BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power For Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations

Docket No. 08-035-38

DPU Exhibit No. 5.0DS

Supplemental Direct Testimony of

Mark E. Garrett

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

For the Division of Public Utilities

Department of Commerce

State of Utah

March 9, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	. 2
II.	PURPOSE AND RECOMMENDATION	. 2

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Mark E. Garrett

I. INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	Please state your name and occupation.			
2	A.	My name is Mark E. Garrett. I am an independent consultant specializing in public			
3		utility regulatory issues.			
4	Q.	Have you submitted Direct Testimony in this cause?			
5	A.	Yes. I submitted Direct Testimony on February 12, 2009 on behalf of the Utah Division			
6		of Public Utilities.			
7		II. PURPOSE AND RECOMMENDATION			
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your Supplemental Direct Testimony?			
9	A.	I am filing Supplemental Direct Testimony to correct an error in one of the adjustments			
10		proposed in my Direct Testimony and shown in Exhibit 5.7.			
11	Q.	Would you please identify the adjustment affected by this correction?			
12	A.	Yes. The adjustment impacted by this correction is the payroll annualization adjustment.			
13		Specifically, this correction reduces the amount of the incentive compensation expense in			
14		the payroll annualization adjustment, referenced at Lines 594 through 597 of my Direct			
15		Testimony, to the target level identified in Rocky Mountain Power witness Mr.			
16		McDougal's exhibits at Page 4.23.3.			
17	Q.	What is the correct amount of incentive compensation expense to include in the			
18		payroll annualization adjustment?			

- 19 A. The correct adjustment for incentive compensation expense is \$9,091,246 on a total company basis and \$3,679,735 in the Utah jurisdiction, net of capitalized payroll costs and including the impact of payroll taxes.
- 22 Q. Have you included a corrected DPU Exhibit 5.7 with your testimony?
- 23 A. Yes. DPU Exhibit 5.7 Corrected is attached to my testimony.
- Q. How does the corrected adjustment compare with the amount that was originally included in your Direct Testimony?
- A. The original adjustment was a decrease to the Utah jurisdictional expense of \$2,912,304.
- The following table compares the corrected adjustment to amounts originally included in my testimony.

	Correct Adjustment	Original Adjustment	Difference
Total Company Amount	(\$9,091,246)	(\$7,195,210)	(\$1,896,036)
Allocation	40.475588%	40.475588%	40.475588%
Utah Amount	(\$3,679,735)	(\$2,912,304)	(\$767,431)

- Q. Does this correction affect the testimony and exhibits of other witnesses for
- 31 **the Division of Public Utilities?**
- 32 A. No, this correction does not affect the testimony of other witnesses. The correct
- amount of incentive compensation expense was reflected in the testimony and
- exhibits of the other Division witnesses.
- 35 Q. Does this complete your testimony?
- 36 A. Yes it does.

29

30