Cole, Raywid & Braverman,

L.L.P.

J.D. Thomas 202-828-9873 DThomas@CRBLaw.com ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3458

Telephone (202) 659-9750

Fax (202) 452-0067

www.crblaw.com

Los Angeles office
2381 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite
110
El Segundo, California
90245-4290
Telephone (310) 643-7999
Fax (310) 643-7997

March 14, 2005

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Charles A. Zdebski Troutman Sanders 401 Ninth Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004-2134

Re: Comcast v. PacifiCorp; March 21, 2005 filing

Dear Charlie:

As you know, Comcast will be submitting its documentation of attachment authorizations on Monday, March 21, 2005, in accordance with the Utah Public Service Commission's December 21, 2004 Order and February 10, 2005 clarification. I am writing to you to give you advance notice of the format and to propose scheduling a meeting, along with Division Staff, so that all parties can work through the documentation together.

The documentation Comcast will submit consists of its analysis of the large permitting maps and Exhibit As Comcast produced during discovery and that Gary Goldstein, Cory Fitz Gerald and Sara Johnson discussed at hearing. Because the pole numbering system PacifiCorp used at the time it issued these permits differs significantly from the pole numbering system PacifiCorp currently uses, Comcast overlaid existing PacifiCorp service maps on the older permitting maps. In so doing, Comcast was able to assign PacifiCorp's current mapstring and pole numbers to poles depicted on the older permitting maps. The data Comcast will provide PacifiCorp on March 21, identifies each PacifiCorp pole, by current mapstring and pole number, that appears on the older permitting maps and for which PacifiCorp has erroneously charged Comcast a \$60 penalty.

Cole. Raywid & Braverman.

Charles A. Zdebski Troutman Sanders March 14, 2005 Page 2

Comcast is, of course, willing to share the overlay maps and explain exactly the methodology it used to assign mapstring and pole numbers to the poles shown on the older permitting maps. Because the documents are bulky and difficult to handle, Comcast would like to schedule a meeting with both PacifiCorp and Division Staff to go over the maps and show how it assigned the numbers and reached the conclusion that poles previously identified as unauthorized are indeed authorized. Comcast does not believe that this should present any significant inconvenience to PacifiCorp, because, as you may recall, on April 6, 2004, after the Commission's initial hearing in this matter, PacifiCorp's Jon Stewart suggested that the parties convene a meeting such as this to go over each party's maps. (*See* our April 14, 2004 letter to you attempting to schedule such a meeting). We believe that this meeting could help narrow the remaining disputed aspects of case and minimize the Commission's involvement in resolution.

We propose scheduling a meeting as soon as possible after March 21. Please let me know, as soon as possible, when PacifiCorp would be available to meet. Please do not hesitate to contact me in the interim if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

J.D. Thomas

cc: Judge Goodwill
Division of Public Utilities