BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky)		
Mountain Power For Authority to Increase)	DOCKET No. 09-035-23	
its Retail Electric Utility Service rates in)	DOCKET NO. 09-033-23	
Utah and for Approval of its Proposed)	DPU EXHIBIT 6.0SD	
Electric Service Schedules and Electric)		
Service Regulations.)		

PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

GEORGE W. EVANS

ON BEHALF OF THE

UTAH DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

October 29, 2009

1	Pre-i	FILED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY
2	GEOR	RGE W. EVANS
3	Divis	SION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
4		
5		INTRODUCTION
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer, and current position or
8		title for the record.
9	A.	My name is George W. Evans, and my business address is 358 Cross Creek Trail,
10		Robbinsville, North Carolina 28771. I am a Vice President with Slater
11		Consulting.
12	Q.	For whom are you providing testimony in this case?
13	A.	I am providing testimony on behalf of the Utah Division of Public Utilities (DPU
14		or Division).
15	Q.	Are you the same George W. Evans that presented direct testimony in this
16		docket?
17	A.	Yes, I am.
18		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony in this proceeding?
20	A.	The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to provide a recommended
21		adjustment to the Company's filed Net Power Costs (NPC) that I mentioned in
22		my direct testimony (at lines 77-81 on page 5, lines 299-315 on page 19 and lines

23		316-317 on page 20) but was unable to quantify at that time. The adjustment
24		concerns the cost of coal at the Company's coal-fired generating plants.
25	Q.	What adjustment did you envision to the Company's coal costs?
26	A.	As described on page 19 of my direct testimony, the assumption for general
27		inflation used in the development of coal costs (as shown in the Company's
28		response to OCS Data Request 6.7) was significantly higher than the inflation
29		assumption used in the development of "Other Costs" in the GRID model (as
30		shown in the Company's response to OCS Data Request 6.1). My adjustment
31		would make the inflation assumption used in the development of coal costs
32		consistent with other assumptions made by the Company, and also update the
33		Company's assumptions regarding the forecasted cost of commodities that impact
34		coal costs, such as diesel fuel and natural gas.
35	0	Why were you unable to quantify this adjustment for your direct testimony?
33	Q.	Why were you unable to quantify this adjustment for your direct testimony?
36	A.	At that time, I did not have the Company's series of complex spreadsheets which
37		estimate future costs of coal at each coal plant, based on coal supply contracts and

coal delivery contracts. OCS Data Request 6.7 requested the Company provide

Q. Did the Company provide the "electronic spreadsheets"?

these "electronic spreadsheets".

38

39

40

41	A.	No – the Company provided only a printed copy of the spreadsheets, making it
42		virtually impossible for other parties to make any corrections or adjustments to
43		the spreadsheets.
44	Q.	Did you ask the Company to re-compute the coal costs as you recommend?
45	A.	Yes. DPU Data Request 50.1, which was filed on September 28th, 2009 requests
46		that the Company re-compute coal costs with the modifications that I describe
47		above.
48	Q.	When did the Company respond to DPU Data Request 50.1?
49	A.	The Company provided its response to DPU Data Request 50.1 on October 16 th ,
50		2009. The Company's response provided the re-computed coal costs and also the
51		electronic versions of the spreadsheets used to re-compute the coal costs.
52 53	Q.	Have you developed an adjustment to NPC based on the Company's response to DPU Data request 50.1?
54	A.	Yes, I have. Using the updated coal prices for each coal plant provided in the
55		Company's response to DPU Data Request 50.1, I performed a GRID analysis to
56		see the total impact of the changes on NPC. My recommended adjustment to NPC
57		for this issue, based on this GRID analysis, is -\$2,624,572 (-\$1,077,152 for Utah).
58		This would increase my proposed total NPC adjustment to approximately -\$42.6
59		million, system-wide, and -\$17.5 million, Utah allocated.
60	Q.	Does this complete your testimony?

61 A. Yes it does.