

Mark C. Moench (2284)
Yvonne R. Hogle (7550)
Daniel E. Solander (11467)
Rocky Mountain Power
201 South Main St., Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
(801) 220-4014 (telephone)
(801) 220-3299 (Fax)
mark.moench@pacificorp.com
yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com
daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

<p>In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations</p>	<p>Docket No. 09-035-23</p> <p>MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF STIPULATION</p>
--	--

Rocky Mountain Power hereby moves the Public Service Commission of Utah (the “Commission”) for approval of the Stipulation in the Rate Design Phase of Docket 09-035-23 dated April 1, 2010, (the “Stipulation”) which was filed with this Motion. The grounds for this motion are as follows:

1. On June 23, 2009, Rocky Mountain Power (“Rocky Mountain Power” or “Company”) filed an application, together with revenue requirement, cost of service, rate spread and rate design testimony, requesting approval of an increase in its retail electric utility service rates in Utah in the amount of \$66.9 million, with a return on equity of 11.0 percent.

2. On February 18, 2010, the Commission issued its order (“Order”) in the case authorizing an increase in rates in the amount of approximately \$32.4 million, and a return on equity of 10.6 percent.

3. On February 22, 2010, intervenors filed direct testimony in the rate design phase of the case.

4. On March 23, 2010, all parties filed rebuttal testimony in the rate design phase of the case.

5. On March 25, 2010, certain parties met for settlement discussions on all non-residential rate design. As a result of the settlement negotiations, the Parties to this Stipulation have agreed to the rate design of all non-residential schedules. The Parties have not, however, agreed on the residential rate design or decoupling issues in this case.

6. As specified in the Stipulation, the Parties agree that the Stipulation is in the public interest and that all of its terms and conditions, considered as a whole, will produce fair, just and reasonable results.

Wherefore, Rocky Mountain Power requests that the Commission grant this motion and approve the Stipulation. In addition, Rocky Mountain Power requests that the Commission direct any parties that wish to oppose the Stipulation notify Rocky Mountain Power and the other stipulating parties at least 5 days prior to the hearing so the stipulating parties can arrange for technical witnesses to be present at the hearing

[the remainder of this page is intentionally left blank]

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of April, 2010

By _____

Mark C. Moench
Yvonne R. Hogle
Daniel E. Solander

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power