1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE)
	PETITION OF WASATCH WIND,)
5	LLC, FOR APPROVAL OF A)
	CONTRACT FOR THE SALE OF)
6	CAPACITY AND ENERGY FROM)
	THEIR PROPOSED QF FACILITIES.) Docket No. 06-035-42
7)
8	
	May 22, 2006 - 9:30 a.m.
9	
10	
11	
12	Location: HEBER M. WELLS BUILDING
13	
14	160 East 300 South, Room 403
15	
16	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reporter: Kathy Morgan, CSR, RPR
25	Notary Public in and for the State of Utah
26	

1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 3 Members of the Commission Present: RICHARD M. CAMPBELL, Chair TED BOYER, Commissioner 4 RON ALLEN, Commissioner 5 6 Representing the PATRICIA SCHMID 7 Division of Public OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY Utilities: GENERAL 160 East 300 South 8 Fifth Floor 9 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 (801) 366-0353 10 11 Representing DEAN BROCKBANK 12 PacifiCorp/Utah Power: PACIFICORP 201 South Main Street Suite 2200 13 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 14 15 Representing RICHARD COLLINS 16 Wasatch Wind: WASATCH WIND 357 West 910 South 17 Suite A Heber City, Utah 84032 18 19 20 I N D E X 21 Page 22 4 23 Statement by Mr. Brockbank Statement by Dr. Collins б Statement by Ms. Schmid 24 6 25 26

1			
2			
		EXHIBITS	
3			
			Submitted/
4	Number	Description	Admitted/Page
5			
6	DPU 1.0R	Rebuttal testimony of	
		Andrea Coon	Not submitted
7			
	DPU 1.0SR	Surrebuttal testimony	
8		of Andrea Coon	Not submitted
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1 JUNE 22, 2006 - 9:30 A.M. SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 2 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 5 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Let's go on the 6 record on docket 06-035-42, in the Matter of the 7 Petition of Wasatch Wind, LLC, for Approval of a Contract for the Sale of Capacity and Energy from 8 9 their Proposed QF Facilities. And I want to take 10 appearances for the record, please. 11 MR. BROCKBANK: Dean Brockbank on behalf 12 of PacifiCorp. MS. SCHMID: Patricia Schmid with the 13 14 Attorney General's Office for the Division of 15 Public Utilities. 16 DR. COLLINS: Rich Collins representing 17 Wasatch Wind. CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right, thank 18 19 you. 20 MR. LIVINGSTON: Tracy Livingston for 21 Wasatch Wind. 22 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you, 23 Mr. Livingston. Mr. Brockbank? 24 MR. BROCKBANK: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I'm not sure the Commission has seen or been made 25 26

aware of the fact that Wasatch Wind and PacifiCorp 1 2 have been, over the last week, feverishly working 3 to avoid a contested hearing in these proceedings. 4 We've been working to execute a contract, and in fact just yesterday we executed a contract and 5 6 submitted the contract for approval with the 7 Commission. We realize that the Commissioners were not in the office, so to speak, yesterday, so 8 9 we wanted to make sure that everybody was aware 10 that we had submitted a contract for approval. From PacifiCorp's perspective, there's 11 12 no need to have a contested hearing, with the exception of one issue that I would point out, and 13 that is in the filings that we made yesterday, and 14 15 consistent with the Commission's prior orders on 16 line losses, we did not reach agreement on the issue of line losses. 17 18 And we put a provision in the contract that says that consistent with Commission orders,

19 that says that consistent with Commission orders, 20 we would address that on a case-by-case basis. 21 And in our request for approval of the contract, 22 we requested that the Commission also set up a 23 scheduling order in order to permit the parties to 24 submit testimony on the issue of line losses, so 25 that when we would have a hearing on approval of

1	the contract, we could also have a discussion on
2	line losses, and then the parties both agreed to
3	amend the contract reflecting the Commission's
4	order on that issue.
5	PacifiCorp is ready to proceed to
б	hearing, but we don't see any need to do so.
7	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you.
8	Mr. Collins or Mr. Livingston, who's going to
9	speak for Wasatch Wind?
10	MR. COLLINS: Yes. We have entered into
11	a contract. I believe this contract resolves most
12	of the issues, all of the issues. It's a fair
13	compromise. I believe it's in the public
14	interests. I want to applaud or congratulate Utah
15	Power in its willingness to work with us to
16	recognize that each QF is a little bit different,
17	and that contract terms and conditions require
18	some sort of compromise in recognition of the fact
19	that each facility is going to be a little bit
20	different. And I hope in the future that they'll
21	keep the same open-mindedness in future contracts.
22	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right, thank
23	you. Ms. Schmid?
24	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division
25	would also like to thank Wasatch Wind and
26	

б

PacifiCorp for their hard work on this. Contract 1 2 negotiation is time-consuming and frustrating, but 3 the Division has a witness here today that is prepared to testify, if the Commission would like, 4 that the resulting contract is just, reasonable 5 6 and in the public interests. The Division's 7 witness, Ms. Andrea Coon, is also prepared to 8 testify on line losses today if the Commission so 9 desires.

10 Lastly, in keeping with the Division's unique responsibility and its assigned task 11 12 pursuant to its legislative delegation, the Division would like to point the Commission's 13 attention to provision 2.1, which is the Effective 14 15 Date Provision of the proposed contract, which 16 states that: "This agreement shall not become effective until the Commission has determined that 17 18 the prices to be paid for net output and renewable 19 energy credits, if applicable, are just and reasonable, in the public interests, and that the 20 21 cost incurred by PacifiCorp for purchases of net 22 output, capacity right and renewable energy 23 credits, if applicable, from the Seller are legitimate expenses." 24

25 And I'd like to draw your attention to
26

the next clause: "All of which the Commission will 1 allow PacifiCorp to recover in rates in Utah in 2 3 the event other jurisdictions deny recovery of the 4 proportionate share of said expenses." 5 I believe that similar language or 6 language seeking a similar effect has been put 7 forth in other contracts in the MSP agreement, and I'd like to point that provision out for the 8 9 Commission's attention. 10 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We're not prepared to hear the contract today or your testimony 11 related to line losses, but I think we will take 12 Mr. Brockbank's suggestion and schedule a time to 13 hear the issues surrounding the contract, as well 14 15 as the issue of line losses. 16 MR. BROCKBANK: Mr. Chairman, if I could make two additional points. 17 18 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Go ahead. 19 MR. BROCKBANK: One of which is an error in the contract that we filed yesterday, and I 20 21 will be making a correction filing, but I just want, for the record, to mention this for 22 23 everybody's benefit. The definition of "scheduled commercial operation date" is listed as 24 25 December 31st, 2007. That was an oversight. Both 26

parties actually agreed that that scheduled commercial operation date would be June 30th of 2008. PacifiCorp will be making a correction filing via slip page, but I just wanted to make sure that that was clear for the record.

6 And the second point that I wanted to 7 make, Mr. Chairman, was regarding that PacifiCorp 8 contemplated, when we filed this contract for 9 approval yesterday, that there would be a new docket opened up. We don't necessarily feel 10 strongly one way or the other whether that should 11 fit under the current docket or a new docket, but 12 I would note that the current docket is called the 13 Wasatch Wind docket, and the entity that -- the 14 15 qualifying facility entity is actually called 16 Spanish Fork Wind Park II, LLC. And we thought 17 for the sake of setting aside the issues of the 18 past, which were contested issues in the contract 19 discussion, versus a contract approval, that a new docket was merited. We would defer to however the 20 21 Commission wishes to proceed, if it would rather just keep the same docket. 22

But again, for the sake of contract dispute versus approval, and also a brand new name of the entity that it is contracting under for the

power purchase agreement, we thought it wise to
 open a new docket. But we would defer to the
 Commission on that.

4 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right. Any 5 further comments from any of the parties? What's 6 your understanding, Mr. Collins, as it relates to 7 the schedule to hear line losses?

8 MR. COLLINS: We have a little bit of a 9 concern about that. We feel that we need to have 10 sufficient time so we can investigate our position on that and marshal up our resources to give our 11 12 best argument for line losses for our project. So we're a little hesitant of combining the hearing 13 for approval with line losses, but it will depend 14 15 on the scheduling of when the contract approval 16 will occur. We would like -- the line loss issue for us is sort of an add-on. I don't believe that 17 18 the outcome is going to lower our prices or going 19 to raise our prices, so we would like to see contract approval as soon as possible. With a 20 21 signed contract, an approved contract, we can then 22 go out and get financing. The determination of 23 line losses will only increase the value of our project. It shouldn't decrease it. We can't even 24 25 get financing without it, and so we want contract

1 approval as soon as possible.

2	And on that point, we're a little
3	hesitant about changing the docket, just because
4	of the administrative time it takes for having
5	people intervene, and then opposition to
6	intervention, and that that might take a longer
7	time.
8	CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Any response?
9	MS. SCHMID: Please. If the Division
10	may state its position, the Division would like to
11	see the contract docket completed as soon as
12	possible. The line loss issue could be held for
13	later resolution. However, we would like to urge
14	the parties to resolve this issue quickly in light
15	of Wasatch Wind's, Spanish Fork's, need for
16	closure, recognizing that they are the ones
17	requesting a little bit more time. And also, in
18	light of the Division's heavy schedule, we'd like
19	to resolve this as soon as possible.
20	MR. BROCKBANK: Utah Power agrees with
21	that. I would point out, though, that both the
22	Division and Utah Power, if the Commission
23	wanted which we understand it doesn't could
24	testify on line losses today, my point being I
25	don't see that this is going to be a drawn-out
26	

process. I think if the Commission were to issue 1 2 an order today saying we need testimony on Monday, 3 we could probably do that. I mean, this is a very narrow issue, and I would prefer -- I think it's a 4 much simpler issue than the contract approval. I 5 6 mean, the Commission has got to get familiar with 7 the contract. Line losses is something that we've 8 been discussing here for many, many, many months. 9 The Company would prefer to have it done 10 simultaneously so we do not have something hanging out there making the contract less than complete. 11 12 MS. SCHMID: And the Division, in an 13 opposite position, I guess, would feel comfortable with the contract approval and line losses being 14 15 bifurcated and the contract approved as soon as 16 possible. 17 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: This is not a big 18 deal, and I understand the parties' positions. 19 All right. I think what we'll do is just ask the parties to meet with our secretary, Julie Orchard, 20 21 and schedule a time to have a hearing on the 22 contract, as well as the issue of line losses. 23 Anything further? 24 MS. SCHMID: Nothing from the Division. 25 CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right. We'll 26

1	adjourn.
2	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at
3	10:02 a.m.)
4	* * *
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

```
1
                    CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
      STATE OF UTAH
                          )
 4
                          )
                             ss.
      COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
 5
 6
                 This is to certify that the foregoing
      public hearing held before Chairman Campbell was
      held in the State of Utah;
 7
 8
                That the above-named proceedings were
      taken by me in stenotype, and thereafter caused by
 9
      me to be transcribed into typewriting, and that a
      full, true, and correct transcription of said
10
      testimony so taken and transcribed is set forth in
      the foregoing pages.
11
                I further certify that I am not of kin or
      otherwise associated with any of the parties to
12
      said cause of action, and that I am not interested
13
      in the event thereof.
                Witness my hand and official seal at Salt
14
      Lake City, Utah, this 26th day of June, 2006.
15
                My commission expires:
16
                 May 24, 2007
17
18
19
                                Kathy H. Morgan, CSR, RPR
20
21
22
23
24
25
```