Gary A. Dodge (0897) HATCH, JAMES & DODGE 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Facsimile: (801) 363-6666 Email: gdodge@hjdlaw.com Attorneys for US Magnesium LLC

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION OF US MAGNESIUM
LLC FOR DETERMINATION OF
RATES AND CONDITIONS FOR
INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE FROM
AND QF SALES TO ROCKY
MOUNTAIN POWER

Docket No. 09-035-20

### PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ROGER J. SWENSON

US Magnesium LLC hereby submits the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Roger J. Swenson in this Docket.

DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2009.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_Gary A. Dodge,
Attorney for US Magnesium LLC

## PREFILED REBUTAL TESTIMONY

Of

### ROGER J. SWENSON

On behalf of US Magnesium LLC

# IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF US MAGNESIUM LLC FOR DETERMINATION OF RATES AND CONDITIONS FOR INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE FROM AND QF SALES TO ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Docket No. 09-035-20

November 25, 2009

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. Roger J. Swenson, 1592 East 3350 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84106
- 3 Q. Have you provided testimony in this matter previously?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
- A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to provide a brief response to the filings
  made by the OCS and the DPU in support of the negotiated settlement that has
  been arrived at between US Mag and Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) in regards to
- 9 new interruptible contract provisions for the period from January 1, 2010, as well
- as a new QF agreement.
- 11 Q. In general what is your response to the recommendation of the DPU and
- 12 **OCS?**
- 13 A. US Magnesium appreciates that both the DPU and OCS are recommending that
- the negotiated agreements between US Mag and RMP be approved. US Mag and
- 15 RMP negotiated the terms of these new agreements in good faith for more than a
- 16 year and took into account past operating experience to improve the next contract
- operating arrangements. The agreements came from much effort and time to find
- the best settlement that the parties could support.
- 19 **Q.** Do you have any concerns or comments about those recommendations?
- 20 A. Not as they relate to the contracts under review in this docket. However, I do
- 21 have concerns to the extent the comments may be interpreted to suggest that the
- 22 Commission should pre-impose conditions on contracts to be negotiated in the

4		circumstances that will be relevant to approval of future contracts.
5	Q.	What would you ask that the commission do in this matter?
6	A.	We would ask that the commission approve the contracts as presented and as
7		recommend by the parties. We would also ask that the commission not attempt to
8		pre-impose conditions or terms on future contracts. Rather, the terms and
9		conditions of future contracts should be reviewed and determined only after the
10		contracts have been presented for approval and in light of all circumstances
11		relevant at that time.
12	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

13

A.

Yes it does.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by email or US

Mail, postage prepaid, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2009, on the following:

Mark C. Moench Yvonne R. Hogle ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER 201 South Main, Suite 2300 Salt Lake City UT 84111 mark.moench@pacificorp.com yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com

Michael Ginsberg
Patricia Schmid
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Division of Public Utilities
500 Heber M. Wells Building
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
mginsberg@utah.gov
pschmid@utah.gov

Paul Proctor
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Committee of Consumer Services
160 East 300 South, 5th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
mginsberg@utah.gov
pschmid@utah.gov

/s/	
-----	--