1	Q.	Are you the same William R. Griffith who has previously testified in this		
2		proceeding?		
3	A.	Yes I am.		
4	Purpo	Purpose of Testimony		
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your third supplemental direct testimony?		
6	A.	The purpose of my third supplemental direct testimony is to update my direct and		
7		supplemental testimonies filed in this docket. My third supplemental direct testimony		
8		is filed in compliance with the Stipulation Regarding Revenue Requirement filed in		
9		this docket and approved by the Commission on April 21, 2009. In addition, my		
10		testimony supports the Stipulation in Cost of Service and Rate Spread (Stipulation)		
11		filed with the Commission on April 30, 2009. My third supplemental testimony		
12		reflects the price change of \$45.0 million ordered by the Commission in this docket.		
13	Q.	Please describe Exhibit RMP(WRG-1TS).		
14	A.	Exhibit RMP(WRG-1TS) details the Company's updated proposed changes to		
15		class revenues to be implemented in this case. On an overall basis, based on the		
16		forecast 12 month test period ending December 2009, these revisions produce a 3.34		
17		percent rate increase over present rates including the current 2.96 percent Schedule 97		
18		rider to tariff customers in Utah.		
19	Q.	Please describe the Company's updated proposal for the allocation of the		
20		revenue requirement.		
21	A.	As agreed to in the Stipulation, the Company proposes the following allocation of the		
22		\$45 million, or 3.34 percent, rate increase for the major customer classes.		
23				

24		Customer Class	Proposed Rate Change
25		Residential	2.32%
26		General Service	
27		Schedule 23	3.34%
28		Schedule 6	4.34%
29		Schedule 8	3.34%
30		Schedule 9	4.34%
31		Irrigation	3.34%
32	Q.	Please explain the proposed rate s	pread.
33	A.	The rate spread proposed in the Stip	ulation continues to be designed to reflect cost of
34		service results while balancing the in	mpact of the rate change across customer classes.
35		Present rates include the effect of Sc	chedule 97 as ordered in Docket No. 07-035-93.
36		For Schedules 6 and 9, an in-	crease equal to one percentage point above the
37		jurisdictional average is proposed.	The cost of service results indicate that each of
38		these rate schedules should receive a	an increase more than one percentage point above
39		the jurisdictional average.	
40		For residential customers, a	smaller increase is proposed, equal to
41		approximately one percentage point	below the jurisdictional average percentage
42		increase. The updated cost of service	ee results recommend an increase less than the
43		jurisdictional average for residential	customers.
44		For the other rate schedules,	the overall average increase to tariff customers
45		equal to 3.34 percent is proposed.	

46

47	Special Contract Customers			
48	Q.	Has the Company treated special contract customer price changes in this third		
49		supplemental direct testimony differently than in the Company's proposal in		
50		your second supplemental direct testimony?		
51	A.	No. For the December 2009 forecast test period ordered in this case, the rate changes		
52		that became effective in 2009 have been included in present revenues calculated for		
53		the period consistent with each special contract's terms. Because special contract		
54		rates are in some instances linked to tariff changes, some special contract rates will		
55		change on January 1 of each year. Those changes have been included in forecast		
56		present revenues presented in this filing.		
57	Rate	tate Design		
58	Q.	Please describe the Company's updated rate design proposals.		
59	A.	In this supplemental filing, the Company continues to support and propose the rate		
60		design changes contained in my direct testimony and modified in my second		
61		supplemental testimony.		
62	Revis	Revised Exhibits		
63	Residential Customer Charge Exhibit			
64	Q.	Please explain Exhibit RMP(WRG-2TS).		
65	A.	Exhibit RMP(WRG-2TS) replaces Exhibit RMP(WRG-3) filed in my direct		
66		testimony, RMP(WRG-2S) filed in my supplemental testimony, and Exhibit		
67		RMP(WRG-2SS) filed in my second supplemental testimony in their entirety.		
68		Exhibit RMP(WRG-2TS) contains a revised calculation of the Residential		
69		Customer Charge using the Commission's preferred methodology.		

70	Monthly Billing Comparisons		
71	Q.	Please explain Exhibit RMP(WRG-3TS).	
72	A.	Exhibit RMP(WRG-3TS) replaces Exhibit RMP(WRG-4) filed in my direct	
73		testimony, RMP(WRG-3S) filed in my supplemental testimony, and Exhibit	
74		RMP(WRG-3SS) filed in my second supplemental testimony in their entirety.	
75		Exhibit RMP(WRG-3TS) details the customer impacts of the Company's	
76		proposed pricing changes based on the revised revenue requirement. For each rate	
77		schedule, it shows the dollar and percentage change in monthly bills for various load	
78		and usage levels.	
79	Billir	illing Determinants	
80	Q.	Please explain Exhibit RMP(WRG-4TS).	
81	A.	Exhibit RMP(WRG-4TS) replaces in their entirety Exhibit RMP(WRG-5) and	
82		Exhibit RMP(WRG-6) filed in my direct testimony, Exhibit RMP(WRG-4S)	
83		and Exhibit RMP(WRG-5S) filed in my supplemental testimony, and Exhibit	
84		RMP(WRG-4SS) filed in my second supplemental testimony. Exhibit	
85		RMP(WRG-4TS) details the billing determinants used in preparing the pricing	
86		proposals in this case. It shows billing quantities and prices at present rates and	
87		proposed rates.	
88	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental testimony?	
89	A.	Yes.	

70