 1, 1996

Honorable Michael O. Leavitt
Governor, State of Utah

Honorable Members of the Utah State Senate

Honorable Members of the Utah State House of Representatives

It is a pleasure to present you the Fiscal Year 1996 Annual Report of the Public Service Commission of Utah. This report has been prepared in accordance with Utah Code 54-1-10, which requires that the Commission submit to you a report of the Commission's transactions, proceedings, functions, and accomplishments during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1996.

This annual report is not comprehensive of all the activities in which the Commission has engaged, but it does exemplify the extent to which the Public Service Commission of Utah has strived to meet its responsibilities to the Utah public. We have endeavored to assure the continued soundness of Utah utilities, preserving high quality service at the lowest reasonable price.

We express our gratitude and appreciation for your encouragement and assistance during this past year. We look forward to your continued support, and gladly accept our duties to serve the Utah public.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen F. Mecham, Commission Chairman

Constance B. White, Commissioner

Clark D. Jones, Commissioner

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PERSONNEL
JUNE 30, 1996**

Chairman, Stephen F. Mecham

Commissioner, Constance B. White

Commissioner, Clark D. Jones

Commission Secretary, Julie P. Orchard

Executive Staff Director, Douglas C.W. Kirk

Legal Counsel, David L. Stott

Administrative Law Judge, A. Robert Thurman

Telecommunications Analyst, David L. LaFrance

Chief Utility Economist, James A. Logan

Utility Economist, Richard S. Collins

Paralegal, Barbara Stroud

Accounting Technician, Melissa R. Paschal

Office Technician, Beverly A. Grossaint


Office Technician, Annette Hansen

Office Technician, Floy J. Wilcox

Information Technician, Roxanne VanBeekum



**THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
ORGANIZATION CHART - JUNE, 1996**



STEPHEN F. MECHAM

CHAIR:
OCTOBER 5, 1992 -
MARCH 1, 1997

COMMISSIONER:
MARCH 1, 1989 -
SEPTEMBER 1991



Stephen F. Mecham was appointed to the Public Service Commission of Utah on March 1, 1989. In September, 1991, he left the Commission to serve as Chief of Staff to Governor Norman H. Bangerter. On October 5, 1992, he returned to the Public Service Commission as Chairman. His current term expires March 1, 1997.

Chairman Mecham is a member of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) and the Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners (WCPSC). He was elected Secretary/Treasurer of the WCPSC in June, 1993, and served as President in 1996. Mr. Mecham and the Commission hosted the annual meeting of the Western Conference in June, 1996, at Snowbird, Utah.

Prior to coming to the Commission in 1989, Mr. Mecham practiced law in the private sector, served as Administrative Assistant for Regulatory Agencies to Governor Bangerter, and subsequently was appointed Executive Director of the Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice.

Chairman Mecham earned both his Bachelor and Juris Doctor Degrees from the University of Utah.

Chairman Mecham and his wife, Angela, have one son and three daughters.

CONSTANCE B. WHITE

COMMISSIONER:
MARCH 1, 1995 -
MARCH 1, 1999



Constance B. White was appointed to her first term as Commissioner of the Public Service Commission of Utah by Governor Michael O. Leavitt on March 1, 1995, to fill the remainder of the term of Commissioner James M. Byrne, who retired. Her term ends March 1, 1999.

Commissioner White currently serves as a member of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) and was appointed to its Committee on Electricity, as well as the Western Conference of Public

Service Commissioners (WCPSC). She has been a member of the Governor's Task Forces on Boards and Commissions and on Business Development, as well as being a member of the Utah Small Business Advisory Council. Commissioner White has also worked closely with the Utah State Bar as the Chair of the Securities Section and as a member of the Securities Advisory Committee and Women Lawyers of Utah.

After earning her Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Utah, Ms. White earned her Juris Doctorate from Loyola University School of Law in Chicago.

Prior to coming to the Public Service Commission of Utah, Ms. White served in Governor Leavitt's cabinet as the Executive Director for the Utah Department of Commerce. Before that, she practiced law in the private sector, worked for the Securities Division of the Department of Commerce, and served as legal counsel to the Department.

Commissioner White and her husband, Rob, have one daughter and one son.

CLARK D. JONES

COMMISSIONER:
JULY 1, 1995 -
MARCH 1, 2001



Clark D. Jones was appointed to the Public Service Commission on July 1, 1995, to a six-year term expiring on March 1, 2001. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Jones was Chairman and President of Summit Family Restaurants, Inc. (formerly JB's Restaurants, Inc.), a Salt Lake City, Utah based restaurant company. Summit Family Restaurants operates 80 family restaurants and franchises 22 JB's Family Restaurants, operates 16 HomeTown Buffet restaurants and six Galaxy Diners in nine western states.

Mr. Jones graduated from the University of Nevada, and completed his post-graduate study at the University of Utah. Mr. Jones served as an officer in the United States Army Artillery Branch for two years following school. He is a Certified Public Accountant and was a partner in the firm of Main, LaFrentz and Co. (now KPMG Peat Marwick), prior to joining Summit Family Restaurants in 1970.

Commissioner Jones currently serves as a member of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC), and was

appointed to its Gas Committee, as well as the Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners. Mr. Jones serves on the Board of Directors of both the Utah Restaurant Association and the National Restaurant Association. He is past Chairman of the Utah Opera Company and past President of the Sugarhouse Rotary Club.

Commissioner Jones and his wife, Pam, have five children and twelve grandchildren.

The Utah State Legislature created the Utah Public Utilities Commission in the Public Utilities Act of 1917. Governor Simon Bamberger signed the Act on March 8, 1917, and the Commission was officially organized on April 3, 1917. The Commission was given the responsibility to regulate the recently formed utility companies, and to ensure that the growth of the utility industry developed according to sound economic principles. At its inception, the Commission consisted of three Commissioners, a stenographer, a reporter, and a special investigator.

In 1935, the Legislature changed the name of the Public Utilities Commission to the Public Service Commission. Conducting a major reorganization of Utah State Government, the 1941 Legislature created the Department of Business Regulation of Utah, which included a three-member Commission known as the Commission of Business Regulation. The Commission of Business Regulation acquired the duties and responsibilities of the Public Service Commission and acted in its place.

In 1969, the Utah Legislature reorganized the administrative powers of the Department of Business Regulation, now known as the Department of

Commerce. An executive director of the Department replaced the Commission of Business Regulation, and the Public Service Commission became an independent arm of the Department. The Division of Public Utilities was created to assist the Commission, although it did so under the management of the executive director of the Department. The Division essentially served as the staff of the Commission, auditing utilities' books and records, assisting in the review of legal matters, submitting recommendations to the Commission, and presenting testimony in formal cases before the Commission. The Commission, however, was prohibited from exercising any administrative authority over the Division.

The 1969 reorganization merely established the neutrality of the Division, despite its role as staff to the Commission. The Division continued to participate in hearings, not as an adversarial party, but as an impartial entity seeking to fully develop the record in each Commission case. The Division was not precluded from making recommendations, but its posture was perceived as impartial so that no party felt prejudiced by the Commission's access to the Division's expertise.

1977 was a landmark year in the relationship between the Commission and the Division.

It marked the first time the Division appealed a Commission decision to the Utah Supreme Court. Ever since, the Division has considered itself completely independent of the Commission. With legal assistance from the Attorney General's office, the Division began to participate in hearings as an adversarial party, and has been able to appeal Commission Orders to higher courts.

1977 was also the year of the creation of the Committee of Consumer Services (Committee). The composition of the Committee has changed little since its origin; it is still comprised of six citizen members appointed by the Governor. Nor has its mission changed: the Committee is the consumer advocate for residential, small business, and agricultural customers of the state's electric, gas, and telephone utilities. Its primary role is to help ensure that utility rates and service quality are fair and reasonable for Utah consumers. Legal assistance from the Attorney General's office allows the Committee to appear before the Commission as an adversarial party and to appeal Commission decisions. This legal assistance and the support of an eight member staff aids the Committee as it protects Utah's utility consumers.

In 1983, the Legislature reorganized utility regulation again, establishing the Public Service Commission as an independent state agency. The new statute provided for a Commission technical staff whose function was solely to advise the Commission. The Division of Public Utilities continued to perform the same duties and functions as before, including appearing before the Commission as a party representing the broad public interest. Today, the Division continues to make recommendations to the Commission for ratemaking purposes, applications, hearings, and other issues affecting the quality of utility service. The Division also investigates consumer complaints, and monitors utility operations to ensure compliance with the Commission's Rules and Orders. The Committee continues to function according to statute. Today, the Public Service Commission of Utah remains an independent agency with statutory duties and legislative, adjudicative, and rulemaking powers. It regulates most electrical, natural gas, telephone, water utilities, and motor carrier companies.

The Commission is headed by three full-time Commissioners, appointed by the Governor — subject to Senate approval — to six-year staggered terms with one member designated as Chairman. The Commissioners preside as a quasi-judicial body in formal hearings concerning utility regulation matters, such as applications for rate and service changes. A support staff of technical, legal, and clerical employees assists the Commission in analyzing the record in every case that comes before it, making recommendations for Commission decisions, preparing formal orders, and managing the daily operation of the Commission's office.

The primary responsibility of the Commission is to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably-priced utility service. It conducts hearings and investigations of utility company operations in order to determine just and reasonable rates for service. The Commission strives to protect efficient, reliable, reasonably-priced utility service for customers, and to maintain financially healthy utility companies. These goals are

attained through the regulatory decisions the Commission makes and through rules it adopts.

The following briefly presents, by utility category, the cases considered and decided by the Commission from July, 1994, through June, 1996. Each proceeding involves a utility and a number of other parties, including the Division and the Committee. Formal testimony of expert witnesses is taken and cross-examined. A court reporter transcribes the proceeding and produces a record. Following the hearing, the record is closed and the Commission, with the assistance of its technical staff, analyzes the case record, deliberates, and renders its decisions in the form of a written Report and Order. Any party in a proceeding can appeal a Commission decision to the Utah Supreme Court.

WORKING WITH THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

The Division of Public Utilities, a part of the Department of Commerce, is a neutral, fact-finding body which works closely with the Public Service Commission to assure that Utah has a healthy utility infrastructure. The Division strives to guarantee that Utah utilities are financially sound and technologically capable of serving present and future growth.

To ensure that all Utahns have access to a fair and reasonable utility system, the Division makes recommendations to the Commission for ratemaking purposes, applications, hearings, and other issues affecting the terms and conditions of utility service. The Division also promotes compliance with all rules, regulations, orders, and tariffs approved by the Commission by conducting audits and inspections of the various utility companies. The Division also investigates consumer complaints, serving as an intermediary between the public and the utilities.

The Commission makes rate decisions and issues orders after analyzing detailed financial and operational data, petitions from the utilities, advice from the Division, and arguments from diverse parties. The Division and its seven branches (electric, gas, telecommunications, water compliance, management analysis, motor carriers, and pipeline safety) play a key role in assisting the Commission with the utility regulatory process, to the continuing benefit of Utah's people and businesses.

WORKING WITH THE COMMITTEE OF CONSUMER SERVICES

The Committee of Consumer Services works beside the Public Service Commission, primarily as a consumer advocate for residential, small business, and agricultural customers of the state's electric, gas, and telephone utilities. The Committee assesses the impact of utility rate changes and other regulatory actions on consumers. It assists those consumers in appearing before the Commission and advocates positions propitious to consumers.

The Committee members participate in the Commission rulemaking process for customer service regulations, ensuring clarification and strengthening of consumer protection for natural gas, electric, and telephone customers. Consumers who feel they are paying too much for utility services, or are not receiving high quality service, often find answers to their questions and general assistance from the Committee of Consumer Services.



PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH BUDGET SUMMARY
JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996

ADMIN - PSC Administration
 O&M - Heber M. Wells Building Operations & Maintenance
 R&A - Research & Analysis - Wexpro Monitor
 HEAR IMP - Hearing & Speech Impaired

FUNDS AVAILABLE	ADMIN	O&M	R&A	HEAR IMP	TOTAL
Non-Lapsing 7/1/95	0	0	0	521,460	521,460
Public Utility Regulation Fee	1,127,800	26,000	0	1,437,030	2,590,830
Dedicated Credits	600	0	60,000	521,460	582,060
Total Funds Available	\$1,128,400	\$26,000	\$60,000	\$2,479,950	\$3,694,350
FUND EXPENDITURES					
Personal Services	991,100	0	0	0	991,100
Travel	12,100	0	0	0	12,100
Current Expenses	73,100	26,000	60,000	988,750	1,147,850
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0	0
Total Expenditures	\$1,076,300	\$26,000	\$60,000	\$988,750	\$2,151,050
Balance	52,100	0	0	1,491,200	1,543,300
Lapsing Balance	-52,100	0	0	0	-52,100
Non-Lapsing Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,491,200	\$1,491,200




STATEMENT OF UTILITY REGULATION FINANCES
JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996

PSC - Public Service Commission
 DPU - Division of Public Utilities
 CCS - Committee of Consumer Services
 AG - Attorney General
 DOT/PS - Department of Transportation/Public Safety
 HWB - Heber M. Wells Building

FUNDS AVAILABLE (appropriated)	PSC	DPU	CCS	AG	DOT/PS	HWB	Total
Non-Lapsing 7/1/95	0	159,986	16,508	0	0	0	176,494
Public Utility Regulation Fee	1,127,800	1,830,300	680,500	299,600	0	40,000	3,978,200
Dedicated Credits	600	760,000	0	0	1,100,000	0	1,860,600
Federal Funds (Pipeline Safety)	0	89,300	0	0	0	0	89,300
Supplemental Appropriation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Funds Available	\$1,128,400	\$2,839,586	\$697,008	\$299,600	\$1,100,000	\$40,000	\$6,104,594
FUND EXPENDITURES							
Personal Services	991,100	2,193,448	395,789				3,580,337
Travel	12,100	45,268	7,122				64,490
Current Expenses	73,100	209,868	112,117				395,085
Capital Outlay	0	48,390	3,646				52,036
Total Expenditures	\$1,076,300	\$2,496,974	\$518,674				\$4,090,848
Balance	52,100	342,613	178,334				573,047
Lapsing Balance	52,100	-103,603	-3,730				-159,433
Non-Lapsing Balance	\$0	\$239,010	\$174,604				\$410,614



**PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN COST OF LIVING INDEX
JANUARY 1991 TO JUNE 1996**



**TYPICAL UTAH RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER ANNUAL BILL FOR
ELECTRICITY, NATURAL GAS & TELEPHONE UTILITIES**

UTAH POWER			MOUNTAIN FUEL		U S WEST	
DATE	BILL	%CHG	BILL	%CHG	BILL	%CHG
01/01/80	\$358.20		\$283.13		\$110.04	
01/01/81	\$405.48	13.2%	\$335.16	18.4%	\$131.16	19.2%
01/01/82	\$468.48	15.5%	\$395.24	17.9%	\$144.36	10.1%
01/01/83	\$455.28	-2.8%	\$456.70	15.6%	\$152.04	5.3%
01/01/84	\$481.68	5.8%	\$578.76	26.7%	\$165.96	9.2%
01/01/85	\$522.72	8.5%	\$607.07	4.9%	\$192.48	16.0%
01/01/86	\$515.64	-1.4%	\$567.26	-6.6%	\$220.92	14.8%
01/01/87	\$515.64	0.0%	\$565.39	-0.3%	\$220.92	0.0%
01/01/88	\$504.48	-2.2%	\$544.76	-3.6%	\$214.92	-2.7%
01/01/89	\$484.20	-4.0%	\$541.55	-0.6%	\$201.48	-6.3%
01/01/90	\$466.02	-3.8%	\$556.02	2.7%	\$161.16	-20.0%
01/01/91	\$446.51	-4.2%	\$575.53	3.5%	\$148.08	-8.1%
01/01/92	\$443.54	-0.7%	\$581.38	1.0%	\$141.84	-4.2%
01/01/93	\$422.35	-4.8%	\$551.25	-5.2%	\$141.84	0.0%
01/01/94	\$422.35	0.0%	\$533.87	-3.2%	\$141.84	0.0%
01/01/95	\$422.35	0.0%	\$503.28	-5.7%	\$141.84	0.0%
01/01/96	\$422.35	0.0%	\$490.43	-2.6%	\$141.84	0.0%
06/30/96	\$422.35	0.0%	\$489.37	-0.2%	\$141.84	0.0%

**COMPLAINT SUMMARY COMPARISON
1994 - 1995 / 1995 - 1996**

JULY 1994- JUNE 1995

COMPLAINT TYPE	MT. FUEL	UP&L	U S WEST	WATER Etc
Additional Charges	23	25	42	
Billing Problems	8	15	37	
Deposits	3	8	5	
Estimated Bills	6	9	0	
High Bill	8	20	2	
Initial Service	19	41	364	
Inquiry	8	12	34	
Meter Problems & Reads	3	3	0	
Outage	0	29	1	
Personnel Problems	4	6	13	
Rate & Tariff	2	2	17	
Rate Increase	2	0	1	
Repair	4	13	210	
Shut Off or Notice	75	115	67	
TOTAL COMPLAINTS	165	298	793	41

JULY 1995 - JUNE 1996

COMPLAINT TYPE	MT. FUEL	UP&L	U S WEST	WATER Etc
Additional Charges	41	25	47	
Billing Problems	8	25	54	
Deposits	3	7	18	
Estimated Bills	2	18	0	
High Bill	7	18	5	
Initial Service	22	73	476	
Inquiry	6	23	54	
Meter Problems & Reads	6	7	0	
Outage	0	45	7	
Personnel Problems	9	6	19	
Rate & Tariff	8	1	13	
Rate Increase	1	0	0	
Repair	4	26	238	
Shut Off or Notice	77	62	106	
TOTAL COMPLAINTS	194	336	1037	77



ELECTRIC UTILITIES

The Commission regulates the provision of electric service by public utilities in the state. Electric Cooperatives may set their own rates by decision of their Board of Directors, but are otherwise subject to regulation under Utah law. Municipal electric utilities are not regulated by the Public Service Commission.

Principal Commission activities during this reporting period focused on planning activities for efficient electric service delivery, implementation of utility-financed customer efficiency programs, and analysis of electric transmission and supply for PacifiCorp (Utah Power & Light Company). During this past year, the Commission's principle regulatory involvement with Utah Power & Light was less formal than usual; rather than opening a docket for every issue as is customary, the Commission informally examined institutional changes, restructuring, and separate resource planning measures.

SUMMARY OF ELECTRIC UTILITY ORDERS

JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996

UTILITY	DATE OF ORDER	DOCKET NO.	CASE SUMMARY
Utah Power & Light Company	08/01/95	95-035-01	Contract with Eastmont Middle School
Utah Power & Light Company	08/01/95	95-035-04	Contract with 185 S. State
Utah Power & Light Company	09/08/95	94-035-10	Addendum #2 w/Geneva Steel
PacifiCorp	10/31/95	95-2035-06	Sell debt securities
Empire Electric Assoc., Inc.	12/11/95	95-025-01	Issue securities REA \$4,766,000 NRUCFC \$2,042,000
PacifiCorp	12/14/95	93-2035-06	Authority sell \$750,000,000 of debt
PacifiCorp	01/29/96	93-2035-07	Supplemental Order
PacifiCorp	04/02/96	96-2035-02	Sell \$750,000,000 of debt
PacifiCorp	04/05/96	96-2035-03	Sell \$250,000,000 of debt
PacifiCorp	05/17/96	96-2035-03	Amendment to application
Sunnyside Cogeneration	06/10/96	96-2018-01	Scheduling Order
PacifiCorp	06/24/96	95-2035-05	Power Purchase Agreement

KEY ELECTRIC UTILITY ORDERS

Docket No. 93-2035-06 On December 14, 1995, the Commission issued an Order granting PacifiCorp authority to finance \$750,000,000 of its debt. The Company anticipated offering conventional first mortgage bonds for public or private sale, plus Eurodollar financing placed publicly or privately in Europe or Japan. PacifiCorp was allowed to issue and sell its fixed or floating debt, enter into credit arrangements with banking institutions to provide additional credit support, and enter into currency exchanges. The Commission also extended the Company's authority to sell debt through December 31, 1997.

Docket No. 94-035-10 Utah Power & Light (UP&L) and Geneva Steel Companies petitioned the Commission to approve an addition to a Master Operating Lease and Energy Services Agreement, entered into and approved by the Commission in January of 1995. The agreement is similar to UP&L's tariffed energy service charge programs — programs in which UP&L facilitates the installation of energy conservation measures by providing funding, which is repaid by the recipient customer through an energy service charge. The Commission approved the order for the addendum proposed by joint agreement of the Companies.

Docket No. 95-035-01 On August 1, 1995, the Commission issued an order approving an Energy Services Contract between PacifiCorp and Eastmont Middle School. The contract is for energy efficient improvements in lighting and automatic temperature controls (ATC) at the school, resulting in expected savings of 2,071 MWH per year. This contract is a pilot project allowing PacifiCorp to gain experience with the cost effectiveness of implementing energy conservation measures in retrofit projects. Cost studies performed by the Division of Public Utilities concluded that the contract has the potential to increase efficiency, lower revenue requirements, and has no material impact on non-participant rates. The Commission agreed, and also suggested that PacifiCorp propose a program for commercial retrofittings rather than propose projects on an ad hoc basis.


Docket No. 96-2018-01 Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates petitioned the Commission to enforce certain contract provisions contained in a Power Purchase Agreement (PPA), first signed on January 30, 1987, between Sunnyside and PacifiCorp. Sunnyside undertook an investigation into PacifiCorp's practice of calculating the price of resold electricity. The price is determined by a calculation that measures, on an hourly basis, the value of the energy that PacifiCorp avoids having to generate itself or purchase from others. This is termed "Realized Marginal Energy Cost," or RMEC. The calculation should be adjusted every six months. Sunnyside alleges that PacifiCorp has been excluding certain resources from the calculation in breach or violation of the PPA for some time. They claim that the result of the breaches of the PPA is that the energy price paid to Sunnyside has been unfairly and inappropriately reduced. The Commission issued a Scheduling Order on June 10, 1996, to set hearings in this matter for October 15 and 16, 1996.

Docket No. 95-2035-06 PacifiCorp filed a petition requesting authority to issue and sell in public offerings or private placements its fixed/floating rate debt of \$700,000,000. The Company requested to issue Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds backed by Collateral Trust Bonds previously issued under its First Mortgage Bonds. The Commission ordered, on October 31, 1995, that PacifiCorp be granted the authority to sell its debt, and to do it no later than December 31, 1996.


Docket No. 95-2035-05 PacifiCorp filed an application in July, 1995, seeking an order from the Commission to approve proposed rates for the purchase of Carbon Company, Inc., a generating facility. After resolving disputes regarding the terms of the proposed Power Purchase Agreement (PPA), PacifiCorp and Carbon Company filed a joint motion for the Commission to consider the terms, conditions, and rates of the proposed PPA. In a hearing held June 6, 1996, all parties testified that the conditions of the PPA dated April 30, 1996, between PacifiCorp and Carbon Company were just and reasonable and consistent with the requirements of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act. On June 24, 1996, the Commission issued an Order implementing the power purchasing agreement.



PACIFICORP/UP&L TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER



PACIFICORP/UP&L TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL ANNUAL BILL



NATURAL GAS UTILITIES

The Commission regulates the provision of natural gas service by two public utilities in the state: Mountain Fuel Supply Company and Utah Gas Service Company. The Commission is responsible for establishing safety standards and practices for intrastate pipeline transportation and enforcing rules required by the Federal Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act to maintain state control over the regulation of intrastate pipeline transportation.

During this past reporting period, the Commission's activities in the area of natural gas utilities have focused primarily on natural gas rate "pass-through" cases for Mountain Fuel Supply. A "pass-through" is a rate change, associated with changing production costs and fluctuating costs for gas purchased from other suppliers. Changes in the cost of gas are audited by the Division of Public Utilities and the Committee of Consumer Services, examined by the Commission, and the results are "passed-through" to ratepayers.

SUMMARY OF NATURAL GAS UTILITY ORDERS

JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996

UTILITY	DATE OF ORDER	DOCKET NO.	CASE SUMMARY
Mountain Fuel Supply	10/10/95	95-057-21	Rate Pass Through
Utah Gas Service Company	06/17/96	96-059-01	Rate Decrease


KEY NATURAL GAS UTILITY ORDERS

Docket No. 95-057-21 On June 16, 1995, Mountain Fuel Supply filed an Application requesting approval of an annual overall decrease of \$12.10 or 2.4% in the annual bill of a typical Utah residential customer using 1150 therms of gas per year. The total effect would be an annualized revenue decrease of approximately \$10,778,000. The decrease is due to two reasons: the cost of gas Mountain Fuel purchases from other producers has decreased since the last gas cost filing; and the amount of gas Mountain Fuel produces and uses from its own sources has increased upwards of 6% since the last filing. Because the cost of gas has a lower total gas cost, there is an overall average cost reduction. The Commission issued the final Order on October 10, 1995, approving the rate adjustment.


Docket # 96-059-01 On April 26, 1996, Utah Gas Service Company filed an Application for a net decrease of its rates and charges. The adjustment reflects changes to the Utah Gas Balancing Account and projections of future gas purchases. The change in the cost of gas to Utah Gas for the period set out in the Application should result in an annualized gas cost of \$1,336,132; an 8.1% decrease for the average residential customer. The Division of Public Utilities reviewed the Application and recommended that the Commission approve the new accounting method, the projected costs for gas purchases, the related rate adjustments and to process the application as an informal proceeding. In a Tentative Order issued June 17, 1996, the Commission approved the application and ordered Utah Gas Service to notify each of its customers by mail the purpose of the application and the opportunity to protest to the Commission. Because there was no protest filed with the Commission on or before July 1, 1996, the Order became final and fully effective without further action by the Commission.



MOUNTAIN FUEL TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER



MOUNTAIN FUEL TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL ANNUAL BILL



TELECOMMUNICATIONS UTILITIES

The Commission regulates the provision of intrastate telecommunications services. Telecommunications utilities with less than 5,000 lines may change their rates without a hearing. The Commission does not regulate interstate cable TV, cellular providers, nor resellers of telecommunications services.

In December, 1995, the Utah State Legislature declared new policy objectives for the deregulation of the local telephone industry. The Commission now has the following new responsibilities: to encourage the development of competition; to allow for flexible, reduced regulation for telecommunications as competition develops; and to facilitate the sale of essential telecommunications facilities and services on a reasonably unbundled basis — unbundled meaning dividing the system network into elements which can be separately sold. The Commission is still accountable for the enhancement of the general welfare of utility consumers, and will continue to make high-quality, universal telecommunications services available at just and reasonable rates by fostering increased competition in the telecommunications industry.

SUMMARY OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS UTILITY ORDERS JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996

UTILITY	DATE OF ORDER	DOCKET NO.	CASE SUMMARY
U S West Communications, Inc.	08/01/95	90-049-03 & 06	Return on equity
Electric Lightwave, Inc.	08/16/95	94-2202-01	Service authority granted
Phoenix Fiberlink	08/17/95	95-2206-01	Service authority granted
Qwest Communications	08/21/95	94-2204-01	Service authority granted
U S West Communications, Inc.	11/06/95	95-049-05	Set cost of capital on common equity and rate of return
South Central Utah Telephone Association	11/13/95	95-052-01	One time Universal Service Distribution
U S West Communications, Inc.	11/22/95	89-049-11 & 21	Refund intrastate ratepayer
U S West Communications, Inc.	11/27/95	95-049-05	Correction of 11/6 order - \$10,221,529 decrease
U S West Communications, Inc.	12/20/95	90-049-03 & 06	Attorney's fees
U S West Communications, Inc.	12/27/95	90-049-03 & 06 & 92-049-05	Order on refund
U S West Communications, Inc.	02/22/96	90-049-03 & 06 & 92-049-05	Order on petition for reconsideration
South Central Utah Telephone & U S West Communications, Inc.	03/05/96	95-052-03 & 95-049-49	Transfer of authority
U S West Communications, Inc.	03/06/96	95-049-35	Held Orders/Cellular Service U S West
U S West Communications, Inc.	04/04/96	95-049-22	Decrease of plant accounts
U S West Communications, Inc.	04/22/96	95-049-35	1996 Held Order targets
Nextlink of Utah, LLC	05/29/96	95-2208-01	Service authority granted

Interconnection The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 formalized the move towards competition in the telecommunications industry, resulting in a rush of applicants for certification to provide local telephone service. The list of applicants for Utah include the following: AT&T of the Mountain States, Inc.; Dial & Save of Utah, Inc.; Excel Telecom; GST Lightwave, Inc.; Teleport Com Group, TCG; and Winstar Wireless of Utah.

Docket No. 95-049-35 "Held Orders" are customer requests for telephone service that cannot be met by the requested due date because the necessary facilities are not in place. "Primary Held Orders" are the inability to provide the first service to a customer who has not previously had service at the location in question in a timely manner. When this is a problem, USWC has proposed expanding its substitute cellular service program to those customers faced with service-order delay. The program provides held order customers with unlimited cellular air time in a calling area approximately the size of that which would be available with landline service. USWC arranges for the provision of the cellular telephones, which are returned to the Company when the landline orders have been completed. This is an option to the existing program of payment of a fixed amount used by the customer to purchase their own cellular service. On March 6, 1996, the Commission ordered USWC to implement the substitute cellular service program and also ordered the assessment of penalties if USWC fails to meet target numbers for held order problems in 1996.

Docket No. 91-051-01 (Informal Discussion) The Public Service Commission has taken under advisement the option of transferring the Kolob service area from U S West Communications, Inc. (USWC), to Beehive Telephone Company. USWC is the certified telephone carrier for the area, although USWC has no service into the Kolob Mountain area. USWC has opposed granting Beehive's petition because of the impact of providing service to Kolob on the Utah Universal Service Fund. Beehive and the Division of Public Utilities agree that the extension of telephone service to Kolob is in the public interest and the Division recommends that the Commission approve Beehive's petition to serve Kolob.

Docket No. 95-049-09 (Informal Discussion) Extended Area Service (EAS) is a flat-rate service that enlarges the "local" calling area, replacing toll services in a designated area. Once established, it is paid for by every customer in the area on a flat-rate basis rather than a per-minute-of-use basis. EAS is popular in outlying communities which normally pay toll fees to call nearby larger cities, when under EAS they would not pay that toll fee.

On September 15, 1995, the Commission requested comments on or suggestions for changes to EAS criteria, and any information regarding EAS expansion in general. A number of technical conferences were held to develop discussion on EAS. On June 1, 1996, the Commission published rule R746-347 establishing criteria and a new procedure to create EAS areas. The rule will take effect August 12, 1996. The new criteria are as follows:

1. (a) In exchanges of fewer than 500 residential access lines, at least 55% of residential subscribers must petition the Commission for EAS to another exchange. (b) In exchanges of more than 500 but fewer than 1,500 residential lines, the greater of 300 or 30% must sign the petition. (c) In exchanges of more than 1,500 residential lines, 30% must sign the petition. An estimated EAS rate must be on each sheet of the petition.



2. (a) Average residential monthly calling volume may not be fewer than three calls. At least 50% of the residential subscribers must complete at least one call per month to the non-petitioning exchange. (b) Combined residential and business average monthly calling volume from the non-petitioning exchange to the petitioning exchange must be at least 80% of the combined residential and business average monthly calling volume between the petitioning and non-petitioning exchange areas. (c) The calling volume analysis may be waived if a majority of a county or municipal governing body petitions the Commission for a waiver.

3. Once the threshold criteria is met, the local exchange carrier must conduct a cost study to determine the rate.

4. A customer survey must show that at least 75% of the residential customers surveyed in the petitioning exchange want EAS at the established rate. At least 30% of the residential customers in the non-petitioning exchange must also want EAS. No survey of the non-petitioning exchange is necessary if the EAS rate increase is less than 3.5% in the non-petitioning exchange.


Docket No. 95-049-T20 (Informal Discussion) Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) is a digital platform providing integrated voice, data, and video capability via the public telephone switched network. ISDN is a step in the transition from an analog to a digital multimedia network. By separating the analog telephone line into three digital channels, it allows as many as three separate voice, data, or image signals to be transmitted and received individually or simultaneously. Under Docket No. 89-049-T29, the Commission approved U S West Communication, Inc.'s (USWC) initial ISDN offering, effective December 29, 1989. Since then, ISDN has been available on an individual case basis pursuant to specific service agreements between USWC and individual customers.

On October 31, 1995, USWC filed Advice Letter No. 95-T20 with the Commission to provide ISDN on a standard priced basis in specifically defined geographical areas. The proposed service rates proved to be highly controversial. In December, 1995, the Commission decided not to suspend Advice Letter 95-T20, but to have it remain in effect on a provisional basis pending the outcome of further investigation. The Commission set a hearing for late January, 1996, but rescheduled it for May 22 and 23, 1996.


As of June 30, 1996, the Commission has not issued a final order regarding ISDN services. The Commission must carefully consider and decide certain rates, terms and conditions governing the provision of ISDN under this tariff. Pricing decisions will most likely be based on evidence about market demand for ISDN and about costs USWC will incur for ISDN.



**U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS
TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER**



**U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS
TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL ANNUAL BILL**



UTAH SPEECH & HEARING IMPAIRED PROGRAM

Embracing the objectives of Utah's hearing and speech impaired community, the 1987 Utah State Legislature passed Senate Bill 101, the Speech and Hearing Impaired Act, calling for the creation of a dual party relay system and a process to distribute Telecommunications Devices for the Deaf (TDDs) to low income hearing and speech impaired individuals. The dual party relay involves the intervention of an operator to relay a typed message from a hearing or speech impaired individual to a hearing individual.

The Commission adopted rules governing the operation of the program. An advisory board, including hearing and speech impaired individuals, government deputies, and telephone industry representatives, was appointed to recommend criteria for selection of a relay service provider. All parties interested in providing operators for the relay system were invited to submit proposals. The Utah Association for the Deaf was chosen and is under contract with the State of Utah as the relay service provider. The Commission also contracted agreements with TDD manufacturer, Ultra-Tec, which provides the devices, and the Division of Services for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, which distributes the devices and offers training on the use of the TDD.


On January 11, 1988, the relay service began operating 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Commission staff worked closely with the Divisions of State Telecommunications and Purchasing to acquire, install, and test the required equipment. A surcharge on telephone subscriber access lines is the only revenue source covering the operating costs of the relay service and the cost of TDD distribution. From June, 1989, to July, 1993, the surcharge was seven cents. It increased to ten cents in August, 1993, and to eighteen cents in August of 1994, as use of the relay system experienced continued growth.

The Utah relay system continues to meet Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. In 1993, the FCC recertified the Utah relay system for an additional five years as a result of its compliance with all federal requirements. Those requirements are rigid, including a mandate that telephones at the relay center be answered within ten seconds, eighty percent of the time. This requirement necessitated the purchase and installation of a new switch to accommodate the additional operators hired to meet FCC certification guidelines. Call volume has continued to grow and the additional capacity of the switch meets the demand for relay services.

As of June 30, 1996, the Utah Speech and Hearing Impaired Program has provided 551 TDDs to low-income individuals, and 225 TDDs have been supplied to schools and state offices. In order to receive a TDD, an individual must prove a hearing or speech impairment and low income. The impairment must be confirmed by a doctor, qualified state agency, speech pathologist or audiologist, and the applicant's income cannot exceed the poverty level by more than thirty percent.



**COMPLETED CALLS OVER UTAH RELAY SYSTEM
1ST QUARTER 1988 TO 2ND QUARTER 1996**



UTAH RELAY SERVICE CALL VOLUME REPORT BY YEAR

YEAR	INCOMING						ABANDONED						TOTAL	%	CALLS DIALED	CALLS COMPLETED	OPR. HRS.
	SLC	PROVO	OGDEN	LOGAN	WATS	TOTAL	SLC	PROVO	OGDEN	LOGAN	WATS						
1988	68,115	6,491			15,020	89,626	10,922	48			1,595	12,565	14.02%		89,626	16,733.00	
1989	95,777	17,184			20,933	133,894	9,399	88			928	10,415	7.78%		133,894	20,618.25	
1990	119,059	29,328	5,354		25,352	179,093	6,289	137	12		495	6,993	3.87%		179,193	29,764.75	
1991	149,768	41,399	19,097		27,425	237,689	3,242	147	69		386	3,844	1.62%		237,689	42,870.50	
1992	172,075	49,756	29,617	5,453	31,046	287,947	4,448	1,171	690	118	826	7,253	2.52%		303,596	59,064.06	
1993	175,105	51,735	26,048	8,748	39,780	301,416	4,870	1,403	750	219	1,015	8,257	2.74%	413,431	363,419	65,911.40	
1994	190,847	53,917	30,145	8,833	49,922	333,664	3,982	1,295	710	267	817	7,071	2.12%	467,150	400,962	73,132.11	
1995	208,063	56,195	39,540	9,055	70,370	383,223	7,362	1,499	1,199	329	2,248	12,637	3.30%	561,846	486,952	80,181.53	
TOTALS	1,178,809	306,005	149,801	32,089	279,848	1,946,552	50,514	7,219	3,430	933	8,310	69,035	3.47%	1,442,427	2,195,331	388,275.60	

In 1989, the Utah State Legislature enacted House Bill 199, giving the Commission authority to establish an expendable trust fund and rules to govern the maintenance of universal availability of intrastate telecommunications services. The fund was named the Universal Service Fund (USF). Fund revenues are generated from surcharges imposed upon users and providers of intrastate local exchange and/or intrastate long distance telecommunication services. The maximum surcharge to each local exchange service is \$0.07 per billed minute; the maximum surcharge to long distance services is \$0.005 per billed minute. It is required that no more than 50% of the monies in the fund come from the surcharges to the local exchange services.

The 1989 statute applied only to the regulated telephone companies. In 1992, the Legislature modified the statute to include services billed by all regulated and non-regulated local and long distance service providers. Commission rules managing the administration of the fund were first approved in December of 1989, and implementation of the rules began on January 1, 1990. The guidelines provided for monthly distributions from the fund only to the Utah Independent Telephone companies with the highest operating expenses. U S West Communications was not allowed to receive funding.

The Division of Public Utilities (Division) has been assigned the responsibility to monitor and track all companies required to submit USF surcharges, and to record and audit the actual submitted revenues, minutes of use, and level of distributions to the supported companies. The Division must also forecast the next year's level of USF surcharge revenues, level of distribution to those companies requiring support, and future local exchange and switched access rates. The forecasts must be reviewed and approved by the Commission prior to implementing the new distribution rates.

Recently, three major changes have been made to the rules: the first allowed for up to 10% of the annual USF fund revenues to be used for one-time, high cost projects undertaken by any local exchange telephone company; the second incorporated the effects of the 1992 state telecommunications legislation, mentioned above; the last reduced the local exchange target and threshold rate levels. Target rates are used to limit USF distribution to companies which maintain local exchange rates below the state average. Threshold rates are used to allow companies to reduce their local exchange rates and receive USF distributions equal to the resulting revenue reduction.

Target rates were originally set at \$11.00 for residential service and \$22.00 for business service, and threshold rates at \$12.65 for residential and \$25.30 for business. On July 1, 1993, the target rates were reduced to \$10.00 and \$20.00, respectively, and threshold rates lowered to \$11.50 and \$23.00. Universal Service Fund support has allowed most independent companies to maintain lower local exchange and switched access rates — the interconnection service that gives long distance companies the ability to call and receive calls from the subscribers of local telephone companies — than would have otherwise been possible. The maximum switched access rate in 1990, was \$0.16764 per billed minute; this rate has decreased each year to the present level of \$0.06893 per minute. The increased number of subscribers, increased long distance calling, and the requirement that all intrastate long distance service providers participate in the USF program, have contributed to the rate reduction.



A restructured USF program replaced the original program, which expired on December 31, 1994. The Commission approved the interim program and a fully restructured five year USF program in a December Order. The changes were implemented on January 1, 1995. On April 20, 1995, the Commission issued an Order denying the five-year program, and extended the transitional program to September 1, 1996. The Order requested that the parties create another program responding more fully to future prospective competitive concerns. On June 6, 1995, the Commission held a hearing to establish the interim procedures recommended by the Division for managing the transitional program until another new program could be developed.

A general summary of the past amount of the USF revenues and distributions is as follows:

UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND PAYMENTS

Year	Companies Paying Into USF	\$ Paid Into USF	Companies Receiving USF	\$ Paid From USF
1990	13	\$ 2,144,000	8	\$ 1,903,000
1991	13	\$ 2,745,000	8	\$ 2,162,000
1 992	44	\$ 3,469,000	9	\$ 3,098,000
1993	62	\$ 3,890,00	9	\$ 3,620,000
1994	96	\$ 4,451,000	9	\$ 4,239,000
1995	83	\$ 4,319,000	8	\$ 3,883,000



WATER UTILITIES

The Commission regulates the provision of water service by public utilities in the state. Most water service within the state is provided by municipal water utilities not regulated by this Commission. Principal Commission activities during the reporting period focused on general rate cases for small water companies, many of which serve remote, no-growth areas with the potential for supply and quality problems. The Commission has the power to fix service standards for the quantity and quality of water provided, and can regulate the testing of those water facilities.

SUMMARY OF WATER UTILITY ORDERS

JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996

UTILITY	DATE OF ORDER	DOCKET NO.	CASE SUMMARY
Sherwood Water Co.	08/03/95	95-075-01	Rate Increase
Timber Lakes Water Co.	08/16/95	94-076-01	Order to Show Cause
White City Water Co.	09/18/95	95-018-01	Service Authority Canceled
Lake Front Estates Water Users Assoc.	10/03/95	95-2207-01	Service Authority Granted
Wilkinson Water Co.	12/22/95	95-019-01	Rate Increase
East Kanab Water Co.	01/22/96	95-2209-01	Service Authority Granted
Homespun Village Water Co.	01/22/96	95-2205-01	Service Authority Granted
Timber Lakes Water Co.	01/30/96	95-076-03	Service Authority Canceled
Pine Hollow Water Co.	02/05/96	95-2165-01	Order on Investigations
Hi-Country Homeowners Phase I Water Co.	02/05/96	95-2195-03	Service Authority Canceled

KEY WATER UTILITY ORDERS

Docket No. 94-076-01 In an order issued August 16, 1995, the Commission imposed sanctions upon Timber Lakes Water Company, Inc., concluding that the Company was in violation of its legal obligation to provide uncontaminated, clean, acceptable water, continuous, reliable service, and maintenance of an adequate system. Evidence showed that throughout 1994, bacteriological and coliform (including fecal coliform) contamination was found in the water, and there were problems with winter freezing, water pressure, water discoloration, bad taste, and water odor. The Division of Drinking Water (DDW) had rated the Timber Lakes system as "not approved." Timber Lakes was fined \$20,000, and ordered to resolve all of the above listed violations within a set time frame. The Company will also be monitored by the DDW.

Docket No. 95-019-01 On October 25, 1995, Wilkinson Water Company filed an application seeking a rate increase. In a hearing that took place on November 29, 1995, evidence showed that the Company had operated below its authorized rate of return for the last four years and that the overall costs of operating the Company's water system had continually increased. The Company was in compliance with the Division of Drinking Water testing requirements. On December 22, 1995, the Commission ordered that Wilkinson Water be granted a modified rate increase, to become effective January 1, 1996.

SUMMARY OF MISCELLANEOUS UTILITY ORDERS

JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996

TYPE OF CASE	DATE OF ORDER	DOCKET NO.	CASE SUMMARY
Universal Service Fund	08/14/95	93-999-05	Interim Order
Electric Restructuring	01/24/96	96-999-01	Order to establish docket

KEY MISCELLANEOUS UTILITY ORDERS & ISSUES

Docket No. 93-999-05 The Universal Service Fund (USF) is an expendable trust fund to maintain the universal availability of intrastate telecommunications services at just and reasonable rates. Fund revenues are generated from surcharges to users and providers of intrastate local exchange and intrastate long distance telecommunications services.

The Division of Public Utilities urged the Commission to extend the interim USF program to September, 1997. The Commission ordered its extension and asked for proposed revisions to a five year restructuring plan for USF, due in September, 1996. The Division informed the Commission that for a variety of reasons, in particular the passage of federal legislation and the time frame for rulemaking, learning from federal rulemaking before implementing a new USF program in Utah would be the most prudent course. Passage of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 led to increased federal activity related to goals of universally available service; there is a high probability that a new USF program will require legislative reform during the 1997 session. Also, modeling changes are proposed for the Benchmark Cost Model (BCM — used to calculate USF fees), which should be completed by July, 1996. The extended time frame will allow Utah adequate time to incorporate federal direction regarding universal service policy, enable state legislative change, and to take advantage of modeling refinements made by the BCM. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 also called for the creation of a federal-state joint board to examine universal service issues. On March 8, 1996, the Federal Communications Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on universal service issues and established a joint board to implement elements of the 1996 Act. The joint board has been directed to prepare a Recommended Decision on the issues by November 8, 1996.

Docket No. 96-999-01 This docket was created to provide a forum for examination of Electric Restructuring. Technical conferences are held, at which affected parties assess issues associated with competition in the electric industry. Current trends in the energy market indicate that production marginal energy costs are below average energy costs. This has created the possibility of lower electricity costs for all consumers as new suppliers are integrated into the system. New technologies and other institutional changes are challenging the traditional model of the single source supplier, regulated rates of return, and prices set in accordance to an approved rate base. The introduction of competition and advances in technology could benefit retail customers. The central question now facing the Commission is how to define the correct mix of regulation and competition so that all customers will be equitably served.



Docket No. 94-999-01 (Informal Discussion) The introduction of competition in the telecommunications industry sparked discussion of collocation and interconnection between USWC and new service providers. This docket was opened to help resolve issues on which these competitors differ. The last round of developments focused on proposed rules and orders associated with the application of statutory definitions/provisions to the existing service networks and the issues of relationships between companies and those between consumers and service providers. Recommendations from concerned parties center on defining technically feasible interconnection points, identification of essential facilities and services, service quality and protection standards, dialing parity, number portability, intercompany compensation for traffic exchange, and setting "wholesale" and "resale" rates for the resale of USWC's services.

Docket No. 96-999-06 (Informal Discussion) Due to increased use of cellular phones, pagers, and phone lines for fax machines and modems, and the increasing population, the demand for individual telephone numbers has inflated dramatically. Because of the high demand for new telephone numbers, the pool of available three-digit local prefixes within the 801 area code is rapidly evaporating, and will most likely be dried up by early 1998. Utah needs an additional area code. The Commission will soon be considering proposed "relief plans" outlining the industry's recommendation on how and where to add a new area code in the state. Two plans will most likely be considered. The first entails dividing the existing 801 area code in two; a new area code would be assigned to one of the two areas. This method has been used elsewhere. The other, an experimental approach, would assign a new area code to new telephone lines within the same geographic area as the existing 801 area. The new area code should be in place by June, 1997.



TRANSPORTATION

The Public Service Commission of Utah regulates motor carriers for hire engaged in the transportation of passengers or property. The regulated carrier section of the Division of Public Utilities assists the Commission in supervising motor carriers. The Division is responsible for issuing interstate carrier, intrastate exempt licenses, and cab card identification stamps; maintaining insurance and tariff filings; investigating motor carrier complaints; offering testimony at motor carrier hearings; and performing audits of regulated carriers. Issuance and cancellation of authorities and permits require an Order from the Commission. Interstate carrier licenses require evidence of Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) authority, insurance, and designation of process agent.

In August of 1994, the United States Congress passed the Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act (Public Law 103-305), preempting the regulation of prices, routes and services of intrastate motor carriers by the states themselves. The law was contested by several states, but a Federal Appellate Court upheld the statute. The Appellate Court expressly noted that the new law did not intend to preempt states' rights to continue to regulate other areas of the transportation industry, as deemed appropriate by the state, including: safety, insurance, financial fitness, placarding, filing annual reports, and paying regulatory fees.

In order to comply with the new statute, the Division reviewed all Utah ordinances containing any language relative to prices, routes, and services. The Division drafted a bill, House Bill 220, to accommodate the language changes. The bill passed both houses of the State Legislature unanimously. The Division has also studied the Utah Administrative Rules pertaining to prices, routes, and services, and proposed changes to rulemaking for motor carrier regulation.

As of July 1, 1996, the Commission and Division will no longer house nor have jurisdiction over the motor carrier section. Motor Carriers will be located in the Department of Transportation:

Department of Transportation
Motor Carriers Division
4501 South 2700 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84119
Phone (801) 965-4508
Fax (801) 965-4936



**AUTHORITIES & PERMITS ISSUED TO MOTOR CARRIERS
JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996**

NAME OF CARRIER	ORDER DATE	AUTHORITY #
John Bunning Transfer Co., Inc.	07/05/95	5028
R & S White	07/10/95	5012
Twin Peaks Trucking, Inc.	07/10/95	5040
Monument Valley Hospital	07/11/95	5016
Sharp Transportation, Inc.	07/11/95	5044
Nelson Irrigation & Construction	07/11/95	5043
Slater Transfer & Storage, Inc.	07/11/95	5042
Lancaster Excavating, Inc.	07/11/95	5027
Jim Tucker	07/11/95	5020
Morwood, Inc.	07/11/95	5019
Dave Morrell Trucking	07/12/95	5050
Ron Beck Trucking	07/12/95	5060
S.E. Garrick Trucking	07/12/95	5058
Walters Trucking	07/12/95	5059
Jeff Peterson Transport, Inc.	07/12/95	5052
Creer Trucking	07/12/95	5057
Sheer Structure	07/12/95	5076
Swift Transportation Co., Inc.	07/13/95	5092
Federal Express Corp.	07/13/95	5094
Mc & Mc Disposal & Trucking	07/31/95	5006
McCallister Enterprises	08/03/95	5069
Mnt States L.P. Gas Co.	08/03/95	5079
B & D Trucking	08/03/95	5080
KS & D	08/03/95	5099
Dorian A. Bundy Hauling	08/03/95	5030
S.D. Shaw Trucking, Inc.	08/03/95	5034
American Services	08/03/95	5032
Painter Enterprise	08/03/95	5064
Lake Trucking, Inc.	08/03/95	5066
G.L. Hubbard & Sons Trucking	08/04/95	5033
Color Land Tours	08/07/95	5104
C&D Waterhaul	08/07/95	5084
Turbo Distribution, Inc.	08/07/95	5077
Talco Trucking	08/07/95	5048
Brad & Jan's Trucking	08/08/95	5105
Holliday Construction	08/09/95	5049
Western Rock Products Corporation	08/09/95	2826
Metro West Ready-Mix, Inc.	08/09/95	5010
Hollyridge Excavators	08/09/95	5065
Intermodal Delivery Service (IDS)	08/14/95	5075
Yellow Freight System, Inc.	08/14/95	5071
Lewis Tribe Trucking	08/14/95	5070
Dart Trucking Co., Inc.	08/21/95	5117
L/R Construction, LLC	08/21/95	5081
B. A. Cozzens Trucking	08/21/95	5086
Elite Transportation Assoc., Inc.	08/21/95	5080
Godfrey Trucking Specialized Division	08/21/95	5113
Bryce Frei Trucking	08/21/95	5102
Quicksilver Courier & Parcel Dispatch	08/21/95	5098



NAME OF CARRIER	ORDER DATE	AUTHORITY #
Airport Limousine SLC, Inc.	08/21/95	5111
ADS Trucking	8/21/95	5106
Sumsion Trucking	08/24/95	5062
EBY Brothers, Inc.	08/24/95	5035
Coey Bowers Trucking	08/24/95	5024
Asphalt Express, Inc.	08/25/95	5096
Thomas Delivery Service, Inc.	08/25/95	5091
Mackenzie Custom Hauling	08/25/95	5095
Valley Steel Processing, Inc.	08/28/95	5116
Conus Transportation, Inc.	09/20/95	5083
Quality Trucking	09/20/95	5061
Allwaste Transportation & Remediation, Inc.	09/27/95	5100
Trinity Industries Transportation, Inc.	09/29/95	5134
Auto Bus	09/29/95	5132
James C. Paterson Trucking	10/04/95	5089
Petro Express, Inc.	10/04/95	1158
Materials Transport, Inc.	10/04/95	2527
KC Enterprises Trucking	10/05/95	5101
West Tracks Taxi	10/05/95	5090
Adventours	10/06/95	5120
Robert E. Wells, Inc.	10/10/95	5136
Jets Air Freight Inc.	10/13/95	5123
Turner Gas Company	10/16/95	5029
S&M Diesel Service, Inc.	10/16/95	5130
Durrant Hauling	10/16/95	5127
Chemcentral Corporation	10/16/95	5128
Quality Distribution, Inc.	10/16/95	5137
Miller & Sons Trucking, Inc.	10/24/95	5073
Georg's Ski Shop & Bikes	10/26/95	5085
Dark Horse Enterprises, Inc.	12/11/95	5124
Blue Rock Construction	12/12/95	5047
Rudy & Sons	12/12/95	5112
Trans West Xpress, LC	12/12/95	5093
Classic Limousine Service, Inc.	12/12/95	2702
Redman Movies & Stories, Inc.	12/13/95	5142
Salt Lake Shuttle	12/14/95	5136
Tibbetts Trucking	12/14/95	5108
Van Waters & Rogers, Inc.	12/14/95	5145
Overnite Transportation Co.	12/15/95	5141
Express Messenger Systems, Inc.	12/15/95	5146
TNT Reddaway Truck Line, Inc.	12/15/95	5153
Conner & Son Trucking, Inc.	12/22/95	2818
Aero Courier	12/26/95	5155
KAT Transportation	12/26/95	5157
Ralph L. Wadsworth Construction Co., Inc.	12/26/95	5158
CCX, Inc.	12/26/95	5122
Sundance	12/26/95	2798
Perfect Touch Piano Moving Co.	12/26/95	5151
Quality Transportation, Inc.	12/27/95	5160
Mom's Distributing	01/23/96	5140
Transwood, Inc.	01/29/96	5103
Laurel, Inc.	01/29/96	5077

NAME OF CARRIER	ORDER DATE	AUTHORITY #
Coyote Shuttle	02/02/96	5114
Maxson Transportation Unlimited	02/28/96	5078
Westex, Inc.	02/29/96	5126
J&K Hotshot	02/29/96	5119
Brad Farrer	02/29/96	5131
House Movers, Inc.	02/29/96	5139
Mercer Transportation Co., Inc.	03/01/96	5147
AJ Oyler Trucking, Inc.	03/01/96	5148
Sheldon L. Palmer Construction	03/01/96	5107
Greg McKenzie Trucking	03/01/96	5143
B&H Trucking	03/01/96	5144
R&B Trucking	03/01/96	5110
Bennett Trucking	03/05/96	5152
Custom Industries, Inc.	03/06/96	5161
Bryce Christensen Excavating	03/06/96	5156
Rush Delivery	03/06/96	5154
Royal Limousine	03/11/96	5129
Kendle Trucking, Inc.	03/11/96	5133
Action Express, Inc.	03/11/96	5173
Propane Transport International	03/12/96	5178
Norm Miller Truck & Equipment	03/19/96	5118
Archibald Petroleum Trucking, Inc.	03/20/96	5169
Xpress Services	03/20/96	5165
Sunshine Limousine Service	04/10/96	2760
K&S Transco, LLC	04/15/96	2624
D&L Trucking	04/16/96	5177
Chase Bros. Trucking & Excavating	04/16/96	5166
Tonya Lyn Trucking	04/16/96	5163
Kenneth D. Lisonbee Co.	04/17/96	5174
A-Premier Limousine, L.C.	04/17/96	5192
AWC Port Services, Inc.	04/17/96	5189
Insurance Courier Service	04/17/96	5179
Legacy Trucking & Excavation	04/17/96	5176
American Transport, Inc.	04/17/96	5193
Ricardo Limousine Service	04/22/96	5196
Alpine Transport & Touring	04/22/96	5200
Clark Concrete & Excavation	04/29/96	5182
Wasatch Property Maintenance	05/02/96	5252
Maple Oil Products, Inc.	05/02/96	5181
Mountain Land Installation & Service, L.C.	05/03/96	5175
Aquarius Scenic Tours	05/06/96	5053
U.S. Delivery Systems West Central, Inc.	05/06/96	1992
Bader Bros., Inc.	05/13/96	5218
Bighorn Express, LLC	05/15/96	5199
Area Transportation Co.	05/20/96	5221
A British Limousine Service	05/21/96	5190
AWC Distribution, Inc.	06/03/96	5177
William B. Huff, Inc.	06/12/96	5210
Silver Mine Construction, L.C.	06/12/96	5203
O.L. Price Trucking, Inc.	06/13/96	5222
TNT Reddaway Truck Lines, Inc.	06/24/96	5153
Quarter Circle L, Inc.	06/26/96	520

**AUTHORITIES & PERMITS CANCELLED
JULY 1, 1995 - JUNE 30, 1996**

NAME OF CARRIER	ORDER DATE	AUTHORITY #
Lurch Trucking, Inc.	07/10/95	2619
SIS Distribution, Inc.	07/31/95	2262
Luxury Limousine Service	08/04/95	2776
Scott Walker Trucking	08/11/95	2480
Steere Tank Lines, Inc.	08/23/95	2226
Chad Smith	08/23/95	756
Ron Olson Trucking	08/24/95	2457
Delivery Service & Transfer Co., Inc.	09/14/95	683
Aable Trucking Co.	09/14/95	410
Cronin Pickup & Delivery Service	09/28/95	2202
B&D Industries, Inc.	10/13/95	683
Heritage Tours	10/16/95	2706
A&J Trucking, Inc.	11/28/95	2463
259-TAXI	12/08/95	2518
Mike's Mobile Home Service	12/11/95	2308
Zion Limousine Service, Inc.	12/14/95	2699
Rainbow Trucking	12/14/95	2569
Peacock & Yates Trucking	12/14/95	2484
Mtn. Transportation, Inc.	12/14/95	2685
Express Movers	12/15/95	2742
Uintah Freightways, Inc.	12/21/95	2252
Advantage Executive Services, Ltd	01/21/96	2785
Lynn S. Porter House Moving, Inc.	02/02/96	1057
Vernal Contract Carrier	02/06/96	642, 670 & 750
Chief Transport Co.	02/06/96	2623
Neuman Transit Company, Inc.	02/15/96	758
Judd's Limousine Service	02/16/96	2686
Second to None Delivery	02/22/96	2717
Consolidated Van Lines	03/13/96	2736
T&L Trucking	03/14/96	2621
Dark Horse Enterprises, Inc.	03/14/96	5124
Estee Corp	03/14/96	2417
Koch Service, Inc.	03/27/96	2235 & 2373
Sunshine Limousines, Inc.	04/10/96	5082
Herman Bros., Inc.	04/12/96	2264
C&D Equipment, Inc.	04/15/96	2245
Interwest Limousine Inc.	04/15/96	2562
L.E. Striblen Trucking	04/16/96	2347
Genuine Adventure Tours	05/02/96	5031
Pedal Express Couriers, Inc.	05/02/96	2219
Arrow Transportation Co.	05/06/96	2734

THE WESTERN CONFERENCE OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONERS

The Western Conference was founded over 50 years ago to provide a forum for education, training, and information-sharing among utility and motor carrier regulators from the west. It is one of five regional affiliates of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. The Western Conference meets annually in the state of the sitting president. The proceeds of the convention support the activities of the Conference's working committees throughout the year.

Member state Commissions are: Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

The Public Service Commission of Utah was in charge of planning and hosting the 1996 Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners. It was held at Snowbird, Utah, June 9-12, and hosted by the Western Conference President Stephen Mecham.

The Western Conference was a four-day event, attended by 255 participants. The General Sessions of the Conference focused on "Efficiency and Fairness in Changing Markets," including the restructuring of the electric industry, interconnection in the telecommunications industry and the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and the unbundling of the gas industry. Social highlights of the Conference activities included the Welcoming Reception, the Western Barbecue, the Golf Tournament, Olympic Site Excursions, and the Closing Banquet. Conference participants met in working sessions each day. All activities were well attended, and the Conference was very successful.

Below is the Statement of Income & Expenses for the 1996 Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners.

1996 WESTERN CONFERENCE OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONERS STATEMENT OF INCOME & EXPENSES

	ORIGINAL BUDGET	MAY PROJECTION	ACTUAL
Income	\$90,000	\$85,000	\$114,884
Total Interest Earned			\$ 395
Total Income			\$115,279
Expenses			
Speaker Expenses	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 2,108
Guest Packets	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,659	\$ 3,879
Supplies	\$ 500	\$ 496	\$ 732
Pictures, Posters, & Film	\$ 316	\$ 644	
Postage	\$ 500	\$ 1,074	\$ 1,315
Printing	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,195	\$ 2,737
Golf Tournament	\$ 2,500	\$ 3,240	\$ 2,406
Bear Hollow Olympic Park	\$ 1,500	\$ 675	\$ 675
Entertainment	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,150
Other	\$ 2,000	\$ 101	
Audio & Hotel Charges	\$ 1,000	\$37,188	
Speaker Gifts	\$ 1,230	\$ 1,214	
Opening Video	\$ 1,000		\$ 600
Cancellations/Refunds			\$ 7,355
Transfer of \$ to MMA Savings Account			\$ 4,614
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$57,400	\$55,901	\$ 66,052
INCOME OVER EXPENSES	\$32,600	\$29,099	\$ 49,227
(Interest Earned Included)			

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
LIST OF COMMISSIONERS**

NAME	HOMETOWN	YEARS SERVED
Henry H. Blood	Kaysville	1917-1921
Joshua Greenwood	Nephi	1917-1923
Warren Stoutner	Salt Lake City	1917-1925
Abbot R. Heywood	Ogden	1921-1923
Elmer E. Corfman	Salt Lake City	1923-1937
Thomas E. McKay	Huntsville	1923-1937
George F. McGonagle	Salt Lake City	1925-1933
Thomas H. Humphreys	Logan	1933-1935
Joseph S. Snow	St. George	1935-1937
Ward C. Holbrook	Clearfield	1937-1941
Otto A. Wiesley	Salt Lake City	1937-1941
Walter K. Granger	Salt Lake City	1937-1940
George S. Ballif	Provo	1941-1943
Oscar W. Carlson	Salt Lake City	1941-1949
Donald Hacking	Price	1941-1951
W.R. McEntire	Huntsville	1943-1952
Hal S. Bennett	Salt Lake City	1949-1973
Stewart M. Hanson	Salt Lake City	1951-1956
Donald Hacking	Price	1952-1972
Rue L. Clegg	Salt Lake City	1956-1957
Jesse R.S. Budge	Salt Lake City	1957-1963
Raymond W. Gee	Salt Lake City	1963-1965
D. Frank Wilkins	Salt Lake City	1965-1967
Donald T. Adams	Monticello	1967-1969
John T. Vernieu	Richfield	1969-1972
Eugene S. Lambert	Salt Lake City	1972-1975
Frank S. Warner	Ogden	1972-1976
Olof E. Zundel	Brigham City	1973-1979
James N. Kimball	Salt Lake City	1975-1976
Joseph C. Folley	Ogden	1976-1977
Milly O. Bernard	Salt Lake City	1976-1982
Kenneth Rigtrup	Salt Lake City	1977-1980
David R. Irvine	Bountiful	1979-1985
Brent H. Cameron	Salt Lake City	1980-1989
James M. Byrne	Salt Lake City	1982-1995
Brian T. Stewart	Farmington	1985-1992
Stephen F. Mecham	Salt Lake City	1989-1991
Stephen C. Hewlett	Bountiful	1991-1995
Stephen F. Mecham	Salt Lake City	1992-Present
Constance B. White	Salt Lake City	1995-Present
Clark D. Jones	Salt Lake City	1995-Present

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
LIST OF COMMISSION SECRETARIES**

NAME	HOMETOWN	YEARS SERVED
Thomas E. Banning	Salt Lake City	1917-1923
Frank L. Ostler	Salt Lake City	1923-1935
Theodore E. Thain	Logan	1935-1936
Wendell D. Larson	Salt Lake City	1936-1938
J. Allan Crockett	Salt Lake City	1938-1940
Charles A. Esser	Salt Lake City	1941-1943
Theodore E. Thain	Logan	1943-1944
Royal Whitlock	Gunnison	1945-1948
C.J. Stringham	Salt Lake City	1949-1959
Frank A. Yeamans	Salt Lake City	1959-1960
C.R. Openshaw, Jr.	Salt Lake City	1960-1970
Maurice P. Greffoz*	Salt Lake City	1970-1971
Eugene S. Lambert	Salt Lake City	1971-1972
Ronald E. Casper	Salt Lake City	1972-1977
Victor N. Gibb	Orem	1977-1979
David L. Stott	Salt Lake City	1979-1981
Jean Mowrey	Salt Lake City	1981-1983
Georgia Peterson	Salt Lake City	1983-1986
Stephen C. Hewlett	Bountiful	1986-1991
Julie P. Orchard	Bountiful	1991-Present

*Acting Secretary



**PUBLIC UTILITIES OPERATING IN THE STATE OF UTAH UNDER THE
JURISDICTION OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - JUNE 30, 1996**

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

Albion Telephone Company, Inc.
Highway 77, P.O. Box 98
Albion, ID 83311
Telephone (208) 673-5335
Fax (208) 673-6200

All West Communications, Inc.
dba All West Communications
50 W. 100 N., P.O. Box 588
Kamas, UT 84036-0588
Telephone (801) 783-4361

AT&T Communications of the
Mountain States, Inc.
Attn: Cathy L. Brightwell
675 E. 500 S., Suite 390
Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Telephone (801) 237-1620
Fax (801) 237-1002

Bear Lake Communications, Inc.
45 W. Center Street, P.O. Box 7
Fairview, UT 84629
Telephone (801) 427-3331
Fax (801) 427-3200

Beehive Telephone Company, Inc.
5160 Wiley Post Way, Suite 220
Salt Lake City, UT 84116
Telephone (801) 596-9512
Fax (801) 596-9504
Cellular (801) 580-2501

Central Utah Telephone, Inc.
45 W. Center Street, P.O. Box 7
Fairview, UT 84629
Telephone (801) 427-3331
Fax (801) 427-3200

Citizens Telecommunications
Company of Utah
Attn: Aloa Stevens
4 Triad Center, Suite 220
Salt Lake City, UT 84180
Telephone (801) 321-6690
Fax (801) 322-0271

Eagle Telecommunications,
Inc./Colorado
805 Broadway, P.O. Box 9901
Vancouver, WA 98668-8701
Telephone (206) 696-6914
Fax (206) 696-6974

Electric Lightwave, Inc.
Attn: Charles Martin
8100 NE Parkway Drive Suite 150
P.O. Box 4678
Vancouver, WA 98662
Telephone (360) 892-1000
Fax (360) 254-8924

Emery Telephone
150 S. Main Street
P.O. Box 629
Orangeville, UT 84537-0629
Telephone (801) 748-2223
Fax (801) 748-5001

Gunnison Telephone Company
29 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 850
Gunnison, UT 84634
Telephone (801) 528-7236
Fax (801) 528-5558

Industrial Communications,
dba David R. Williams
P.O. Box 25670
Honolulu, HI 96825
Telephone (808) 845-1111

Manti Telephone Company, Inc.
34 W. Union Street
Manti, UT 84642
Telephone (801) 835-3391

Navajo Communications
Company, Inc.
Attention: Aloa Stevens
4 Triad Center, Suite 220
Salt Lake City, UT 84180
Telephone (801) 321-6690
Fax (801) 322-0271

Nextlink of Utah, LLC
155 108th Avenue NE, Suite 810
Bellevue, WA 98004
Telephone (206) 803-8907
Fax (206) 803-8910

Phoenix Fiberlink of Utah, Inc.
Attn: Diane Bahr
110 Blue Ravine Road, Suite 150
Folsom, CA 95630-4712
Telephone (916) 353-5151
Fax (916) 353-5155

Qwest Communications
Corporation
Attn: David A. Bryson
555 17th Street
Denver, CO 80202
Telephone (303) 291-1927
Fax (303) 291-1724

Skyline Telecom
45 W. Center Street, P.O. Box 7
Fairview, UT 84629
Telephone (801) 427-3331
Fax (801) 427-3200

South Central Utah Telephone
Association, Inc.
45 N. 1st W., P.O. Box 555
Escalante, UT 84726
Telephone (801) 826-4211

Uintah Basin Telephone
Association, Inc.
3900 S. 4000 W., P.O. Box 398
Roosevelt, UT 84066
Telephone (801) 646-5007
Fax (801) 646-5011

Union Telephone Company, Inc.
850 N. Highway 414
P.O. Box 160
Mountain View, WY 82939
Telephone (307) 782-6131
Fax (307) 782-6522

TELECOMMUNICATIONS
(CONTINUED)

U S WEST Communications, Inc.
Regulatory Affairs
250 Bell Plaza, Room 1603
P.O. Box 30960
Salt Lake City, UT 84130-0960
Telephone (801) 237-7200
Fax (801) 237-6542

The Westlink Company
Attn: Tony Maze
3655 Nobel Drive, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92122
Telephone (619) 550-3000
ext 370

TELECOMMUNICATIONS
APPLICANTS

Dial & Save of Utah, Inc.
dba Dial & Save
4219 Lafayette Center Drive
Chantilly, VA 22021-1209
Telephone (703) 631-5600

Excel Telecommunications, Inc.
8750 N. Central Expressway
Dallas, TX 75231
Telephone (214) 705-5500

GST Lightwave (UT), Inc.
4317 NE Thurston Way
Vancouver, WA 98662
Telephone (360) 254-4700
Fax (360) 604-2893

TCG Utah
4001 S. 700 E.
Salt Lake City, UT 84107

WinStar Wireless of Utah, Inc.
7799 Leesburg Pike, Suite 401 S
Tyson's Corner, VA 22043
Telephone (703) 917-6556

NATURAL GAS

Mountain Fuel Supply Company
180 E. First South
P.O. Box 11368
Salt Lake City, UT 84139
Telephone (801) 534-5555
Fax (801) 534-5198

Utah Gas Service Company
5000 S. Quebec Street
Suite 650
Denver, CO 80237
Telephone (303) 779-7911

STEAM HEAT

PacifiCorp, dba Utah Power &
Light Company
One Utah Center
201 S. Main Street, Suite 700
Salt Lake City, UT 84140-0007
Telephone (801) 220-2000

ELECTRIC

Bridger Valley Electric
Association, Inc.
Urie Junction Highway 30 S.
P.O. Box 399
Mountain View, WY 82939
Telephone (307) 786-2800
Fax (307) 786-4362

Deseret Generation &
Transmission Co-Operative
8722 S. 300 W.
Sandy, UT 84070
Telephone (801) 566-1238
Fax (801) 562-6302

Dixie-Escalante Rural Electric
Association, Inc.
71 E. Highway 56 HC 76 Box 95
Beryl, UT 84714
Telephone (801) 439-5311
Fax (801) 439-5352

Empire Electric Association, Inc.
801 North Broadway
P.O. Drawer K
Cortez, CO 81321-0676
Telephone (303) 565-4444

Flowell Electric Association, Inc.
495 N. 3200 W.
Star Route Box 180
Fillmore, UT 84631
Telephone (801) 743-6214

Garkane Power Association, Inc.
56 East Center Street
P.O. Box 790
Richfield, UT 84701
Telephone (801) 896-5403
Fax (801) 896-8079

Moon Lake Electric
Association, Inc.
188 W. 200 N., P.O. Box 278
Roosevelt, UT 84066-0278
Telephone (801) 722-2448
Fax (801) 562-5274

Mt. Wheeler Power, Inc.
1600 Seventh Street East
P.O. Box 1110
Ely, NV 89301-1110
Telephone (702) 289-8981
Fax (702) 289-8987

PacifiCorp - Corporate
Port of Portland
700 N.E. Multnomah, Suite 1600
Portland, OR 97232-4116

PacifiCorp dba Utah Power
& Light Company
One Utah Center
201 S. Main Street, Suite 700
Salt Lake City, UT 84140-0007
Telephone (801) 220-2000
Fax (801) 220-2798

Raft River Rural Electric
Cooperative, Inc.
250 N. Main St., P.O. Box 617
Malta, ID 83342
Telephone (208) 645-2211
Fax (208) 645-2300

ELECTRIC (CONTINUED)

Strawberry Electric Service
District
745 N. 500 E., P.O. Box 70
Payson, UT 84651
Telephone (801) 465-9273
Fax (801) 465-4580

Strawberry Water Users
Association
745 N. 500 E., P.O. Box 70
Payson, UT 84651
Telephone (801) 465-9273
Fax (801) 465-4580

Wells Rural Electric Company
450 Humbolt Ave., P.O. Box 365
Wells, NV 89835
Telephone (702) 752-3328

WATER

Bridgerland Water Co., Inc.
P.O. Box 314
Logan, UT 84321
Telephone (801) 755-3006

Chekshani Water Company, Inc.
C/O Chekshani Cliffs Corporation
916 N. Main Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Telephone (701) 254-5707
Fax (701) 254-5272

Community Water Company
150 N. Virginia Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84103
Telephone (801) 355-7950

Cross Hollow Hills Joint Venture
259 W. 200 N.
Cedar City, UT 84720
Telephone (801) 586-2777

Dammeron Valley Water Works
1456 W. 800 N. Maze Circle
Dammeron Valley, UT 84783
Telephone (801) 574-2295

Durfee Creek, Inc., Association
2550 S. 2570 W.
P.O. Box 271037
Salt Lake City, UT 84127-1037
Telephone (801) 972-8666

East Kanab Water Company
Attn: Peter C. McColl
750 W. Ridge View, Suite 104
St. George, UT 84770

Eldorado Hills Mutual Water
Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 460653
Leeds, UT 84746
Telephone (801) 879-2919

Elk Ridge Estates Water Company
Attn: Mark Jacobs
P.O. Box 723
Cedar City, UT 84720
Telephone (801) 586-0068

Falcon Crest Water Company, Inc.
Attn: Gregory M. Holbrook
1912 Sidewinder Drive
Suite 200A
Park City, UT 84060
Telephone (801) 649-5602
Fax (801) 649-0933

Golden Gardens Water Co., Inc.
406 Creekside Circle, Suite F
Murray, UT 84107
Telephone (801) 288-9292

Harmony Heights Water Co.
453 N. 200 W. 9-2
Hurricane, UT 84737
Telephone (801) 635-0913

Highlands' Water Company, Inc.
RR No. 1 Box 160
Morgan, UT 84050
Telephone (801) 876-3494

Homespun Village Water
Company
Attn: Eben J. Blomquist
2021 Hideout Circle
Riverton, UT 84065

KWU, Inc.
dba, Kayenta Water Users
P.O. Box 430
Santa Clara, UT 84765
Telephone (801) 628-7234

Lake Front Estates Water Users
Association
227 E. 500 N., P.O. Box 198
Panguitch, UT 84759
Telephone (801) 676-2349

Lakeview Water Corporation
1014 N. Olive Avenue
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Telephone (407) 833-7553

Little Plains Water Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 24
New Castle, UT 84756
Telephone (801) 877-1023

Pine Hollow Water Company
Attn: Wes Taylor
4800 N. 68th Street, No. 300
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
Telephone (602) 945-7243

Pine Hollow Water Company
P.O. Box 448
Heber City, UT 84032
Telephone (801) 548-2388

Pine Valley Irrigation Company
753 S. Lexington Drive
St. George, UT 84770
Telephone (801) 673-3260

SCSC, Inc.
First Interstate Plaza
170 S. Main Street, Suite 555
Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1664
Telephone (801) 363-4819

Sheraden Hills Water Users
Association
1736 Summertree Drive
Cedar City, UT 84720
Telephone (801) 586-4812

WATER (CONTINUED)

Sherwood Water Company
P.O. Box 848
Delta, UT 84624
Telephone (801) 864-3914

Silver Springs Water Co, Inc.
4575 N. Silver Springs Road
Park City, UT 84098
Telephone (801) 649-9500
Fax (801) 649-9745

Wanship Cottage Water Company
P.O. Box 176
Coalville, UT 84017
Telephone (801) 336-5584

White Hills Water Company
P.O. Box 8440
Salt Lake City, UT 84109-0440
Telephone (801) 485-5274

Wilkinson Water Company, Inc.
Attn: Wayne Wilkinson
3940 W. Old Highway Road
Morgan, UT 84050
Telephone (801) 876-3113

Winchester Hills Water
Company, Inc.
5760 N. 1180 W.
St. George, UT 84770
Telephone (801) 673-9403
Fax (801) 673-1584

COMBINATION WATER & SEWER

Storm Haven Water
Company, Inc.
4782 S. Cove Lane
Heber City, UT 84032-9641
Telephone (801) 654-3119

Wolf Creek Water & Sewer
Company, Inc.
296 E. 3250 N.
Ogden, UT 84414
Telephone (801) 782-8682
or (801) 745-3737

SEWER

Mountain Sewer Corporation
1014 N. Olive Avenue
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Telephone (407) 833-7553



DEPOSITORY SYSTEM

JUN 19 1997

UTAH STATE LIBRARY