"Gloria Hansen"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 7:05 AM

Subject:

PacifiCorp Rate Increase

To: The Public Service Commission

Please do not approve the excessive rate increase requested by PacifiCorp. Increasing rates, even modestly, during this time will create even more hardship. Small business owners, homeowners, those who rent, most all businesses, schools and government agencies are facing very difficult times. Rate increases are unwarranted and do nothing to help stabilize our economy. In other consumer situations we can either do without or take our business to someone who offers a lower price. In the case of electricity or gas that is not an option. It is your job, and we the consumers and taxpayers, count on you to regulate the essential monopolies known as our utility companies. Please do not let big business interests and those politicians who favor them influence your decision when it is we, the people, that you have an obligation and responsibility to protect.

Thank you. Gloria H. Hansen

WIN MAR 30 A P

mike anderson

To:

<mli>inaston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 9:16 PM

Subject:

Rocky Mountain Power rate increase

To the Commission,

I feel that under the current economic condtions, ANY rate increase should be deined. People are fighting just to be able to afford to eat or pay mortgages. Any rate increase at this time could push some people over the top and into the streets.

This is not a good time for ANY utility to ask for a rate increase. A rate increase isn't a good way to help the economy get better, it will only make things worse. Rocky Mountain Power should be proactive in attempting to find ways to improve peoples lives and an increase isn't the way to do it. It is counter productive.

Rocky Mountain is trying to participate in an activity that has put our economy in the position it is in and that activity is GREED.

Please have compassion for the rate payers and deny this increase.

Thank you for allowing me this time.

Mike Anderson

1

"Harry Gibbons"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 9:01 PM

PLEASE HOLD OFF ON THE RATE INCREASE UNTIL SOMETHING IMPROVES OR AT LEAST STABLIZES.

THANK YOU!

Harry L. Gibbons, MD

INN MAR 30 A P



John G Watson

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 8:25 PM

Subject:

Rate increase...

Sirs:

As a new resident of Utah I find it hard to believe that this commission seems to be more interested in transferring wealth from your constituents to Pacificcorp than protecting the overall interest.

The fact of the matter is that you, the commission, cannot give PacifiCorp "enough". If you literally gave all that was asked you would be told, "We made a mistake. We asked for too little. We must have more." If you literally gave PacifiCorp every dime of wealth owned by the consumers within the year they would say, "What do you mean there is no more. We can't operate with out more."

They may need more. About \$25 mil should do it. Most of us are having to tighten our belts. We are not even getting what ever our "\$25 million" would be.

It would be nice for the commission to determine the net relative gain or loss of our wealth and give (or take) to or from PacifiCorp accordingly.

Sincerely,

John G Watson, D.C.

(Retirerd)

MW MAR 30 A



"James Baird"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 6:25 PM

Subject:

PacificCorp Rate Rquest

Sear Sirs,

We are absolutely against the rate request being asked for by PacificCorp at this time. They have received enough.

Jim and Betsy Baird

"William T. Barton"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 4:21 PM

Subject:

PacifiCorp Increase

Dear Public Service Commission:

I am very concerned about the case in which PacifiCorp is asking for a rate increase of \$45 million. I understand that the power company is probably running pretty tight at this time, but that is the situation with every family and every business that they serve. At a time when the economy and income is at its lowest ebb in many years, it is not wise to allow an increase to PacifiCorp or any of our regulated utilities.

Please consider the disastrous impact on all factors of our society an increase in energy rates would cause when making your decision on this case.

Sincerely, Bill Barton

"Dorothy Littrell"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 3:54 PM

Subject:

Comments for Public Witness Hearing March 31

To Public Service Commissioners:

I am very opposed to Pacific Corp being allowed a rate increase for an additional \$45 million of customer charges beginning in May. It appears that this will be \$16 million more than if the increase is properly litigated on behalf of Utah ratepayers.

We have already overpaid more than \$300 million for our electricity from April 2004 to August 2008.

Dorothy E. Littrell

Jim Briley

SERVICE COMMISSIO

No. where V is a special density in a contract of the contract

"JACKIE BROWN"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 1:32 PM

Subject:

utah power rates

## To Whom It May Concerm:

I am appalled that Utah Power is asking for another rate increase at all.
I am totally against any further increases for Utah Power without knowing exactly where the money is to be spent, how much money the top executives make and why they should have any increase with the economy the way it is.
Please forward my vote of no increase to the committee that is reviewing this request.

This bribery game that Utah Power is continuing to play is not something that the state should condone. Our legislature is also to blame for allowing this kind of poor behavior by a subsidized company and further our legislature should have no say as to any rate increases by Utah Power. The committee that reviews these requests should be removed from any interference from the above mentioned group of people.

Jackie Brown A concerned Utah citizen

7009 MAR 30 A S

"Ron Williams"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 1:13 PM

CC:

<ura@utahratepayers.org>

Division of Public Utilities

Committee of Consumer Service

This household is against ANY rate increase for PacifiCorp at this time. With the economy in its current position, I find it unbelievable that there is a rate request at all.

I would think that the need for power would be on the down side, due to the number of vacant homes, again related to the current economic status.

Do the job; that you were ask to do and look out for the well being of the individual rate payers.

You may also want to alert John Valentine that Senate Bill 214 has an odor to it that may carry over to the his next election.

Ron Williams

The state of the s

"George Smith"

To:

"M. Livingston" <mlivingston@utah.gov>, "Ric Campbell" <rcampbell@utah.g...

Date:

3/29/2009 1:12 PM

Subject:

RMP Rate Hike

Dear R. Allen, T. Boyer, R Campbell & M Livingston:

I find the possibility of an elecric utility rate increase for Utah's homeowners very disturbing and extremely painful at a time when every ratepayer is facing a daily budget crisis in their lives due to our national economic slow down. Attending the Public Witnes Hearing on 31 March 2009 to personally voice my concerns on this issue is not an option for me because I am a housebound, handicapped senior and am, therefore, unable to travel to and participate in such meetings.

Please know that, as a Utah ratepayer, I firmly object to a rate hike for Rocky Mountain Power customers, especially at this uncertain time in our community economy and in this instance without an open public disclosure of all supporting evidence to justify such action.

Confirmation of receipt of this email from each of the above addressees is respectfully requested.

Sincerely,

George H. Smith

7009 NAR 30 A 8

"Charles Iverson"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 11:10 AM

Subject:

PacificCorp rate increace is too much

I am retired, please not another rate or tax increase. Charles Iverson

Benjamin Kim MD

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 10:58 AM

Subject:

PacifiCorp Rate increase

Dear Members of the Public Service Commission,

I implore you to fulfill your fiduciary obligations to the taxpayers of Utah by denying the 3.34% rate increase to Pacificorp. It is unconscionable, especially in the current economic recession, to grant a rate increase reached in negotiations behind closed doors that will create additional economic burdens for the taxpayers of Utah.

I thank you in advance and trust you will exercise both ethically and economically prudent decision to deny or reduce the rate increase.

Benjamin Kim, MD FACS Director Utah Cancer Institute, LLC

INNO MAR 30 A RELE

orson beesley

To:

<mli>mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 10:20 AM

Subject:

rate increase

we do not need another rate increase, nor can we afford to pay for one. I am retired, on a set budget, the people of utah should not let this happen, orson.

Express your personality in color! Preview and select themes for Hotmail®. http://www.windowslive-hotmail.com/LearnMore/personalize.aspx?ocid=TXT\_MSGTX\_WL\_HM\_express\_032009#colortheme

)989 MAR 30 A 8 10

jinny snow ·

To:

<mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 9:41 AM

Gentlemen: I am strongly against this rate increase unless Pacificorp can prove that it is necessary for good service. Why IS IT NECESSARY?

JINNY LEE SNOW

Windows Live™ SkyDrive: Get 25 GB of free online storage. http://windowslive.com/online/skydrive?ocid=TXT\_TAGLM\_WL\_skydrive\_032009

"Keith"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 8:05 AM

Subject:

Rate Hike

To Whom it May Concern,

I read about the rate hike in the Deseret News and am appalled that Sen. Valentine forces secret meetings as a elected official.

Please don't raise our rates in this time of general turmoil in the economy. Please, Please, Please. Sincerely.

Keith Horton

Jacob Matthews

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 8:02 AM

Subject:

NO to rate increase

The one simple piece of evidence that shows that Pacificorp deserves NO RATE INCREASE is that over the years Pacifiorp has had millions to donate to charities. Don't get me wrong I personally donate charitable contributions worth up to several thousands of dollars every year. But I say it rubs me the wrong way when the power company charges me to use electricity and then apperantly has enough profit margin built in to contribute several million dollars to whatever charity or political agenda that THEY WISH TO SUPPORT. If I wanted to support the same charities or political agendas as the power company then I WOULD CHOOSE to donate that money of my own free will and choice. Right now I feel they show they have too much profit margin built into the rates ( as evidenced by thier ability to give away millions each year) and as such they deserve no rate increase. If anything the power company deserves a rate decrease.

-Jacob Matthews

"They who can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety." - Benjamin Franklin

<twoutahutes

To:

<mli>ingston@Utah.gov>

Date:

3/29/2009 7:32 AM

Subject:

Utilty rate increase are far higher than is justified!

Regulators are poised to give the PacifiCorp a? \$45 million rate increase.? "This may be \$16 million too much." according to The Utah Ratepayers Association, "of ratepayers' money."

On March 17, PacifiCorp, the Division of Public Utilities, and the Committee of Consumer Services started discussing an agreement behind closed door and, on March 23, they asked the Public Service Commission to approve it.? The Commission promptly schedule a two and a half hour hearing for March 31.? Its idea of notifying 80,000 households and businesses that will be affected was to send an email to 122 people, several of them regulators, post a copy on its Web site, and pin another to its bulletin board. The company's revenues from its Utah ratepayers are roughly \$1.5 billion a year.? Over the past ten years, it has asked for rate increases, totaling \$910 million, seven times.? If the Public Service Commission approves this \$45 million deal, by May rates will have gone up \$373 million in nine years.? PacifiCorp routinely asks for rates that are far higher than it can justify, given the reasonable expenses and a fair return on its infrastructure investments.

When regulators have closely scrutinized and highly litigated its requests for rate hikes, PacifiCorp has netted approximately 26 percents of what it first asked for.? After secret negotiation behind closed doors, and rubber-stamp deals in precipitately-planned and poorly publicized hearings, the outcomes are roughly twice as much, around 54 percent.?

The company's strategy is clear.? PacifiCorp asks for four times as much as it can substantiate, lures regulators into closed-door settlement negotiations, persuades them to agree to half what it asked for (still double what is warranted), and laughs all the way to the bank.

It did that successfully in 2004, 2005, and 2006, winning 5.4 percent of the \$430 million it asked for in these three cases.?

Unsurprisingly, PacifiCorp doesn't like what happens when regulators do the job ratepayers pay them to do, so it routinely turns to friendly legislators to tip the playing field in its favor.? House Bill 32 in 200 attempted to legislate for regulators to settle rate cases and keep the details of the negotiations secret.? That bill was repealed a year later after public outcry, but Senate Bill 61, sponsored in 2003 by John Valentine, reinforced the same provisions.

This year, also sponsored by Valentine, Senate Bill 214 sent regulators another message about how PacifiCorp resorts to political pressure when it can't prove its case.

Ratepayers, starting in May, could realize \$16 million a year extra.? I OBJECT!? My salary increases are not able to keep up with these unsettling rate increases.

INTERPORT

"Goodwin, Roy CTR USAF AFMC 500 CBSS/GBLB"

To:

<tboyer@utah.gov>, <rcampbell@utah.gov>, <rallen@utah.gov>, <mlivingston...</p>

Date:

3/30/2009 9:04 AM

Subject:

Rocky Mountain Power rate increase -- not justified

I am STRONGLY opposed to granting PacifiCorp (Rocky Mountain Power) a rate increase based on "negotiations" behind closed doors. The minutes of the "negotiations" should be widely published in news papers in addition to burying it on the Public Service Commission website.

The fact that the "negotiations" take place behind closed doors, the Public Witness Hearing is not widely publicized and then the starting time is changed at the last minute, could be interpreted as the Public Service Commission wanting to take the "Public" out of everything.

Roy Goodwin (CSC contractor)

Opinions stated are obviously mine alone as no one else would claim them

"We seem to be faced with an insurmountable opportunity"

Pogo

Loma McKinnon

To:

<mli>ivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 8:55 AM

Subject:

rate hikes

I can't imagine that anyone would want to raise the rates of any utility right now. We are all hurting and trying to conserve to keep our utilities low as well as all the other things me must pay out for. Please have consideration for these difficult times and forego rate increases. Sincerely,Loma Lee McKinnon

Total areas

THE WAR 30 A POST

"Randy K. Foulger"

To:

<mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 8:53 AM

Subject:

Therwise

To Whom it may concern,

I am writing to express my gratitude for the Therwise program. We have noticed a 2-3 degree difference in our thermostat setting since having additional insulation installed. This is awesome for us as we will be using less energy, but we are also excited for the effect it can have in conserving energy as a whole. My concern is that a reduction in the rebate amount will not allow others to receive the same benefit at a time when things are tight and when we truly must find ways to conserve energy resources to protect our environment. Please reconsider and make this available to more people.

Sincerely, Randy K. Foulger

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.



Ann Johnson

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 8:44 AM

Subject:

PacifiCorp rate increase

To Whom It May Concern:

I hope you will refuse the rate increase which PacifiCorp has requested. PacifiCorp should be able to justify any rate increase by demonstrating their expenses have risen by the amount requested. Negotiations for the increase should be made public.

With a severe economic recession occuring, utility users cannot afford to subsidize unrealistic profits or huge salaries for PacifiCorp. It is the job of the Public Seervice Commission to protect the public interest. A large rate increase in utility rates will negatively affect Utah businesses as well as home owners.

Sincerely,

Ann Johnson

<evamae.cook

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 8:34 AM

Subject:

PacifiCorp rate increase???

How can you justify a rate increase at this time? We, along with thousands more are out of work and are struggling to make ends meet. PLEASE do not increase our burdon.

Robert & EvaMae Cook

MAR 30 A ID: ()

"laura thackeray"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 8:22 AM

Subject:

Please do not increase our rates

Please do not increase our power rates. With unemployment at all time high, it is very difficult even to buy food. Please! Laura Thackeray

"Jackie Breslawski"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 9:50 AM

Subject:

Proposed PacifiCorp utilities rate increase

I am against the full rate increase request that PacifiCorp is requesting for 2009 (\$45 million). While I do understand that rates need to be raised on a regular basis due to the cost of doing business I feel this hike is in excess, especially when so many people are facing economic hardship. As we have all had to do recently, I would respectfully ask you to go back to your budget and see where cost cutting measures can be implemented so that we may all benefit from a fair dollar amount increase.

Thank you for Your Consideration,

Jacqueline Breslawski

CENTROLL OF THE PROPERTY OF TH



"Hoenike, Gary L Civ USAF AFMC 418 SCMS/GULAAA"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 9:49 AM

Subject:

Rate Hike

I am opposed to the rate hike hearing scheduled for Tuesday, 31 March 2009.

Gary Hoenike

7009 MAR 30 A IP:



Scott Sartor

To:

"mlivingston@utah.gov" <mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 10:11 AM

Subject:

PacifiCorp Rate Hike

Greetings, I am writing to let you know I oppose the new rare hike proposed by PacifiCorp. I am a single Dad with a budget that is tight. This corporation needs to keep on a tight budget as well. Thank You for Your Service, Scott Sartor

Dave Jenkins

To:

"mlivingston@utah.gov" <mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 10:30 AM

Subject:

Pacificorp's Request for Rate Increase

Mr. Livingston,

Utah households can ill afford a rate increase in their power bills at this time. We have enough burdens, including many people losing jobs and homes. Please DO NOT grant this increase.

Thank you,

Dave Jenkins

"Wilford McAllister"

To:

<mli>mlivingston@utah.gov> 3/30/2009 10:52 AM

Date: Subject:

PacifCorp

This is a bad time for a rate increase. People have lost their employment, and an increased population, are going to the Food Bank for meals. If they absolutely need a rate increase, why can't they wait until the economy picks up? An increase in utility rates may just put a few more people out on the streets right now. That is what we don't need. Foreclosures are up, and now PacifCorp wants to up their rates to push more families into Foreclosure.

W. McAllister

"Andrea Orvin"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 10:49 AM

Subject:

Tax Raises

To Whom It May Concern:

This email is in regards to the possibility of an increase in utilities. I am very opposed to this, and would like to voice my opinion. I have a single family household income and have to budget for my utilities accordingly. To possibly raise them would be a significant detriment in my financial situation. So again, I am opposed to this and would like you to reconsider.

Thank you for your time and I hope this email helps in the decision making process.

Sincerely,

Andrea Orvin

SERVICE COMMISSION

This email is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by replying to sender...

"Dennis Sawyer"

To:

<mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 11:05 AM

Subject:

PacifiCorp rate increase

## Gentlemen

In this economy we can not afford another increase in anything. Rate increase or taxes. Please do not allow it.

Thank you

Dennis & Shirley Sawyer

Susan Cluff

To:

<mli>mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 11:08 AM

Subject:

PacifiCorp Rate Increase

With current economic challenges, I would request that you reconsider the request for a rate increase at this time. Many people are struggling at this time just to put meals on the table and keep a roof over their heads much less handle higher electric bills. Please, please look at all the aspects of the economy and consider how this will affect families and fixed income individuals. Many have lost jobs or are barely making ends meet and this could be the last straw for many families.

Thank you for taking the time to read my message.

Susan Cluff

"There are two ways of spreading light, to be the candle or the mirror that reflects it."



"Mark & Donna Heyrend"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 11:00 AM

Subject:

Power Rate Increase

This is protest against PacifiCorp rate increase. Enough of this juggling/maneuvering of issues, creating scenario of tail (Pacificorp) wagging the dog (PSC). mlheyrend



kathleen svendsen

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 11:19 AM

Subject:

Rate Hikes

Dear Ms Livingston,

I am writing to ask that the PacifiCorp/Rocky Mountain Power (with the accent on POWER) jackals not be given more than a 26 percent increase; anything more is unjustifiable, especially now when so many people are having financial difficulties and would find it a burden to pay higher energy rates.

I am appalled by the way PC/RMP has its way with residential neighborhoods: 800 South (so the U of U can build their little "village", and more), the ugly trios of poles on Sunnyside Avenue and the monstrosity planned for 1100 East. They hold all the cards and they know it. If residents don't like the ugliness that ensues from their so-called improvements, they are, of course, welcome to choose another way, but they'll have to pay outrageous sums that RMP knows they'll never be able to produce. To add insult to injury, if PC/RMP doesn't get its way, they issue warnings and threats.

The only recourse I know of is the Public Service Commission (neighborhood councils are powerless, the SLC council hasn't seemed to be helpful, and any action the Committee of Public Services has taken is unknown), so I'm asking, now that the secret meetings are over, that the PSC decision be made on the side of the consumer. PacifiCorp's shareholders need to accept a share of a slowing economy just as we taxpayers have been forced to do - no cozy meetings for us, were there?

Sincerely,

Kathleen Svendsen

TOU CD).

misty amendola

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 1:33 PM

Subject:

We the people of saratoga springs

Hi We are Misty and Roland Amendola Of saratoga spring utah I have about 50 friends that are stressed out about the potential increase in pacific corp or any utilities at this time. They would have to be insane to even think of a increase at this time of economic stress about 40% of people i know are out of work now or they will be shortly out of work including my husband Roland who workd for the Ut National gaurd full time employment of 18 years is being laid off because of the budget so what are they thinking? If any thing they should take a 40% pay cut lake the most of us are at this terrible time of distress. please help us all keep are utilities a a affordable price. People need to be treated fair at such a hard time, thanks Misty Amendola

Hotmail® is up to 70% faster. Now good news travels really fast. http://windowslive.com/online/hotmail?ocid=TXT\_TAGLM\_WL\_HM\_70faster\_032009

CQ.

Ethelynn Higbee

To:

<mli>mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 12:32 PM

My husband, J. Marvin Higbee, and I, Ethelynn G. Higbee vote against the rate increase for power.

Molly Girl

To:

<mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 12:13 PM

Subject:

utility rates

DEAR SIR(S)

I STRONGLY OBJECT TO THE WAY THE SETTING OF PUBLIC UTILITY RATES ARE SET WHICH APPEAR TO BE WITHOUT PUBLIC INTERACTION BEHIND CLOSED DOORS!

TOMORROW I READ IN MR BALL'S EDITORIAL RATES WILL GO UP 54% WHEN 26% WOULD BE MUCH MORE EQUITABLE. PLEASE STOP THIS TRAVESTY AND RAISE RATES MODERATELY.

A CONCERNED CITIZEN LARRY SCHLEININGER

7009 MAR 30 P 33

CJ.

"James Francis"

To:

<mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 12:07 PM

Subject:

rate increase

I am opposed to any rate increase

jfrancis

Constants 4 ( ) 30

"tippetts"

To:

<mli>ivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 12:00 PM

Subject:

Rate Hike

I strongly disapprove of a rate hike for PacifiCorp. Our rates went up just last summer. They were devious enough to start the hike in the summer when our heating bills were low. But it was most apparent when the cold weather set in. Is this another "make the CEO's rich" scheme?

Thanks, Ron Tippetts

ANN MAR 30 P 23

COMMISSION

Denise Madsen

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 5:29 PM

I am not in favor of this rate increase & want it voted down; Denise Madsen

JIMIN NAM 30 P by the

EllA Baxter

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 4:02 PM

Subject:

Proposed PacifiCorp Rate Hike

To Whom It May Concern:

I have just read an E-Mail, informing me that tomorrow there will be a meeting of the Public Service Commission to consider a sizeable rate hike for PacifiCorp on our utilities.

PLEASE. THIS is NOT the time to do such a thing. This borders on immoral, if not criminal.

Countless people are struggling; many have already lost their jobs. Neighborhood break-ins are again on the rise (two homes that we know of in our neighborhood were "hit" last week); gang violence is up, because money is tight, and "getting by" for some is almost a thing of the past.

We just had a "Scouting for FOOD Drive" this past weekend. We were encouraged to be generous, as the need for food is now greater than ever before.

Raising utility rates for people who can't even buy food or pay the current rates would only add insult to injury, further burdening those who are already suffering? How can a rate hike NOW possibly be justified? PLEASE DO NOT approve this request until it really makes sense.

I wish that I could attend tomorrow's meeting, but I am taking a disabled friend to the doctor tomorrow afternoon. So, please let this E-Mail be my voice.

Sincerely,

EllA Duke-Baxter

"hans roelofs"

To:

<mli>ingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 2:16 PM

Subject:

Re: Pacific Corp. rate icrease.

Rate payers commission members:

Please do not give in to Pacific Corporation's exuberant rate increase request and stand firm in granting a minimum increase in these difficult times for consumers to pay their utility bills.

Regards,

Hans Roelofs,

UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

"THOMAS O BREITLING"

To:

<mlivingston@utah.gov>

Date:

3/30/2009 1:56 PM

Subject:

Pacificorp Rate Increase

The Pacificorp rate increase is unjustified. From the time of the merger, Pacificorp has just been a giant vacuum cleaner sucking unjustified funds out of Utah power customers.

Roger Ball has the correct figures and as he points out the excess money that utility asks for is not to benefit customers, but to benefit inept management. My wife and I were sdtockholders in Utah Power and Light Company and later in Pacificorp until the totally inept Board put in Frederick W. Buckman and allowed him to do unbelievable damage to the company. We sold out our stock the day after the annual meeting after Buckman was parachuted out and geve the proceeds to our 5 children.

As a regulated untility power company this merged company is a failure at providing service and a great success at enriching management. No one could monitor GM and Chrysler so they are now in purgatory, but Utah Public Service Commission can regulate and control the Utah Power part of Pacificorp. Pacificorp gave up redundancy and skilled high voltage maintenance crews when Buckman came on board and made the "bottom line" beautiful.

Please do the public service of Utah customers as well as the advocates of this unjustified rate increase.

Thomas O. Breitling

UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION