BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

)
) DOCKET NO. 09-035-15
In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism) Exhibit No. DPU 5.0 DIR SUPP
) Direct Testimony of
	Charles E. Peterson
)
)

THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE STATE OF UTAH

Supplemental Direct Testimony of

Charles E. Peterson

November 8, 2016

Direct Testimony of Charles E. Peterson

2	
3	Q. Please state your name, business address and title.
4	A. My name is Charles E. Peterson. My business address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City,
5	Utah 84114. I am a Technical Consultant in the Utah Division of Public Utilities (Division,
6	or DPU).
7	
8	Q. Did you previously provide direct testimony in behalf of the Division in the current
9	matter regarding the review of PacifiCorp's (Company) Energy Balancing Account
10	program?
11	A. Yes.
12	
13	Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony?
14	A. In reviewing my testimony in preparation of filing rebuttal testimony in this matter, I
15	discovered calculation errors in DPU Exhibits 5.2 and 5.3 filed with my direct testimony.
16	Both exhibits are related to my discussion of wholesale wheeling revenues. I am filing
17	corrected versions of those exhibits with this supplemental direct testimony.
18	
19	Q. What was the error you discovered in DPU Exhibit 5.2?
20	A. The last line in the Exhibit, labeled "Before Tax Contribution to (Benefit Company) or
21	Benefit Ratepayers (@70% Sharing) (MWh)" did not calculate the 70 percent sharing
22	band, therefore the amounts were overstated. These overstated amounts were then used in
23	calculations in DPU Exhibit 5.3.

24	Q.	Were the overstated wheeling revenues the only error on DPU Exhibit 5.3?
25	A.	Unfortunately no. Benefits to the Company were shown as negative numbers on DPU Exhibit
26		5.2. However, on DPU Exhibit 5.3 benefits to the Company should have been shown as
27		positive numbers and net detriments as negative numbers. In other words, the arithmetic
28		signs needed to be reversed. However, as originally filed, the arithmetic signs were directly
29		passed from DPU Exhibit 5.2 to DPU Exhibit 5.3.
30		
31		Attached are corrected exhibits to DPU Exhibits 5.2 and 5.3.
32		
33	Q.	Do these corrected exhibits make any material difference to the analysis you provided
34		in your direct testimony?
3435	A.	in your direct testimony? No. The corrected DPU Exhibit 5.2 continues to demonstrate that ratepayers have received a
	A.	
35	A.	No. The corrected DPU Exhibit 5.2 continues to demonstrate that ratepayers have received a
35 36	A.	No. The corrected DPU Exhibit 5.2 continues to demonstrate that ratepayers have received a net benefit from the inclusion wholesale wheeling revenues in the EBA. Corrected DPU
35 36 37	A.	No. The corrected DPU Exhibit 5.2 continues to demonstrate that ratepayers have received a net benefit from the inclusion wholesale wheeling revenues in the EBA. Corrected DPU Exhibit 5.3 continues to show that the effect of wholesale wheeling revenues on the
35 36 37 38		No. The corrected DPU Exhibit 5.2 continues to demonstrate that ratepayers have received a net benefit from the inclusion wholesale wheeling revenues in the EBA. Corrected DPU Exhibit 5.3 continues to show that the effect of wholesale wheeling revenues on the