# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	Docket No.
The Application of Milford	)	08-2490-01
Wind Corridor Phase I, LLC,	)	
and Milford Wind Corridor	)	
Phase II, LLC, for Certificates	)	Administrative
of Convenience and Necessity for	)	Law Judge:
the Milford Phase I and Phase II	)	Ruben Arredondo
Wind Power Projects	)	
Wind Corridor Phase I, LLC, and Milford Wind Corridor Phase II, LLC, for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity for the Milford Phase I and Phase II		08-2490-01 Administrative Law Judge:

## HEARING

TAKEN AT:	Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South, Suite 451 Salt Lake City, Utah
DATE:	September 29, 2008
TIME:	9:10 a.m.
REPORTED BY:	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

(September 29, 2008 - Milford Wind Corridor) 1 APPEARANCES For Milford Wind Corridor Phase I and Phase II: 2 3 WILLIAM J. EVANS, ESQ. PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER 4 One Utah Center 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 5 (801) 532-1234 б (801) 536-6111 (fax) 7 For Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems: 8 MATTHEW F. McNULTY, III, ESQ. VAN COTT, BAGLEY, CORNWALL & McCARTHY 9 36 South State Street, Suite 1900 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 10 (801) 532-3333 (801) 237-0840 (fax) 11 For the Division of Public Utilities: 12 MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor 14 Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857 15 (801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax) 16 -000-17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1		WITNESSES	
2	KRISTA KISCH	I	Page
3	Direct by Mr	c. Evans	28
4	LARRY L. HEN	IDRICKSON	
5	Direct by Mr	c. Evans	36
6	JONI ZENGER		
7	Direct by Mr Cross by Mr.		42 48
8	crobb by mr.	-000-	10
9		EXHIBITS	
10			
11	No.	Description	Page
12	MWC 1.0SR	Paul Gaynor testimony	24
13	MWC 1.1SR to 1.6SR	Paul Gaynor exhibits	24
14	MWC 2.0	Krista Kisch testimony	29
15	MWC 2.1 to 2.8	Krista Kisch exhibits	29
16	MWC 3.0	Larry Hendrickson testimony	38
17	MWC 3.1SR	Larry Hendrickson exhibits	38
18	DPU 1	Joni Zenger testimony	44
19 20	DPU 1.1	Joni Zenger exhibits	44
		-000-	
21	(The pr	revious exhibits and related testim	ony
22		refiled and are part of the PSC rec and filed at the Commission.)	
23		-000-	
24	ATTACHMENTS:	Stipulation	
25		-000-	2

SEPTEMBER 29, 2008 1 9:10 A.M. PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: This is the Public Service 4 Commission hearing regarding the Application of 5 Milford Wind Corridor Phase I, LLC, and Milford Wind Corridor Phase II, LLC, for Certificates of 6 7 Convenience and Necessity for the Milford Phase I and 8 Phase II Wind Power Projects. Public Service 9 Commission Docket No. 08-2488-01. 10 And I'm Ruben Arredondo, the Administrative Law Judge, and I've been assigned by the Commission to 11 12 hear this matter. And why don't we go ahead and take 13 appearances, please. MR. EVANS: I'm William Evans of Parsons, 14 15 Behle & Latimer, here on behalf of Milford Wind Corridor Phase I, LLC, and Milford Wind Corridor 16 17 Phase II, LLC. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Evans. 19 MR. McNULTY: My name is Matthew McNulty of the law firm VanCott Bagley. I am here on behalf of 20 21 the Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems, or UAMPS, 22 as we shortened the name to. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, 23 24 Mr. McNulty. 25 MR. GINSBERG: Michael Ginsberg, appearing

for the Utah Division of Public Utilities. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ginsberg. 2 3 Anybody else? All right. 4 Mr. Evans, then you want to go ahead and let 5 us -- just give me an update of what's been going on? б MR. EVANS: Yes. We've been having 7 discussions about a stipulation we have worked over the last couple of days to put something together. 8 9 And it's been distributed to the parties. 10 We thought that it might be useful this 11 morning for us to spend another hour or so, whatever, between now and the time public witnesses are gonna 12 appear to see if we could polish that up and get it 13 14 prepared to sign. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. EVANS: We, we are prepared to have a hearing this afternoon if we're not able to achieve 17 18 the stipulation. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. EVANS: But we would expect to let your 21 Honor know that in advance of this afternoon. 22 THE COURT: All right. So then my 23 understanding -- is that correct, Mr. McNulty? Is 24 that your understanding. 25 MR. McNULTY: That is absolutely correct,

1 your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ginsberg? 2 MR. GINSBERG: Well, I wasn't real clear that 3 4 there was gonna be a hearing this afternoon. I 5 thought the hearing would be scheduled for next week. б But -- so that --7 THE COURT: My understanding is there was 8 gonna be a hearing next Monday. 9 MR. GINSBERG: Yes. 10 MR. EVANS: Well --THE COURT: Did you want it this afternoon? 11 12 MR. EVANS: We scheduled a hearing next Monday in hopes that we would reach a stipulation, and 13 14 we'd be able to present the stipulation then and put 15 on testimony in support of it next Monday. 16 THE COURT: Okay. MR. EVANS: I guess we're still discussing 17 whether or not we would all agree to go to hearing 18 19 this afternoon. My comment a minute ago was just 20 meant to say that Milford Wind is prepared to go 21 forward. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right then. So do you 23 want to just take the time now and -- I think we have the public witness portion at 11:30. And then we'll 24 25 come back on at 11:30.

б

1 MR. GINSBERG: Maybe we could come back a little earlier than 11:30 --2 3 THE COURT: Sure, that's fine. 4 MR. GINSBERG: -- and report to you where we 5 are. And hopefully there will be a -- it will be б final by then. 7 THE COURT: Okay. What time? 8 MR. EVANS: 11:15? 9 MR. GINSBERG: That would be fine. THE COURT: Okay. So 11:15. And then we'll 10 just -- I'll just take an update at that time. 11 12 MR. EVANS: All right. THE COURT: All right, thank you. 13 14 (A recess was taken from 9:13 to 11:30 a.m.) 15 THE COURT: Again, this is in the matter of the Application of Milford Wind Corridor Phase I, LLC, 16 17 and Milford Wind Corridor Phase II, LLC, for 18 Certificates of Convenience and Necessity for the 19 Milford Phase I and Phase II Wind Power Projects. Public Service Commission Docket No. 08-2488-01. 20 I'm Ruben Arredondo, the Administrative Law 21 22 Judge for the Commission. I've been assigned to hear 23 this matter. So have you reached a stipulation, 24 Mr. Evans? Do you need more time? 25 MR. EVANS: I think we have. May I though,

1 your Honor, correct a reading of the docket number? THE COURT: Sure. 2 3 MR. EVANS: I think this is 4 Docket No. 08-2490-01. 5 THE COURT: I'm sorry, you're right. Yeah, б that's right. 7 MR. EVANS: For the record. We, we have been 8 working on a stipulation. And we will be prepared to 9 present a stipu -- a written stipulation later in the 10 day. It's in near final form. THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. EVANS: And the discussion among the 12 parties has been that we would like to reconvene at 13 14 1:00 to go over the final form. Put our signatures on 15 it. And then reconvene this hearing at 1:30 to 16 present the stipulation and present testimony in 17 support of it. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I think that's fine. 19 Right now the time's set for public witness portion of the -- this matter, so we'll go from 11:30 to 12:30. 20 21 And then with my -- I think what we'll do is at 12:30 22 we'll take a short recess from 12:30 to 1. That's so 23 the court report can just have a chance to take a 24 lunch that she needs. 25 We'll come back at one. And then you'll

1 just -- my understanding you said read in the portion 2 of stipulation you want to go over. Finalize any --3 MR. EVANS: Well, if we -- we might need just 4 a little more time than that. If we go until 12:30 5 now, I would suggest we break until 1:30. THE COURT: Okay. б 7 MR. EVANS: To give us a chance to do the 8 final draft of the written stipulation. And at that 9 time we'd be able to present a written stipulation. 10 Is that the Division's understanding? MR. GINSBERG: That would be fine. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. MR. GINSBERG: And I think that, you know, 13 14 maybe we should try and get it to you as soon as we 15 can get one signed, before we actually go on the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. GINSBERG: So everyone has had a chance 19 to look at it. THE COURT: Okay. All right then. My 20 21 understanding then is, is we do have some public 22 witnesses; is that correct? 23 MR. GINSBERG: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Four public witnesses? 25 MR. GINSBERG: We sent around a list, and I

```
1
    believe there are five individuals who wish to provide
 2
     either testimony or comments to the Commission.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. So I have Andy Swapp; is
 4
     that right?
 5
             MR. SWAPP: Yes.
 6
             THE COURT: Mr. Swapp, okay. Donald Willden?
 7
             MR. WILLDEN: Yes.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. And Jim Webb?
 9
             MR. WEBB: Yes.
             THE COURT: Okay. And then Bryan Harris?
10
    All right. And who's the fifth one?
11
12
             MR. GINSBERG: Maybe there wasn't a fifth. I
13
     thought someone told me there was one more, but.
14
             THE COURT: Okay.
15
             MR. GINSBERG: I guess that's it.
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             MR. GINSBERG: Each one of these, when people
17
18
     are giving public testimony to the Commission they can
19
     either do it under oath, and then the Commission can
20
     use their testimony in making findings of fact. Or
21
     they can do it just by providing comments to the
22
     Commission, and they will -- those comments will be on
23
     the Commission's record but the Commission will not be
24
     able to use them to make findings of fact.
25
             If you provide your testimony under oath then
```

```
1
     you could be asked questions by either myself, or
 2
    Mr. Evans, or other parties to the proceeding. So
 3
     what I'll do is as each individual -- who is the first
 4
    person?
 5
             THE COURT: Mr. Swapp.
 б
             MR. GINSBERG: Okay. Could you state your
 7
     name for the record and spell it, please?
 8
             MR. SWAPP: My name is Andrew Swapp. Just
 9
     spell the last name, or?
10
             MR. GINSBERG: Yes.
11
             MR. SWAPP: S-w-a-p-p.
12
             MR. GINSBERG: And do you wish to give your
     testimony under oath or just provide comments to the
13
14
     Commission?
15
             MR. SWAPP: I believe I would just like to
     provide comments.
16
17
             MR. GINSBERG: That's fine. And are you here
     representing any organization, or just providing
18
19
     comments for yourself?
             MR. SWAPP: I'm representing Milford High
20
     School and Beaver County School District.
21
22
             MR. GINSBERG: Okay. Can you go ahead and
23
     provide your comments?
24
             MR. SWAPP: Yes, I can. Your Honor, this is
25
     totally a learning experience for me.
```

THE COURT: That's fine.

1

25

2 MR. SWAPP: Never witnessed anything like 3 this. But in 2001 we applied for an anemometer from 4 the State, a met tower. We took a class of eighth 5 graders out and stood this anemometer up on my farm at 6 a field trip.

7 Within three days I had three commercial 8 developers pounding on my door, wondering what we were 9 doing. From that, I met Curtis Whitaker. Had a great 10 relationship with him. Brought in UPC, now First 11 Wind. We have had a fantastic relationship with this 12 company.

13 They purchased a 50-meter met tower. We took 14 high school students out, stood the tower up, and 15 became somewhat of a data collection center for this 16 project. So I have students that have been with me 17 since 2001 that have actually been a part of this 18 project.

We have briefed the County Commission, the City Fathers, and all kinds of people since then. We've started the State's only renewable energy class dedicated to renewable energy. And the motivation that has come from this project is phenomenal. We've had kids that are on a track to drop

out of high school get involved with this renewable

1 energy, and the wind project in particular, that 2 stayed and excelled and graduated from high school. 3 It's been a, a phenomenal experience for me as a 4 teacher, for our students, and the community to be 5 involved with, with such a thing. б The prospect of my high school seniors 7 graduating and going to work with a decent job is, is 8 something that I really look forward to. As a 9 technology and engineering teacher part of my job is 10 to teach these kids how to go to work and be 11 responsible. 12 And this is a bright light in their future. 13 No pun intended. 14 THE COURT: Uh-huh (affirmative.) 15 MR. SWAPP: But this is something that, that we've all looked forward to for a number of years, and 16 17 all had a hand in working on. So. I think that's 18 about all of my comments. But it's all been positive. 19 And it's been good for the community. And good for 20 the kids. And really motivating, so. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you'd like 21 22 to add, Mr. Swapp? No? MR. SWAPP: Other than, other than First Wind 23 24 has been phenomenal to work for/work with. They've 25 been great to our school. That's, that's about it.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your comments. Mr. Willden? 2 3 MR. WILLDEN: Yes, your Honor. 4 MR. GINSBERG: Would you -- do you wish to 5 make your comments as testimony or just provide б comments? 7 MR. WILLDEN: Just comments, please. 8 THE COURT: Okay then. 9 MR. GINSBERG: Can you state your name for 10 the record? MR. WILLDEN: Robert Willden. The last name 11 12 is spelled W-i-l-l-d-e-n. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. GINSBERG: And are you here 15 representing --MR. WILLDEN: I represent Beaver County. I'm 16 17 the commission chair of Beaver County. 18 MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. 19 THE COURT: Just so the other public witnesses know, Mr. Ginsberg is just gonna ask you a 20 21 few foundational questions. Okay? 22 MR. WILLDEN: Okay. 23 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. 24 MR. WILLDEN: You know, being a long-time 25 resident of Beaver County I, I've seen the winds come

1 and go many times. And we, you know, we can talk 2 about the Alunite, we can talk about MX, we can talk 3 about the space shuttle, the Mount Holly Club. And 4 they have left us high and dry. 5 And this is a great opportunity right now for б Beaver County. We feel like that we have somebody 7 there that is interested. Put the time, the effort. 8 The working relationship has been fantastic with them. 9 And, and it's just become a part of our community. 10 Especially in Milford and throughout Beaver 11 County. It's something that's been going on for 12 years. You know, news articles, TV. These kinds of 13 things. It's been a great bonding component for us 14 in, in the county. As far as the county, I think the 15 economics is, is something -- we have been fairly 16 stagnant for years. And I think this is an opportunity where we 17 now have an opportunity to provide a service 18 19 throughout the western part of the country. And, you 20 know, Beaver County has always been in service. We've 21 had the railroad. You know, and it's a kind of a 22 tourism attraction.

And I think this is another time where, you
know, it's so important -- some of the big things we
look at financially, economics. We look at the, also

1 the alternative energy. The energy crisis. I think 2 those are the two major things we have in our, our 3 country right today that we need to, to really look 4 at.

5 And I think it's a great honor for Beaver 6 County to be a part of that to where we could be --7 help in that independence on the energy. And that's 8 just, you know, that's a small part of it, but it 9 brings the economics into our community. Something 10 that we haven't had.

We haven't had a good tax base. We're building a new hospital. Our schools -- I was an educator for 32 years, and we struggled financially. And I think this would help us a lot with a good tax base.

You know, and I think it's really important that we, we've been -- as an educator we always felt like that we, as a producer, we was raising quality young men, young women, and they were leaving our area because we had no jobs for them.

21 And if you go into some of your medical 22 professions in Southern "U" up there in Salt Lake, 23 there are people from Rural Utah there that's running 24 those jobs because they've had to migrate out. Now is 25 an opportunity for us in Beaver County to keep those

kids employed there, and then we can start a growth

1

2 trend pattern. 3 We just have a lot. I think one thing that I 4 think -- really I think is important to this is 5 through all the hearings, all the meetings we have, б all the discussion, I have yet to find a person 7 negative. Not supporting this. And I think that speaks highly for, you know, what First Wind has done. 8 9 They've been out. They've met with the 10 people. They've kept them informed. And a good communication line. And I think that they would be a 11 12 great partner for the people of Beaver County. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you Mr. Willden. 13 Mr. Webb? 14 15 MR. WEBB: Yes. MR. GINSBERG: Mr. Webb, do you wish to give 16 your testimony under oath or just provide comments? 17 18 MR. WEBB: I can just do comments. 19 MR. GINSBERG: Okay. 20 MR. WEBB: Can you hear me? MR. GINSBERG: Can you state your name and 21 22 spell your last name, please? MR. WEBB: Yeah. My legal name is James 23 24 William Webb. 25 MR. GINSBERG: Okay.

1 MR. WEBB: And it's W-e-b-b. 2 MR. GINSBERG: And are you here providing 3 your comments on behalf somebody? 4 MR. WEBB: Yes. I, I work for the Circle 4 5 Farms. б MR. GINSBERG: Okay. 7 MR. WEBB: In Southern Utah. 8 MR. GINSBERG: Could you go ahead and provide 9 your comments? 10 MR. WEBB: Sure. Just some background information on Circle 4. We are one of the largest 11 12 employers in Beaver County. We are about -- we own about 32,000 acres in the Milford Valley. And we're 13 14 about 12 percent of the agricultural income of the 15 State of Utah. 16 You know, we started working on this project back -- it was probably pretty close to when Andy did. 17 18 About 2000/2001, with Curtis Whitaker, and doing 19 anemometers. And, and then Curtis brought in First 20 Wind. And, and they've been great to work with. I believe that we are the largest private 21 22 landowner in Phase I and Phase II of the project. And 23 that we're an anchor tenant of the project. And I 24 think that, you know, we, we've looked for uses of 25 that land that would complement our operation.

1	We, we raise hogs. And we're able to put
2	barns as well as these windmills on our on the same
3	property. And so that's something that we've been
4	looking forward to do. It complemented us very well.
5	And it, and it really doesn't conflict with the other
6	uses of the land that we have.
7	We're excited about being involved in
8	renewable projects. We're involved in wind, as well
9	as geothermal, as well as bioenergy. And that and
10	we're excited to be part of this project. We're also
11	excited that the project doesn't impact the
12	environment. Doesn't produce air quality concerns
13	or and it's very environmentally friendly.
14	We're also excited that it will bring jobs to
15	the area and additional services. We feel like, you
16	know, any business for Beaver County is good for us
17	because it brings in more people. It brings in more
18	services to us. And that we can use farm employees.
19	So we're very much in support of the project.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Webb?
21	MR. WEBB: No, that's it.
22	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
23	Mr. Harris?
24	MR. HARRIS: Yes.
25	MR. GINSBERG: Mr. Harris, do you wish to

1 provide just comments, or testimony? 2 MR. HARRIS: I think comments is appropriate. 3 MR. GINSBERG: And can you, as the others, 4 just state your name for the record. And let us know 5 if you're here representing a, an organization or just providing comments on your own? 6 7 MR. HARRIS: My name is Bryan Harris. 8 B-r-y-a-n, H-a-r-r-i-s. And I am here representing 9 Beaver County also. I am the Beaver County Administrator. I work for Commissioner Willden. I 10 have worked with the economic development of Beaver 11 County for about ten years. 12 And over that time we've spent a lot of, a 13 14 lot of energy looking, hoping. A lot of economic 15 development, just hoping for projects to come. And 16 we, we do have a few projects going on, but nothing 17 like this. This is a, a wonderful project. And a few of my colleagues have talked about 18 19 the taxes and the jobs that this project will bring to 20 Beaver County. Ten or 15 jobs along the Wasatch Front 21 isn't a big deal, but 10 or 15 jobs in, in Milford is 22 huge.

And the property taxes that this project
would bring. It's just not property taxes, it's, it's
also salaries for nurses at our Milford Critical

1 Access Hospital. For our schoolteachers, who are -our school district is looking at contingency plans in 3 case the economy goes down. How are they gonna pay 4 their schoolteachers?

5 Our county road department employees. With 6 the price of asphalt over the last, last seven years, 7 very -- being able to stay within our budget. So 8 property taxes mean a lot to Beaver County. And 9 another thing, I really see that this industry, wind 10 and other renewable energies, is gonna be a major 11 growth industry in the next foreseeable future.

12 And I think it's extremely important for the 13 State of Utah to let these companies and this industry 14 know that, that they're welcome here. And we need to 15 take down the roadblocks and let them come. It's very 16 important for Beaver County. And I think, I think 17 that's all I have to say.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harris. Is 19 there anyone else? Any other public witnesses? All 20 right. We'd like to thank the four that have so far 21 given their comments.

What we'll do is we'll -- I think we have -we'll go till 12:30, in case anybody wants to show up between now and 12:30. If you'd like to stay in here. I'm gonna just be in my office.

1 Mr. McNulty, did you want to add anything 2 else? 3 MR. McNULTY: No, sir. Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And then at 12:30 we'll 5 recess till -- you said 1:30, is that right? To б finalize the stipulation? Okay. 7 (A recess was taken from 11:46 to 12:30 p.m.) THE COURT: The time for public witness 8 9 comment is ended. It's 12:30. So what we'll do is 10 we'll resume at 1:30. (A recess was taken from 12:30 to 1:43 p.m.) 11 THE COURT: We are back on the record in 12 Docket No. 08-2490-01. And then let's have, 13 14 Mr. Evans, you want to just begin. 15 MR. EVANS: Yes, thank you. We have, as we expected this morning, we have reached a stipulation 16 17 between the applicant and the Division of Public 18 Utilities, that we have now submitted to the 19 Commission. And the intention this afternoon is to 20 21 present this for consideration. And to present 22 witnesses to support the statements in the 23 stipulation. At the same time we will need to enter 24 our testimony and exhibits into the record. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. EVANS: And we can do that at your 2 preference, but. THE COURT: Okay. And let's -- do you want 3 4 to just submit them now? You said you had one --5 you're gonna put on two witnesses today? б MR. EVANS: Yes. 7 THE COURT: And submit the testimony of one? 8 MR. EVANS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. EVANS: We, we're gonna proffer the testimony of Paul Gaynor, G-a-y --11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. EVANS: -- n-o-r. And we can do that now 13 14 if you would like. 15 THE COURT: Sure. 16 MR. EVANS: Mr. Gaynor filed surrebuttal 17 testimony on September 22, 2008 -- it's been submitted 18 in the record and exchanged to the parties --19 designated as Exhibit MWC 1.0SR. And with Exhibits MWC 1.1SR through 1.6SR. 20 21 And I would explain that the statements of 22 Mr. Gaynor are largely adopted from the verified 23 application for certificate that was filed originally 24 in this proceeding. And we would move that the 25 testimony and exhibits of Mr. Gaynor be admitted.

1 THE COURT: Okay. We'll go ahead and -- any 2 objections? 3 MR. GINSBERG: No. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Ginsberg, Mr. McNulty? 5 MR. McNULTY: None. б THE COURT: Okay. We'll go ahead and receive 7 those exhibits. (Exhibit Nos. MWC 1.0SR and MWC 1.1SR through 8 9 MWC 1.6SR were received.) 10 THE COURT: And do you want to proceed with your witnesses? 11 MR. EVANS: Well, I think before we do, 12 there's, there's one party, UAMPS, who did not sign 13 14 off on the stipulation. 15 THE COURT: Okay. MR. EVANS: And maybe it would be 16 appropriate, before we get to testimony, that we let 17 18 UAMPS make its statement on the record. 19 THE COURT: All right. MR. McNULTY: Thank you, Mr. Evans. Your 20 21 Honor, I apologize for, for the, well, for the 22 procedural position that we find ourselves in. But let me indicate to the, to the Court or to the 23 Commission, as it were, that UAMPS is withdrawing its 24 25 opposition to the certificate -- the issuance of the

1 Certificate of Convenience and Necessity by Milford I. 2 And by that I mean we are agreeing to the 3 issuance of the Certificate of Convenience and 4 Necessity as it relates and as it is defined with 5 conditions that are found at what I understand to be paragraphs 14, 1 through 5, of the agreement as 6 7 between the DPU and First Wind. 8 We are agreeing to the issuance of the con --9 to the certificate with those conditions. In 10 fairness, UAMPS is also indicating that it will not 11 find its way as part -- as a party to an appeal, or a request for reconsideration, or any other sort of 12 definition that we might, you know, cook up later. 13 14 That is that relates to our agreement that 15 the Certificate of Convenience as to Milford I can 16 issue. With our understanding that Nos. 1 through 5 17 of paragraph 14 may issue as parts of those 18 conditions. 19 With that, we would likely take our leave of these proceedings. And thank the Court and thank the 20 parties for their forbearance. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then with that, I understand your position. And you're free to 23 24 take leave, Mr. McNulty. 25 Mr. Evans?

1 MR. EVANS: We can -- would you like to 2 present witnesses? Would you like statements from? 3 THE COURT: However you'd like to proceed. 4 You can take -- we can take the witnesses now if you 5 like. б MR. EVANS: Why don't we, why don't we walk 7 through the stipulation. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. EVANS: We'll, we'll start with our 10 witnesses if you don't mind, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: No. 11 12 MR. EVANS: And we'll go from there. Before we call our witnesses, though, let, let me just make a 13 14 comment about what might be a very confusing paragraph 15 to you --16 THE COURT: Okay. MR. EVANS: -- to this stipulation, because 17 there's a lot of parties mentioned and we need to be 18 19 sure which is which. The application in this case was originally filed on behalf of Milford Wind Corridor 20 21 Phase I, LLC, and Milford Wind Corridor Phase II, LLC. 22 Both applications were to obtain a 23 certificate to construct a generation -- a wind 24 generation plant and an interconnection line in 25 Millard and Beaver Counties to interconnect to the

1 Intermountain Power project.

2 While the application was pending, Senate 3 Bill 202 passed -- that was February of 2008 --4 exempting independent power production facilities from 5 Commission jurisdiction. We, we moved to dismiss the б application with regard to both entities, Milford 7 Phase I and Milford Phase II. 8 While that motion was pending, Senate Bill 9 202 passed. The result of that was that the 10 Commission -- well, the Commission dismissed the 11 application as to both entities. And then on request -- on UAMPS' request for reconsideration 12 reasserted jurisdiction over Milford I and the 13 14 transmission facility. 15 We're not seeking today a Certificate of 16 Convenience and Necessity to construct the wind farm either for Milford Wind Corridor Phase I or Milford 17 Wind Corridor Phase II. We're only seeking the 18 19 certificate to construct the interconnection line. And it would be Milford Phase I, LLC, that is 20 the -- now the sole remaining applicant for the 21 22 certificate in this docket. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. EVANS: And would be the recipro --25 recipient were the Commission to grant the

(September 29, 2008 - Milford Wind Corridor) 1 certificate. THE COURT: All right. 2 3 MR. EVANS: We have today Krista Kisch as a 4 witness. And shall we start with you --5 THE WITNESS: Sure. 6 MR. EVANS: -- Ms. Kisch? 7 (Ms. Kisch was sworn.) 8 KRISTA KISCH, 9 called as a witness, having been duly sworn, 10 was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. EVANS: 12 Q. Ms. Kisch, would you state your, your name 13 14 and business address, please? 15 A. Sure. My name is Krista Kisch. And I work 16 for --MR. GINSBERG: Can you turn your mike on? 17 THE WITNESS: Is the mike on? I work for 18 19 First Wind, based out of our San Diego, California office. Located at 110 West A Street, Suite 675, San 20 21 Diego, California 92101. 22 Q. (By Mr. Evans) What's the relationship 23 between First Wind and the applicant in this case? A. I am the vice president of business 24 25 development for the Western U.S. And I've been the

1 lead project developer on this wind farm. 2 Q. Have you had a chance to -- well. Have you 3 reviewed the written testimony as it's been submitted 4 to the Commission? 5 A. Yes, I have. б Q. And if I were to ask you those questions 7 today, would your answers be the same as they are in 8 the written testimony? 9 Yes, they would be. Α. 10 Ο. Okay. Do you have any corrections to make to it? 11 12 Α. No, I do not. MR. EVANS: We would request that the 13 14 testimony of Krista Kisch be admitted as WMC (sic) 15 2.0, with Exhibits 2.1 through 2.8. THE COURT: Okay, we'll go ahead and admit 16 17 those exhibits. 18 MR. GINSBERG: No, no objections. 19 MR. EVANS: I beg your pardon? THE COURT: No objections? Okay. All right. 20 21 (Exhibit Nos. MWC 2.0 and MWC 2.1 through 22 MWC 2.8 were received.) 23 Q. (By Mr. Evans) Before we get to the 24 substance I'm gonna ask you a question about the 25 exhibits that have been submitted with your testimony.

1 Would you take a look at Exhibit 2.8, please. And tell us what that exhibit is. 2 3 Α. That exhibit is -- hold on a second. 4 THE REPORTER: Speak up, ma'am. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Exhibit 8 is a list of б the permit requirements we have for the wind energy 7 facility and the transmission line. For the project. 8 Q. (By Mr. Evans) And Exhibit 8 isn't current, 9 is it? 10 Α. There have been a few updates since this was filed earlier. 11 12 Q. Okay. And the update is found at Exhibit 2.4; is that correct? 13 14 A. I have that under 2.1SR, but maybe I just got 15 the wrong packet. Yes, 2.4, sorry. Two point four SR? Is that -- let me ask you 16 Q. if that's a current list of the permits required for 17 the Milford I interconnection line project? 18 19 Yes, they are. Α. 20 ο. Which, which permits here are still open? The Federal Aviation Administration permit 21 Α. 22 determination of no hazard remains open. And we 23 expect that here in the short term. The Bureau of 24 Land Management NEPA document, which is an 25 environmental assessment remains open.

1 We are in the draft environmental assessment 2 phase, and I expect in the next 45 days that we will 3 have a finding of non-significant for the project. So 4 the next two line items, the FONSI and the Notice to 5 Proceed For Construction all fall under the umbrella of the NEPA process and the environmental assessment 6 7 document. 8 The Nationwide Permit 12 that is a permit 9 that we do not need to file, based on the fact that we have -- we do not exceed the threshold requirements 10 for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding 11 12 wetlands impacts. The Storm Water Permit For Construction has 13 been filed, and we anticipate receiving that by the 14 15 1st of October. The Storm Water Permit For Operational Activities, including a substation, has 16 been filed. And we anticipate receiving that by 17 mid-October. 18 19 Onsite Sewage Disposal Construction 20 Installation Permit will be filed and should be received by early 2009. Utah Groundwater Discharge 21 22 Permit we do not require. And I pretty much would say 23 our Batch Plant Permit and Air Quality Permit is being 24 handled by our concrete supplier, and we should have

25 that in hand by the 16th of October.

1	Our Water Right Use Authorization has been
2	filed, and we should have that by the 16th of October
3	for the wind farm and the operations and maintenance
4	building. The Drilling Well Permit has been filed and
5	we should have that by the 16th of October.
б	The remaining licensing agreements,
7	Right-of-Way Encroachment Permits, are in the process.
8	We either have received them or we should have them by
9	the 16th of October.
10	And in regard to county-level permits, we
11	have received our Conditional Use Permit from Beaver
12	County Planning and Zoning Department. And we have a
13	building permit that's outstanding with them right
14	now.
15	And in Millard County we have received our
16	conditional use permits for the transmission line.
17	And we now have a building permit that we're in the
18	process of finalizing. So, pretty much covers the
19	open permitting authorizations required on the
20	project.
21	Q. And except for the permits that are listed on
22	this list, has Milford Wind I received the necessary
23	permits to construct this line?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And do you anticipate that it will receive

```
(September 29, 2008 - Milford Wind Corridor)
 1
     the permits listed on this line -- listed on this
     page, Exhibit 2.4, within the next year?
 2
 3
         Α.
              Yes.
 4
         Q.
              The, the parties have stipulated in
 5
     paragraph 10 that:
 б
                "Milford Wind either has received or
 7
           is in the process of receiving the
           necessary consents and permits to build
 8
 9
           the facility, and further stipulate that
10
           Milford I will not construct the Line
           until such necessary consents and
11
          permits have been received."
12
              Is that statement accurate?
13
14
              Yes, that, that is correct.
         Α.
15
         Ο.
              Paragraph 11 of the stipulation, do you have
     that in front of you?
16
              I don't, but.
17
         Α.
              Paragraph 11 states that:
18
         Q.
19
                "The parties stipulate that in light
           of building a generation facility that
20
           is exempt from the need to obtain a
21
22
           certificate, there is a reasonable need
23
           for the Line in order that power
           generated at the wind farm can be
24
25
           transmitted to its contracted market."
```

```
33
```

1	Have you been involved in investigating other
2	means to get the power generated at the wind farm
3	transmitted to market?
4	A. Yes, I have. I agree with this condition.
5	That there is a reasonable need for the line to be
6	built to deliver power to its contracted market. And
7	as the person responsible for managing the
8	interconnection process over the past three and-a-half
9	years, we I did start the process off looking at
10	two different avenues for transmission
11	interconnection.
12	The first was with Los Angeles Department of
13	Water and Power acting as the operating agent at
14	Intermountain Power Plant. And the second was with
15	PacifiCorp, who obviously Rocky Mountain Power is
16	the affiliate of PacifiCorp.
17	Over that three, three and-a-half year period
18	we studied various points of interconnection on
19	PacifiCorp transmission lines in the project area.
20	And basically started with feasibility studies, system
21	impact studies.
22	And ultimately the documentation that you've
23	seen through this proceeding has been along the lines
24	of a facility study indicating a selected point of
25	interconnection on the PacifiCorp Pavant-Gondor line.

1	That point of interconnection, which was
2	obviously studied and just determined, along with
3	Rocky Mountain or PacifiCorp would not bring the
4	power from the facility to its contracted market.
5	Therefore, the sec first avenue at I study, an
б	interconnection at IPP, was the only means for us to
7	deliver contracted power to SCPPA, which is our power
8	buyer for the first phase of the project.
9	Q. Thank you. So in, in view of the other
10	alternatives is it your testimony that there is a
11	reasonable need for this line in order to get the
12	power to the market?
13	A. Yes, there is reasonable need for the line to
14	bring the wind, wind power to its contracted market.
15	Q. Paragraph 12 states that:
16	"The parties stipulate that
17	Milford I has reasonable expertise
18	required to build and operate the Line
19	and that it has a reasonable opportunity
20	to finance the Line or that it has
21	sufficient contractual relationships to
22	provide financing for the project."
23	Are you aware of other projects that Milford
24	Wind has completed?
25	A. I am aware of other projects that First Wind

1 affiliates have completed.

Q. Thank you. And will it be the same personnel 2 3 at First Wind that will be involved in managing the 4 construction operation of the Milford Wind project? 5 A. Yes. б MR. EVANS: Thank you. I have no further 7 questions, but Mr. Ginsberg may wish to cross. THE COURT: Mr. Ginsberg? 8 9 MR. GINSBERG: I have no questions. 10 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Ms. Kisch. 11 12 All right Mr. Evans, your next witness 13 please. 14 MR. EVANS: Our next witness is Larry 15 Hendrickson. 16 (Mr. Hendrickson was sworn.) 17 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Evans. 18 MR. EVANS: Thank you. 19 LARRY L. HENDRICKSON, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, 20 was examined and testified as follows: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EVANS: 23 24 Q. Would you state your name for the record, 25 please?

1 Α. Larry Lee Hendrickson. 2 ο. And by whom are you employed, 3 Mr. Hendrickson? 4 A. Power Engineers, Incorporated. 5 ο. And are you the same Larry Hendrickson that б has submitted prefiled surrebuttal testimony in this 7 docket? 8 Α. Yes, I am. 9 And is it your curriculum vitae that's Ο. 10 attached as Exhibit MWC 3.1? A. Could you repeat, please? 11 Is this your CV that has been attached --12 Q. Oh. 13 Α. 14 -- to your testimony? Q. 15 Α. Yes, it is. That's 3.1. If I asked you the questions in 16 Q. your testimony today would your answers be the same as 17 they are in the written prefiled testimony? 18 19 A. Yes, they would. MR. EVANS: Your Honor, we would submit the 20 surrebuttal testimony of Larry Hendrickson, designated 21 22 Exhibit MWC 3.0, and the attached Exhibit 3 -- I'm 23 sorry, 3.1SR, and the attached Exhibit 3.1SR. THE COURT: Okay. Any objection, 24 25 Mr. Ginsberg?

1 MR. GINSBERG: No. 2 THE COURT: We'll go ahead and admit those. 3 MR. EVANS: Thank you. 4 (Exhibit Nos. MWC 3.0 and MWC 3.1SR were 5 received.) б Q. (By Mr. Evans) Mr. Hendrickson, have you 7 been involved in designing this interconnection line? 8 Α. Yes, I have. 9 And have you reviewed the system impact Q. 10 studies performed by LEDWP? A. Yes, I have. 11 12 Q. There's two of them that, are -- might be relevant. One is dated February 24, 2007. Have you 13 reviewed that one? 14 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And then there is an optional Phase I report 17 that's dated March 5, 2008. Have you reviewed that 18 one? 19 Α. Yes, I have. Do those system impact studies give you an 20 Ο. 21 indication of the effect that Milford Wind's 22 interconnection would have at IPP on the rest of the 23 grid? 24 Α. Yes. Both of the system impact studies had 25 concluded, based upon NERC and WECC reliability

requirements, that there would be no detrimental
 impact to the grid.

3 Q. And those system impact studies studied 4 various contingencies of outage at difference places 5 on the system, didn't they?

б Α. Yes. They looked at, at first a normal 7 system configuration. Then they looked at N minus 8 one, and N minus two. N minus one being normal with 9 one component out of service. N minus two being a normal system with two components out of service. 10 Q. And at N minus one and N minus two 11 12 contingency conditions did you see any significant 13 adverse impact on the Intermountain to Mona lines? 14 No. But I must qualify that, because the, Α. 15 the system impact studies do not include all detail of 16 the studies which supported, which supported the conclusions contained in the system impact studies. 17 So none -- no detrimental impacts were noted in the 18 19 system impact study. Q. Okay. Do -- did you review other 20 21 documentation for the interconnection in the design of 22 that line? The design submit --

23 A. Pardon me?

Q. The plans and designing of the line and the interconnection?

1 Α. I was involved in selecting conductor size. 2 Performing some studies to determine the amount of 3 power that we might be able to transport over the 4 line, assuming that the interconnection was able to 5 accept it. б I -- and I also reviewed the arrangements for 7 interconnection at the IPP switchyard that were -that are presently under construction. 8 9 And based on the documents you've reviewed Ο. and your knowledge of the project, can you confirm 10 that the operation of the line will not significantly 11 12 adversely affect the operations of an existing utility in the State of Utah? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Paragraph 8 of the terms and conditions of 16 the stipulation asked that question you've just answered. I'm now skipping down to paragraph 13 of 17 the stipulation that says: 18 19 "The parties stipulate that it 20 presently appears that Milford I will have reasonable agreements in place to 21 22 properly maintain the line in a safe and reliable manner." 23 24 Do you have an idea about what is required to 25 maintain the line in a safe and reliable manner?

1	A. Yes, I do. The, the transmission line is
2	constructed of steel poles, aluminum conductor,
3	insulators, and fittings that are intended to last for
4	in excess of 30 years in an outdoor environment. No
5	moving parts. Nothing to maintain. Nothing to oil.
б	The maintenance of the line, once it's been
7	constructed, will require periodic once every year,
8	once every two years, something in between detailed
9	visual inspections. Where each structure would be
10	viewed with, with a pair of binoculars. Not a
11	climbing inspection but a, but a good visual
12	inspection. And records maintained.
13	And should an event occur for instance, an
14	outage that was due to lightning a protective
15	relaying system switch will be applied on that line
16	will allow noting the approximate location of, of the
17	event.
18	And it would be appropriate to go out and
19	perform, again, a visual inspection at once the
20	line had been restored to service, to make sure there
21	was no damage. But beyond that, there really isn't
22	too much to do unless loose hardware or other
23	problems whatever they might be were observed in
24	the inspection, in which case you would just fix it.
25	Q. And when you say "periodic," how, how often

```
(September 29, 2008 - Milford Wind Corridor)
 1
    would you inspect the line like that?
        A. The numbers that I'm familiar with vary from
 2
 3
    a year to two years.
 4
        Q. And that would be prudent utility practice to
 5
    do it between a year and two years?
        Α.
             That is my opinion, yes.
 6
 7
             MR. EVANS: Okay. Thank you,
    Mr. Hendrickson.
 8
9
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
10
    Mr. Hendrickson.
             Anything else, Mr. Evans? Any other
11
12
    witnesses?
13
             MR. EVANS: No, your Honor. Thank you.
14
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ginsberg?
15
             MR. GINSBERG: The Division's witness is
    Dr. Joni Zenger.
16
             THE COURT: Okay.
17
18
             (Ms. Zenger was sworn.)
19
                         JONI ZENGER,
         called as a witness, having been duly sworn,
20
21
            was examined and testified as follows:
22
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. GINSBERG:
23
24
        Q. Would you state your name for the record?
```

25 A. Dr. Joni S. Zenger, Z-e-n-g-e-r.

1 ο. And you have filed testimony which is marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 attached. And you 2 3 filed both a confidential and a non-confidential 4 version of that; is that right? 5 Α. That's correct. б ο. And you filed certain corrections, that you 7 have given to the court reporter; is that, is that 8 correct? 9 Yes. They're very incidental, but --Α. Ο. Can you --10 If it's okay. 11 Α. 12 Q. Can you go through those corrections that you wish to make? 13 14 A. Yes. Just very briefly. On page 5, line 60, 15 the word should be "The Commission, however," not "therefore." 16 17 On, on, Page 7 line 100 and 101, this is a direct quote. And I went ahead and spelled out IGS, 18 19 and that should be retract -- excuse me. It should be "IGS," the acronym, rather than spelling it out. 20 And in the same line, No. 101, it should be 21 22 "static" not "status." Just a couple others. On Page 7, line 113, 23 24 insert the word "on" its operations. So "will have no 25 impact on its operations."

1 Page 12, line 205, it should read "cannot successfully," not "successively." 2 3 And then finally on the question on page 15, 4 line 26 -- 66, it should be "What does the -- what 5 does the Division recommend, " not "Division's." Thank б you for your patience in those. 7 Q. If those questions were asked to you, those 8 would be your answers today? 9 Α. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that, I'd ask that what's 10 been marked as DPU Exhibit 1 and 1.1 be admitted. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead and admit those with no objection from --13 14 MR. EVANS: No objection. 15 (Exhibit Nos. DPU 1 and DPU 1.1 were received.) 16 17 0. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Now, you participated in -- you were assigned by the Division to be the main 18 19 investigator responsible for making recommendations to 20 the Commission on this application; is that right? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And can you briefly describe what, what you Q. 23 did in order to prepare your testimony? 24 Α. Yes. My testimony itself basically pertains 25 to the scope of issues which the Commission identified

1 in its August 26 order. And I went through each issue 2 one by one. There were six. In the process of it I 3 reviewed all the testimony on -- that was put on the 4 record. 5 I sent multiple sets of data requests to all б parties, including PacifiCorp. I, I reviewed system 7 impact studies. And subsequent to my testimony I 8 reviewed the additional data requests and testimony 9 that came in from Milford. 10 In my analysis, after going through each of 11 those six issues, I was able to find no reason to not 12 recommend that the Commission approve the certificate for the construction of the transmission line. 13 14 Q. Now, paragraph -- do you have the stipulation 15 that's been? 16 A. Yes, yes. And paragraph 8 through 13 basically restate 17 0. the six areas of inquiry; is that correct? 18 19 Α. They do. They do. And the conclusions of each of those 20 Ο. paragraphs is consistent with your testimony? 21 22 Yes. Eight through 12 pretty much match the Α. 23 1 through 5 issues in my testimony. 24 Q. In your testimony you also recommended that 25 there be certain conditions placed on the grant of a

1 certificate; is that right?

Yes. The Divi -- the Division issued a memo 2 Α. 3 previously, in March. And these conditions have also 4 been restated in the stipulation which we worked on. 5 And they pertain to such items as, you know, obtaining б the required permits, or reporting to the Commission 7 once the permits have been acquired. 8 Notifying the Commission of any changes. 9 And -- in construction or, or changes to the phases of the project. 10 6that's what's reflected in paragraph 14 of 11 Ο. the stipulation, 1 through 6? 12 Yes, exactly. 13 Α. 14 Can you describe what paragraph 15 represents Q. 15 to you? Yes. The second half of paragraph 15 I think 16 Α. pertains to parties that weren't -- that did not 17 intervene in this docket. So the Division and 18 19 Milford I have signed this stipulation. And we wanted 20 to protect the fact that this, this was a unique 21 situation. 22 This is the first time this has come before 23 the Commission where an independent power producer is 24 exempt from the generation part of the facility -- the 25 wind farm, but the transmission line still required an

1 approval.

And so 15 has a twofold purpose: First, 2 3 other parties that may want to motion by rule or 4 suggest a rulemaking proceeding would be able to. And 5 also in my testimony I also recommended that perhaps б it might be useful to have a rulemaking proceeding to 7 clarify situations such as this. 8 Where Milford Wind was not required to obtain 9 a license for the wind farm, but was required under 10 UCA Section 54-4-25 to have a certificate for the construction of the transmission line. So that's 11 12 partially what No. 6 was. 13 Q. Number 6 of paragraph 14, or? 14 Α. Or -- excuse me. 15 ο. What paragraph? Retract that. Paragraph 15. 16 Α. Did you have any additional comments you wish 17 Ο. to make? 18 19 Just along the same line. In that this case Α. was useful, in that the Commission narrowed the scope 20 21 of issues for us to look at. But in future 22 proceedings that may not be the case. So to try to 23 fit this Milford Wind Project into the typical request 24 for a CPCN or CNN presents a little difficulty. 25 So perhaps the Commission could clarify,

1 going forward, what we might do in situations like 2 this. Or in the alternative, instigate a rulemaking 3 proceeding. 4 Q. So you do recommend the Commission approve 5 this stipulation as it's been submitted? б Α. I do. Based on my testimony and all of the 7 conditions in the stipulation, the Division finds no evidence in the alternative. And we recommend that 8 9 the Commission approve the certificate for the 10 construction of the transmission line. MR. GINSBERG: Thank you. 11 12 THE COURT: All right, thank you Mr. Ginsberg. Anything else from either Mr. Evans --13 14 uh-huh (affirmative.) 15 MR. EVANS: Yeah, if I might ask Dr. Zenger a couple questions about her view of this. 16 17 CROSS EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. EVANS: 19 In, in these conditions -- I'm looking at Ο. No. 4, under paragraph 14. The one that requires 20 21 Milford I to inform the Commission in writing of any 22 expansions of Milford I and/or Milford II or of new 23 projects requiring interconnection located in the State of Utah. 24 25 Now, you understand that there are gonna be

1 future phases of the wind farm project, right? 2 Α. Yes. I reviewed the -- your SEC filing from 3 First Wind, and recognize that perhaps up to 4 1,000 megawatts might be developed. Along this same 5 transmission line. б Q. And so you understand that when the First 7 Wind subsidiaries develop this additional generation, 8 there will be leads coming from the wind turbines to 9 interconnect to this certificated facility, right? Α. Yes. 10 Is it -- is the -- does the Division intend 11 ο. 12 that any of that should require another certificate, or is it that we just need to advise the Commission on 13 14 that? 15 Α. The Commission would have to make the ultimate determination. But the Division would 16 recommend, based on all the evidence presented in this 17 case, that there's sufficient capacity and stations at 18 19 the IPP plant to accommodate expansion. In the 20 current design of it. So I think you -- Larry Hendrickson mentioned 21 you were going to interconnect at EE 123. But there 22 23 were three other places to interconnect right at 24 IP -- the IPS. And so there does not, you know,

25 according to the evidence in this case, seem to be a

1 problem for those other phases.

And I think it would be up to the Commission 2 3 to determine how to proceed in cases like this. But 4 from what I found, there should be -- there, there's 5 ample room, without reviewing future design studies б and impact studies right now, there's -- as far as the 7 interconnection itself at IPS, it would be able to 8 accommodate all of the phases up to five. 9 Q. Okay, thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right. Anything else from either Mr. Ginsberg or Mr. Evans? 11 MR. GINSBERG: I don't think so. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And then my understanding 13 14 is you already electronically filed a copy of the 15 signed stipulation; is that right? 16 MR. GINSBERG: That's --THE COURT: Or you will file it? 17 MR. GINSBERG: Well, I, I think it probably 18 19 has been. THE COURT: Okay. All right then. Then if 20 21 that's it, then the Commission will take this matter 22 under advisement. And that's the end of the hearing. 23 (The hearing was concluded at 2:21 p.m.) 24 25

(September 29, 2008 - Milford Wind Corridor) 1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF UTAH ) 3 ) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE ) 4 5 This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, KELLY L. WILBURN, a Registered б Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah. 7 That the proceedings were reported by me in 8 stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting. And that a full, true, 9 and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages, numbered 1 through 50, inclusive. 10 I further certify that I am not of kin or 11 otherwise associated with any of the parties to said 12 cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof. 13 WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL AT KEARNS, UTAH 14 THIS 4th DAY OF October, 2008. 15 16 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR My Commission Expires: 17 May 16, 2009 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25