1	Q.	Please state your name, business address and present position with Rocky
2		Mountain Power (the Company).
3	A.	My name is A. Richard Walje and my business address is 201 South Main, Suite
4		2300, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111. I am President of Rocky Mountain Power.
5	Q.	Are you the same A. Richard Walje who previously submitted Direct
6		Testimony in this proceeding?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Purp	ose of Testimony
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in the cost of capital phase of
10		this case?
11	A.	I respond to the testimony of Mr. Charles Peterson from the Division of Public
12		Utilities and Mr. Daniel Lawton from the Committee of Consumer Services,
13		proposing a lower return on equity than the 10.75 percent return recommended by
14		the Company. I explain that the recommendations of Messrs. Peterson and
15		Lawton fail to account for the business risk faced by the Company. I urge the
16		Commission to consider the Company's business risk in qualitatively assessing
17		and accepting the Company's 10.75 percent return on equity recommendation.
18	The	Company's Business Risk Supports Its Recommended Return on Equity
19	Q.	In this context, how do you define the Company's business risk?
20	A.	The Commission, as I understand it, has distinguished business risk from financial
21		or capital structure risk, and explained that business risk arises from the
22		operational environment of a company. All operational aspects of supply and
23		demand are relevant to it, as is the regulated status of a company. The

Page 1 – Rebuttal Testimony of A. Richard Walje

24		Commission has previously referred to business risk as the possibility that actual
25		returns will deviate from expected returns.
26	Q.	Did Mr. Peterson or Mr. Lawton address the Company's business risk in
27		their recommendations on return on equity?
28	A.	No.
29	Q.	What are the business risks currently faced by the Company?
30	A.	The Company's business risks arise from a particular convergence of factors,
31		including shortages in and escalating costs of labor, fuel and wholesale energy
32		costs, new load growth revenues that only partially offsets costs increases, and an
33		investment rate in excess of \$1 billion annually for new plant to serve growing
34		loads. Taking these factors into account, the Company currently estimates that its
35		revenue requirement is increasing at a level of approximately \$6 million to \$7
36		million monthly in Utah.
37	Q.	Are these risk factors exacerbated by other circumstances specific to the
38		Company?
39	А.	Yes. Rocky Mountain Power is a division of PacifiCorp, which operates in six
40		states. Multi-state resource planning and cost recovery issues have always been
41		challenging, but are even more so now as individual states increasingly chart their
42		own energy policies on renewable energy, greenhouse gas regulation and
43		environmental issues related to the production and transmission of electric power.
44	Q.	What other business risks does the Company now face?
45	A.	The Company's major construction program requires capital, a skilled workforce
46		and access to construction materials and equipment. Unfortunately, the

Page 2 – Rebuttal Testimony of A. Richard Walje

47		Company's workforce is aging, creating concerns about potential staffing
48		shortages, and construction materials and equipment prices are rising.
49	Q.	Amidst the risks facing Rocky Mountain Power, how has the Company
50		responded to the operating challenges and the commitments it has made in
51		Utah?
52	А.	Under MidAmerican ownership, the Company has increased its local presence
53		and decision-making, permitting it to become more responsive to the needs and
54		concerns of its Utah customers. We think the Company's capital investment
55		program, which includes significant new utility infrastructure in Utah, reflects this
56		increased responsiveness to the state of Utah. The Company's focus on safety
57		and reliability has also sharpened at a time when customers' interest in power
58		quality has increased. Together, these efforts have produced the high customer
59		satisfaction levels detailed in my direct testimony.
60	Q.	Did the Commission acknowledge some of these challenges in the Company's
61		current business circumstances in its order setting the test year for this case?
62	A.	Yes. The Commission stated that: "In this time of expanded utility investment,
63		potentially increasing costs, and greater uncertainty of economic conditions, more
64		frequent rate cases may be necessary to ensure just and reasonable rates." Order
65		on Test Period (February 14, 2008.)
66	Q.	Should the Commission consider the Company's business risk in setting
67		return on equity in this case?
68	A.	Yes. ,The Commission should consider the Company's business risk as a
69		qualitative factor in setting return on equity. The Commission has made it clear

Page 3 – Rebuttal Testimony of A. Richard Walje

- 70 that setting return on equity involves not just a mechanical application of model
- 71 results, but also a qualitative assessment. In this case, the Company's business
- risk supports and corroborates the reasonableness of the Company's
- recommended 10.75 percent return on equity.
- 74 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 75 A. Yes.