Docket No. 07-035-13 DPU Exhibit No. 1.0 David T. Thomson October 15, 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

:

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky
Mountain Power for Authority To Change
its Depreciation Rates Effective January 1,

2008

Docket No. 07-035-13

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID T. THOMSON STATE OF UTAH DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

OCTOBER 15, 2007

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address for the record.
- 2 A. David T. Thomson. My business address is Heber M. Wells Building 4th Floor,
- 3 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-6751.
- 4 Q. Have you previously presented testimony before the Public Service
- 5 Commission?
- 6 A. Yes. I have testified in several PacificCorp dockets.
- 7 Q. Please describe your position and duties with the Division of Public Utilities?
- 8 A. I am a Technical Consultant. Among other things, I serve as an in-house
- 9 consultant on issues concerning the terms, conditions and prices of utility service;
- industry and utility trends and issues; and regulatory form, compliance and
- practice relating to public utilities. I examine public utility financial data for
- determination of rates; review applications for rate increases; conduct research,
- examine, analyze, organize, document and establish regulatory positions on a
- variety of regulatory matters; review operations reports and ensure compliance
- with laws and regulations, etc.; testify in hearings before the Public Service
- 16 Commission ("Commission"); assist in analysis of testimony and case
- preparation; and participate in settlement conferences, etc.
- 18 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 19 A. The Purpose of my testimony is to introduce the Division's Consultant Snavely
- 20 King Majoros O'Connor & Lee, Inc. ("Snavely King") and specifically the
- 21 testimony of Charles W. King of Snavely and King.

Docket No. 07-035-013 DPU Exhibit No. 1.0 David T. Thomson October 15, 2007

What is the Division's position as it relates to the recommended depreciation Q. 22 23 rates for the Utah jurisdictional electric plant of Rocky Mountain Power Company? 24 25 The Division recommends that the Commission accept the depreciation rates for A. the Utah jurisdiction as set forth in the testimony and exhibits of Charles W. King 26 under this docket. 27 Does this conclude your Testimony? 28 Q. 29 A. Yes.