TOOELE CITY CORPORATION

Legal

October 9, 2009

UTAH PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION
2009 OCT 12 A 9:27
RECEIVED

269302

Utah Public Service Commission
Ted Boyer, Chairman
Ric Campbell, Commissioner
Ron Allen, Commissioner
Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Dear Commission:

It has come to the attention of Tooele City Corporation (the "City") that important meetings and hearings before the Utah Public Service Commission (the "Commission") in the matter of Docket No. 09-035-54 are imminent, and that input to the Commission by way of a letter from the City's attorney on City letterhead is an appropriate mechanism for providing input into the process that will be recognized and considered by the Commission. Mayor Patrick Dunlavy has authorized me to do so on behalf of the City. Please forgive me if this vehicle is somewhat unorthodox but, after all, Rocky Mountain Power has also admitted pursuing a course before the Commission that is "somewhat unusual" and "out of the ordinary" (Rocky Mountain Power's Notice of Intent to File Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, dated June 30, 2009 (the "Notice"), at 3 and 5, attached hereto as Exhibit A).

Tooele City, together with all organized Tooele valley stakeholders ("Tooele Stakeholders"), has consistently and unequivocally opposed the east-bench route of the Mona-Oquirrh transmission line (the "Project") due to its adverse impacts upon the City. (*See* Public Comment Form and attached materials attached hereto as Exhibit B.) These adverse impacts will exist, in perpetuity, if any portion of the Project is permitted to be located on the hillside and mountainous lands immediately south and east of the City, whether or not located within the City's actual corporate boundary.

Through enormous effort, the Tooele Stakeholders have reached consensus on a route (the "Consensus Route") that they believe will be less expensive, less difficult, less environmentally damaging, less adversely impacting, and less community intrusive than Rocky Mountain Power's preferred route. (*See* Tooele Stakeholder consensus letter dated September 2, 2009, and map attached hereto as Exhibit C.) The Consensus Route would do nothing to diminish Rocky Mountain Power's stated objectives to "improve its transmission capacity to serve customers" and "to provide current and future service in an efficient and reliable manner to customers" (Notice, at 4 and 7.) Rather, the Consensus Route would eliminate adverse impacts to the City, the Tooele Stakeholders, and to Tooele

Tooele City Hall
90 North Main Street
Tooele, Utah 84074-2191

Phone: (435) 843-2120
Fax: (435) 843-2129
TDD: (435) 843-2108
www.tooelecity.org

TOOELE CITY CORPORATION
est. 1853

Legal

valley communities without adversely affecting Rocky Mountain Power's ability to achieve its corporate objectives.

Despite the efforts of the Tooele Stakeholders to achieve the Consensus Route, Rocky Mountain Power has announced, prior to the completion of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), its rejection of the Consensus Route and its intention to pursue a route that it appears to have preferred since the Project's inception. (*See* Tooele *Transcript-Bulletin* Article dated October 1, 2009, entitled "Power Company Won't Budge on East Bench Route" and other media items attached hereto as Exhibit D.)

Rocky Mountain Power acknowledges that the "purpose of the federal permitting process is to ensure the Project and its route is consistent with state and local governmental actions and authorities" (Notice, at 3). The City believes that Rocky Mountain Power has not acted consistently with this purpose. Rocky Mountain Power further acknowledges that the "EIS process will identify significant environmental impacts and shall inform local decision makers and the public of reasonable alternatives, including mitigation measures that would avoid or minimize adverse impacts or enhance environmental quality" (*id.*). The City believes that Rocky Mountain Power has acted inconsistently with this statement, has done little to consider reasonable alternative routes, and has done little to minimize adverse impacts to the Tooele Stakeholders and Tooele valley communities.

The Commission has stated that although "we have ruled that issues of the location and routing of a transmission line were beyond the scope of a CPCN proceeding . . . [Rocky Mountain Power] must file with us evidence that it has received or is in the process of obtaining the 'required consent, franchise, or permit' of the property authorities" (Report and Order: Docket No. 09-035-54, dated July 22, 2009, at 1, attached hereto as Exhibit E). Despite the Commission's limited role in the location and routing of transmission lines, the City nevertheless petitions the Commission to consider the City's concerns throughout the CPCN and other Commission processes with respect to the Project. Know also that the City has no intention of granting or supporting any permit or approval that would allow Rocky Mountain Power's proposed east-bench route.

Sincerely,

Roger Baker
Tooele City Attorney

cc: R. Jeff Richards, Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power
Ted D. Smith, Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power

Tooele City Hall
90 North Main Street
Tooele, Utah 84074-2191

Phone: (435) 843-2120
Fax: (435) 843-2129
TDD: (435) 843-2108
www.tooelecity.org

Exhibit A

R. Jeff Richards (7294)
Rocky Mountain Power
201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 220-4734
Facsimile: (801) 220-3299
jeff.richards@pacificorp.com

Ted D. Smith (3017)
Stoel Rives LLP
201 South Main Street, Suite 1100
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 578-6961
Facsimile: (801) 578-6999
tsmith@stoel.com

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Pending Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of Mona – Oquirrh new 500 kV double circuit line	Docket No. 09-035-__ **ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY**

Rocky Mountain Power, a division of PacifiCorp ("Rocky Mountain Power" or the "Company"), notifies the Public Service Commission of Utah ("Commission"), the Division of Public Utilities ("DPU"), and the Office of Consumer Services ("OCS") of its intent to file a formal Application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity ("CPCN") authorizing the construction of a 500 kV transmission line, known as the Mona - Oquirrh Transmission Line ("Mona-Oquirrh Line" or "Project"), in Juab, Utah,

Tooele, and Salt Lake Counties. Given the tight time frames under which the Company will be operating once it is able to file its Application, this Notice contains most of the information that would typically be included in the Application itself—the Company is doing so in order that the DPU, OCS, or other intervening parties may begin their analysis of the planned transmission line in the light of the standards set by the Commission for the granting of a CPCN.

1. Rocky Mountain Power is an electrical corporation and public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. In addition to providing retail electric service in the state of Utah, Rocky Mountain Power provides retail electric service in Idaho and Wyoming.

2. Communications, including all pleadings or other filings, regarding this Notice should be addressed to:

Brandon Smith
Rocky Mountain Power
1407 West North Temple, Suite 250
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116
brandon.smith@pacificorp.com

David L. Taylor
Rocky Mountain Power
201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
dave.taylor@pacificorp.com

R. Jeff Richards
Rocky Mountain Power
201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
jeff.richards@pacificorp.com

Ted D. Smith
Stoel Rives LLP
201 South Main Street, Suite 1100
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
tsmith@stoel.com

The Company also respectfully requests that all formal correspondence and data requests regarding this filing be sent to:

By e-mail **(preferred)** to: datarequest@pacificorp.com

By regular mail to: Data Request Response Center
PacifiCorp
825 NE Multnomah, Suite

Portland, OR 97232

By fax to: (503) 813-6060

3. The Company recognizes that the procedure that it is suggesting herein is somewhat unusual. But for the following reasons, it is important that a docket be opened and for discovery to commence even prior to the filing of the formal Application.

a. One of the key reasons that Rocky Mountain Power cannot yet file its formal Application is that it currently in the process of obtaining federal approval for the Project pursuant to the National Environmental Protection Act. Accordingly, Rocky Mountain Power is in the process of obtaining an Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS") for the Project. The purpose of the federal permitting process is to ensure the Project and its route is consistent with state and local governmental actions and authorities. The EIS process will identify significant environmental impacts and shall inform local decision makers and the public of reasonable alternatives, including mitigation measures that would avoid or minimize adverse impacts or enhance environmental quality. The federal process is intended to encourage the resolution of potential concerns or problems prior to issuing a final statement and approval of a final route. The Company is confident that the route it has chosen and its plans as to the manner of construction of the Project will comply in all respects will all applicable environmental laws and regulations.

b. In past orders, the Commission has ruled that it the issues of approval of the location and routing of a transmission line is beyond the scope of the CPCN process. However, as a condition of approval the Company must "file

in the office of the commission evidence as required by the commission to show that the applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit of the proper county, city, municipal, or other public authority" (Utah Code Ann. § 54-4-25(4)(a)(i)).

c. Until the EIS process has been completed in about late July 2009, the routing and location of the transmission line and the scope of the project remain uncertain. Issuance of a final EIS will then determine the route of the transmission line and the scope of the project. The Company will then file applications with each appropriate municipality and county for the appropriate consent, permit, or certification for the transmission line within 30-60 days thereafter.

d. As will be explained more fully in the Company's Application to be filed after issuance of the EIS, the Mona-Oquirrh Line is a critical part of the Company's overall plans to improve its transmission capacity to serve customers in Utah, and to improve its grid for the benefit of customers in Utah and in the other states the Company serves. The Company's current plans are to commence construction of the Mona-Oquirrh line in January 2010, which leaves a relatively short period of time between the date the Application for the CPCN is filed with the commission and the time in which approval of the CPCN is needed.

e. Given the situation described above, the Company desires to work with the Commission and other parties on procedures that will allow full inquiry into the matter, but which will also allow an expedited hearing following the Company's filing of its formal Application. For example, the Company is willing

to agree to the immediate commencement of discovery. Recognizing that some questions may not be answerable at this time, the Company is committed to answering all relevant questions that it can answer at this time and, as to those that it cannot answer, immediately update is answers when the information necessary to answer such questions becomes available to the Company. The Company is also willing, in advance of filing of the Application, to file all of the testimony it possibly can (subject, of course, to the right to supplement that testimony to reflect new facts or any changes in Company plans). For example, the Company is willing, prior to filing its Application to file testimony as the Company's ability to finance the project, testimony addressing specific aspects of the project and the benefit that will accrue to customers from its construction. The Company will file Preliminary Direct testimony within one week of the date of this Notice. Certain specific aspects of the project that will only be available once the EIS is issued may not be addressed immediately, but the Company will either supplement existing testimony with those details or file additional testimony from other witnesses on an expedited basis so that the Commission and other parties may have the opportunity to review and respond to it in a timely manner.

f. Recognizing that the Company is proposing an approach to this docket that is out of the ordinary, the Company requests that the Commission issue an order making this matter a formal docket, that it enter a protective order (the Company will file a motion for such an order within the next few days), that its order allow parties (other than the DPU and OCS, who are already considered

parties) to intervene in this matter subject to the standards of intervention, and that the order allow the parties hereto to immediately engage in discovery.

g. Under the Company's current plans it is essential that this matter be analyzed by the other parties, that hearings be held (if necessary), and that a CPCN be issued no later than December 15, 2009. This will allow the contracting process to move forward so that the project can be completed in a timely manner. That is the reasons that the Company has proposed the innovative procedure in this notice that will allow intervention and discovery to proceed before the Application is filed.

4. Even though this Notice is not the Company's formal Application, the Company is in a position at this time to provide the following general information about the Mona-Oquirrh Line project.

5. The Project is planned as a system improvement and expansion project to: (1) meet the projected shortfalls in electrical supply in the Wasatch Front area of Utah, (2) improve operational flexibility and reliability of the high-voltage transmission system and service to the Wasatch Front, (3) allow increased economical power transfers, sales, and purchases into and throughout Utah in the short- and long-term including access to renewables, and (4) integrate facilities with short-term and long-range planning efforts on federal, state, and private lands.

6. The proposed project is an overhead transmission line from the Mona Annex Substation near the City of Mona in Juab County, Utah to a new substation facility in the Tooele Valley (the "Limber Substation") and expanded facilities within the

existing Oquirrh Substation property located in West Jordan, Utah and the Terminal Substation located in Salt Lake City, Utah.

7. The Project does not involve any construction that will cross state boundaries.

8. Rocky Mountain Power will comply with all relevant environmental laws and restrictions applicable to construction of the Project.

9. The Project is not driven by any commitment made to any regulatory agency but is driven by the need to provide current and future service in an efficient and reliable manner to customers.

10. As will be further described in testimony to be filed on behalf of the Company, the Mona-Oquirrh Line will:

a. Meet Rocky Mountain Power's need to provide safe, reliable, and cost-effective electric transmission service to its retail customers and other users of the transmission system;

b. Provide additional capacity to meet projected load demand by 2012 and add much-needed import capacity into the Wasatch Front and beyond from the desert areas southwest of the Wasatch Front or new generation resources in central/southern Utah. The project is designed to leverage net power cost savings by optimizing market purchase or cheaper energy resources outside of the Wasatch Front. Savings, which benefit both the Company and its customers, are derived from the difference between constructing new generation resources locally and importing energy.

11. Currently, a majority of the electricity serving the northern Utah area is generated at Rocky Mountain Power facilities in Carbon, Juab, and Emery counties and is delivered on existing transmission lines that enter the Wasatch Front area from the south. These southern Utah generating facilities include the Carbon, Hunter, Huntington, and Currant Creek power plants. The Rocky Mountain Power transmission system that provides electrical service to this area from southern Utah presently consists of two 345kV lines from the Huntington and Castle Dale (Emery Substation) areas to the Spanish Fork and Camp Williams substations, four 345kV lines from the Mona area to the Camp Williams Substation, and two smaller 138kV lines from the Helper area (Carbon Substation) to the Spanish Fork Substation. These transmission lines are also used to meet other Rocky Mountain Power transmission commitments required between Arizona or Nevada and northern Utah. Reliability benefits would be provided by utilizing a separate corridor than the Mona – Camp Williams corridor in case of unscheduled outages or planned outages. Combined with back-up transmission capacity from the north, the Project transmission line can mitigate loss of load service due to outages occurring in the Mona – Camp Williams corridor or north of the Wasatch Front.

12. As northern Utah's electrical usage continues to grow, existing transmission lines will not have sufficient capacity to serve this projected load and ensure an adequate and reliable electric supply to northern Utah. While the addition of new generation facilities in northern Utah, such as the Lake Side generating plant, will relieve some potential stress on transmission lines between northern and southern Utah, the addition of such a generating plant in a highly-populated area may experience operating

restrictions due to environmental restrictions, such as those designed to regulate air quality standards.

13. Alternatives to constructing a new transmission line have been given serious consideration by the Company, but none were found that met the purpose and need of the Project. These included: (1) electric load and demand-side management and energy conservation, (2) new generation facilities within the Wasatch Front, (3) providing increased supply by adding additional capacity to existing transmission lines and alternative transmission technologies.

14. The Mona-Oquirrh transmission upgrade has been identified in the 2008 IRP as part of the Energy Gateway Transmission Expansion Project. For the 2007 IRP, the Mona-Oquirrh upgrade was incorporated as part of a transmission expansion option included in the IRP capacity expansion optimization model. The transmission expansion option was selected by the model under various input scenarios, and was subsequently included as part of the 2007 IRP preferred portfolio of resources.

15. The Project is part of a larger project entitled the "Energy Gateway Transmission Expansion Project," which includes Gateway West, Gateway South, and Gateway Central. The recently approved Populus-to-Terminal transmission project (approved in Report and Order, Docket No. 08-035-42, September 4, 2008) was part of the Gateway Central Part of this project. The Mona-Oquirrh project is part of Gateway Central. These coordinated projects represent a long-term effort by the Company to deliver network resources to loads, to support retail load growth, and improve reliability of the power grid, all of which is beneficial to Rocky Mountain Power customers as a whole.

16. The Mona–Oquirrh Project is included in the regional Western Electric Coordinating Council planning and ratings process as part of the Energy Gateway Transmission Expansion Project.

17. Even though the country is in the midst of an economic recession at this time, all long-range forecasts for the Wasatch Front demonstrate that Utah and the Wasatch Front will continue to grow at a rapid pace. As Dr. Zenger indicated her testimony in the Populus-to-Terminal case, there are less than three million Utah residents right now; however, estimates from the Governor's Office of Planning and Budget indicate that by 2017 there will be 3.5 million Utah residents growing to 4.3 million by 2030. (Zenger Direct, at 24). Despite conservation efforts by the Company and the public, it is clear that additional transmission capacity is necessary for the Company to meet the load growth over the foreseeable future.

18. Rocky Mountain Power has the capability to finance the Mona - Oquirrh and has a debt-to-equity ratio which provides for financial stability.

19. The Company will demonstrate that the present and future public convenience requires the construction of the Mona-Oquirrh line as described herein as and as will be described in greater detail in testimony. The proposed line does not constitute an extension into the certificated service territory of any existing public electric utilities.

WHEREFORE, Rocky Mountain Power requests:

a. The Commission enter an order opening a docket for the Application to be filed in the future by the Company for a CPCN to construct the Mona-Oquirrh line, that its order allow interested parties to intervene in this

matter subject to the standards of intervention, and that the order allow the parties hereto to immediately engage in discovery.

b. The Commission enter a protective order (the Company will file a motion for such an order within the next few days).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: June 30, 2009.

R. Jeff Richards
Rocky Mountain Power

Ted D. Smith
Stoel Rives LLP

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing **ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY** was served upon the following persons by email at the addresses shown below on June 30, 2009:

Michael Ginsberg
Patricia E. Schmid
Assistant Attorney Generals
500 Heber M. Wells Building
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
mginsberg@utah.gov
pschmid@utah.gov

Paul H. Proctor
Assistant Attorney General
500 Heber M. Wells Building
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
pproctor@utah.gov

Ariel Son
Coordinator, Administrative Services

Exhibit B

Mona to Oquirrh Transmission Corridor Project
Public Comment Form

Rocky Mountain Power would like to hear your comments regarding the proposed project. Please return this comment form to the sign-in table or mail it to:

Rocky Mountain Power
Mona to Oquirrh Transmission Corridor Project Team
1407 West North Temple
Room 250
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

You can also submit comments via email or phone:

E-mail: ConstructionProjects@pacificorp.com
Phone: 801-220-4221

Please state the project name (Mona to Oquirrh Transmission Corridor Project) when submitting your comment to Rocky Mountain Power via telephone or email.

The comments submitted on this form are for Rocky Mountain Power only. We also suggest submitting a formal comment to the BLM. (Please see below)

Comments:

THE ATTACHED documents are PART of the record of a public Meeting held of the Tooele City Council- the Mayor and Tooele City Council WANT TO officially OPPOSE The EXS beach Route as well as the Railroad right of Way Route through Tooele City.

these 2 Routes more adversley effect residence than any OTHER Route.

Mayor Patrick H Dunlavy
Tooele City Corporation

How did you hear about the landowner meeting? (Check one):

- ☐ Newsletter
- ☐ Project website
- ☐ Friends or neighbors
- ☐ TV ad
- ☐ Newspaper ad
- ☐ Other Direct Contact with City officials

Written public comments may be submitted to the BLM during the 90-day public review and comment period (May 15 to August 12, 2009) by the following methods:

- Attend a public open house meeting
- Email comments to UT_M2OTL_EIS@blm.gov
- Mail comments to the BLM:

Salt Lake Field Office
Attn: Mike Nelson
2370 S. 2300 W.
Salt Lake City, UT 84119

Fillmore Field Office
Attn: Clara Stevens
35 East 500 North
Fillmore, UT 84631

BLM Public Open House Meetings

Tooele County Courthouse
47 South Main, Tooele
June 23, 2009
5:00-8:00 p.m.

Cyprus High School
8623 W. 3000 S., Magna
June 24, 2009
5:00-8:00 p.m.

Juab County Fairgrounds
Multipurpose Room
350 W. Center St., Nephi
June 25, 2009
5:00-8:00 p.m.

Tell us how to reach you
Include your name, address, phone number, and e-mail, so we may keep you up to date about this project.

CONTACT INFORMATION
Please print information clearly

Name_____

Address_____

City _____State:_____ Zip:_____

Daytime phone: _____ E-mail address: _____

For more information visit Rocky Mountain Power's website or the BLM website at:

http://www.rockymountainpower.net/Article/Article77800.html

http://www.blm.gov/ut/st/en/fo/salt_lake/planning/mona_to_oquirrh_transmission.html

FOLD HERE

Place Stamp Here

Rocky Mountain Power
Mona to Oquirrh Transmission Corridor Project Team
1407 West North Temple
Room 250
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

July 1, 2009

RE: Rocky Mountain Power - Mona to Oquirrh Transmission Corridor Project

Dear Mayor Dunlavy and Tooele City Council,

First of all, Mayor Dunlavy, Thank you for requesting I address this issue this evening.

Rocky Mountain Power with the assistance of the BLM have been coordinating plans to run high voltage transmission corridors through Tooele City in residential areas. We cannot allow this to happen. It is unacceptable and we adamantly oppose all routes through Tooele City. These types of electrical towers need to be placed in Industrial areas and not across our city's residential areas where they will have negative impacts on human lives and negatively impact our environment. They want to place them right through the "heart of our valley". They picked the most beautiful area in all of Tooele to deface. We can't let them ruin our very best with these ugly megalithic power lines and towers when there are better options to place them in a County as large as Tooele.

We are not opposed to power, but we are opposed to RMP's proposed routes. We have coordinated efforts to notify the public of this injustice and in less than three weeks time we have organized a very large committee and mass numbers of citizens opposed to RMP proposed routes through Tooele City. Our knowledge of RMP's plans have grown and we appreciate your willingness to listen to our concerns and the input that we as citizens can contribute to resolving a better future for our city. We certainly won't be improving our way of life or attracting new people to live in Tooele with the 200 ft metal megaliths along the benches and foothills, or humming above Settlement Canyon Reservoir.

The citizens of Tooele were not notified in the proper process. Just ask anyone if they remember receiving notification from RMP or the BLM? The first time most residents had heard about RMP's plan was when they were asked to sign a Petition to oppose the Project; saw our committee's flyer, or read the article and Ad in the paper placed there by our committee. RMP sent a few residents a letter last fall asking permission to conduct a soil test on their property; we were one of them. We responded, firmly declining. Conveniently, we were taken off the list to receive future mailings regarding the project. Coincidently, the other residents who responded in like form were taken off the list to receive further communication concerning the project.

Time is a factor – The BLM filed the Federal Register on May 15, 2009. We have less than 45 days to make public comments and find justification why the project should be stopped or changed - or RMP can proceed with the project and commence construction. As a public utility they claim they can take imminent domain of our property. There are options to this process and we intend to make every effort to stop them using every possible resource available to do so.

At the BLM meeting June 23rd, we discovered the RMP project manager had not set foot on our mountains until that very morning. He was making an assessment without ever seeing the beautiful mountains and valley he would be destroying and the people whose homes and families he would be affecting. We showed pictures to the BLM representatives who were also unaware how close they were agreeing to placing these high voltage lines to homes with children. The last information the BLM had of the area didn't show homes that have been there for 8 to 10 years.

In researching the Environmental Impact Study (EIS), initial BLM maps were too broad and vague and the information presented in the final Environmental Impact Study (EIS) is inaccurate. The EIS states Tooele City doesn't have any plans for the future development of our City. The maps and pictures of the Tooele Valley used and presented by the BLM are not current. Why is our City's Master Plan for residential and industrial areas not included or better yet why were they left out? Other inconsistencies have been found in the EIS by our committee and we would like to address these areas with you.

The EIS also says Tooele County's General Plan 2006 only covers protecting the best interest of the Desert Peak Complex and Miller Motorsports Park. We plan to address this with the County Commissioners. This should be of concern to the citizens that the Commissioners are more concerned over the views and interests of these two pet projects than they are over the best interests of the residents who voted for them. Or has this been misrepresented in the EIS as well?

Adverse health hazards considered in the EIS Summary from electronic magnetic fields and sulfur hexafluoride gasses were stated as minimal, within or below guidelines. What exactly is this low number? One person in ten, one in a hundred, a thousand? The human being is the highest species of wildlife and should be given the utmost consideration. EMF is a documented cancer-causing agent by the World Health Organization since 2001 with new information and research refuting RMP's position. New studies show living near high voltage power lines increases the risks of leukemia in children, increases the cases of breast cancer & brain tumors all of which is at a greater proportion than RMP is presenting in the EIS.

If that isn't enough to make you oppose RMP's project, trust me, there are many, many more reasons. One of the proposed routes runs in front of Tooele high school's "T". Establishing a negative lasting affect on the view of the mountain with the beloved high school historical marker placed there by the 1916 graduating class of Tooele High School. The "T" is a symbol to THS alumni of their alma mattor. Twice a year hundreds of high school seniors access the "T" lighting it at homecoming and graduation. The "T" has both historical and sentimental value to the residents of Tooele serving as a visual welcoming home sign to all for over a hundred years. My concerns are also safety when it comes to the "T", high schooler's are known for their pranks and dares especially at these two events. There has already been reported deaths by young people trying to climb these power lines.

The EIS states construction activities increases the risk of wildfires. The proposed route would require approx. 128 miles of new access roads. Tooele City has a Volunteer Fire Department. Any additional risks of wildfires to the shrub and grassy dry mountainous areas in the late fall would increase

the danger of the lives of the volunteer fire fighters and place the surrounding residential areas in potential danger and loss of human lives and destruction of personal property. The closest additional fire assistance is the County Fire Dept located 15 to 20 minutes north in Stansbury Park and is also a volunteer service.

Antiquated, vague, inconsistent and incorrect information was filed with the Federal Government to present a biased view of our city which would grant RMP approval to proceed with a multi-billion dollar project that will negatively impact our valley to such a magnitude we will never be able to recover from it. Notice to citizens was not sufficient to present RMP's project in enough detail and with enough information with limited time left to oppose it. RMP was hoping to "fly under the radar" hoping we wouldn't notice them until it was too late, but this is not the case for them in Tooele. We have caught them in enough time to oppose their project before it was started and before it's too late to stop their current proposed routes through our city and valley.

According to RMP, the power line is needed for the Salt Lake Valley. Tooele may need additional power and benefit from it in the future and that's only "if" we have anything left to build to. We need to protect our best interests the same as other cities along the Wasatch front who have denied RMP to build on their side of the mountain. Our personal property value will decrease if these lines are built next to our homes. Any improvement we have made will be of no value. If the value of our homes decreases; the amount we pay in property tax will go down as well. This will be an individual loss and an annual loss to the tax base we so desperately need to maintain our schools, streets and the money used to operate our city and county.

We can't let a big corporation tear the heart out of our valley. We have given so much of our valley to other big corporations and to the government. It feels like we have given every other part of ourselves to nerve agents, toxic chemicals, chorline gases, to name just a few – because we – the citizens of Tooele – didn't have the opportunity to stand up and say no – No we don't want them here - before the decision was made to bring those poisons here.

We don't want any more. The heart is all we have left and we want it to remain untouched and still beating so we can build a future here. If we allow these power corridors to be placed where RMP and the BLM propose wrapping around the city, we won't have a future, we will be strangled by electricity, choked to death with no room to grow or beauty left to enjoy and our heart will stop beating.

Sincerely,

Kaye Pratt
Brad Pratt
743 Deer Hollow
Tooele, UT 84074

July 1, 2009

Mayor Dunlavy and Tooele City Council,

We have just in the past few weeks been informed of the preferred and the alternate routes that Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM are wanting to take to run the 500 kilovolt and the 345 kilovolt power lines through our city and our county for the Mona to Oquirrh Transmission Line Corridor Project.

I am here tonight as a concerned citizen and as a chairperson to the citizens group formed to oppose the routes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM for this project.

The proposed routes are in unacceptable and far too damaging to our city and its residents.

As you have also discovered, these routes pass over the mouths of both of our most used and cherished canyons. They will cross over our reservoir and across the foothills of our community. They are also proposed to run on the west side of our city for too close to the residents living there thus causing an extreme negative impact on the future residential growth in that area.

The plan proposed by RMP and the BLM have at this point not taken into consideration at all the welfare of Tooele City and in many ways Tooele County.

We have industrial areas and an extreme amount of open unimproved land that would be a far better choice for the power lines to be located. There is not an acceptable reason to destroy the beauty and the possibility of future residential growth in our city. In a way this would strangle us.

As a chairman of the citizen committee I have here a petition with approximately 1000 signatures of concerned citizens of Tooele opposing the current routes proposed for this project. I would like to present this petition to Mayor Dunlavy and the Tooele City Council at this time. There are still petitions out in the community at this time being signed. I am informed that at this time those petitions contain another approximately 700 signatures. We will continue to have opposing petitions signed through the month of July and then present a complete petition to you at that time. The members of the citizen group and those signing this petition are growing at a very rapid rate. (copy of petition given to The Mayor and City Council)

We applaud your decision as a Mayor and as the City Council for your care and support with this extreme matter. As a citizen group we plan to stand up and not allow the proposal currently in place. We need to stand together as a community and its leaders to protect what is sentimental and sacred to the residents of our community. There is an agreeable solution if we all can set down with Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM and find one.

Thank you for your time tonight,

Brad Pratt

MOUNTAIN WEST ORTHOPEDICS
& SPORTS MEDICINE

Chris Belton, DO

July 1, 2009

Christopher Belton
725 E. Oakridge Dr.
Tooele, Utah 84074

Dear Members of the City Council,

My family and I relocated from St. Louis, Missouri to Tooele last July to begin a new practice in Orthopedic Surgery. My wife and I studied where we wanted to live, and where we wanted to raise our children. After months of searching, decided to move to the south bench of Tooele, and begin a practice at Mountain West Medical Center. The community and the breath-taking view of the Oquirrh Mountains and the Tooele Valley helped us select the lot we purchased for our home. We love the pristine views of the Tooele Valley and the benches we enjoy from our home.

If the view of the southern bench were obstructed by large power lines, we would have selected a different place to build our home. Grantsville, Erda, and Lake Point are places we looked for potential places to build. None of these places offered the beauty of the south end of Tooele.

Our home is surrounded by lots for sale. Allowing large power lines to destroy the picturesque setting of our community will keep people from moving into our neighborhood and city.

These lines, if allowed to be put along the preferred route by Rocky Mountain Power, will have a negative impact on the visual aesthetics of our city, along with an impact on the economy and growth by making it a less desirable place to build new homes.

Thank you,

Christopher Belton

[illegible] 2000 North Ste 105 | Phone 435.843.3859
[illegible]

Letter to the City Council Regarding the Proposed Rocky Mountain Power Project

Members of the Tooele City Council, Mayor Dunlavy, Representatives of Rocky Mountain Power and the Bureau of Land Management, Citizens of the City of Tooele,

My name is Gary Swan. I am a native of Tooele, having been born here 45 years ago, and have lived most of my life in this beautiful community. I love Tooele, so much so, in fact, that, as many in this audience are aware, I have written songs about this love. Interestingly, and I suppose quite surprisingly, I have also had a certain affection for the electric utility industry and have written songs about this affection as well. In fact, my children have sung these songs, helping classroom teachers not only in Utah, but in other portions of the country, understand why electricity is so important to our modern society, where it comes from, and how we get it to our homes.

One thing I've learned in my work with electric utilities across this country is how difficult it is for a utility to site new transmission capacity. When the electric utility industry says this is a big issue and a critical need, they're not exaggerating. I also know that the biggest challenge any utility faces in this regard is NIMBYism, standing for Not In My Back Yard!

So why would I be here today, understanding and appreciating all that? Because Rocky Mountain Power, with all due respect, has made an absolutely horrible recommendation of a corridor in which to site its new transmission capacity along Tooele's Southeast Bench. In fact, short of putting those new lines right through the middle of somebody's subdivision, there could not be a more inappropriate location, a more negatively impactful location in this entire county.

Is this about individuals' property values? Sure, that's part of it. Is it about safety and health concerns for having that much electricity transmission so close to homes? Yes, that's part of it, too. But what this is really about is the entire character of a community. This project would create a breathtaking defacement of the beautiful Corner Mountain that has always served as a sentinel to the entire east side of the community of Tooele, and would also directly interfere with the big "T" on Clipper Peak, which has come to be a symbol of community spirit and strength.

One more thing. Many in this community have speculated and dreamed of the future possibility of having an LDS Temple on the property that Maxine Grimm owns. Sister Grimm herself, a good friend to several LDS church presidents, including presidents Hinckley and Monson, has this as a dream, and she's the property owner. And these transmission lines would come right exactly through where that temple would ultimately stand.

Rocky Mountain Power has a very effective marketing brand called "Do the Bright Thing." Siting this new transmission capacity along the proposed southeast route could not be more contradictory to that slogan. This project, as proposed, will create a permanent blight on this community's very character, and will create a devastating blight upon Rocky Mountain Power's good name in the hearts of Tooele's residents for decades to come. This valley is full of wide open, empty spaces, much more appropriate for a project like this. Please find a different route. This one is absolutely unacceptable.

Gary Swan

Mayor, City Council members

My name is Art Freiley. I agree with most everything that has been said here tonight in defense of the beauty and traditions of the City of Tooele.

My wife and I recently moved into our home which we built about a year ago. Before building our home for retirement, we looked at a number of different areas around Salt Lake but we eventually choose the city of Tooele and the bench area. What attracted us was the friendly community but the wonderful views of the mountains all around. I am very concerned that this proposed plan will adversely affect not only our views but the views of the many citizens around this community.

Currently there are many developments and lots available in the bench area that have yet to be built on, But who would want to purchase a lot and build their home on it, knowing the view includes these large towers and the power lines stretched across our beautiful Oquirrh mountains? I would expect that our property values will decline, if this plan proceeds which means less taxes for the city and the county.

I know there are alternative routes that can and should be considered. These would be less harmful to our mountains, and the a long held tradition of the "T". The bench area is one of the most desirable areas in Tooele, attracting professionals to build and live in the area, which is always good for Tooele businesses and its residents. I believe the bench and canyon areas should be protected from these unsightly power lines and towers.

I would encourage our City Council members to do all in their power to protect this area from being defaced and find another route that can meet the objection of this project to bring power to valleys of Tooele and Salt Lake. Thank you.

992

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Dennis Richardson	613 Mountaineer Circle
2. Janning Richardson	613 Mountaineer Circle
3. [illegible]	118 S. Willow, Grantsville
4. Myron Bateman	630 Kingston Dr. Tooele, UT
5. Marina Torres	1648 Dawson Ln. Tooele, UT
6. Andy Wilson	17 E. Silver Ave, Stockton, UT
7. Holly Unrein	1554 Calavita Way, Tooele UT
8. Miriam Bateman	630 Kingston, Dr., Tooele UT
9. Diane Parish	1168 Millcreek Way Tooele, UT
10. Liz Heap	347 Upland Dr. Tooele
11. Melanie Jensen	645 W. Vine St. Tooele
12. Jerry Jensen	645 W. Vine St. Tooele
13. Larry L Royal	395. 100 E Tooele
14. Dale Royal	395 100 E Tooele
15. Andy Ryle	395 100 E Tooele
16. Dawn Davies	803 E. 1120 N Tooele, UT
17. Paul Davies	803 E. 1120 N. Tooele, UT
18. Deborah Davis	576 S. 425 W, Tooele UT
19. Keith L. Davis	576 S. 425 W. Tooele, UT
20. Jesse Verda	295 Daysdale Way Tooele UT 84074
21. Ericka Jordt	482 Lindy way, Tooele UT 84074
22. Kristen Bolinder	315 S. 2ND Street, Tooele, UT 84074
23. Sherrie Ahlstrom	3896 N. 570 W. Erda, UT 84074
24. Kathy Taylor	44 Kelsey View Dr. Tooele UT 84074
25. Bethann Wilson	868 E 420 So., Tooele
26. [illegible]	868 E 420 So Tooele
27. [illegible]	529 E Parkhill Dr, Tooele UT
28. [illegible]	529 Parkhill Dr. Tooele UT 84074
29. Janet Bird	252 Upland Dr. Tooele Ut. 84074
30. [illegible]	252 Upland Dr Tooele UT 84074
31. Sharon Clemens	328 S. 400 W. Tooele, UT. 84074
32. [illegible]	328 S. 400 W Tooele UT 84074
33. [illegible]	958 E. 790 N. Tooele Ut. 84074
34. [illegible]	958 E. 790 N Tooele Ut 84074
35. Linda Searle	1330 E 630 S. Tooele, Ut 84074

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1.	882 Pioneer
2.	496 S 525 W
3.	496 S 525 W
4.	496 S 525 W
5.	5667 W Higley 84074
6.	149 E Del Rio Dr.
7.	1919 Cowboy Ln.
8.	255 So 2nd St
9.	470 West 700 South
10.	" " " "
11.	
12.	
13.	495 W Ut Ave #109,
14.	495 W UT AVE #15
15.	230 S 320 W. Tooele, Ut
16.	230 S. 320 W. Tooele UT
17.	528 Linden Tooele Ut
18.	294 So. 300 St. Tooele UT
19.	324 E Main St Grantsville UT
20.	324 E. Main St 84029 Grantsville
21.	2998 Pine Run Dr. Stockton UT
22.	5341 South Saddle, Cannyo ?
23.	466 N. Main street Apt 15 Tooele, Ut. 84074
24.	9054 N Hwy 40 #3 Lehi, UT
25.	392 West 200 South Tooele, UT
26.	39 N 1000 W Tooele UT
27.	39 N. 1000 W Tooele, UT
28.	96 E. Sunset Tooele
29.	264 S. 3rd E Tooele, UT 84074
30.	577 S. Main
31.	603 E. 1370 N Tooele
32.	401 Ontario
33.	401 Ontario
34.	61 W 1970 N
35.	1058 S. Newmark Dr

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Dan Garrard	515 S. Park Hill Dr Tooele
2. [illegible]	224 E. Cimmarron Erda Ut
3. Jordan [illegible]	224 E. Cimmarron Erda Ut
4. Brigitte Arellano	249 N. 6th St. Tooele, Utah
5. Ruth [illegible]	636 Grandview Circle Tooele
6. Louise Estenafaro	931 W. Utah ave Tooele Ut
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Jerry Worsencroft	5072 North August ST Erda
2. Sharon Worsencroft	5072 North August ST Erda
3. Bradley D Wells	624 E Berkshire Dr Tooele
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. [illegible]	[illegible]
2. Kamian [illegible]	[illegible] Webb Rd.
3. Bob Tomac	445 E. Vine St
4. Matt Tomac	162 W 400 So
5. Dylan Tomac	162 W 400 S
6. Rita Cole	825 Upland Dr
7. Earl Cole	" " "
8. Micki Tomac	445 E Vine, Tooele
9. Stacey Robinson	659 E Greystone Way Tooele
10. Tamra Thomas	6303 Lotus Way [illegible]
11. Amy Price	430 N. Garden St Tooele 84074
12. Randy Fisher	659 E Greystone, Tooele
13. Veronica Reilly	786 So. 480 W Tooele
14. Scott Cole	786 So 480 W Tooele
15. JEFF TERRY	5927 [illegible] LANE STANSBURY PARK
16. Cory Robison	225 Griffith Ct Tooele UT
17. Cheri Caldwell	1038 S 860 W Tooele UT 84074
18. Christy Whitehouse	1307 East Brandy DR. UT 84074
19. [illegible]	1038 S 860 W. Tooele UT 84074
20. Darlene Syndergaard	1563 Bryan Rd Erda, UT 84074
21. Kerry Syndergaard	1563 Bryan Rd Erda, UT 84074
22.	
23. JEFF CALDWELL	1038 So 860 W Tooele UT
24. Cindi Vario	358 E. 950 N.
25. PAT VARIO	381 W UT AVE
26. Jennifer Smith	358 N 590 E
27. Nicole Tomac	162 W. 400 S. Tooele UT 84074
28. Betty JONES	111 N. 100 E. Tooele UT
29. Sandra Hatton	666 Crestview DR Tooele, UT
30. AL Sandberg	354 No 100 EAST Tooele UT
31. McKenna Riley	362 W. Griffith St. Apt 10C
32. Carolee Rich	54 Park Avenue
33. Laura Linden	448 W. 400 So.
34. Brenda Oliphant	465 E 400 S.
35. Becky Walbeck	487 N 100 E

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

	NAME	ADDRESS
1.		3795 7th ST
2.		419 E. 4th St Tooele, UT
3.		418 S. 7th St. Tooele, UT
4.		390 S. 7th St. Tooele
5.		364 So. 7th St Tooele
6.		254 So 7th St Tooele
7.		521 UPLAND DR
8.		497 UPLAND DR
9.		497 UPLAND DR
10.		487 Upland Dr.
11.		387 Upland Dr.
12.		
13.		367 upland Dr.
14.		355 Upland Drive
15.		317 Upland Dr Tooele
16.		317 Upland Dr. Tooele
17.		307 Upland 84074
18.		305 Upland 84074
19.		295 Upland Dr. Tooele
20.		295 Upland Dr. Tooele
21.		
22.		
23.		
24.		
25.		
26.		
27.		
28.		
29.		
30.		
31.		
32.		
33.		
34.		
35.		

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Chad Pryor	300 Upland Drive
2. Eli Gamez	316 upland drive
3. Paul J Busico	433 Skyline Drive
4. Marty McPhie	309 Nottingham Dr.
5. Lon Jensen	301 Nottingham Dr.
6. Trina Allen	420 Nottingham Cir
7. Raul Ruiz	319 Nottingham Dr.
8. James Allen	360 Nottingham Dr.
9. Gerald Valley	436 Juniper Dr.
10. Kathy Ivarsen	319 Nottingham Dr.
11. Ann Gaich	425 Sleepy Hollows
12. Charles Carr	489 Sleepy Hollow Dr.
13. Mary L. Phillips	488 Terrace Ave.
14. [illegible]	815 Bannerville Way
15. [illegible]	517 Upland Dr
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Toney Keyser	1179 n Pantos Blvd Tooele UT
2. Josh Erickson	829 So 690 west Tooele UT
3. Chelsea Fox	1174 Bluff St Tooele, UT
4. [illegible]	524 oakridge dr
5. Kerilyn Kelley	
6. Emmalee Anderson	Grantsville
7. [illegible]	280 So. 200 W. Tooele
8. [illegible]	881 Upland Dr.
9. Sheryl McClendon	881 Upland Drive. Tooele Utah
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

Power Lines

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Jennifer Kanan	435 E. 1310 N. Tooele, Ut.
2. Brick Walbeck	487 N. 100 E. Tooele, UT.
3. Deonna Chavez	547 N 100 W Tooele, Ut
4. Brent [illegible]	[illegible]
5. Hannah Brown	584 Kay Lane Tooele Ut.
6. AMBER BIRD	42 S W 400 N Tooele UT 84074
7. [illegible]	593 E. Windsor Dr Tooele Ut 84074
8. Brent Facey	1061 Dawson Tooele Ut 84074
9. Patricia L Lewis	1774 Van Dyke Way Tooele UT 84074
10. FRANCES LEWIS	178 N 210 E TOOELE, UT 84074
11. DALE LEWIS	178 N 210 E TOOELE UT 84074
12. Carla Evans	741 Taylor In Tooele UT
13. Jamie Williams	904 N 450 E Tooele UT
14. Carmen Camacho	649 N 200 W TOOELE UT
15. Alice Nielson	462 W. 900 S Tooele UT
16. Steve Nielson	462 W. 500 S Tooele
17. Larry Nielson	18 S. 820 E. Tooele
18. Yvonne Nielson	18 So. 820 E Tooele
19. Louise Paddock	814 Eastwood Dr. Tooele
20. Amy Anderson	767 N 310 East Tooele
21. Tom Broadhead	5711 N. Helm Ln. Tooele
22. Scott Broadhead	5711 N. Helm Ln. SLP, UT
23. Brianna Wolfram	1247 E CASSITY DRIVE. UT.
24. Bryan Tso	919 N. 1430 E, TOOELE, UT 84074
25. Stephanie Tso	919 N. 1430 E. TOOELE, UT 84074
26. Karl Connelly	334 Nottingham Dr Tooele Ut 84074
27. BRYAN LLOYD	725 ELK MEADOW LOOP TOOELE, UT 84074
28. LISA Terry	16 N. Taylor Rd Grantsville 84029
29. [illegible] Baker	417 E 980 N Tooele Ut 84074
30. Roberto Nantz	984 S. Mustang Dr. Grantsville
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Brett D. Clark	777 Upland Drive Tooele, UT
2. [illegible]	804 Copeland Drive Tooele
3. [illegible]	820 Upland Drive
4. [illegible]	820 UPLAND DR.
5. [illegible]	828 Clifford Dr.
6. [illegible]	806 E Clifford Drive
7. James C Stephens	803 CLIFFORD DRIVE
8. Samantha Alverson	334 Lisa Way, Tooele
9. Michael Haller	276 Lisa Way, Tooele
10. Cindy Haller	276 LISA Way, Tooele
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1.	
2. Bonnie Chrisp	822 OAK Court
3. Mel Beilke	566 OAK
4. Linda Myers	558 Oak Lane
5. Sheila Chase	831 OAK Lane
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Dana Wheat	471 Gordon Circle Tooele UT
2. Lowell Ford	330 47th St
3. Evelyn A. Valentine	644 Skyline Dr, Tooele, UT
4. Dorothy Pratt	609 Oak Ct. Tooele, UT
5. Pete Karabatsos	601 Oak Ct. Tooele, Utah
6. Stella Karabatsos	601 Oak Ct. Tooele, UT
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Bev + Gary Brooks	614 Mountaineer Circle
2. Gary Brooks	614 Mountaineer Cir
3. Meredith Fairbanks	623 mountaineer circle
4. Marc Fairbanks	"
5. Dan & Nana BUTCHER	595 Mountaineer Circle
6. Edwin Brito	517 Mountaineer
7. [illegible]	552 Tamarack
8. [illegible]	492 Gordon Circle
9. [illegible]	472 GORDON CIRCLE
10. Robin M Douglas	560 Skyline Drive
11. Marlene Clawson	320 So 1700 St.
12. [illegible]	390 So. 1700 St.
13. [illegible]	481 Oakridge Dr.
14. [illegible]	" "
15. [illegible]	575 OAKRIDGE DR.
16. [illegible]	593 OAKRIDGE DR.
17. [illegible]	593 OAKridge Dr
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Marianne Rutishauser	36 Lakeview Stansbury Park
2. Marlowe Mackay	897 n. Opal Ln! Tooele
3. Joshua Mackay	897 N. Opal Ln. Tooele
4. Pete Burgess	347 Nottingham Dr. Tooele
5. Erika Carson	371 S 100 W Tooele, Ut. 84074
6. Edward W Carson	371 South 100 west, Tooele, UT 84074
7. Valerie Lee	833 West Vine, Tooele UT
8. Jennifer Allred	468 So. Nottingham Dr Tooele
9. Judy Martinez	583 Pine meadow lane Tooele
10. Julia Workman	215 S. 2nd st. Tooele
11. Brittany Allred	468 Nottingham Dr. Tooele
12. Aubrey Smith	4982 N. Heidi Way, Erda UT
13. Craig Smith	4982 N. Heidi Way. Erda UT
14. Mindy Jacobsen	5364 N LaRochelle Ln Stansbury
15. Jimmy Jacobsen	5364 N LaRochelle Ln Stansbury
16. Kathy Clark	650 Valleyview Dr Tooele Ut.
17. Brad Clark	650 Valleyview Dr Tooele, Ut
18. Michelle Nielson	858 E 900 N. Tooele, UT
19. Dale Nielson	858 E 900 N. Tooele, UT
20. Gail Williams	549 S. Hale St Grantsville Ut
21. Kelly Williams	549 S. Hale St Grantsville Ut.
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Anthony Busico	498 Elm St. Tooele UT 84074
2. Samantha Woodruff	375 E. main St grantsville UT 84029
3. Eric Ahlstrom	877 Valley View Dr.
4. GERALD E. GORDON	120 N 5TH ISTREET
5. ANN GORDON	120 N 5th Street
6. Helen Remark	103 N 5th Street
7. [illegible]	129 No 6th St. Tooele
8. [illegible]	469 Sleepy Hollow Drive-Tooele
9. [illegible]	99 N. Broadway Tooele UT
10. MANNY MANUSAKIS	469 Sleepy Hollow Dr Tooele UT
11. Barbara Davis	79 no. 5th Tooele, Ut.
12. Michael Davis	79 No. 5th Tooele Ut.
13. Jorge Andrade	615 E SMELTER RD
14. [illegible]	615 E SMELTER RD
15. Shelly Spradley	42N 5th St Tooele
16. Samantha Spradling	92N 5th St Tooele
17. Jim Smalley	51N 5th St. Tooele
18. [illegible]	89 N. 5th ST Tooele
19. Andrew Candelario	60 N. 5TH ST UT TOOELE
20. [illegible]	84N 4TH ST TOOELE UT
21. [illegible]	84N 4TH ST TOOELE UT
22. Valine Jeffries	84 N 4th st Tooele UT
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Marlene Nelson	566 W. Vine - Tooele
2. Donna McKendrick	896 W. Vine - Tooele
3. Jo Ann Jacobson	725 So Coleman Tooele
4. [illegible]	[illegible] Tooele
5. Alyce Sly	262 No. 6 St - Tooele, Ut
6. Donna Hamilton	634 W 6th Tooele, Utah
7. Josh Yeke	1438 N. 650 E.
8. [illegible]	1438 N. 650 E
9. [illegible]	1438 N 650 E
10. Ruth Broadbent	497 N 4th st Tooele Ut
11. Betty Garcia	617 N 4th st Tooele Ut
12. [illegible]	295 Skyline Dr.
13. [illegible]	124 N 4th St
14. [illegible]	124 N 4th St
15. [illegible]	120 N. 4th St.
16. [illegible]	267 E Mar Vista LN
17. [illegible]	620 W Vine St Tooele, Ut
18. [illegible]	620 W. Vine Tooele Ut.
19. [illegible]	267 Mar Vista LN Tooele Utah
20. [illegible]	496 Elm Street Tooele Ut
21. [illegible]	160 N 4th St Tooele Ut
22. [illegible]	4656 400 No.
23. [illegible]	128 No 4th St. Tooele
24. Andrew Quintana	128 No 4th St. Tooele
25. [illegible]	263 Maple Street Tooele
26. Roger Willey	263 Maple Street Tooele
27. [illegible]	265 E Maple Tooele
28. Diane Clark	381 N Washington #B101 Og, Ut 84044
29. Bonnie Bostwood	389 S. 360 W. Tooele Ut. 84074
30. Beth Miller	389 S. 360 W. Tooele Ut 84074
31. Bonney Broadway	3875 Rowberry Ln. Erda
32. Myrtle Astley Jaramillo	3375 So 35 W Bluff Ut
33. Lorna Brignich	756 200 So. Tooele, ut.
34. [illegible]	
35. [illegible]	103 N. 4th Street
36 Daniel Tanner	103 N 4th street
37 Chris Connelly	3854 N 570 W Erda, Ut
38	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

	NAME	ADDRESS
1.	Jeff Fuchs	63 Benchmark Vill.
2.	Mark Bonham	142 W. 5TH ST.
3.	Ed Brown	966 W 810 S
4.	Cindy Wood	620 Country Club
5.	Mark Martinez	76 W 1776 N
6.	Mike Baran	777 N. MAIN ST.
7.	Louise Grondahl	322 E 600 N.
8.	Howard Simmonds	54 W. UTAH.
9.	JoAnn Simmonds	" " " "
10.	Teresa Busico	62 W. Utah Ave.
11.	David DeLaMare	392 Lindy Way Tooele Ut
12.	Lisa DeLaMare	392 Lindy Way Tooele
13.	Darrell Ogden	40 Liberty Dr. Tooele
14.	Lorraine Ogden	46 S. 525 W Tooele
15.	Terry Cardenas	5520 Hampton Way Tooele
16.	Debbie Spalding	5520 Hampton Way Tooele
17.	Brad Pope	41 W 400 N. Tooele
18.	Samuel D. Pope	4 W. 400 N. Tooele, UT
19.	Kathie Cochrane	388 Lindy Way Tooele, UT
20.	Mark West	38 Spring Lane Tooele, UT
21.	Ed Brown	66 Benchmark Village
22.	Don Hall	192 S 100 E Tooele
23.	[illegible]	124 S. 200 E. Tooele
24.	Eugene Barajas	687 Kingston Dr Tooele UT
25.	Mac Curry	553 N. Main Tooele UT 84074
26.	Jim Busico	305 South 100 West Tooele
27.	Marlene Simmonds	495 W. 620 S. Tooele UT 84074
28.	Dixie Wilkinson	376 Ea. Meadows Drive Tooele 84074
29.	Carl Cottle	1034 Brookfield Ave Erda UT 84074
30.	Dixie Underkofler	85 Benchview Drive
31.	Brad Sutton	777 N. Main St.
32.	Ron Wirth	600 COUNTRY CLUB
33.	Barbara Lane	714 MAIN TOOELE
34.	Tim Bill	314 SOUTH 1230 EAST TOOELE 84074
35.	Darrell Lee	833 W. VINE, TOOELE UT 84074

0

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Baron Ortzen	872 South Ostenhollow Rd.
2. Jason Ortzen	872 South Deerhollow Rd.
3. Lonnie Hunt	405 W 400 So.
4. Stanley Varco	145 E. 942 N
5. Elbert Varco	65 E. Ut. ave.
6. Ida + Mike Spraggs	210 Lakeview Stansbury Pk. Ut
7. Steven Hunt	405 W, 400 So.
8. Kathy Ball	442 Mapleton Cir.
9. Marsha Burico	940 E Ironwood Road ERDA
10. Valeny Burico	940 E Ironwood Road ERDA
11. John A Chacon	548 Pioneer AVE
12. Sharon Wimmer	127 Birchview DR Tooele, UT 84074
13. Robert A. Simms	551 Nottingham Dr. Tooele, UT 84074
14. [illegible]	523 Nottingham Dr Tooele UT 84074
15. Robert W. Keegan	523 Nottingham Dr Tooele UT
16. [illegible]	523 Nottingham Dr Tooele UT
17. Alisha Byers	569 Nottingham Dr. Tooele UT
18. Mickey Byers	569 nottingham dr Tooele ut
19. Andrew K Byers	569 Nottingham DR Tooele UT 84074
20. MICHAEL D. ATHERLEY	373 MEADOWS DR, TOOELE 84074
21. Rose Civil	363 Chameleon St. Tooele 84074
22. Elizabeth Avila	306 N 200 W Tooele
23. Nicole Mahalich	234 S. 150 W. Tooele, UT
24. Crystal Huntsman	810 Canyon Way Tooele UT 84074
25. [illegible]	469 Linden ST Tooele 84074
26. [illegible]	541 Rock Hill Dr.
27. [illegible]	478 Birch St. Tooele ut 84074
28. Chris Thompson	478 Birch St Tooele ut 84074
29. Shawna Hall Allestor	1084 S. 810 W. Tooele, Ut 84074
30. Corey Gilpin	509 Holly Dr. Tooele UT 84074
31. [illegible]	509 Holly Dr. Tooele UT 84074
32. Mitchell D. Coggens	509 Holly Dr Tooele UT 84074
33. [illegible] (SHANE ROBERTS)	7867 MTN VIEW LAKE POINT, UT
34. KAREN BASNELL	827 DEER HOLLOW TOOELE
35. ERIC BASNELL	827 DEER HOLLOW TOOELE

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Robert Rudy	841 Upland Drive
2. AYAN TRUESDALE	326 DUROCHER LANE
3. Maurice / Janis	768 So 330 W
4. Cody Diane Crossley	913 W 740 S
5. Tammra Namanny	899 N 1120 E.
6. Cindy Veyera, J	388 S 930 W
7. Dallas Wyrick	992 TIMPIE RD.
8. Steve Garcia	335 W Durfee St
9. Cecil Butler JR	114 - Sagehill Cir Grantsville
10. Johnny Gonzales	854 W 620 S
11. Mike Johnson	272 N Peach Grantsville
12. Rich Pierson	140 N 100 W Tooele
13. Brigham Worsencroft	246 N 200 W Tooele
14. Jacey Worsencroft	50 N August Erda
15. Tom BOSMA	55 N 2 nd St
16. CRAIG VIGIL	655 ontario St.
17. Walt Vigil	630 Upland Dr
18. Carla Vigil	630 Upland Dr
19. Kyley Vigil	555 ontario St
20. Shaab Worsencroft	507 N August Erda
21. Jean Worsencroft	246 N 200 W Tooele
22. Manuel Garcia	66 Park Ave.
23. Brenda Thorton	555 Ontario St
24. Rebecca Manuel	791 Arrow Tooele
25. Tim Tanner	948 N 600 W Tooele
26. Caroline Sanchez	508 Lindy Way
27. MIKE YOUNG	1840 N - MTN VIE
28. TAWNYA MARTINEZ	630 Upland Drive
29. MIKE HEPNER	BROADWAY ?
30. JOSH JOHNSON	630 Upland Drive
31. Shelly Rich	824 N 100 W Tooele
32. Bill Myers	824 N 100 W Tooele
33. Monica Lowe	59 E 400 N Tooele
34. Stevie Reed	59 E 400 N Tooele
35. Andy Lowe	400 N Coleman
Emy Lowe	400 N Coleman

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Melodi Cochis	782 W 200 S Tooele UT
2. Randy L Cochis	782 W 200 S Tooele UT
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Scott Wardle	630 Pioneer Ave Tooele City
2. Keith Bird	1339 E. Haylie lane, Tooele.
3. Dave Morrin	567. So. 1350 E Tooele
4. Jim Webber	784 S. Elk Meadow Loop Tooele
5. John Hansen	739 E. Oakridge Dr. Tooele UT
6. Pete & Buziani's	1523 Middle Canyon Rd Tooele, Ut.
7. J.P. Hansen	372 E. Meadows dr. Tooele, Ut.
8. Kim, Hansen	372 E. Meadows Dr. Tooele, Ut
9. Janet Vincent	1246 E. Cassity Dr.
10. Lacey Vincent Horne	1246 E Cassity Dr.
11. Mike Horne	1246 E Cassity Dr.
12. Ronald Vincent	1246 E Cassity Dr. Tooele UT
13. Terri Garrard	515 S. Park Hill Dr Tooele Ut
14. Mike Garrard	515 S. Park Hill Dr. Tooele Ut.
15. John & Pauline Speirs	510 Oakridge Dr Tooele, Ut
16. David LeSueur	1302 E. 700 S. Tooele, UT
17. Paula LeSueur	1302 E. 700 S. Tooele, Utah
18. Marilyn Roundy	770 Skyline Dr Tooele, UT
19. Karlene Wells	624 E. Berkshire Dr.
20. Michael Wells	624 E. Berkshire
21. Brett Curry	738 Deer Hollow Rd Tooele Ut
22. Gabrielle Curry	738 Deer Hollow Rd Tooele Ut
23. Jeff England	732 Haylie Lane, Tooele, UT 84074
24. Pete Grimm.	Grimm Hill Rd, P.O. Box 569, Tooele.
25. Dennis Hullinger	535 Oakridge Drive Tooele UT
26. Rosemary Hullinger	535 Oakridge Dr. Tooele
27. Darin Smith	1373 E. Cassity Dr. Tooele
28. Laurie Smith	1373 E. Cassity Dr Tooele
29. Gary England	775 Elk meadow loop Tooele
30. Colleen England	775 Elk Meadow Loop Tooele
31. Mike Outzen	872 So Deer Hollow Rd Tooele
32. Doreen Outzen	872 So. Deer Hollow Tooele
33. Dave Dominquez	783 Deer Hollow Tooele
34. Brad & Kaya Pratt	743 Deer Hollow Tooele Ut
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Amanda Hunt	442 S. Main Tooele
2. Timothy Hunt	442 S. Main Tooele
3. Marty Bateman	630 King Ken Dr
4. Flavia S. Silva	630 King Ken Dr.
5. [illegible]	505 E 1180 N
6. [illegible]	1561 N 100 W
7. ANDREW DEAN	~~Tre~~ 708 FOX RUN DR
8. Layne Harsha	718 Fox Run Dr. Tooele
9. Tammy Resnick	779 Left Hand Fork Dr. Tooele.
10. Matthew Chard	789 E Left Hand Fork Dr
11. Stephanie Chard	" " "
12. Maudean Seal	852 E Left Hand Fork Dr Tooele
13. June Gentis	886 Left Hand FK Dr.
14. Tom Gentis	" " "
15. Carol L Orr	873 E Left Hand Fork Dr. Tooele
16. Robert J Orr	" " "
17. Cheri Rivas	874 E. Left Hand Fork Dr, Tooele
18. Kellie Thompson	885 E Left Hand Fork Dr. Tooele
19. Tony Gonzales	822 E. left hand fork dr
20. Tammy Gonzales	822 E left hand fork dr.
21. Tamara Parisot	1498 E 850 N Tooele
22. Gabriela Munguia	1472 E 850 N Tooele
23. Clint Pearson	1462 E. 850 N. Tooele
24. Christmas Pearson	1462 E. 850 N. Tooele
25. Cheryl Smith	583 Sunset Ave Tooele
26. Richard E Smith	583 Sunset Ave. Tooele
27. Hollie M. Smith	583 Sunset Ave, Tooele
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Andrea Pratt	1058 N 690 E Tooele, UT 84074
2. Nick Pratt	1058 N 690 E Tooele, UT 84074
3. Shane Bu[illegible]	po21 N 690E Tooele, UT 84074
4. Christina Hatten	1037 N 690E Tooele, UT 84074
5. Katerina Johnson	1092 N. 690 E Tooele, UT 84074
6. Facie Bracken	919 N 690 E Tooele, UT 84074
7. Derek Bracken	919 N 690 E Tooele, UT 84074
8. Blair Brinkerhoff	707 Fox Run Dr. Tooele, UT 84074
9. Carol Whatcott	709 Fox Run Dr Tooele UT 84074
10. Brannon Harmer	779 Left Hand Fork Dr Tooele UT 84074
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1.	1879 N Blue Peaks Dr. Tooele, UT 84074
2.	1879 N Blue Peak Dr. Tooele, UT 84074
3.	853 W. 1000 S. Tooele Ut 84074
4. Lucile Ellis	853 W. 1000 S. Tooele Ut 84074
5.	795 W 740 S Tooele Ut 84074
6.	795 W 740 S Tooele UT 84074
7.	251 S. West St Grantsville, UT
8.	" " "
9.	1954 E Pine Canyon Rd. Pinecanyon, UT
10.	1160 N 200 W #138 Tooele
11.	799 N 730 W Tooele, UT 84074
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Cherie Ridley	841 Upland Dr.
2. Robert Ridley	841 Upland Dr.
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

Section 190

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Boden Ty Hunt	413 Pinecrest Dr Tooele
2. Sheri W Hunt	413 Pinecrest Dr Tooele
3. Lindsey R. Hullinger	473 West Vine St. Tooele, UT
4. Mc Cain Hullinger	473 West Vine St. Tooele, Ut
5. Vivian Fadia	275 Beran Way Tooele, UT
6. Wayne Roberts	43 Benchmark Dr. Tooele
7. Wallayan Roberts	43 Benchmark Dr. Tooele
8. Linda De Cuir	979 West Vine St. Tooele
9. Bonnie DeSimon	979 West Vine St Tooele
10. K F Holman	4 Lafayette Stansbury Park
11. ED Edwards	220 TULE CIR TOOELE
12. Robin Engen	220 TULE CIR TOOELE
13. Marilyn Shields	458 Cove Jay Circle Stansbury Park, UT
14. Sonya K Conley	266 Upland Drive Tooele UT 84071
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

2

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Allen Mapes	211 SOUTH HALE ST apt 15
2. Joe Rakes	347 N 880 E Tooele
3. Mario Salazar	59 N 50 W Tooele.
4. Logan Caldwell	1875 E. Pine Canyon Rd. Tooele
5. Charline Gallo	326 Manista Lane Tooele
6. Chris Smith	326 Manista lane Tooele
7. Joe Santistevan	534 North Broadway Tooele
8. Domonic Ayles	320 Manista Lane Tooele
9. Big Tom Hebbeler	59 SOUTH 50 WEST #B Tooele
10. Karen Christiansen	495 W Utah Ave Tooele
11. Ellis Larson	305 W 200 S Tooele
12. Ed Overton	294 Crestview Dr Tooele
13. Steph Amy	460 Americanway Tooele
14. Rebecca Wager	320 Manista Lane, Tooele.
15. Melissa Mazarin	635 N Chimney Rock Cir.
16. Ron Hansen	1280 E. 1300 N. Tooele
17. Brandon McCollum	305. S. 200 W.
18. Kathy Mangum	1172 Southwest DR. Tooele UT
19. Richard Gardner	9 N 3rd ST
20. Lee Hunt	722 Mockel Dr.
21. Catherine Evans	1790 100 west Tooele, UT
22. Carla Sanders	276 W. Alfred Dr. Tooele Ut.
23. David Grant	784 W 2nd St Tooele
24. CINDY HOOK	1174 N 100 E Tooele UT 84074
25. Dee Dee	871 S Coleman St. #78 Tooele UT 84074
26. Marie Sam	878 E 420 S. Tooele
27. Mark Bond	511 E Utah Ave
28. Janey Brosseau	325 Birch ST. Tooele, UT 84074
29. Debra Rae	943 N. Foxrun Dr Tooele UT 84074
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Willie Medina	257 Highland Dr. Tooele, UT
2. [illegible] McEachern	222 E 400 N Tooele, UT
3. Jeanine McEachern	222 E 400 N Tooele, UT
4. [illegible]	892 N Flint Circle Tooele, UT
5. [illegible]	892 N Flint Circle Tooele, UT
6. [illegible]	393 S. 1370 E Tooele UT
7. Darla Davis	393 S 1370 E Tooele UT
8. Mary Cowan	831 E 920 S Tooele
9. Tonya Perkington	455 Skyline Dr. Tooele, UT
10. Miranda Bradston	1258 E 270 S. Tooele, UT
11. Adam Bradston	1258 E 270 S. Tooele, UT
12. SUSAN WELTGREENWAY	288 S 1230 E Tooele, UT
13. [illegible]	313 S. 1230 E Tooele UT
14. [illegible]	313 S. 1230 E Tooele, UT
15. [illegible]	1256 E Upland Tooele Ut
16. [illegible]	
17. ANDREW NEAL	899 Upland Dr. Tooele UT 84074
18. Bonnie Neal	899 Upland Dr. Tooele UT 84074
19. M Jane Murray	234 So Benchview Dr Tooele UT 84074
20. Brandon Murray	234 So Benchview Dr Tooele UT 84074
21. John Davis	196 So Benchview
22. Kristie Wardenton	178 So Benchview Dr
23. Kelli Walker	178 So Benchview Dr
24. Steve Davis	164 Benchview Dr.
25. Corinne Davis	164 Benchview Dr
26. [illegible]	172 Benchview Dr
27. Randy Wood	515 East Nygren Street
28. Andrea Ego	40 N. Trackside Circle Grantsville, UT 84029
29. Diana Jameson	95 E. 600 No Tooele UT 84074
30. Barbara Benner	421 No 200 W Lot #1 Tooele Ut 84074
31. Jessica Winegar	471 No 200 W Lot #19 Tooele UT 84074
32. Kay Daniel	375 N Landmark Dr 84074
33. Kevin Benner	421 N 200 W Lot #1 Tooele Utah
34.	
35.	

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. [illegible]	[illegible]
2. LONNIE FALLIN	568 S 1400 E.
3. Doug Redmond	584 S 1400 E
4. Katie Redmond	584 S 1400 E
5. James P. Delaney, LTC USA	616 S 1400E
6. Abigail Z Delaney	616 S 1400 East
7. Philip Knapp	1178 Paddock Blvd South
8. [illegible]	1352 E. CASSITY DR.
9. [illegible]	151 W 480 So
10. CAROL WALTERS	151 W 480 So
11. Gloria J. Collister	294 N. 200 W.
12. Lonnie Collister	294 N. 200 W.
13. Lisa Hunter	1268 E. Smelter Road
14. Leanne Hunter	1268 E. Smelter Road
15. Leonard Hunter	171 S. 3rd Street
16. Chad Hunter	171 S. 3rd Street
17. Brandon Hunter	171 S. 3rd Street
18. Dane Fabian	275 BEVAN WAY
19. Breanna Stephens	803 E Clifford Drive
20. Todd Stephens	803 CLIFFORD DRIVE
21. [illegible]	779 E. Clifford Dr.
22. [illegible]	779 E. Clifford Dr.
23. Nathan Johnson	779 E. Clifford Dr.
24. Helen Ekins	288 Lisa Stacy Tooele
25. Jay Ekins	288 Lisa Stacy Tooele
26. [illegible]	592 S. 1400 E. Tooele
27. Terence Searle	592 S. 1400 E. Tooele
28. [illegible]	668 S. 1400 E Tooele
29. [illegible]	668 S. 1400 E Tooele
30. Melissa Horman	1335 Brandy Lane Tooele
31. Larry Horman	1335 Brandy Lane Tooele
32. [illegible]	1294 Haylie Lane, Tooele
33. Peter Ventura	1294 Haylie Lane Tooele
34. Nanette Sharon	1349 Haylie Lane Tooele
35. Vennifer & Phil Wilson	1231 E Brandy Lane Tooele

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Roy Cassity	1333 E. CASSITY DR Tooele UT 84074
2. ANTONETTE CASSITY	" " " " " "
3. Richard Hansen	301 Crestview Dr Tooele UT 84074
4. Preston Simpson	319 Crestview Dr. Tooele UT 84074
5. Donna Santoro	1333 E. CASSITY DR Tooele UT. 84074
6. Janet Johnson	598 So. 1400 E. Tooele, Ut 84074
7. Art Johnson	" " "
8. Tawnya Merrill	5675 1350 E Tooele ut. 84074
9. Dewie Merrill	" " "
10. Portia M. Poulle	1303 Haylie Ln, Tooele Ut 84074
11. Suzanne Pitt	1291 Haylie Ln Tooele ut 84074
12. Jean Hall	494 So 1280 E Tooele, ut 84074
13. Dan L Hall	494 S 1280 E Tooele, UT 84074
14. Shaney Yates	614 So OAK Court Tooele Ut 84074
15. Cynthia Yates	614 S. Oak Ct Tooele
16. Jeremy Lee	1247 E. CASSITY DR. TOOELE 84074
17. Shandra Lee	1247 E. Cassity Dr Tooele 84074
18. William P. Lee	1247 E. Cassity Dr Tooele, Ut 84074
19. Diana Mark	1336 E Haylie Ln Tooele UT 84074
20. Alan L Mark	" " " " " "
21. Kamille Gould	278 W. Main #C1 Grantsville, UT 84029
22. Star Cassity	1378 E. Cassity Drive, Tooele, UT 84074
23. Cody Cassity	" " " " " "
24. [illegible]	" " " " " "
25. [illegible]	" " " " " "
26. [illegible]	1012 N 600 E Tooele UT 84074
27. Eric Erickson	1012 N 600 E Tooele UT 84074
28. Teresa Erickson	" " " " " "
29. Tyler Hanson	587 Janelle cove way Tooele ut 84074
30. Michael Griffeth	69 Lakeview, Stansbury Park, UT 84074
31. Kindy Griffeth	69 Lakeview, Stansbury Park, UT 84074
32. Jessica C Brown	561 Tamarack Dr, Tooele UT 84074
33. John Brown	561 Tamarack Dr, Tooele UT 84074
34. Janice Eakeman	655 Crestview Dr Tooele Ut 84074
35. June Garner	655 Crestview Dr Tooele Ut 84074

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Kris Schaff	740 N. Main St. Toele, Ut 84074
2. Manuel Garcia	740 N Main St Tooele.
3. Edward Garcia	295 N Coleman
4. Sasha Garcia	(8th street
5. Allen Garcia	Valley View
6. Diane Garcia	551 Spruce
7. Garrett Hanson	587 Janelle cove unit Toole UT
8. Stacey Hager	893 w Coles
9. Skyler Speedlove	
10.	
11. Kerry Wilson	740 n main st Tooele Utah
12. Elliott Riegel	791 E 360S
13. Debra Riegel	791 E. 360 S
14. Josi Candelario	740 N. Main St. Tooele UT
15. Todd Miller	782 n 620W Tooele UT
16. Tolen Tenon	1197 Copper Street
17. Brenda Livingston	975 South West Drive
18. Richard Warburton	225 So Russell Ln
19. Mark Warburton	225 So Russell Ln
20. Joen T Mole	1197 copper street
21. Jeff Miller	975 South West Drive
22. Tyler Hendrickson	331 East 880 North
23. Paul Hendrickson	331 east 880 north
24. Maria Mullins	587 Janelle Cove
25. Kacey Mullins	587 Janelle Cove
26. Ginger Webb	587 Janelle Cove Tooele
27. Jeannine Bingham	667 Crestview Dr, Tooele
28. Dennis Bingham	667 Crestview Dr, Tooele
29. Karen K. Dobson	637 Kingston Dr. Tooele
30. [illegible] Dobson	637 Kingston Dr. Tooele
31. [illegible]	1373 S. Cassity Dr. Tooele UT 84074
32. [illegible]	817 Clifford dr. Tooele ut 84074
33. [illegible]	817 Clifford Dr Tooele UT 84074
34. [illegible] Dart	275 Crestview Dr. Tooele UT 84074
35. Daniel R Dart	" " " "

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Melvin V Foreman	655 Crestview Dr Tooele, UT 84074
2. Kristen Haymon	167 S. Coleman Tooele, UT 84074
3. Matthew Eddington	
4. Vacant	Alessa Betty
5. Karen Ellerd	283 Skyline Dr Tooele
6. Shane Maycock	856 E. Delgada Lane Stansbury Pk
7. Sylvan Stewart	313 Tessaron Circle
8. Missy Johnson	286 E Utah Ave Tooele Ut
9. Michelle Stewart	313 Bareen Cir. Tooele, Ut.
10. Holly Crew	203 W Creekside Lane #117
11. Brooke Smith	7550 Indian Mtn Rd R Valley
12. Chris Heap	1120 W. Utah ave. 101
13. Connee Furniss	527 Wasatch Way
14. Lisa Smith	7550 Indian Mtn Trail
15. Peter Klein	163 Lakeview
16. Heidi Klein	163 Lakeview
17. Keith Siniscalchi	691 Elk Meadow Loop Tooele UT
18. Kara Kartchner	1887 N 270 W. Tooele, Ut
19. Dolores Smith	7550 Indian Mtn Rd Rush Valley
20. Brooke Hildebrand	814 W 1120 S Tooele, UT 84074
21. Kent Baker	194 N. Connor Ave Stockton UT
22. Claudia Baker	194 N Cooper Ave Stockton, UT
23. Karen Williams	6308 N Bannister Rd Grantsville UT
24. Kim Allred	315 W. Walk Stockton Ut
25. Dana Allred	315 W Walk Stockton Ut
26. Rachel Klein	163 Lakeview Stansbury
27. Stephanie Klein	163 Lakeview Stansbury Park Ut
28. Dustin Doeman	365 W. Plum St Grantsville Ut
29. Kade Ashley	163 Lakeview Stansbury Park Ut
30. Kristen Fisk	509 Wheat Dr. Stansbury Pk, UT
31. Holly Vincent	133 W Main Grantsville Ut
32. Matt Stores	133 W. Apple St UT
33. Trinity Vincent	1216 E 970 N
34.	625 E 1420 N Tooele Ut 84074
35.	326 Stanboard Lane, Stansbury, UT

Fax to 843-9964

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Cindy Perry	682 E Greystone Way
2. Karlene L Wells	624 E Berkshire Dr.
3. Michael Wells	624 E. Berkshire
4. Myron Despain	701 Kingston Dr.
5. Brett R Wells	725 Deer Hollow Rd
6. [illegible]	316 East Broadway
7. Kenny Woolard	668 N. Genesee St
8. [illegible] Naples	411 Country Club Stansbury
9. [illegible]	5702 Ardennes Stansbury
10. [illegible]	682 [illegible] Stansbury
11. Kris Anderson	1078 Kingston Dr.
12. [illegible]	221 Skyline Dr. Tooele
13. Kevin Reynolds	331 E 840 N. Tooele UT
14. Heather Davis	25 W 1960 N Tooele UT
15. Curtis G. Orton	314 N 5th St. Tooele UT
16. Kelly R Anderson	678 Kingston Dr Tooele
17. Debra Bankhead	1162 N 690 E Tooele, UT 84074
18. Linden Tompkins	20 Benchmark Village
19. Dawn Smith	924 N 1480 E Tooele
20. Angie Green	1235 E. 940 N. Tooele
21. Kathy A. Rogers	227 N 300 W Tooele
22. Pamela WATHLER	588 E. 1290 E. Tooele
23. Chris Connelly	3854 N 570 W Erda, UT
24. Dan J. Connelly	3854 N 570 W Erda UT
25. [illegible]	384 So. Main Tooele, Ut.
26. [illegible] Nelson	6597 Nelson Tooele, UT
27. David Johnson	1184 Pamlos Blvd Tooele UT 84074
28. Russell Brand	375 Severe St. Grantsville UT
29. [illegible]	1529 East Pine Canyon Rd
30. [illegible]	230 50 100 E Tooele
31. [illegible]	181 W Dimaggio Dr Tooele, UT
32. [illegible]	591 Canyon Rd. Tooele
33. [illegible]	411 Country Club
34. Kory Meyer	1871 E. Stoney Mtn. Dr Lake Point
35. Stacie Pitt	6023 Haylie Lane Tooele

185

MONA TO OQUIRRH TRANSMISSION CORRIDOR PROJECT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

OPPOSING PETITION

WE THE CITIZEN'S OF TOOELE CITY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH
OPPOSE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S PROPOSED ROUTE
THROUGH THE SOUTHEAST MOUNTAIN SIDE OF TOOELE VALLEY

NAME	ADDRESS
1. [illegible]	1332 E Cassity Dr.
2. [illegible]	Gramm Hill Road Tooele Ut
3. Cindy Christensen	1337 E Cassity Dr.
4. Dawn [illegible]	5533 N Derby
5. [illegible]	5533 N Derby
6. [illegible]	1336 Smelter Rd
7. Sandra [illegible]	8100 Upland Dr.
8. Brenda J. [illegible]	287 Lisa Way
9. Cheryl [illegible]	534 S. 1400 E Tooele
10. Jack Keetch	534 So 1400 E Tooele
11. Marcelle Pitt	2124 N 90 W Tooele
12. John B Pitt	2124 N 90 W Tooele
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	
34.	
35.	

Tooele City Council
Business Meeting Minutes

Date: Wednesday, July 01, 2009
Time: 8:00 p.m.
Place: Tooele City Hall Council Chambers
90 North Main Street, Tooele Utah

City Council Members Present:
Steve Pruden, Chair
John Hansen
Mike Johnson
Scott Wardle
Dave McCall

City Employees Present:
Mayor Patrick Dunlavy
Roger Baker, City Attorney
Glenn Caldwell, Finance Director
Lisa Carpenter, Deputy Recorder
Chief Ron Kirby, Chief of Police
Rachelle Custer, City Planner
Paul Hansen, City Engineer

Others Present:
Debbie Winn, Chamber of Commerce
Shawn Milne, Planning Commission

Minutes prepared by Elisa Jenkins

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Pruden at 8:00 p.m. He welcomed those in attendance to the meeting.

1. **Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Rich Valdez.

2. **Open Forum for Public Comment**

Chairman Pruden invited anyone from the public who would like to come forward and address the Council to do so. No one came forward. Chairman Pruden closed the open forum at 8:02 p.m.

3. **Presentation by Rocky Mountain Power**

Mayor Dunlavy thanked all those in attendance for coming to this meeting and voicing their opinion on this issue. He likes to hear public input. He has strived over the last three and a half years to be very "transparent" and hopes that the public has noticed that. It is very important to him. Mayor Dunlavy said that this item was put on the agenda in a public hearing to get input from the public as well as from the City Council and himself. Mayor Dunlavy indicated that he personally opposes the route that Rocky Mountain Power has chosen to place the power lines. He understands that this is a process and there are other routes that they can look at. He wants it to be noted for the record that himself and the Council are in opposition of this route. He said that they are currently in negotiations with a property owner in this area to purchase 105 acres to preserve property as open space. This administration wants to preserve the hillside for future generations to enjoy. He also stated that he understands the need for additional power in Utah, and does not oppose that. Their opposition is the route that has been chosen when there are other alternatives. The City will be sending a copy of these minutes, the comments from the public, and a copies of the petitions as part of a packet to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) as part of their opposition. He wanted to reiterate that the Council and he oppose this route. He appreciates everyone coming to voice their opinion.

Mr. Lee Brown, a consultant for Rocky Mountain Power addressed the Council. He is also a resident of Erda. He was asked to come to the Council meeting for the purpose of requesting a right-of-entry permit to allow the preliminary survey to determine if the proposed Mona to Oquirrh transmission line route through Tooele and the environmental impact statement are feasible, he stated that he is not here to do that at this meeting. Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM have heard the outcry from the citizens regarding this issue. They have been in contact with key members of the community that have organized their friends and neighbors to speak out against the proposed route. He is here tonight to let the public know that they have been heard and to tell them they want to work with them. The process simply required them to select various routes for consideration. When he was hired on with the company and saw this route, he told the company that they would have problems, so he was nominated to help deal with the problems. Mr. Brown indicated that many residents have read the draft environmental impact study which is over 500 pages and there are a lot ways it can be misinterpreted or to get it right and be opposed to the proposal.

Mr. Brown stated that the Mona to Oquirrh transmission line is part of a larger transmission system of Pacific Corp, (the parent corporation of Rocky Mountain Power). The purpose was to develop transmission lines across the west that would help deliver more power and more reliability to their customers. Pacific Corp has the responsibility of maintaining the power in the state of Utah. He said that that 75% of all the electricity consumed in Utah is consumed along the Wasatch front which includes Tooele. The system is predicted to grow at nearly 250 mega watts a year, which is more power than Tooele County consumes when you take out U.S. Magnesium. That is a lot of power that is added to the system

every year, which requires building a generation or bringing it in through transmission. Transmission lines are like freeways across the Country, and there haven't been any new ones built in Utah for about 30 years. The transmission lines are getting near capacity and new ones have to be built. Marty Leo will talk briefly a little later about the overall project and the 6 billion dollar investment in 2,000 miles of transmission lines and will relate it to the Mona to Oquirrh transmission lines which is what is the concern for Tooele. Mr. Lee stated that he is the personal consultant to the President of Rocky Mountain Power. He has explained to the president of Rocky Mountain Power, Mr. Walgee, that instead of acting like a utility company they should start acting like a public servant. Rocky Mountain Power is regulated by the public service commission and they should try to put forth an image of public service. As a private utility they have contracted with the State of Utah to take their natural resources and turn that into power and deliver it to their customers. Mr. Walgee believes that his company is responsible for public service. Mr. Walgee grew up in granger and has worked his way up to CEO and he is a good man. Mr. Lee explained that Rocky Mountain Power and Pacific Corp are regulated by numerous federal and state laws and regulations. They have the responsibility to provide low cost, reliable, safe, environmentally safe electric power in a non discriminating manner. It is a very difficult task and they take it very personally. They have heard the citizens in Tooele concerns and they want to work with them. Mr. Lee wanted to commend Brad and Kaye Pratt and their friends and neighbors for coming together and organizing themselves. Tooele has had a greater reaction for opposition then they have seen in Juab County, Utah County and Salt Lake County. Rocky Mountain and the BLM have heard the opposition. They would like to meet and work out the problems. He indicated that the problems can not be solved tonight, but they can listen to the concerns. They would like to get a working group of citizens who represent the consensus of views so they can work toward an alternative solution of what is being proposed. Hopefully this will allow the BLM to make a decision that appeases the majority of the citizens in this community and allows the company to move forward to build this line. It is one of his assignments as a customer advocate to come and see that people responses are heard. He hopes they will be able to provide low cost, reliable, safe, environmentally safe electric power in a non discriminating manner and still meet the needs of all the citizens.

Marty Leo, Customer Community Manager with Rocky Mountain Power addressed the Council. Ms. Leo is also a lifetime resident of Tooele. She appreciated the opportunity to speak to the Council. Ms. Leo explained that the environmental impact statement was released on May 15, 2009 which began the public comment phase of this project. This transmission expansion project is needed to meet customer electric needs. This project involves about 2,000 miles of line. In May of 2007 Rocky Mountain Power announced this transmission expansion plan and it was based on information that they have been gathering from growth and population since 2005. The reason they need this line is because of the growth in the intermountain area. She stated that it has been about 25 years

since they have updated their infrastructure. They have noticed an increase of about 26% in the individual consumption of electricity. Rocky Mountain Power takes their responsibility very seriously as a provider of an essential public service. Ms. Leo referred to the overhead that showed the process that has been involved for permitting this line. The BLM is a significant owner of the land along the proposed route. The BLM reviewed the proposed route and determined that this would require a major federal action and an environmental impact study would be required. The BLM along with Rocky Mountain Power have been working to develop this route. They have met with various City and County leaders and the first thing they asked for is to have individuals from planning or engineering staff to work on a community working group to apprise them of any long term infrastructure planning in the City or County. Cary Campbell with the City, worked with them in the community working group and he was very valuable. They are in the public comment period of this project and that will be over on August 12, 2009. Ms. Leo indicated that they are pleased to see this many citizens involved in the process. They are very aware that one particular segment of the line is unacceptable to most of the citizens, and they want to see if there is a workable solution to meet all of the needs. Ms. Leo indicated that the final line has not been determined, and when it is determined it has to meet a balance. They have to meet all of their requirements for engineering and technical and make sure they will meet all their customers' needs. They also have to make sure that they meet all mandates for reliability, economic criteria as well as social concerns, and they have to meet all safety guidelines. The Mona to Oquirrh transmission line will be built in sections. The first section that needs to be built is from the Mona substation to the Oquirrh substation in West Jordan. It is critical to get this additional power to feed into Tooele. They would like to find a workable solution to meet everyone's needs.

Chairman Pruden then invited those citizens that would like to speak to this subject to come forward.

Brad Pratt, 743 Deer Hollow Road addressed the Council. Mr. Pratt thanked the Council for allowing the citizens to speak. He also thanked the citizens in this Community and the Committee members for alerting the citizens of this situation. He appreciates the comments from Mr. Lee and Ms. Leo. Mr. Pratt indicated that a few weeks ago they were informed by Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM, the preferred and alternate route for this transmission line expansion project. He is here as a local citizen and a representative of a committee that has been formed representing citizens of this community that are in opposition to the proposed routes and some of the alternate routes. It is their feeling that the proposed routes are unacceptable. They also feel that the routes are far to close to residents homes. They feel that Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM have not taken into consideration all of the welfare of Tooele City and many of the concerns of the County. They feel that there are industrial areas in the County and there is a lot of open space within the County that could be considered that would be more acceptable to providing this service. Mr. Pratt indicated that he is the chairman of

this committee and he is here with a petition. Mr. Pratt presented this petition to the City Council and the Mayor. (A copy of this petition is included with these minutes as Exhibit A). Mr. Pratt also submitted copies of the comments from the citizens that will be addressed at this meeting. (A copy of these comments is included with these minutes as Exhibit B). The petition has approximately 1,000 names and there are petitions still circulating throughout the community. At the end of July he will collect the petitions that are still circulating and present them to the City Council and the Mayor at that time. Mr. Pratt stated that as a Committee and as citizens of this community he thanked the Mayor and the City Council for their care and support in this matter. He stated that as a citizen group they plan to stand up and not allow this proposed route to happen in its current place. They need to stand up and protect what is sentimental and sacred to the citizens of this community. He believes that there is an agreeable solution; this project needs to move forward and this committee plans to meet with Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM and find the agreeable solution.

Marilyn Roundy, 770 Skyline Drive addressed the Council. Ms. Roundy indicated that she is not opposed to Rocky Mountain Power expanding this line but she is opposed to the route they have chosen through Tooele County and Tooele City. Ms. Roundy is opposed to Rocky Mountain Power taking their lines through one of the most beautiful places in Tooele. She feels that this route will affect all of the residents in Tooele. She is also concerned about the fire aspect of this project. Rocky Mountain Power has stated that "these lines increase the risk of fire during construction and during repair". Tooele has strong winds that come through the canyons and they have a volunteer fire department. She feels that the fire department is not equipped to handle a wild fire on the proposed southeast route. There will be families that will live very near to these transmission lines, and she is concerned for their safety. She also indicated that Corner Mountain has wildlife that will be disrupted by these lines. The BLM is supposed to protect the land and the wildlife and they told her "the wildlife will relocate". These lines will be visible as you look toward the southeast mountain. Rocky Mountain Power has said that they will build the lines to blend in, and she feels that they will not blend in with anything. Ms. Roundy is also concerned about property value decreasing. The maps that Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM are using are old and do not show much of the new growth in Tooele. These lines are being placed to close to residents' homes and business. She indicated that Tooele County is 6,930 sq miles and Tooele City is only 21 sq miles and she feels with all of the open space that there must be a better route for these power lines.

Steve Hamilton, 896 Dear Hollow Rd addressed the Council. Mr. Hamilton is a local contractor and a land owner in the south east portion of the City. He indicated that he would take a financial loss if these power lines were built. He is more concerned about what the power lines would do to the mountain side. He understands that the proposed route is the shortest distance, but he is opposed to this route. He also understands that Rocky Mountain needs to upgrade their

power. He feels that a better route would be to the west of Tooele where it is already industrialized. Mr. Hamilton does not understand why the BLM would put their preferred route across Settlement Canyon reservoir, across the "T", and all the way up the most beautiful canyon that Tooele has. The BLM is supposed to be watching out for the lands. He asked Mr. Lee why the BLM chose this route?

Mr. Lee said that over the last two years as Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM began this process they have worked with numerous municipals, government agencies, and community working groups to narrow down the number of alternatives that would work for this route. They came down with 15 alternatives. He is not sure how they came up with this preferred route. He would like Mr. Hamilton to come to a working group where he could help to try and find a solution that would work for everyone.

Mr. Hamilton was at a meeting with the BLM and he asked them the same question as he asked Mr. Lee and they did not have an answer. He understands that Rocky Mountain Power needs the power but is against the route that they have chosen.

Mike Wells addressed the Council. He indicated that in 2006 the City Leaders started down a path to protect the open spaces in Tooele by creating a conservation easement. Those efforts are still going on today. He applauded the efforts of the City Council and Mayor Dunlavy for their foresight to protect open space in Tooele City. He said that on this agenda the City has made application for the LeRay McAllister Critical Conservation Program to acquire 105 acres of open space on the south east bench of Tooele. He said that it is not a just a few people or neighbors that are interested in protecting the southeast bench it is the entire City. He feels that protecting the southeast bench is very important for the future of the City and future generations. He is not opposed to the expansion of power in the Tooele area, but not at the expanse of these foothills.

John Hansen, 739 E Oakridge Drive addressed the Council. Mr. Hansen said that he is opposed to power lines running on the southeast bench of Tooele or running through Tooele City at all. He feels that these power lines should run through the west side of the valley to I-80 and then to Lake Point. He feels that the east bench route has many flaws including disrupting the wildlife, disturbing the two natural springs located in Corner Canyon, the negative impact on the visual resources, the negative impact on the earth's resources, increased risk of fire, and negative impact on property values. He appreciates the support of City leaders to stop the visual pollution of Tooele City. He wants his children and grandchildren to be able to enjoy the Tooele Valley as he has.

Richard Valdez, 685 Upland Drive addressed the Council. He indicated that he has been a teacher, a coach, and counselor at Tooele High School for 30 plus years. He is in opposition of these power lines and blocking the "T" on the

southeast bench. He believes in the traditions of Tooele High School and the "T" on the bench is an important part of those traditions. The traditions such as whitewashing the "T", and lighting the "T", have gone on for generations. The power lines will distract from the beauty of the mountain and the "T".

Jim Webber, 784 Elk Meadow Loop addressed the Council. Dr. Webber is a physician in Tooele. He was asked to speak on some of the health concerns related to high voltage power lines. Dr. Webber is a radiologist at Mountain West Medical Center. He is responsible for radiation safety at the hospital. Dr. Webber indicated that electric and magnetic fields (EMF) are invisible lines that are associated with the production, transmission and use of electric power and are associated with high voltage transmission lines and secondary power lines. He stated that the National Institute of Environmental Health Scientists has concluded that there is an association between an increasing exposure to EMF's and childhood leukemia. He also stated that high level magnetic fields are associated with the doubling of the risk of childhood leukemia. He said that recently in a lawsuit filed by Rocky Mountain Power against the City of Willard, a consultant for the Willard City Council pointed out that in 1998 in the U.S. and 2001 by the World Health Organization International Cancer Agency for Cancer Research EMF's have been documented for causing cancer causing agents. Researchers have concluded that EMF's disturb immune system function, and these effects result in damage to tissue repair processes and these disturbances increase the risk of cancer. These effects were shown to occur in exposure levels significantly below most current national and international safety limits. He is very concerned about the increased risk of leukemia of children in the area. Dr. Webber stated that he had a personal friend who lost a son who climbed a high voltage power pole. This risk will also be present for all children who are curious about power lines. He is not opposed to power, but he is opposed to the current proposed route and he suggests that an alternative route is found.

Maxine Grimm addressed the Council. Ms. Grimm stated that she was born in Tooele and her great great grandparents helped settle Tooele in 1849. Her roots run deep in Tooele. She feels it is her responsibility to keep the valley beautiful. She recalls when Tooele did not have any outside lights, and she had only one light inside in the middle the room. Ms. Grimm has traveled and lived everywhere, but Tooele is her home. Tooele is very beautiful and gives her a warm feeling and she does not want these power poles to disrupt this feeling. Ms. Grimm is very opposed to the route of these power poles on the mountain. She feels that there are other places to put these power poles that would not disturb the beautiful mountains.

Gary Swan, 1335 Brandy Lane addressed the Council. He indicated that most of his statement that is included with the minutes has already been addressed. He wanted to summarize the last paragraph of his statement. He stated that Rocky Mountain Power has a very effective marketing brand that says "Do the right thing". He said that this power line route is contradictory to that slogan. Mr.

Swan said that this route will create a devastating blight on the very character of this community and a devastating blight on Rocky Mountain Powers good name upon the residents of Tooele for years to come. He feels there are many other open spaces in Tooele that would be better suited for these power poles and stated that this route is unacceptable.

Art Freiley, 1235 Brandy Lane addressed the Council. Mr. Freiley said that he has been a resident of Tooele for about one year. He is concerned that the placement of these power poles will decrease property values. He feels that there are alternative routes that would be better for these power poles. He feels that the southeast bench should be protected and hopes the Council will do all they can to help protect it.

Dan Egelund, 1326 E 700 S addressed the Council. Mr. Egelund stated that he is the owner of REMAX Real Estate Company in Tooele and a resident of Tooele on the southeast bench. He indicated that if these lines are allowed to go through the proposed routes he feels that it would have a devastating effect on property values and tax revenue. He said as a real estate agent he has to deal with stigmas and eye sores. The way that you deal with eye sores and stigmas is you lower the price of the home until someone can overlook those things for the right price. He feels that the power poles have a lot of stigmas attached to them and they are an eye sore. He indicated that tax assessors are being mandated to make sure that assessed values reflect current market values. The assessed values are going to result in a loss of tax revenue. Who will pay the gap? He also feels that this will hinder the growth of the vacant lots as well. The power poles will devalue property values. He also stated that the property that has already been purchased for open space will also be devalued if these power poles are allowed on the proposed route.

Kaye Pratt, 743 Deer Hollow Road addressed the Council. Ms. Pratt indicated that she is from Tooele and her roots also run deep. Ms. Pratt said that the power poles need to be placed in the City's industrial areas and not in residential areas where they will have negative impacts on human life and the environment. She also said that she is not opposed to power and appreciates Mr. Brown's assurances that Rocky Mountain Power will work with Tooele. She also appreciates the Mayor's support and the Council's support on this issue and allowing the citizens to speak.

Robert Wall, 62 W 780 S addressed the Council. Mr. Wall indicated that he represents the property owner of the 105 acres that is on the agenda. He has said that he has seen Rocky Mountain Power work in good faith and hopes that they will be attentive to the concerns of the citizens. He said that the Council is making a good decision to try and preserve open space. He said that once the property is gone it is gone.

Chairman Pruden then turned the time over to the Council for their comments.

Councilman McCall noted that he does not have roots in Tooele but has been here since 1993. He also agrees that the southeast bench should be protected. He will do all that he can to get these power lines moved to a different route. He appreciated all those who came to speak on this issue.

Councilman Johnson said that he understands the importance of this issue to the residents and thanked them for coming and speaking out on this issue. Councilman Johnson thanked Mr. Brown and Ms. Leo for coming as well. Councilman Johnson has worked with Mr. Lee before and he is an honest man and the residents should believe what he says. He thanked the residents for not attacking these individuals personally. Councilman Johnson is also from Tooele and is a graduate of Tooele High School. He also said that he will do whatever he can to make sure this proposed route does not go through the east bench. He noted that Tooele needs the power, jobs, and development and the future needs to be considered. He will do whatever he can to make sure this line goes through another location.

Councilman Wardle echoed Councilman Johnson's comments to Rocky Mountain Power for coming to this meeting. He has been to several meeting concerning these power lines and he is impressed that the residents want to protect the valley. The Council has fought to protect the east bench. He said that when Rocky Mountain Power comes for a right-of-entry permit he will be voting against that. He does not feel that this is the route they should take.

Councilman Hansen stated that he is also opposed to this route and would not vote for a right-of-entry permit. Councilman Hansen wanted Ms. Grimm to know that he met her husband a few times in the 1960's and he thought he was a good man.

Chairman Pruden noted that there are still petitions out for people to sign. He asked Mr. Pratt where someone could go to sign a petition.

Mr. Pratt indicated that there are petitions in Dr. Webber's office, Dr. Roundy's office, The Executive Plaza on Main and Vine, and the Tooele City Golf Course. He also has petitions with him if anyone would like to sign them after the meeting.

Chairman Pruden also thanked Mr. Brown and Ms. Leo for coming to the meeting.

Mayor Dunlavy offered City Hall for Rocky Mountain Power and the citizen group to meet.

Chairman Pruden thanked the public for coming and voicing their opinions and thanked them for the orderly manner in which they conducted themselves.

A brief recess was taken from 9:40 p.m. – 9:45 p.m.

4. **PUBLIC HEARING Regarding the Application of Tooele City for financial Assistance from the LeRay McAllister Critical Land Conservation Program to Acquire a Conservation Easement for 105 Acres of Hillside Open Space**

Presented by Roger Baker

Mr. Baker stated that this item and the next item are related. The Resolution will follow the public hearing. He said that he enjoyed being at this meeting and having the public acknowledge what he has known for some time, and that is that Mayor Dunlavy and the City Council have established, as an important public policy, the preservation of open space, specifically the hillside located on the south end of town. This would preserve this space for future generations to enjoy. It has been mentioned earlier that the City is in negotiations with the owners of 105 acres of hillside on the south bench. He also noted that Tooele City already owns 1,700 acres adjacent to this property to the south of the City, mostly for the preservation of important water shed areas and open space. Mr. Baker stated that the State of Utah has a limited amount of funds available to help municipalities with the acquisition of critical lands for preservation from development. This is called the LeRay McAllister Critical Land Conservation Program. As part of the acquisition the City has agreed to work with the property owners to submit an application for whatever funds are available from this program to help them acquire the land and a conservation easement on the land. This public hearing and the Resolution to follow are required steps in submitting the application. He also asked the Council to expressly include the minutes of the prior item, and the written materials submitted to the Council from the citizen's committee, as part of the record of the public hearing on this item, so it does not have to be repeated. He felt that the previous item was very relevant to the issue of the conservation easement from development of any kind.

Chairman Pruden agreed, and stated that this is a public hearing if anyone would like to come forward and address this issue to do so. No one came forward.

Councilman Hansen moved to close the public hearing. Councilman McCall seconded the motion. The public hearing closed at 9:52 p.m.

Mr. Baker indicated that because no one spoke at this public hearing it is not indicative of a lack of public interest on this item; the comments have already been made in the previous public hearing.

5. **Resolution 2009-38 A Resolution of the Tooele City Council Authorizing the Submittal by the Mayor of an Application for Financial Assistance from the LeRay McAllister Critical Land**

Conservation Program for Acquisition and Preservation of 105 Acres of Hillside Open Space

Presented by Roger Baker

Councilman Johnson said that because there were several hundred people in the Council chambers which is the by far the largest gathering that he has been witness to, speaking about this very issue demonstrates to him the important public interest in the application. He supports the application.

Councilman McCall noted to Mr. Gillie, with the Tooele Transcript, that he should mention how much land the City already owns for open space preservation in the Transcript.

Mr. Gillie noted that one of the reasons given when they named the Mayor as the first person of the year was because of the efforts that he, the Council, and Mr. Baker have taken to preserve open space.

Chairman Pruden also noted that the City has purchased 13 acres on Skyline Dr that will someday be a park.

Councilman Wardle moved to adopt Resolution 2009-38, including the record regarding Rocky Mountain Power and the public comments made, to show public support for this application of open space. Councilman Hansen seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

Councilman Wardle noted that there are several trustees from the family present and he indicated that he is thankful for the work they have done and their willingness to discuss this.

6. **MOTION on Amended Plat for West Point Meadows Condominiums to Modify the Design of the Buildings to be Constructed Located at 600 North 680 West.**

Presented by Rachelle Custer

Ms. Custer explained that West Point Meadow Condominiums is currently an existing development within Tooele City at approximately 600 N and 680 W. They are amending the plat from 64 units to 63 units. The main reason for the amendment is to change the units from top to bottom units to side by side units; to do this the plat must be amended.

Chairman Pruden noted that the public hearing on this item was held two weeks ago at the last City Council meeting.

Councilman Johnson noted that at the public hearing there were objections made by one person. Since that public hearing the Council has been provided with information from the City Attorney's office, and he has also has done some research. The objection was that the City Council could not amend a plat without the signature of all affected land owners. He believes that Utah Code Section 10-9a-609 (1) clearly says that they can. Therefore he will vote to amend the plat.

Councilman Wardle mentioned that Mr. Martinez had an opportunity to submit comment after that meeting and he has not done so.

Ms. Custer said that she has not received any objection in writing from Mr. Martinez.

Councilman Johnson moved to approve the amended plat for West Point Meadows Condominiums to modify the design of the buildings to be constructed located at 600 North 680 West. Councilman Hansen seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

7. **Resolution 2009-36 A Resolution of the Tooele City Council Approving an Agreement with Lewis, Young, Robertson and Burningham, Inc., for Professional Services for Amending the Tooele City Annexation Plan, and Undertaking a Feasibility Analyses, Regarding the Annexation of Property into Tooele City.**

Presented by Mayor Patrick Dunlavy

Mayor Dunlavy stated that it is important to the City to meet the State Legislative requirements on updating the Cities annexation plan. This resolution is asking that they allow the firm of Lewis, Young, Robertson and Burningham to update the annexation plan. They are not looking at annexing any property into Tooele City at this time; they are simply updating the annexation plan to meet the States requirements.

Councilman Hansen moved to approve Resolution 2009-36. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

8. **Resolution 2009-37 A Resolution of the Tooele City Council Ratifying a Contract with Wind River Construction and Development for the Construction of the 200 South Storm Drain Project.**

Presented by Paul Hansen

Mr. Paul Hansen said that there needs to be a correction on this Resolution it is not ratifying a contract, it is authorizing the Mayor to sign a contract. The contract has not been signed it is pending the Council's approval. Mr. Hansen

indicated that this is the second storm drain project the City has put out for bid this year. This is for a short section on 200 South across from the old hospital to mitigate some flooding that occurs there during large storm events. The project was put out for bid and they are recommending that the project be awarded to Wind River Construction at this time. They are asking for an additional $6,000 contingency which may be used for changed conditions at the discretion of the Mayor. It will only be spent if justified.

Councilman Johnson noted that there were three bids and this bid was quite a bit lower than the other two and was concerned that they might have missed something.

Mr. Hansen said that this contract was about $10,000 less than what his estimate was. He discussed this with contractor and the contractor showed him how he put his prices together to complete this project. Mr. Hansen noted that the contractor said his primary motivation that was to keep people busy not necessarily to make a lot of profit. He wants to keep his people working.

Councilman Hansen moved to approve Resolution 2009-37 as corrected. Councilman Johnson seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

9. **Resolution 2009-35 A Resolution of the Tooele City Council Accepting the Completed Public Improvements Associated with Sunset Estates Subdivision Phase 4.**

Presented by Paul Hansen

Mr. Hansen explained that in accordance with Tooele City Code when a developer completes public improvements within the City limits, the City is required to inspect those improvements and when found acceptable to bring a resolution to the City Council accepting the public improvements and commencing the one year warranty period. He recommends the acceptance of the public improvements associated with Sunset Estates Subdivision Phase 4.

Councilman Johnson asked if he or Mr. Campbell has made a visual on this property.

Mr. Hansen stated that he has and has taken pictures and they are in the file.

Councilman Johnson noted that this in not part of the North Tooele Special Service District but they are required to install some things such as lamp posts.

Mr. Hansen said they are part of the North Tooele Special Service District, and are required to do street signs and lights to the standard of the District.

Councilman Johnson asked if they were in compliance.

Mr. Hansen said yes.

Councilman Hansen moved to approve Resolution 2009-35. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

10. **Resolution 2009-34 A Resolution of the Tooele City Council Accepting the Completed Public Improvements associated with Gleneagles Subdivision Phase 3A.**

Presented by Paul Hansen

Mr. Hansen indicated that this has the same representation as the previous item. This subdivision is located just south of 1000 North and west of 600 West. The inspections and photographs are on file. Upon acceptance of this resolution the one year warranty period will commence.

Councilman Hansen moved to approve Resolution 2009-34. Councilman McCall seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

11. **Minutes: June 17, 2009; Closed Meeting and Joint City Council and RDA Business Meeting Minutes**

Councilman Wardle moved to approve the minutes as presented. Councilman Hansen seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

12. **Invoices**

Presented by Mayor Patrick Dunlavy

Mayor Dunlavy presented the following invoices for payment:

- Kilgore Paving & Maintenance for current road projects in the amount of $259,328.55.
- Maric Sales, LLC for repairs to Vac-Don Sewer Truck in the amount of $16,577.24.
- Superior Excavating and Hauling, LLC for water looping on 1000 North Project Phase 1 in the amount of $56,113.32.

Councilman Johnson moved to approve the invoices as presented by Mayor Dunlavy. Councilman McCall seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye".

13. **Adjourn**

Councilman Hansen moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. All members present voted "Aye". The meeting adjourned at 10:11 p.m.

Approved this 15th day of July 2009

_____

Chairman Pruden

Exhibit C

September 21, 2009

Bureau of Land Management
Attn: Mike Nelson
2370 S. 2300 W.
Salt Lake City, UT 84119

RE: Mona to Oquirrh Transmission Line Project

Mr. Nelson,

Thank you for BLM's attention to this important project and your willingness to listen to our concerns and proposals. We also appreciate Rocky Mountain Power's facilitating dialog to resolve our differences. However, these meetings have not yet resulted in agreement with RMP's proposals. After considerable discussion and research by the Tooele Valley community, we come to the BLM expressing our concerns and common proposals to minimize the impact of this transmission line project. This letter represents the united efforts and consensus of governments and citizens from Tooele and Grantsville Cities as well as Tooele County for the unincorporated areas of Tooele Valley.

Therefore we present our proposals to the BLM along with our reasons and justification to garner your support:

1) We generally concur with RMP's proposed route between Mona and Terminal. However we propose transmission lines near Grantsville be limited in number and located as far west as possible.

   This proposal avoids residents of Grantsville to the greatest extent.

2) We propose the site of the Limber Substation be relocated to northern Tooele Valley near I-80.

   This reduces the impact to Grantsville to only one 500 kv line between Mona and Limber.

   This proposal accommodates a better interconnection to the 500 kv grid serving the western United States. Although not a part of RMP's currently planned project, it is obvious that the Limber substation will connect to other 500 kv substations (such as the White Pine substation in eastern Nevada, or Midpoint, Cedar Hill or Populus substations in southern Idaho). Without this additional connection, Limber becomes the only 500 kv node for all of northern Utah and would be located on a dead end line. Furthermore, the western U.S. 500 kv grid has very little north-south interconnectivity inland from the west coast making a northern leg from Limber important to the grid itself. Energy corridors already exist that run along I-80 west out of Tooele Valley to accomplish this interconnection. With Limber located near I-80, connection to future 500 kv lines becomes convenient and of minimal impact.

   This proposal improves the 345 kv grid serving northern Utah. The Limber to Terminal line is shortened by 12 to 17 miles having been replaced by the more efficient 500 kv line. Shorter lines between 345 kv substations result in lower impact and in higher transmission efficiency and reliability.

This proposal has a positive effect on the 138 kv grid serving Tooele County. U.S. Magnesium and ATI industrial operations at Rowley consume approximately as much power as the Tooele Valley. The existing Terminal to Rowley 138 kv line passes along I-80 through Tooele Valley and past our proposed Limber location. When connected to Limber, this line becomes a much shorter, more dependable and efficient Limber to Rowley run. It also creates a 138 kv connection between Terminal and Limber available to serve Tooele Valley WITHOUT ANY NEW LINE CONSTRUCTION. At minimal cost, service to Tooele Valley can be doubled in reliability and tripled in capacity.

This proposal simplifies power distribution within Tooele Valley. With a more central location, distribution lines from Limber to points within Tooele Valley are shorter and do not accumulate as they would to get around the Army Depot and Grantsville City in route from RMP's proposed site.

3) We propose the Limber to Oquirrh transmission line be routed to minimize impact to Tooele Valley's residents. This proposal concurs with Tooele City Mayor, Tooele City Council and The Citizens Committee of Tooele as well as the Tooele County Commission who are opposed to RMP's proposed routes through or south or east of Tooele City and have been designated by the same officials and citizens as unacceptable having the greatest amount of negative impact on the greatest amount of citizens. We propose these routes be eliminated for those reasons and because they are no longer practical considering a northern location for the Limber substation.

We have discussed these and other proposals with RMP. We believe RMP has overstated the costs, risks and difficulties associated with them. Existing transmission lines, substations and a 300 ft tall cell tower in northern Tooele and Skull Valleys demonstrate soil suitability. Our arguments here before the BLM are just as valid before the Public Service Commission. We call for a fair evaluation of the proposals by the BLM and a fair distribution of cost between RMP shareholders and customers.

We look forward to a formal meeting with the BLM to discuss our united Tooele Valley proposals at the earliest opportunity.

Sincerely,

Tooele County Commissioners

Colleen S. Johnson, Jerry Hurst, J. Bruce Clegg

Patrick Dunlavy,
Tooele City Mayor

Steve Pruden,
Tooele City Council Chairman

Byron Anderson
Grantsville City Mayor

Brad Pratt

Kaye Pratt

Tooele City Concerned Citizen's Group

James Vera

Glen Terry

Grantsville City Concerned Citizen's Group Representatives

cc: Rocky Mountain Power

cc: Utah Public Service Commission

Patrick Dunlavy,
Tooele City Mayor

Steve Pruden,
Tooele City Council Chairman

Byron Anderson
Grantsville City Mayor

Brad Pratt Kaye Pratt

Tooele City Concerned Citizen's Group

James Vera Glen Terry

Grantsville City Concerned Citizen's Group Representatives

cc: Rocky Mountain Power

cc: Utah Public Service Commission

Patrick Dunlavy,
Tooele City Mayor

Steve Pruden,
Tooele City Council Chairman

Byron Anderson
Grantsville City Mayor

Brad Pratt

Kaye Pratt

Tooele City Concerned Citizen's Group

James Vera

Glen Terry

Grantsville City Concerned Citizen's Group Representatives

cc: Rocky Mountain Power

cc: Utah Public Service Commission


Salt Lake
Davis County
TERMINAL
OQUIRRH
North Oquirrh Mtns Area
Tooele Valley
Rush Valley
Erda
370
374
366
353
354
352
356
365
360
350
138
335
328
325
220
330
180
190
215
210
290
160
166
185
150
N3
385
375
376
239
240
241
235
242
255
226
225
244

Exhibit D

print

Power company won't budge on east bench route

by Sarah Miley
10.01.09 - 06:30 pm

Rocky Mountain Power at loggerheads with local officials over transmission line project

Rocky Mountain Power will not make major modifications to proposed routes for a high-voltage power line project in Tooele Valley despite widespread objections from local residents and elected leaders.

"We deeply regret we were unsuccessful at finding any solutions meeting the company's siting criteria that garnered any more public support than the originally proposed routes analyzed in the draft EIS [environmental impact statement]," said Rod Fisher, community relations director with Rocky Mountain Power, in explaining the company's decision to stick with the routes it initially proposed.

But Tooele resident Kaye Pratt, who helped spearhead a citizens group opposed to power lines along the city's east bench, said the company is simply ignoring reasonable compromise routes agreed to by the Tooele County Commission, the mayors of Tooele and Grantsville, the Tooele City Council, and citizen groups.

"Tooele County is in agreement," she said. "It is Rocky Mountain Power who is unwilling to acknowledge it."

Tooele County Commissioner Jerry Hurst said Rocky Mountain Power officials' decision to reject local solutions is disappointing.

"It seems to me like we worked hard to come up with not just problems but solutions," Hurst said. "When they turned in this deal with the original route — this south bench route and the east bench route that wraps around Tooele City — we all said, 'That's totally unacceptable.' I really thought we'd talked them out of that, but when they turned in their proposal it was for that original route. I don't know what kind of game we're playing here, but I don't like it."

The 500/345kV transmission line would run from Mona in Juab County to a proposed future Limber Substation to be built in the Tooele Valley. One line would then run to the existing Oquirrh Substation in West Jordan. The other line would go to the existing Terminal Substation in Salt Lake City.

The Bureau of Land Management released a draft environmental impact statement on the proposed project in May. Rocky Mountain Power and the BLM conducted public meetings in June. In addition, because of controversy surrounding routes in the Tooele Valley, Rocky Mountain Power held public conflict resolution meetings in hopes of finding a compromise on the Limber to Oquirrh line route — a route that could involve crossing the southeast benches of Tooele.

In a document to the BLM dated Sept. 21, city and county officials, and members

of two citizens groups, said in general they concurred with RMP's proposed route from Mona to Terminal, although they proposed transmission lines near Grantsville be limited in number and located as far west as possible. They also proposed the Limber substation be moved to northern Tooele Valley near I-80. Finally, they proposed the Limber-to-Oquirrh portion of the line be routed to minimize impact to residents in Tooele Valley, and that routes going through the south and east parts of Tooele City be eliminated.

However, Margaret Oler, spokeswoman with Rocky Mountain Power, said the letter ignored the company's siting criteria, which was discussed at length during meetings held with various groups.

"The purpose of that series of meetings was to develop some kind of compromise route but clearly any kind of a compromise route still had to meet the siting criteria," she said. "So there wasn't a compromise that was able to be reached that met the siting criteria, and the consensus letter also does not propose anything that meets the siting criteria."

Tooele City Mayor Patrick Dunlavy said he was also disappointed that months of negotiations with company officials were fruitless.

"Usually we got some reason that they couldn't go that way or didn't want to go that way, so we worked with them," Dunlavy said. "We thought at that point in time we were trying to come up with something that would work for both sides without negatively impacting citizens in Tooele County. But it became apparent at the last couple of meetings that their deadlines were coming up and that they had basically, in my opinion, not really negotiated in good faith. I believe they had their routes picked out and that there really wasn't anything we could do or say or were willing to compromise on that would change that."

Oler said Rocky Mountain Power plans to file for a conditional use permit in Tooele County in the next few weeks. She said as a regulated utility, RMP must move forward with localized refinements to the currently proposed routes in order for the project to be in operation by June 2012.

"That's a public process and there will be additional opportunities [for public input] during that conditional use permit process and we do encourage citizens to participate in that process," she said.

When the company files for the application for the conditional use permits, Oler said they will prepare them for Rocky Mountain Power's proposed routes in Tooele County, not alternatives.

"Applying for the conditional use permits now keeps the project on schedule and that is extremely important," she said. "The project has to be in service on time. That said, we recognize that we are assuming some level of risk applying for conditional use permits at this point because we might have to go back and amend a CUP application if there are changes when the final EIS is published."

Pratt said they have asked the Public Service Commission for a public hearing to

review actions undertaken by Rocky Mountain Power.

"This is our county, this is where we live — our city, our valley, our future," she said. "They're spending our money and ruining our land."

The final EIS is expected for January 2010. There will be another public comment period after that document is released.

Sarah Miley: swest@tooeletranscript.com

Tooele residents fight power company over route

Reported by: Annie Cutler
Last Update: 10/05 6:30 pm

Tooele power line debate (ABC 4 News)

TOOELE COUNTY, Utah (ABC 4 News) – Thousands of residents in Tooele County are fighting Rocky Mountain Power Company over the placement of new power lines.

Rocky Mountain Power wants to put 500 Kilovolt transmission lines that cut right through Tooele's east bench and through the foothills.

Some Tooele residents don't want massive power lines built right in their backyards and neighborhoods, prompting over 4000 signatures to be gathered from people opposing the project. City and county officials are also saying no.

County officials say there could have been a compromise and that there were other options, thousands have even come to an agreement on an alternate route for the power lines.

But Rocky Mountain Power says that those other routes just won't work.

Residents in Tooele's southeast bench think the view may look very different soon, and they're not happy about it.

One resident feels, "The routes they want to take, we feel, are too detrimental to our citizens and our county."

Rocky Mountain Power says they've held numerous meetings trying to find a compromise but cannot find a conclusion that will work for everyone. "There's no way we can build a project that is more expensive and less reliable for our customers, we can't do that," said Rocky Mountain Power's Dave Eskelson.

The project is proposed to be finished by June of 2012.

print

RMP not acting in good faith

10.06.09 - 06:30 pm

It appears that Rocky Mountain Power is set on running their Mona-to-Oquirrh. Many Tooele Valley citizens are heavily opposed to this route, but RMP appears determined to have their way with no regard for the citizens who have to live with the decisions RMP makes. The article in last Thursday's paper, "Power company won't budge on east bench route" did not give an accurate portrayal of the dealings RMP has had with the local government officials and citizen groups that have been involved in negotiations to find an acceptable alternate route for the power lines. The environmental impact study that was conducted prior to the release of RMP's preferred and alternative routes contains inaccurate information about the areas that were studied. A new EIS, or at least one with accurate amendments, should be obtained and studied before final route determinations are made. The meetings held by RMP with local government officials and citizen groups were not designed to come to an agreement, but to increase animosity so that they could continue with their preferred routes. RMP wanted to show they had "tried" to find a more acceptable route. They reported that the citizens could not come to an agreement on an acceptable alternative route for the lines, so they were going to continue with their preferred route. It is important to note that a route has not yet been approved by the BLM. There is still time for Rocky Mountain Power to step up and be a good citizen.

Andrea Cahoon

Tooele

print

Power lines cause major disease

10.06.09 - 06:30 pm

As a physician here in Tooele, and the radiation safety officer for Mountain West Medical Center, I feel I can speak authoritatively on the subject of electromagnetic fields, specifically as they relate to the power lines Rocky Mountain Power has proposed to place on the east bench of Tooele. According to the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, there is an association between such power lines and the risk of development of childhood leukemia. The International Agency for Research on Cancer has concluded that electromagnetic fields are a class 2B carcinogen, and are associated with a doubling of the risk of development of childhood leukemia vs. baseline. In the April 2009 issue of the Journal of Pathophysiology, researchers Johannson et al. concluded that electromagnetic fields were shown to impair immune system function through stimulation of various allergic and inflammatory responses, as well as affecting tissue repair processes. The article concluded that such disturbances to immune system function increase the risk of development of cancer. I am not opposed to increased power being made available via Rocky Mountain Power's plan to expand the current energy capabilities. However, I am strongly opposed to any route which will put children at increased risk for development of leukemia. The proposed east bench route will do just that.

Dr. James Webber

Tooele

print

Save our county from power lines

10.06.09 - 06:30 pm

Who is on our watch from our state officials? Rocky Mountain Power marches into Tooele County and thinks they can do as they please with this docile group of people of Tooele ("Power company won't budge on east bench route," Sept. 29). We know that our mayor and city fathers, as well as our county commissioners, are against the power line routes proposed by RMP through our county. They have said "absolutely no" to the proposed routes. Four thousand citizens have signed petitions against the intrusion by this power company. We know that these lines will be put up somewhere in this valley, but we were told in the beginning by RMP that they would work with us to make other route suggestions. They now say we can't make up our minds with no proposals made, which is an absolute lie. They dismissed a meeting stating this, but they are really saying, "You agree with what we say or we are through talking." There is so much desert in this county that would not have the impact that going through our beautiful mountain ranges and over our neighborhoods would. Why must we watch the tearing up of our mountains, cities and neighborhoods for these monster towers and lines? This electricity is not for our benefit. It is going to the Salt Lake Valley. We need everyone to be on our watch. If we don't work to save our county who will? Please stand up and be counted.

Colleen England

Tooele

print

More to be done about power lines

10.06.09 - 06:30 pm

I am writing regarding your front page article "Power company won't budge on east bench route," Sept. 29. First and foremost, thank you for bringing the issue to the public attention. About 4,600 residents signed a petition opposing the proposed power route. The county commissioners unanimously voted to oppose the proposed route. The Tooele City mayor and Grantsville City mayor signed onto a letter and alternate route proposal. In short, every government entity we have locally opposes the proposed power line. The Utah Public Utility Commission is taking comments on the issue currently. The BLM has yet to rule but have stated they like the alternate routes proposed by local entities. But the article gave me the feeling that the power route is a done deal. I would like to have seen a little more support of the local government entities. I personally sat through many hours of meetings where the cities and county governments worked together to determine feasible alternatives. The paper seemed to give the power company decision more weight than it gave our local governments' decision. I believe that if the city and county governments stand firm and do not issue building or conditional use permits, the power company may be stopped. I would sure like to see a united effort to stand against a business throwing money around and ruining our environment. I hope the local paper can find ways to support the locals and oppose the money.

Boyd Spiker

Tooele

Exhibit E

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of the Pending Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of Mona – Oquirrh new 500 kV double circuit line	)	DOCKET NO. 09-035-54 REPORT AND ORDER

ISSUED: July 22, 2009

By The Commission:

This matter is before the Commission on Rocky Mountain Power's (Company) Notice of Intent to File Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN). The Company's Notice was intended to inform the Commission, the Division of Public Utilities (Division), and the Office of Consumer Services (OCS) of its intent to file a formal Application for a CPCN authorizing construction of a 500kV transmission line, known as the Mona-Oquirrh Transmission Line (Project). The Company gave its reason for filing the Notice:

> Given the tight time frames under which the Company will be operating once it is able to file its Application, this Notice contains most of the information that would typically be included in the Application itself—the Company is doing so in order that the DPU, OCS, or other intervening parties may begin their analysis of the planned transmission line in the light of the standards set by the Commission for the granting of a CPCN.

Additionally, the Company stated that it is currently in the process of obtaining federal approval for the project. Although it recognized that we have ruled that issues of the location and routing of a transmission line were beyond the scope of a CPCN proceeding, it also noted that as a condition of approval for the Project it must file with us evidence that it has received or is in the process of obtaining the "required consent, franchise, or permit" of the proper authorities. However, until the federal approval process has been

completed about late July 2009, the ultimate routing and location of the transmission line and Project scope are uncertain. The Company plans to begin construction of the line in January 2010. This leaves little time between the filing of the Application and the date of needed approval for the Commission and interested parties to adequately investigate the Application and for us to issue a CPCN if proper. The Company has agreed to immediate commencement of discovery, and has affirmed that it will answer all requests for which it has information. As to those to which information cannot be provided at the time of their issuance, the Company will answer immediately upon receipt of information answering such requests. The Company is also willing to provide testimony supporting its pending Application, provided it is allowed to supplement or amend the testimony pending any new facts or changes in Company plans. The Company also agrees to file testimony on an expedited basis as needed. The Company additionally provided general information about the Project.

The Company requests we issue an order opening this docket, enter a protective order pursuant to the entry of its pending Motion for Protective Order, that we allow parties besides the Division and OCS to intervene pursuant to UCA § 63G-4-207 and R746-100-7, and that we allow the Company, the Division and OCS to immediately commence discovery.

Finding that the Company's request is in the public interest, and just and reasonable, we order as follows:

1. The docket number contained in the caption, i.e. Docket No. 09-035-54, is the docket number for this matter;

2. The Company, the Division, and OCS may immediately commence discovery. Intervenors may participate in discovery once granted intervention;

3. Interested parties may move to intervene in this matter pursuant to UCA § 63G-4-207 and R746-100-7;

4. Pursuant to Sections 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15 of the Utah Code, an aggrieved party may request agency review or rehearing within 30 days after issuance of this Order by filing a written request with the Commission. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the Commission does not grant a request for review or rehearing within 20 days after the filing of the request, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the Commission's final agency action may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any petition for review must comply with the requirement of Sections 63G-4-401 and 63G-4-403 of the Utah Code and the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 22nd day of July, 2009.

/s/ Ted Boyer, Chairman

/s/ Ric Campbell, Commissioner

/s/ Ron Allen, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Julie Orchard
Commission Secretary
G#62850