- Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with Rocky
   Mountain Power (the Company), a division of PacifiCorp.
- A. My name is Bruce N. Williams. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah,
  Suite 1900, Portland, Oregon 97232. I am the Vice President and Treasurer of
  PacifiCorp.

### 6 Qualifications

- 7 Q. Please briefly describe your education and business experience.
- A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with a
  concentration in Finance from Oregon State University in June 1980. I also
  received the Chartered Financial Analyst designation upon passing the
  examination in September 1986. I have been employed by the Company for 23
  years. My business experience has included financing of the Company's electric
  operations and non-utility activities, investment management, and investor
  relations.
- 15 Q. Please describe your present duties.
- A. I am responsible for the Company's treasury, credit risk management, pension
  and other investment management activities. In this proceeding, I am responsible
  for the preparation of Rocky Mountain Power's embedded cost of debt and
  preferred equity and the testimony related to capital structure.
- 20 **Purpose of Testimony**
- 21 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- A. I will first present a financing overview of the Company. Next, I will discuss the
  planned amounts of common equity, debt, and preferred stock to be included in

## Page 1 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

the Company's planned capital structure. I will then analyze the embedded cost
of debt and preferred stock supporting Rocky Mountain Power's electric
operations in the state of Utah for the test period. This analysis includes the use
of forward interest rates, historical relationship of security trading patterns, and
known and measurable changes to the debt and preferred stock portfolios.

29 **Q.** 

## What time period does your analysis cover?

A. The test period in this proceeding is the twelve months ending June 30, 2009.
The capital structure and costs of debt and preferred applied in this case are the
average of those measures at the beginning and ending points of the test period.
The determination of the embedded cost of debt and preferred stock was
conducted using the Company's actual costs at June 30, 2008 adjusted for
changes through the test period as I later detail in this filing.

# 36 Q. Please explain Rocky Mountain Power's requirements to generate new 37 capital?

A. To address the load growth challenges outlined in Mr. Walje's testimony, the
Company is adding significant new generation, transmission and local distribution
facilities as well as environmental resources. This new investment will require the
Company to raise approximately \$2.6 billion of new long-term debt in the capital
markets over the next three years while also receiving new capital contributions
from its parent company and retaining all earnings during this period.

## 44 Q. What is the overall cost of capital that you are proposing in this proceeding?

45 A. Rocky Mountain Power is proposing an overall cost of capital of 8.58 percent.
46 This cost includes the Return on Equity recommendation from Dr. Hadaway and

Page 2 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

47		the following capital structure and costs:				
48		Rocky Mountain Power				
49	Overall Cost of Capital					
50			Percent of	%	Weighted	
51		Component	Total	Cost	Average	
52		Long Term Debt	47.7%	6.24%	2.98%	
53		Preferred Stock	0.4%	5.41%	0.02%	
54		Common Stock Equity	<u>51.9%</u>	10.75%	<u>5.58%</u>	
55		Total	100.0%		8.58%	
56	Q.	How does this capital structure compare to the Company's actual capital				
57		structure at June 30, 2008	?			
58	A.	The actual capital structure at June 30, 2008 is approximately 52.4 percent				
59		common equity, the same percentage of preferred stock and 47.2 percent long-				
60		term debt.				
61	Finar	ncing Overview				
62	Q.	How does the Company finance its electric utility operations?				
63	A.	The Company finances the cash flow requirements of its regulated utility				
64		operations utilizing a reasonable mix of debt and equity designed to provide a				
65		competitive cost of capital and predictable capital market access.				
66	Q.	How does the Company meet its debt and preferred equity financing				
67		requirements?				
68	A.	The Company relies on a mix of first mortgage bonds, other secured debt, tax				
69		exempt debt, unsecured del	bt and preferred sto	ck to meet its l	ong-term debt and	

Page 3 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

70 preferred stock financing requirements.

71 The Company has concluded the majority of its long-term financing 72 utilizing secured first mortgage bonds issued under the Mortgage Indenture dated 73 January 9, 1989. Exhibit RMP (BNW-1) shows that, as of June 30, 2009 the 74 Company is projected to have approximately \$5.0 billion of first mortgage bonds 75 outstanding, with an average cost of 6.58 percent and average remaining maturity 76 of 21 years. Presently, all outstanding first mortgage bonds bear interest at fixed 77 rates. Proceeds from the issuance of the first mortgage bonds (and other financing 78 instruments) are used to finance the combined utility operation and are not 79 allocated on a divisional basis.

80 Another important source of financing has been the tax-exempt financing 81 associated with certain qualifying equipment at power generation plants. Under 82 arrangements with local counties and other tax-exempt entities, the Company 83 borrows the proceeds and guarantees the repayment of the long-term debt in order 84 to take advantage of their tax-exempt status in financings. As of June 30, 2009 the 85 Company's tax-exempt portfolio is projected to be \$738 million in principal 86 amount with an average cost of 4.02 percent (which includes the cost of issuance 87 and credit enhancement).

88 Planned Capital Structure



A. As a regulated utility, Rocky Mountain Power has a duty and an obligation to
provide safe, adequate and reliable service to customers while balancing cost and

Page 4 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

93 risk. Significant capital expenditures for new generation, transmission and 94 distribution plant investment, operating and maintenance costs for new and 95 existing utility plant assets and clean air investments are required for Rocky 96 Mountain Power to fulfill this obligation. Through its planning process, the 97 Company determined the amounts of necessary new financing including capital 98 contributions needed to support these activities and calculated the required equity 99 and debt ratios required to maintain our current 'A-' credit rating for senior 100 secured debt.

# 101 Q. Has the Company previously received capital contributions and does it 102 expect future contributions as well?

A. Yes. Following the acquisition by MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company
(MEHC) on March 21, 2006, the Company has received a total of \$615 million of
cash capital contributions from MEHC via its direct parent company, PPW
Holdings, LLC. Similarly, the Company's planning includes additional cash
equity contributions of \$150 million before the end of the test period.

### 108 **Q.** Why is there the need for additional amounts of equity?

109 A. The cost increases in this case, coupled with the credit rating agencies 110 expectations for credit metrics and balance sheet strength, mean that additional 111 equity will be required along with improved business results and other 112 considerations to support our current 'A-' credit rating from Standard & Poor's 113 Ratings Service ("S&P"), 'A3' rating from Moody's Investors Service 114 ("Moody's"), and 'A-'from Fitch Ratings. The Company cannot finance itself 115 solely with debt. It is employing a mix of both new debt and equity to help

### Page 5 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

116 maintain a balanced capital structure.

### 117 Q. Please describe the changes to the Company's levels of debt financing.

118 Over the period ending June 30, 2009, the balance of the outstanding long-term Α. 119 debt will change through maturities, principal amortization and sinking fund 120 requirements, and issuance of new securities. Based upon the long-term debt 121 series outstanding at June 30, 2008, I have calculated the reduction to the 122 outstanding balances for maturities, principal amortization and sinking fund 123 requirements, which are scheduled to occur during the period ending June 30, 124 2009. The total long-term debt maturities and principal amortized over this 125 period is \$212.4 million. Then I added \$1.0 billion of long-term debt issuances 126 necessary to fund our operations and to refinance the debt maturing through the 127 test period. This new debt financing is balanced by the projected increase in 128 equity provided through the cash contributions from our parent company, as 129 discussed above, as well as increased retained earnings.

# 130 Q. How does this projected capital structure compare to comparable electric 131 utilities?

# A. The projected capital structure is in-line with the comparable group that Dr. Samuel C. Hadaway has selected in his estimate of Return on Equity. Both the Company and the group of comparable companies show a similar percentage of common equity in their capital structures.

# Q. Is the proposed capital structure consistent with the Company's current credit rating?

138 A. Yes. This capital structure is intended to enable the Company to deliver its

Page 6 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

required capital expenditures while achieving credit ratios that support thecontinuance of our current 'A-' credit rating.

### 141 Q. How does maintenance of a strong credit rating benefit customers?

A. The credit rating given to a utility has a direct impact on the price that utility pays
to attract the capital necessary to support its current and future operating needs. A
strong credit rating directly benefits customers by reducing immediate and future
borrowing costs related to the financing needed to support regulatory operations.

146

### **Q.** Are there other benefits?

147 A. Yes. During periods of capital market disruptions, higher-rated companies are 148 more likely to have ongoing, uninterrupted access to capital. This is not always 149 the case with lower-rated companies, which during such periods find themselves 150 either unable to secure capital or able to attract capital only on unfavorable terms 151 and conditions. In addition, higher-rated companies have greater access to the 152 long-term markets for power purchases and sales. Such access provides these 153 companies with more alternatives when attempting to meet the current and future 154 load requirements of their customers. Finally, a company with strong ratings will 155 often avoid having to meet costly collateral requirements that are typically 156 imposed on lower-rated companies when securing power in these markets.

# 157 Q. Is the Company subject to rating agency debt imputation associated with 158 Purchase Power Agreements?

A. Yes. Rating agencies and financial analysts consider Purchase Power Agreements
(PPAs) to be debt-like and will impute debt and related interest when calculating
financial ratios. For example, S&P will adjust the Company's published financial

Page 7 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

results and add in debt and interest resulting from PPAs when assessing creditworthiness. They do so in order to obtain a more accurate assessment of a company's financial commitments and fixed payments. Exhibit RMP\_\_(BNW-2) is the May 12, 2003 publication by S&P detailing its view of the debt aspects of PPAs which was refined in the March 30, 2007 publication (Exhibit RMP\_\_(BNW-3).

- 168 Q. How does this impact the Company?
- A. During a recent ratings review, S&P evaluated our PPAs and other related longterm commitments. The impact of PPAs was approximately \$450 million of
  additional debt and related interest expense being added to our debt and coverage
  tests.
- 173 Q. How would the inclusion of this PPA related debt affect the Company's
  174 capital structure?
- A. By including the \$450 million imputed debt resulting from PPAs, the Company's
  capital structure would have a lower equity component as a corollary to the higher
  debt component.
- 178 **Financing Cost Calculations**
- 179 Q. How did you calculate the Company's embedded costs of long-term debt and
   180 preferred stock?
- 181 A. I calculated the embedded costs of debt and preferred stock using the
  182 methodology relied upon in the Company's previous Utah rate cases.
- 183 Q. Please explain the cost of debt calculation.
- 184 A. I calculated the cost of debt by issue, based on each debt series' interest rate and

Page 8 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

net proceeds at the issuance date, to produce a bond yield to maturity for each 185 186 series of debt. It should be noted that in the event a bond was issued to refinance 187 a higher cost bond, the pre-tax premium and unamortized costs, if any, associated 188 with the refinancing were subtracted from the net proceeds of the bonds that were 189 issued. The bond yield was then multiplied by the principal amount outstanding 190 of each debt issue, resulting in an annualized cost of each debt issue. Aggregating 191 the annual cost of each debt issue produces the total annualized cost of debt. 192 Dividing the total annualized cost of debt by the total principal amount of debt 193 outstanding produces the weighted average cost for all debt issues. This is the 194 Company's embedded cost of long-term debt.

## 195 Q. How did you calculate the embedded cost of preferred stock?

196 A. The embedded cost of preferred stock was calculated by first determining the cost 197 of money for each issue. This is the result of dividing the annual dividend rate by 198 the per share net proceeds for each series of preferred stock. The cost associated 199 with each series was then multiplied by the total par or stated value outstanding 200 for each issue to yield the annualized cost for each issue. The sum of annualized 201 costs for each issue produces the total annual cost for the entire preferred stock 202 portfolio. I then divided the total annual cost by the total amount of preferred 203 stock outstanding to produce the weighted average cost of all issues. This is the 204 Company's embedded cost of preferred stock.

205

### Page 9 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

Q. A portion of the securities in the Company's debt portfolio bears variable
rates. What is the basis for the projected interest rates used by the
Company?

209 A. The majority of the Company's variable rate debt is in the form of tax-exempt 210 debt. Exhibit RMP\_\_\_(BNW-4) shows that these securities on average had been 211 trading at approximately 82 percent of the 30-day LIBOR (London Inter Bank 212 Offer Rate) for the period January 2000 through June 2008. Therefore, the 213 Company has applied a factor of 82 percent to the forward 30-day LIBOR Rate at 214 June 30, 2009 and then added the respective credit enhancement and remarketing 215 fees for each floating rate tax-exempt bond. Credit enhancement and remarketing 216 fees are included in the interest component because these are costs which 217 contribute directly to the interest rate on the securities.

Q. Regarding the \$1.0 billion of new long-term debt issuances mentioned above,
how did you determine the interest rate for this new long-term debt?

220 A. I projected that this new long-term debt would be issued at the Company's 221 estimated recent credit spread over the projected long-term Treasury rates as of 222 June 30, 2009. Further, I added in the effect of issuance costs to the debt offering. 223 This reflects our best estimate of the costs of new debt, assuming the Company's 224 senior secured long-term debt ratings remain unchanged. Currently the 225 Company's senior secured long-term debt is rated 'A-' and 'A3' by Standard & 226 Poor's and Moody's respectively.

227 Q. What is the resulting estimated interest rate for this new long-term debt?

A. The Company's current estimated credit spread for thirty-year debt is 1.85

Page 10 - Direct Testimony of Bruce N. Williams

229 percent. The forward long-term Treasury rate for June, 2009 is 4.65 percent. 230 Issuance costs for this type of debt add approximately 8 basis points (*i.e.*, 0.08 231 percent) to the all-in cost. Therefore the projected cost of replacement debt is as 232 follows:

Forward Treasury Rate	4.65%
Credit Spread	1.85%
Issuance Costs	0.08%
All-in Cost	6.58%

## 233 Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt

## 234 Q. What is the Company's embedded cost of long-term debt?

- A. The cost of long-term debt is 6.24 percent, which is the weighted average of the
- costs at June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2009 as shown in Exhibit RMP\_\_\_(BNW-1).

237 Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock

- 238 Q. What is the Company's embedded cost of preferred stock?
- A. Exhibit RMP\_\_(BNW-5) shows the embedded cost of preferred stock at June
- 240 30, 2008 and also June 30, 2009 at 5.41 percent.
- 241 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?
- 242 A. Yes.