		1	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) E X H I B I T S
		2	No. Description Page
		3	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	-	5	RMP-2 Direct Testimony of Darrell T. 9 and and Gerrard, with attached exhibits 57
	In the Matter of the Pending) Docket No. Application of Rocky Mountain) 09-035-54 Power for a Certificate of)		2.1-2.6
	Public Convenience and Necessity) Authorizing Construction of the) Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV)	6	RMP-3 Rebuttal Testimony of Darrell T. 9 and and Gerrard, with attached exhibits 57
	Transmissi on Line.	7	3.1-3.4
	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS	8	DPU-1.0 Direct Testimony of Joni S. 58 to 1.5 Zenger, Ph.D., with attached
	TAKEN AT: Public Service Commission	9	exhibits
	160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah	10	DPU-1.9SR Surrebuttal Testimony of Joni S. 58 Zenger, Ph.D.
	DATE: May 24, 2010	11	-000-
	TIME: 9:05 a.m. REPORTED BY: Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR	12	(The previous exhibits and related testimony
		13	were prefiled and are part of the PSC record
		14	and filed at the Commission.)
		15	-000-
		16	EXHIBITS, CONTINUED
		17	No. <u>Description</u> <u>Page</u>
		18	DPU-2 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan 43
		19	DPU-3 Verbal description of the Energy 43 Gateway Transmission project
		20 21	- 0 0 0 -
		22 23	
		24 25	
			3 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		DepomaxMerit
1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1 2	APPEARANCES. Commissioners:	1	MAY 24, 2010 9:05 A.M.
		1 2 3	MAY 24, 2010 9:05 A.M. PROCEEDINGS
2 3 4	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman)	2	MAY 24, 2010 9:05 A.M.
2 3 4 5	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen	2	MAY 24, 2010 9:05 A.M. PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place
2 3 4 5 6	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power:	2 3 4	MAY 24, 2010 9:05 A.M. PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 140857	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857 (801) 366-0353	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857 (801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857 (801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -o0o- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857 (801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax) -o0o- WITNESSES DARRELL T. GERRARD Page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of the certificate, but with some conditions, so we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of the certificate, but with some conditions, so we'll hear her next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of the certificate, but with some conditions, so we'll hear her next. So we'll hear from Mr. Gerrard. We'll have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of the certificate, but with some conditions, so we'll hear her next. So we'll hear from Mr. Gerrard. We'll have an opportunity for cross examination, Commission questions, redirect, and then we'll move on to Ms. Zenger Dr. Zenger. And proceed in that manner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of the certificate, but with some conditions, so we'll hear her next. So we'll hear from Mr. Gerrard. We'll have an opportunity for cross examination, Commission questions, redirect, and then we'll move on to Ms. Zenger Dr. Zenger. And proceed in that manner then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857 (801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax) -000- WITNESSES DARRELL T. GERRARD Page Direct By Mr. Smith 6 Cross by Mr. Smith 50 JONI S. ZENGER, Ph.D. Direct by Mr. Ginsberg Cross by Mr. Ginsberg 79 -000-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of the certificate, but with some conditions, so we'll hear her next. So we'll hear from Mr. Gerrard. We'll have an opportunity for cross examination, Commission questions, redirect, and then we'll move on to Ms. Zenger Dr. Zenger. And proceed in that manner then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commissioners: Ted Boyer (Chairman) Ric Campbell Ron Allen -000- For Rocky Mountain Power: TED D. SMITH, ESQ. D. MATTHEW MOSCON, ESQ. STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 328-3131 (801) 578-6999 (fax) For the Division of Public Utilities: MICHAEL L. GINSBERG, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 140857 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857 (801) 366-0353 (801) 366-0352 (fax) -000- WITNESSES DARRELL T. GERRARD Page Direct By Mr. Smith Cross by Mr. Smith 50 JONI S. ZENGER, Ph.D. Direct by Mr. Ginsberg Cross by Mr. Ginsberg 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAY 24, 2010 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN BOYER: This is the time and place duly noticed for the hearing in Docket No. 09-035-54, In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing Construction of the Mona-Oquirrh 500/345 kV Transmission Line. Welcome to everyone. And welcome, Mr. Smith, we haven't seen you for a while. MR. SMITH: Good to be back. CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think what we'll we'll proceed as we normally do and have hear the witnesses from the moving party first. I guess that will be Mr. Gerrard? MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOYER: And then we'll and then Ms. Zenger has some testimony. They're supportive of the certificate, but with some conditions, so we'll hear her next. So we'll hear from Mr. Gerrard. We'll have an opportunity for cross examination, Commission questions, redirect, and then we'll move on to Ms. Zenger Dr. Zenger. And proceed in that manner then.

	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	So let's, let's enter appearances for the	1	address is 925 South excuse me, Northeast Multnomah
2	record. Mr. Smith?	2	Boulevard in Portland, Oregon.
3	MR. SMITH: Yes. Ted Smith with the law firm	3	I also have an office at here in Salt Lake
	of Stoel Rives for the Applicant.	4	
4		l _	City at 1407 West North Temple, where I maintain an
5	MR. MOSCON: And Matt Moscon, also with Stoel	5	office when working for Rocky Mountain Power. I'm
6	Rives, for Rocky Mountain Power.	6	currently vice president of transmission system
7	MR. GINSBERG: Michael Ginsberg for the	7	planning for both Rocky Mountain Power and Pacific
8	Division of Public Utilities.	8	Power.
9	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. With that I think we	9	Q. Okay. Mr. Gerrard, at an earlier point in
10	might as well proceed with your first witness then,	10	this proceeding, in November of 2009, did you have
11	Mr. Smith.	11	filed direct testimony that consists of approximately
12	MR. SMITH: Okay. We'd call Mr. Gerrard.	12	24 pages, and 6 attached exhibits?
13	And while he's coming up, off the record we had a	13	A. Yes, that is correct.
14	discussion about the direct testimony of Bruce	14	MR. SMITH: And if we could mark that as
15	Williams.	15	Rocky Mountain Power 2? And I'm not sure,
16	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yeah, let's deal with that	16	Mr. Chairman, what your convention is. Do you
17	right now.	17	would you like the sub-exhibits to be 2.1 through 2.6,
18	MR. SMITH: Right. The Division and the	18	or?
19	Company have stipulated that that testimony, which is	19	CHAIRMAN BOYER: That's typically how they're
20	not contested, could be entered into the record by	20	marked.
21	stipulation and the parties waive cross of	21	MR. SMITH: Okay. So
22	Mr. Williams.	22	CHAIRMAN BOYER: But whatever is convenient.
23	So in light of that we would offer his	23	MR. SMITH: All right. We would mark the
24	testimony as Rocky Mountain Power No. 1. Subject to	24	testimony itself as RMP-2, and then the Exhibits 1
25	that stipulation we move that it be put upon the	25	through 6 as 2.1 through 2.6.
23	5	23	7
	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR		Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR
	DepomaxMerit		DepomaxMerit
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Fower - 09-055-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Fower - 09-055-54)
1 1	rocard	1 1	(Py Mr. Smith) Now let me ask you. Let me
1	record.	1	Q. (By Mr. Smith) Now let me ask you let me
2	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory,	2	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this
2	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg?	2	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir.	2 3 4	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then,	2 3 4 5	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits?
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed	2 3 4 5 6	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010.
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Gerrard, would you state your name,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes. Q. But the original was still correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Gerrard, would you state your name, business address, and the position you hold at Rocky	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes. Q. But the original was still correct? A. Yes, that's cor that's true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Gerrard, would you state your name, business address, and the position you hold at Rocky Mountain Power or PacifiCorp?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes. Q. But the original was still correct? A. Yes, that's cor that's true. Q. Okay. If I were to propound to you today the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Gerrard, would you state your name, business address, and the position you hold at Rocky Mountain Power or PacifiCorp? A. Yes, Darrell Gerrard. And I work for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes. Q. But the original was still correct? A. Yes, that's cor that's true. Q. Okay. If I were to propound to you today the questions that are set forth in the written testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Gerrard, would you state your name, business address, and the position you hold at Rocky Mountain Power or PacifiCorp? A. Yes, Darrell Gerrard. And I work for PacifiCorp, both services to Rocky Mountain Power and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes. Q. But the original was still correct? A. Yes, that's cor that's true. Q. Okay. If I were to propound to you today the questions that are set forth in the written testimony would your answers be the same?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Gerrard, would you state your name, business address, and the position you hold at Rocky Mountain Power or PacifiCorp? A. Yes, Darrell Gerrard. And I work for PacifiCorp, both services to Rocky Mountain Power and for Pacific Power for our company. My business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes. Q. But the original was still correct? A. Yes, that's cor that's true. Q. Okay. If I were to propound to you today the questions that are set forth in the written testimony would your answers be the same? A. Yes, they would.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And is that satisfactory, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: That's yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Very well then, Mr. Williams' testimony is admitted pre-filed testimony is admitted as RMP Exhibit 1. (Exhibit No. RMP-1 was admitted.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Gerrard, okay. Different case, so we need to swear you again. (Mr. Gerrard was sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Please be seated. MR. GERRARD: Good morning. DARRELL GERRARD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Gerrard, would you state your name, business address, and the position you hold at Rocky Mountain Power or PacifiCorp? A. Yes, Darrell Gerrard. And I work for PacifiCorp, both services to Rocky Mountain Power and for Pacific Power for our company. My business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get to the right testimony. In May or earlier this month did you file rebuttal testimony in this case consisting of approximately 16 pages, and attached to that, 4 exhibits? A. Yes, that's correct. May 2010. MR. SMITH: And if we could mark those as RMP-3, and 3.1 to 3.4. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, does do you have any corrections that need to be made to those either of those pieces of testimony? A. No, they're still accurate as written. Q. Okay. Now, you did update some of the earlier information in the direct testimony in rebuttal? A. Yes, I did. The information that I submitted was accurate. I did increase the cost analysis that for the project to show the cost of the entire project. So there were some cost updates, yes. Q. But the original was still correct? A. Yes, that's cor that's true. Q. Okay. If I were to propound to you today the questions that are set forth in the written testimony would your answers be the same? A. Yes, they would.

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 1 MR. SMITH: In light of that, your Honor, we transmission planning standards that are in federal 2 would submit into evidence the Direct Testimony, 2 law require us, as a utility, to have a plan that 3 RMP-2, 2.1 through 2.6, and the Rebuttal Testimony and 3 meets forecasted demands and expected operating 4 4 exhibits, RMP-3, with Exhibits 3.1 to 3.4, into conditions. And that the Company must execute that 5 5 testimony, subject to cross examination. plan with sufficient lead time to make sure customers 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. 6 are served. So that's a requirement that we take very 7 7 Any objection to the admission of -seriously. 8 8 MR. GINSBERG: No. Second, in our -- Rocky Mountain Power, or 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: -- Mr. Gerrard's direct or 9 PacifiCorp in this case, is licensed by FERC under our 10 rebuttal? Okay. Very well, they are admitted, 10 company's Open Access Tariff. Section 2, there's two 11 together with exhibits. 11 paragraphs there that I think are germane to this 12 (Exhibit Nos. RMP-2, RMP-2.1 through RMP-2.6, 12 discussion: 28-2 and 28-3 talk about providing 13 RMP-3, and RMP-3.1 through RMP-3.4 were 13 adequate and nondiscriminatory -- I think that's the 14 14 admitted.) important word -- nondiscriminatory network 15 MR. SMITH: What's that? 15 transmission service for delivery of network 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted. 16 generation to loads. 17 17 MR. SMITH: Oh, okay. That, in short, means we have to provide our 18 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted, together generation to our customers, both retail and other 19 with the exhibits. 19 customers that are hooked to our transmission system, 20 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 20 whether they're ours or not, in a nondiscriminatory 21 21 Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, have you basis. This plan does meet those needs. 22 prepared a brief summary of your testimony and then 22 Rocky Mountain Power does have a plan for the 23 some comment on some of the conditions that were 23 short-term long plan. That's our Energy Gateway 24 proposed by the Division? 24 project. I'm not going to describe it in detail. 25 Yes, indeed, I have. 25 I've done that already for these -- for you 9 11 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 Could you please provide that for us? 1 folk -- for you gentlemen. 2 2 Sure. With the understanding that Chairman The first facility is our Gateway Central 3 Boyer, and Mr. Allen, and Mr. Campbell have heard a 3 project, which was our Populus-to-Terminal, which was 4 4 lot from me on this subject in prior hearings I won't certificated by this, by this Commission. And that 5 at all go into -- you're free. No slides today for 5 project is near completion, and will be completed by 6 6 you. Complicated slides. November of this year as the first facility. 7 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well. And we have read The second key facility in our plan is the 8 8 all of the pleading paperwork. Gateway Central project, is Mona to Oquirrh, which 9 THE WITNESS: Great. So I would like to just 9 we're discussing today. That is the second critical 10 10 take a couple of minutes and summarize real quickly element. And that's why we're talk -- we're here 11 the need, for the record, for the project. So if 11 today to talk about that. 12 you'll bear with me for a short moment I will cover 12 I would describe this project as key to 13 that quickly, and then get into some of the issues 13 serving what I've coined as the "critical load area" 14 with the certificate. 14 of the state. The reason it's critical is that more 15 15 than 80 percent -- or about 80 percent, I should say, My direct testimony describes the need for an 16 16 of the total customer demand in the state lies in a integrated project that our company's taking, taking 17 17 on, or has taken on since 2005. And Rocky Mountain critical load area between Ben Lomond and about 18 Power is an essential service provider for the state. 18 Spanish Fork. 19 And is obligated to have a plan of service to provide 19 The other reason it's a critical area is our 20 safe, reliable, and efficient service to citizens in 20 transmission capacity to deliver those network 21 the state, and those outside the state as well. 21 generation resources to this network load that I just 22 I also wanted to point out that under federal 22 described is fully utilized. And we need new 23 law by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and 23 transmission in order to meet future demands. 24 their delegating their authority to NERC, the North 24 This critical load area, the electrical 25 25 American Electric Reliability Corporation, that the demand in the area is expected to nearly double by 10 12 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 2025 from its current size of about 4,400 megawatts to 2 more than 7,000 megawatts. And we believe the growth in Northern Utah in this critical area will be substantial and remain so.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our -- I've demonstrated through my testimony, and through the Facility Review Board with actual factual history forecasts and limits, and also in the depart -- the Division's Data Request 2.10, that there's limits on this system and no capacity is available after 2013. This project is needed by 2013. I've clearly demonstrated that.

I've also demonstrated with my testimony that even today our customer, our customer service is at risk with parts of our system out of service. And by 2013 our customers are at risk in the critical load area with all of our system in service. Those are two very important things.

The lead time on the project requires a construction duration. And requires us to actively plan in advance of that in-service date for 2013. So that's our driver. So that's a summary of the project need from the Gateway testimony.

I'd like to turn now to the certificate requirements. Our project has both near-term and long-term elements, but it is a single project. The

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

Mona-Oquirrh portion of course in 2013 is just one 2 piece. But it's our full intent to build Limber 3 substation and Clover substations, depending on the 4 customer demand and the economic growth, which we 5 expect to be strong.

The Company's treated this project in its entirety. Even through the NEPA process, the federal environmental approval process, where we sought both the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Final Environmental Impact Statement as one project. And that's why I believe it's critical that the CPCN for this project be granted for the entire project and not just portions of the project.

The -- our Company was -- it was our Company's decision to describe the entire project, even though parts of it may not be constructed for several years, so that state, local, and federal agencies can see what our plans are. And they can plan accordingly in their land-use plans, their communities, and any of their resource plans as they need be. So we think that's a good thing to be no surprises, and full disclosure on what we intend to do.

The Division's recommended that the Commission grant a CPCN for the entire project, but

> Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 has proposed several conditions which I'd like to talk 2 about. Our Company agrees with some of those 3 conditions but opposes others.

4 What our Company and Rocky Mountain Power 5 agrees with the following is: Conditioning the 6 certificate upon receipt of the Utah Facilities Siting 7 Board decision, which I understand will come on or 8 before June 12th of this year.

9 Second, when the record of decision is 10 issued, the Company would agree to provide the 11 Commission of any substantial changes that come out of 12 the EIS or come out of the environmental impact, 13 environmental impact process, which is due to conclude 14 in about October of this year. It's open for comment right now. 15

Also, the Company agrees to file, subsequent to your issuing of this certificate, a summary of all permits granted and any that might be pending. And we've already submitted, in my rebuttal testimony, all of the permits that have been acquired so far. And there's just one pending. So we would agree to file, file that after the, after the certificate is issued.

The Company disagrees, in whole or in part, with three of the Division recommendations. And I'll address them one at a time, if I may. I very much

15

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 appreciate -- or our Company appreciates Dr. Zenger's 2 testimony and rebuttal testimony, and the time spent 3 putting that together in support of our project.

4 In her testimony she states that the grant of 5 the CPN should be conditioned upon the Company 6 receiving all necessary permits, including the permit 7 from Tooele. I think that's mentioned on Page 7 of 8 her testimony. In other words, the CPN would be 9 dependent on issuance of a permit by Tooele County.

The timing of that CPCN I think is very key to our project. It's critical, in my view and our Company's view, that we receive that CPCN, or the certificate, coincident with the Facility Siting Board decision.

That way our Company can proceed with certainty, I believe, in our next steps of starting design, centerline staking, and getting our bid package together, which we plan to issue later this year. I think that's important as, if we end up delaying the certificate, Rocky Mountain Power's not comfortable with moving ahead with the project to that degree.

Should we do -- should we delay the issue of our, of our construction bids, that would definitely put pressure on the construction season we have, the

> Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 construction window, and definitely on our 2013 1 begin the work in Juab County, Utah County, and Salt 2 in-service date. And in my experience that would Lake Counties. And will provide us the ability to 3 drive up the risk of the project and the costs. So 3 move ahead with our engineer-procure-construct 4 that's why we're recommending that we get the 4 5 5 certificate with the, with the Facility Board's 6 recommenda -- decision. 6 7 7 Even, even two months is a long -- is an 8 important period for the project. Given how critical 8 9 this segment is, every day is a day for day slip could 9 10 have a serious impact on our customers. 10 11 11 I think our counsel here in the end will 12 12 address this in his final argument, but as I 13 understand it from my experience with the Review Board 13 very manageable. 14 14 recently that a 60-day period, which is the time 15 Tooele County would have to issue our permit 15 16 subsequent to the Facility Review Board's decision, 16 17 17 could turn into a much larger delay. 18 18 And I think our counsel will describe that we don't know exactly what the legal efforts including an 19 19 we started. 20 appeal might be, as a result of the Board's decisions, 20 21 21 by Tooele. We don't know whether Tooele County may 22 issue the permit and add other unreasonable conditions 22 23 that can't be met. That's still unknown. 23 24 And we've also been informed -- our Company's 24 25 been informed that Tooele County plans to continue to 25 17 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 appeal a ruling -- any ruling it doesn't like. All 2 this adds up for potential delay of the CPCN if it's 3 conditioned on all approvals, including the one from 3 4 Tooele. 4 5 **Q.** Mr. Gerrard, if I could interrupt. You said 5 6 Tooele County. 6 7 Α. Yeah. 7 And I believe you meant Tooele City, did you 8 8 Q. 9 not, on the --9 10 Α. 10 On their permit it's Tooele City. 11 Q. Yeah, on the --11 12 Α. It's Tooele County --12 13 Q. 13 The entity that indicated it would appeal. 14 14 Oh, yes. Thank you for that. It's Tooele 15 15 City indicated they would. Thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: I think I'll interrupt as

THE WITNESS: Oh, did I say 12th? Excuse me.

18

MR. SMITH: I think we agreed it's the 21st.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I actually have that

So issuance of this certificate as soon as

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

possible, as I mentioned, will allow our Company to

well. You indicated that the Facility Siting Review

actually. Just for the record.

written in my notes. Apologies for that.

Board order was due the 12th of June. It's the 21st,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

activities that we intend to execute on the project. We recommend -- we recognize that there are limitations on our ability to construct in Tooele County. And until that issue is resolved, we understand there's limitations. But as we move forward with our project I believe -- in my experience we can, we can build those unknowns into our contract. our construction contract, and our construction schedule from here on out. And that those risks are I draw on that experience from the point of our last project that we built in Oregon was about a hundred miles of 500-kV line. Very similar in its nature to Mona-Oquirrh. And we had -- we did not have all the property rights secured on that project before Actually, there was one section that was not, was not secured. Had we waited until all of that was secured we would have missed at least one, maybe two summer construction seasons. Significantly delayed our project. So we have experience in managing those risks, and feel that's something we can do. 19 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) Regarding the BLM record of decision, I've been informed by our experts in our Company that we can proceed with our project, even though the record of decision has not been issued. We can notice the BLM for a notice to proceed, and we would follow any conditions the BLM might place on us proceeding with that project. So that, that is something we would move forward with in our schedule. The Company does agree that the CPCN be conditioned upon receipt of the view -- of the Review Board, but we do not agree that the certificate in its entirety should be conditioned on the Tooele County permit, nor the BLM record of decision. Again, I think that's manageable if we do that. The last piece I'd like to address in the 16 Division's testimony, in Dr. Zenger's testimony, is 17 the, the second contested issue is the Division's 18 proposal that the certificate should have a duration 19 of five years after the date of the Commission's 20 issuance of that certificate in this docket. The 21 project elements constructed outside of this should be 22 required to obtain a new certificate. As Dr. Zenger 23 says on page 15. 24 And I understand from her testimony that this 25 recommendation is driven by the fact that Clover and

> Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 Limber substations will be built after 1 relative transmission use by type of customer --Mona-to-Oquirrh. And that the Limber-to-Terminal retail, non-PacifiCorp, network customer, and other --3 transmission line would be built at a later date. 3 for future cases and future CPCN filings. 4 I've also explained, here and through our 4 And although we don't believe that's part 5 Facilities Siting Board discussions, that we fully 5 of -- and general counsel will clarify this. I don't 6 intend to build those. And I have stated in the data 6 believe that's part of the use infor -- relative use 7 7 requests back to the Division our expected time frame information is not part of this proceeding. We did, 8 is around 2017 to '19. However, current load growth 8 however, answer questions to the Division posed in 9 and economic development might drive those as soon 9 Question 3.1 and 3.4 on the use of the Mona-Oquirrh 10 as -- sooner. So I've stated that clearly in my 10 project. 11 11 testimony and in data requests. And in my response to that, over 90 percent 12 12 So we understand the Division's concerns of the customer demand for the retail load in the 13 about a long time for the entire project. But again, 13 critical load area is directly served by PacifiCorp 14 it does line up with our NEPA process and our 14 and Rocky Mountain Power. The other 9 1/2 percent or 15 environmental permits that have been granted. And 15 thereabouts are other municipalities connected either 16 therefore the Company proposes an alternative 16 directly or indirectly to our transmission system, and 17 17 procedure here, if we may, that will accomplish the we're obligated to provide non-discretionary service 18 18 to those folks. So the use is, is in with the state. same purpose without having the CPCN automatically 19 terminate for those segments that are not constructed 19 So we did, we did answer that at the Division's 20 in five years. 20 request. 21 21 So Rocky Mountain Power proposes that the The Company opposes the proposal for the 22 certificate would require the Company, at a date 22 reasons that I've stated, and will outline that in his 23 certain in say five or six years, to file a report 23 final argument. So with that, I'd like to conclude my 24 with the Commission and the Division outlining the 24 remarks and my testimony for this morning. 25 current status of varying segments of the project, the 25 MR. SMITH: Mr. Gerrard is available for 21 23 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) Mona-Oquirrh project. 1 cross examination. 2 2 With that we would provide updated CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. 3 information on our planned construction schedules, 3 Mr. Ginsberg, cross examination? 4 estimated and updated cost calculations, the status of 4 MR. GINSBERG: Yes, thank you. 5 our permits, and other information relevant to 5 CROSS EXAMINATION 6 determination of need. 6 BY MR. GINSBERG: 7 7 Based on that report, that submittal, the Q. Mr. Gerrard, can you first turn to page 8 of 8 8 Commission or the Division then could make an your rebuttal testimony? 9 independent determination as to whether any material 9 Α. Yes. If you'll give me a moment, I will. Q. 10 changes have occurred that would cause this 10 Okay. 11 certificate to be reviewed, canceled, or otherwise. 11 Α. Okay, I believe that I'm on page 8. 12 So I believe, and Rocky Mountain Power 12 So I'm -- what I'm referring to is the 13 13 believes, that this would address the Division's paragraph beginning on line 181, where you go through 14 14 concerns, but would not have the advantage of the various segments of this project and give the 15 canceling the initial permit without regards to 15 estimated in-service dates. Do you see where I am? 16 unfinished segments. And it would not require opening 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 17 a CPCN docket that parties may in lack -- and lack for **Q.** And you gave a little, little bit of it in 18 facts of the site is unnecessary. So that's our 18 your summary, but let's see if we can break down the 19 recommendation for the CPCN going forward. 19 in-service dates for the various segments of this 20 The last item in the testimony was relative 20 project. The Clover substation is the one that's by 21 21 use information. The use of the assets that we're Mona; is that right? 22 proposing. It's primarily a legal issue in nature and 22 **A.** That is correct. It was Mona Annex in my 23 will be addressed by our counsel in final arguments. 23 previous submittal. Since then it's been named 24 But as I understand the issue, the Division proposes 24 Clover, just for the record, is the official name. 25 that the Company be required to provide information on 25 **Q.** And you indicated that it's -- that that

22

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 1 substation may be built to provide local transmission. Our in-service date for that was about 2019, 2 But ultimately, to build it as a 500-kV substation, it 2 when Gateway South come in. That's the date we have 3 would be dependent on the other expansion portions of 3 projected at this time. 4 4 the Gateway project that are not in this proceeding. So it is projected to be in service in 2019? 5 5 Α. I think it's called Gateway South? That's my best estimate of its in-service 6 Α. 6 That is correct. date, yes. 7 7 Q. And that's scheduled to be built later in the Q. Okay. We can get to that in a minute, but 8 8 decade? now let me ask you about the permits. You indicate 9 Α. Our current schedule for Gateway South is 9 that the only permit that's outstanding is the Tooele 10 between 2017 and 2019. 10 permit? 11 Okay. So if Gateway South never gets built, 11 Α. That is my understanding. 12 12 or gets delayed, then that substation at a 500-kV Q. Does that permit cover the site of the Limber 13 level would be delayed? 13 substation? 14 14 A. Α. That would be correct. I believe it does, yes. 15 Okay. And then the transmission line that 15 And does it cover the transmission line from 16 16 goes from, I guess Mona, up to the future site of the Limber to Terminal, including what's required in Salt 17 17 Limber substation, and then to Oquirrh, which is in Lake County? 18 18 the Salt Lake Valley, that has a definite date of Α. It does not cover the Limber-to-Terminal 19 2013? 19 piece. We have not filed for conditional use permits 20 Α. 20 That is correct. there yet. 21 21 Q. Okay. And that would be a 345 line that Q. So those permits are still outstanding then, 22 ultimately would become a 500-kV line? 22 are they not? 23 23 When you say "those permits," I just spoke of The ultimate -- the line between Mona and the 24 future proposed Limber will be constructed at 500 kV 24 a single permit. 25 and operated at 345 kV for a period. The line from 25 The Limber-to-Terminal permit? 25 27 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) future Limber site to Oquirrh will be constructed at 1 Yeah, that's a single permit. That has not 2 345 and continuously operated at 345. So there's a been filed yet. 3 3 Q. staging in there. Who would that permit be from? 4 4 A. **Q.** Now, the substation, though, at Limber is It would be from Tooele County. 5 scheduled to be built at some future date, after the 5 Q. Would there also be one required from Salt Lake County? 6 line is in service? 6 7 A. For the 500-kV station, that is correct, a 7 I would have to defer on -- to my colleague, 8 future date. Local load growth in the Tooele County 8 Brandon Smith's testimony. I believe that there is 9 area may cause the local -- that would be a 138-kV --9 one for Tooele County -- or excuse me, for Salt Lake 10 10 345-kV station to be built there sooner than that. County. Because the County line's there, yes. 11 And that would be built at the same --11 So when you indicated there's only one permit 12 Α. It's very similar to Clover. 12 outstanding you were sort of putting this future line 13 Q. Same site as the 500-kV future substation? 13 aside because it's so far off in the future? 14 14 Α. That's correct. It's an integral part of the Yes, I was not referring to the 15 15 system, yes. Limber-Terminal line. And the reason that we've not 16 16 And then the -- and that you indicate might filed for permits -- conditional use permits on that 17 17 get built earlier, depending on load growth in the segment is we were informed by the people that would 18 Tooele area? 18 issue those permits, in discussions, that they have a 19 Α. 19 statute of expiration. I'm not sure the duration of That is, that is correct. 20 Q. Now, the line, though, from Limber to 20 that. I think it's around two years. That's my 21 Terminal is a scheduled 345-kV line? 21 estimate, subject to check. 22 That's correct, it is. 22 And that it wouldn't be prudent to file for 23 Q. Now, this testimony indicates it has no 23 those if we didn't have a two-year in-service-date 24 in-service date, planned in-service date currently; is 24 window. So we have intentionally not filed, at the 25 that correct? 25 recommendation of those counties and cities, for the 26 28 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 1 Limber-to-Terminal piece. what their decision will be, but it could change the 2 But you understand it's, actually it's the project. Once we have that decision, then we can move 3 unknown nature of the in-service date for the 3 ahead on a risk-adjusted basis on what our next steps 4 4 Limber-to-Terminal piece of the transmission line that would be. 5 5 Q. drove the Division's recommendation to say you need to So -- but would the -- is your proposal that 6 6 come back in and provide information dealing with that the certificate be issued regardless of what the 7 7 facility. Do you understand that? decision is from the Facilities Review Board? Or that 8 8 I do, yeah. And we've agreed to come back you file some type of report as to how it affects this 9 with more refreshed information in a period of five 9 project? 10 10 vears or so and update you on our status for that. We Α. Well. I think we would, we would want the 11 do review our projects. This Gateway project 11 certificate issued with the decision from the, from 12 annually. We're required to do that by the FERC 12 the Review Board, whatever that, whatever that might 13 13 statutes. So annually we will refresh and we'll be be. And any updates to the project we would, we would 14 14 glad to provide that. bring forward at this part of the certificate, if 15 15 there's changes that might affect schedule or things Now, the Facility Review Board decision, if 16 16 they affirm your proposed route then there's no like that. 17 17 Q. Couldn't it materially affect, as I problem, is there? In other words, then -- and if you 18 18 rec -- you've suggested that you'd go ahead and -- and understand it, if the route of the transmission line 19 I'm not clear. 19 that is proposed by Rocky Mountain Power is not 20 20 You would go ahead and begin construction accepted and an alternative route is -- I'm not sure 21 on -- or bidding on certain parts of the project upon 21 if an alternative route would even be selected -- but 22 the decision by the Facilities Review Board. And --22 it might affect the viability of the project 23 23 altogether? suggesting that the certificate not be conditioned 24 upon waiting for the -- additional time for Tooele to 24 The Board has the authority to pick something 25 act, or the record of decision from the BLM to act; is 25 other than the route that the Company has requested. 29 31 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 that right? And if they chose to do that, that could impact the 2 Α. That's correct. We would -- we do not want project overall, yes. And I stated that in the, in 3 3 the certificate conditioned on the record of decision, the Review Board testimony that I provided. 4 4 nor Tooele County's permit issuance, that's correct. So would it make sense to evaluate the 5 5 And to further answer your question, with the certificate after the decision has come out, rather 6 6 certificate in hand, Rocky Mountain Power would feel than just being an automatic issuance of the 7 more comfortable proceeding with the next steps on 7 certificate regardless of what the decision is? 8 8 this project. Which include centerline staking, Α. No, I don't believe that's a necessary step 9 design, preparing bid packages, and those types of 9 that we have to take. We can, we can get the 10 10 activities. certificate and look at the decision, and then decide 11 Without a certificate of need I think that is 11 how to move forward with our project. 12 probably something that puts a lot more risk on our 12 Do you have your exhibit, it's in your direct 13 13 project should we move ahead without that. testimony, it's DTG-2? 14 14 Α. I believe I do here. Just bear with me for a When do the -- will the bid packages that 15 15 you'll be sending out be for the entire project or moment. 16 16 MR. SMITH: Is this the -- from the IRP? only for the -- this Mona-to-Oquirrh transmission 17 17 line? MR. GINSBERG: Yeah, the IRP stuff. 18 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, that I have in front of It would be just for the Mona-Oquirrh 19 transmission line. So that's Mona, to the proposed 19 me, Mr. Ginsberg. 20 future Limber site, to Oquirrh. That's the first 20 Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Now, in your direct 21 stage that we're talking about here by 2013. 21 testimony you indicated that this project has gone 22 Now, the record -- the decision from the 22 through the IRP process; is that right? 23 Facilities Review Board could materially change the 23 Α. It is an integral part of our IRP process, 24 entire scope of the project, could it not? 24 yes. 25 25 Q. I suppose it could. We'll see. I don't know Now, when I look at the action plan that is 30 32 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) included in the 2008 IRP. Do you have that in front doesn't have to read it? Just -- do you all have that 1 1 2 of you? 2 in front of you? Okay. 3 Yeah. I'd like to make sure I'm on the same 3 Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) That basically says that 4 4 page. If you could give me a page number, I want to you're gonna reevaluate these segments to ensure that 5 5 they're still justified; is that right? make sure I'm with you on that. 6 6 A. That's correct. That's how I would read Sure. Why don't we go to page 258. 7 7 that. Α. I have it here in front of me. 8 8 And when I look down at the bottom, the last And you'll look at alternatives to these 9 one is the Mona-to-Oquirrh transmission line that 9 segments could be deferred or not constructed if not 10 we've been just talking about. 10 warranted? 11 11 That is correct. I see it here. That would be prudent for us to do regularly. 12 12 Now, if we go over to the next page it lists Review our plans to make sure they still meet our 13 13 the other possibilities that will be occurring in the customers' needs, yes. 14 14 future; is that right? The other proposed portions of And what is -- when you put a segment into 15 the Gateway project? 15 the IRP planning process, what is the -- what does 16 Α. That is correct. 16 it -- it evaluates whether that portion of the 17 Q. And how far out in the future do the action 17 proposed transmission line that we just discussed 18 18 plans evaluate? earlier should be built or not built, depending on 19 Α. Our action plans for this case went out 19 evaluation with other alternatives that may be out 20 20 approximately ten years. there; is that a fair statement? 21 21 Q. And --**A.** I think that's a fair statement. Let me 22 Α. Our IRP is published for ten years. 22 clarify just a little bit. The transmission into our 23 And the Mona-to -- the Limber-to-Terminal 23 Integrated Resource Plan is used to evaluate options 24 portion of the line is not included in this action 24 for new resource -- for new resources for our 25 plan, is it? 25 customers. 35 33 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 Α. It is not. 1 Those can be, those can be purchases from 2 If you turn to page 280. Again, here it is 2 markets, liquid markets. They can be purchases from 3 with a descriptive of the proposed transmission line. 3 existing -- our plants. Our own and others. And so 4 You see Mona to Limber to Oquirrh? Segment C? 4 the transmission is a first in, if you will, input 5 Α. Yes. 5 into the Integrated Resource Plan. And from that we 6 Q. 6 determine the lowest-cost resources to serve And it also now includes the 7 Oquirrh-to-Terminal portion of the line, which is not 7 customers. 8 8 portion -- part of the certificate; is that right? Q. So is it fair to say --I believe that's what you just described to 9 That is correct. 9 10 Q. 10 But it is a line you're currently building? me, if I heard you correctly. 11 It's not under construction. It's currently 11 So is it fair to say then that the 12 planned to be built. 12 Mona-to-Limber-to-Oquirrh piece and the Oquirrh-13 13 And again, the Limber-to-Terminal portion of to-Terminal piece have gone through that process? 14 14 the line is not discussed at all in this -- in the Α. They have. 15 IRP, is it? 15 Q. But the Limber-to-Terminal piece of the 16 A. I don't believe we stated explicitly the 16 transmission line has only gone through a very 17 17 Limber-to-Terminal piece. Again, at the time it was high-level --18 outside of, outside of the construction period of 18 Α. It was in the, it was in the Integrated 19 2019. 19 Resource Plan later than two thousand fif -- 2019. 20 Q. 20 Okay. So it hasn't actually gone through the Now, can you turn to page 66? 21 Α. Sixty-six in the IRP? 21 process that the action plan goes through? 22 In that exhibit also, in that IRP exhibit. 22 The Limber-to-Terminal -- the 23 Α. I'm on page 66. 23 Limber-to-Terminal piece has been put in the 24 Can you, can you read that last paragraph? 24 Integrated Resource Plan out -- at a time frame later 25 25 MR. GINSBERG: Or does everyone have it so he than 2019. 34 36 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit

	(May 24, 2040, Dealey Mountain Daylor, 00, 025, 54)		(May 24, 2010, Dealey Mayortain Dayler, 00, 025 54)
١,	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)	١,	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	Q. But it doesn't appear in these	1	transmission, and a description of the Gateway
2	documentations?	2	Transmission project?
3	A. That's correct. It's a ten-year, it's a	3	A. Yes, I believe that's, I believe that's
4	ten-year IRP resource picture.	4	correct.
5	Q. I did also	5	Q. Now, in here, if we look at the first page of
6	A. And one other thing I'd like to point out, if	6	DPU Exhibit 2. Again, the pieces that are included do
7	I may. Excuse me for interrupting you. This is a	7	not include the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line;
8	2008 document. We have reviewed our action plans,	8	is that fair?
9	making them current with 2010. And our Integrated	9	A. It's not included in this action plan. It's
10	Resource Plan was updated March 31st of this year with	10	outside of 2019, I believe.
11	a new action plan. So we continue to look at that.	11	Q. How far outside is it?
12	Q. Is that the 2008 update?	12	A. Well, our, our in-service date for Limber to
13	A. It's the two thousand March 31, 2010,	13	Terminal was after 2019.
14	update.	14	Q. So it's just an unknown date? That means it
15	Q. Well, I actually have it here, so let me.	15	could be 2025?
16	(Pause.)	16	A. It could be. I think it's, it's pretty
17	MR. GINSBERG: Could I get these marked as	17	inaccurate to try and forecast out that far. But our
18	exhibits?	18	analysis showed that we, we weren't going to include
19	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Ginsberg, why don't we	19	it until after 2019.
20	start with Exhibit 2 at this point, inasmuch as	20	Q. And if we look at the verbal description
21	Dr. Zenger's	21	again on page 2 of the what's been marked as DPU
22	MR. GINSBERG: Okay.	22	Exhibit 3. On Energy Gateway Segment it shows the
23	CHAIRMAN BOYER: direct testimony is 1.0	23	changed in-service dates that have taken place
24	and her surrebuttal is 1.9-SR.	24	between, between the original IRP and the update. And
25	MR. GINSBERG: So if we could go ahead and	25	again, it does not include this Limber-to-Terminal
-	37	-	39
	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR		Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR
	DepomaxMerit		DepomaxMerit
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)	1	•
			IMAV 24 ZUTU - ROCKV MOUNTAIN POWEL - 09-035-54)
1		1	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1 2	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark	1 2	piece at all.
2	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description	2	piece at all. A. That is correct.
2	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the	2	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I
2 3 4	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I?	2 3 4	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please?
2 3 4 5	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those.	2 3 4 5	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry.	2 3 4 5 6	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second	2 3 4 5 6 7	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	piece at all. A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Do you have what's been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have. I do agree that it's not as near term or as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Do you have what's been marked as DPU Exhibit 2 and 3 in front of you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have. I do agree that it's not as near term or as urgent as Mona-Oquirrh. But I think it's, it should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Do you have what's been marked as DPU Exhibit 2 and 3 in front of you? A. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have. I do agree that it's not as near term or as urgent as Mona-Oquirrh. But I think it's, it should be seen as clearly a part of our electrical grid that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Do you have what's been marked as DPU Exhibit 2 and 3 in front of you? A. Yes, I do. Q. And these are updates what you've referred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have. I do agree that it's not as near term or as urgent as Mona-Oquirrh. But I think it's, it should be seen as clearly a part of our electrical grid that we need going forward, for the same reasons that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Do you have what's been marked as DPU Exhibit 2 and 3 in front of you? A. Yes, I do. Q. And these are updates what you've referred to as the March 31st IRP Update of the action plan on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have. I do agree that it's not as near term or as urgent as Mona-Oquirrh. But I think it's, it should be seen as clearly a part of our electrical grid that we need going forward, for the same reasons that Gateway South is listed in here as 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Do you have what's been marked as DPU Exhibit 2 and 3 in front of you? A. Yes, I do. Q. And these are updates what you've referred to as the March 31st IRP Update of the action plan on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have. I do agree that it's not as near term or as urgent as Mona-Oquirrh. But I think it's, it should be seen as clearly a part of our electrical grid that we need going forward, for the same reasons that Gateway South is listed in here as 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mark the 2008 IRP Update and Action Plan, want to mark that as 2. And then the verbal description MR. SMITH: Now, I only received the MR. GINSBERG: Oh, did I? MR. SMITH: We only got the first of those. MR. GINSBERG: Oh, sorry. MR. SMITH: Did you get this the second one, Mr THE WITNESS: I have two. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which one we're missing here, but I have MR. GINSBERG: You have them both. He didn't have them. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you for that. MR. GINSBERG: And then the verbal description of the Energy Gateway Transmission project as 3, if that's if we could. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Do you have what's been marked as DPU Exhibit 2 and 3 in front of you? A. Yes, I do. Q. And these are updates what you've referred to as the March 31st IRP Update of the action plan on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That is correct. Q. And am I A. Are you referring to Table 2.2, please? Q. Yes, sir. A. Okay. Wanted to make sure I was looking at the same thing you are. Q. Yeah. And with you know, as you indicated, you can't get a permit for the Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line. Why would the Commission issue a certificate with that much uncertainty surrounding an in-service date of a major investment like that, that may not be built for 10 years or 15 years? In other words, why, why should the why does that make sense? A. Well, I think for, for me it makes sense in that that part of this that part of the system, that line from Limber to Terminal, again, is an integral part of the electric plan that we have. I do agree that it's not as near term or as urgent as Mona-Oquirrh. But I think it's, it should be seen as clearly a part of our electrical grid that we need going forward, for the same reasons that Gateway South is listed in here as 2019.

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 1 Without it -- without Gateway South we have piece of the Gateway project? 2 an incomplete picture of what our Company plans to do, 2 Α. For Gateway Central, yes. 3 or what our customers might need in the future. So 3 Yeah. And scheduled after Gateway South is 4 4 similar to that. built, and after Gateway West is built, and other --5 5 Α. It's --Q. But you're not asking for your certificate 6 6 Q. for Gateway South at this point, even though it's -- other portions of Gateway. So your 7 7 current projections for the need for the planned to be built before Limber to Terminal? 8 8 Yeah. That will come, however, with the Limber-to-Terminal piece are outside of your planning 9 9 project timing. We are underway with our horizons at all currently? 10 10 environmental work and our permitting for, for the Well. I think I'll correct that a little bit. 11 Gateway South project and the Gateway West project. 11 This Limber-to-Terminal piece is scheduled after 12 So those, those will come in due order. 12 Gateway West, to your question. 13 13 Q. So what is your concern, then, about if the Right. 14 14 Limber-to-Terminal piece is not built, that -- isn't Α. And about the same time as Gateway South. In 15 15 built until the 2020 time period, that when you come and around 2019. Or right after Gateway South. 16 16 Okay. in for that Gateway South project after 2015, which is 17 17 the Division's recommendation, you include the MR. GINSBERG: Could I have admitted that Limber-to-Terminal piece of that transmission line for 18 18 DPU-2 and 3? 19 a certificate at that time, rather than you all just 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Are there any objections to 20 20 filing a report with the -- which is your the admission of Exhibits -- DPU Exhibits 2 and 3? 21 MR. SMITH: No objection. 21 recommendation. 22 Α. 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted. Well, my con --23 23 What's the problem with doing that? (Exhibit Nos. DPU-2 and DPU-3 were admitted.) 24 24 Well, I don't think there's any problem MR. GINSBERG: Can I take just a moment? 25 exactly with doing it later. My concern, and our 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may. 43 41 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) Company's concern, is that we may have to build Limber 1 (Pause.) 2 2 to Terminal sooner. Again, it will be driven by load MR. GINSBERG: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. 3 3 growth and economic development. That's all the questions I have. 4 4 Even though it's right outside of, or just, CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Ginsberg. 5 5 just a little later than our ten-year window, our Let's turn now to the Commissioners. 6 concern is having to build it sooner than not later. 6 Commissioner Allen, have you questions for 7 We want to be prepared to do that. 7 Mr. Gerrard? 8 And I would cite the case where -- we've had 8 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No. 9 at least one project that I'm aware of in Tooele 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Commissioner Campbell? 10 10 County where we've been unable to serve new customers, COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: I have just one. And 11 actually declined service at this point, because we 11 it goes along the line with the questions related to 12 don't have the electrical facilities to do that. 12 Limber to Terminal, and it has to do with the Clover 13 And usually when these large customers come 13 substation. I heard here today that, that the Clover 14 14 in, they want service yesterday. So I believe the substation was related to the Gateway South project. 15 15 benefits that I'm trying to communicate here is it And so I guess my question is, why would that 16 16 puts our Company in a, I think a good position to be not be included in your CPCN for Gateway South? Why 17 17 able to move forward on this project if our time frame is Clover part of this CPCN? 18 18 THE WITNESS: The, the reason it's included changes. 19 19 Moving things later can happen and does in here is the high-voltage portion of the Clover 20 20 happen. Also, we have things that come sooner than we substation is the southern terminal of Mona-Oquirrh 21 expect. And that is my concern. Our Company's 21 when it's completed. 22 concern. 22 So, so we will be building -- for clarity, 23 Q. But as of right now, the Company's current 23 Clover substation will ultimately have 500 kV, 345 kV, 24 projections of the need for projects, the 24 and 138 kV. And Gate -- when Gateway South comes in 25 Limber-to-Terminal piece, it looks like it's the last 25 it will be -- have to operate at 500 kV, as would 42 44 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) Mona-Oquirrh. 1 1 generally, based on your experience, what, what is 2 So it's the southern terminal of this project 2 happening to the cost of commodities, concrete, steel, 3 in its final state. 3 those sorts of things that will be necessary for these 4 4 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Do you see the need transmission projects? 5 5 THE WITNESS: In dealing with our project to build it before Gateway South is built? 6 THE WITNESS: We see a need to build a 6 team we've actually seen a lowering of costs the last 7 7 portion of Clover before Gateway South -- this would couple of years. Actually, since Populus-Terminal was 8 8 be the 345-to-138-kV portion -- for local transmission bid we've seen a softening some of concrete prices, 9 service into and around Cedar City. 9 and steel prices, and even aluminum conductor prices. 10 10 We're looking at our reliability, and as I We, we hedge those in our bids to try and 11 11 mentioned, we look at our load growth forecasts make sure we've got our exposure cap there. But they 12 annually to see what the demands are doing and the 12 have softened some. And I think the reason for that 13 13 forecasts are doing. And we have indications, of course -- my own opinion is, of course, the 14 14 Mr. Campbell, that Clover is going to be needed sooner economy. 15 15 than Gateway South at this point. At the time, two years ago, there were quite 16 16 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Couple of questions, a number of projects being proposed that have been 17 17 Mr. Gerrard. slowed down a little bit, particularly in California. 18 18 So to answer your question directly, I think the THE WITNESS: Certainly. 19 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Sort of following on the 19 prices have softened a little bit. 20 20 same line that Commissioner Campbell was asking. By The other thing that helps us with our cost 21 21 stating that the Limber-to-Terminal segment is control, again -- and I mentioned it earlier -- is 22 forecast to be built sometime after 2019, isn't -- and 22 being able to put a construction bid and an EPC bid, 23 the issue of whether or when is still a little bit 23 as we call it, out there. And let the, let the 24 uncertain, isn't that sort of a tacit admission that 24 constructer or the contractor give us the best terms 25 you don't currently know that it's necessary and 25 for him as far as construction and delivery of 45 47 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) convenient? 1 materials. 2 2 THE WITNESS: I can't --So that has a big impact on the commodity 3 3 prices, is how much pressure we're putting on them to, CHAIRMAN BOYER: May or may not be? 4 4 to get it done. So that also is a, is a big factor in THE WITNESS: I think in the -- my answer to 5 5 the cost, is the time frame we allow them to have. that is that I don't see that it's needed, in my 6 6 CHAIRMAN BOYER: The reduction in commodity professional opinion, before 2019 with the data that I 7 have. Again, that, that could change with load growth 7 costs, can you give me a range in terms of 8 8 patterns and such. So I've forecasted as accurately percentages, 1, 2, 5, 10 percent? 9 as I know how to do. 9 THE WITNESS: Boy, I, Chairman, I wouldn't 10 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. And then by adding -want to speculate on that now at this point. I --11 in your rebuttal testimony adding the Clover 11 subject to check, I could get that information. 12 substation, and I suppose this Limber-to-Terminal 12 CHAIRMAN BOYER: It's not relevant to this 13 13 portion, increased the cost from 450 million to a issue, I was just curious. 14 14 billion dollars, more or less. Have those increased THE WITNESS: It's a good question, however. 15 numbers been run through the -- your IRP models to 15 CHAIRMAN BOYER: And a last, a last question. 16 make sure that it still makes economic sense at those 16 As I understand the Company's position is that they 17 17 increased costs? wish us to issue the Certificate of Convenience and 18 THE WITNESS: The -- let me think about that 18 Necessity, but you don't mind it being conditioned for 19 for a minute. 19 the Tooele County segment that's still at issue before 20 Those numbers were included in our 2010 20 the Facilities Study and Review Board. But you want 21 business plan update for Gateway. So they should be 21 the rest to be absolute and effective immediately so 22 in our IRP update. 22 that you can begin construction; is that correct? 23 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. Costs 23 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 24 aren't really an issue. Prudence isn't an issue in 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Does it make sense, I mean, 25 25 this particular hearing. But can you just tell me are there portions of that, that transmission line, 46 48 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

_			
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	but for the Tooele segment, that you can build, not	1	com we don't want any surprises on that.
2	knowing exactly where they might be? For example,	2	Q. One other question, and that goes to
3	where Limber might be?	3	Mr. Ginsberg asked you some questions about that
4	THE WITNESS: Certainly. I think up and	4	again went to the fact that Limber-to-Terminal wasn't
5	up to the Tooele, Tooele County line we have a pretty	5	in the current IRP documents that have been provided.
6	good idea where we have a very good idea where the	6	Talk, if you would, about you talked about
7	line route's gonna be.	7	how this is an interrelated project. And the reason
8	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Regardless of what	8	that you sought certification for all these pieces is
9	happens	9	the interrelationship there. Could you explain how
10	• •	10	
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think	11	they are interrelated in an operational sense?
	CHAIRMAN BOYER: from the Tooele County		A. Yeah, briefly, I let me do that briefly.
12	line north?	12	The Limber-to-Terminal piece provides a number of
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that's the,	13	benefits to the electric system. And one is that it,
14	that's the case, yes.	14	it ties Mona into Terminal strongly.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And so you wouldn't be	15	In doing that it also provides backup
16	aligning it differently if there were a different	16	capability between our Terminal substations, and our
17	result from the Facilities Review Board?	17	Oquirrh substations, and our Camp Williams
18	THE WITNESS: If we depending on the	18	substations. So it, it provides a transmission backup
19	decision from the Facilities Review Board, we may	19	capability or redundancy through the Valley.
20	depending on that what that decision tells us, we	20	The other thing the project does when it
21	may build some options in our contract to allow for	21	connects Limber-to-Terminal a very important
22	route variances as we go forward at the, at the county	22	piece is that's also a backup for our lines from
23	line.	23	Terminal-to-Ben Lomond that we just finished.
24	That may be prudent. We have to look and see	24	And so in the event we have system
25	what that is. But that would be something we would	25	disturbances or parts of our system out between Ben
	49		51
	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR		Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR
	DepomaxMerit		DepomaxMerit
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) strongly consider.	1	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now
1 2		1 2	
	strongly consider.		Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now
2	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you.	2	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of
2	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our	2	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy
2 3 4	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard.	2 3 4	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load
2 3 4 5	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith?	2 3 4 5	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different
2 3 4 5 6	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple.	2 3 4 5 6	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand.
2 3 4 5 6 7	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of timing. It will be needed in this Valley. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, during the break
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of timing. It will be needed in this Valley. And I think it would be imprudent for the Company to not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, during the break were you able to get some clarification in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of timing. It will be needed in this Valley. And I think it would be imprudent for the Company to not have shown our total plan, and what our intentions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, during the break were you able to get some clarification in terms of what the Company has done in Tooele County with regard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of timing. It will be needed in this Valley. And I think it would be imprudent for the Company to not have shown our total plan, and what our intentions are, and what our needs are in that regard so people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, during the break were you able to get some clarification in terms of what the Company has done in Tooele County with regard to permitting for the Limber-to-Terminal segment, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of timing. It will be needed in this Valley. And I think it would be imprudent for the Company to not have shown our total plan, and what our intentions are, and what our needs are in that regard so people can plan. Whether it's state, federal, local. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, during the break were you able to get some clarification in terms of what the Company has done in Tooele County with regard to permitting for the Limber-to-Terminal segment, and then also the status of Salt Lake County?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of timing. It will be needed in this Valley. And I think it would be imprudent for the Company to not have shown our total plan, and what our intentions are, and what our needs are in that regard so people can plan. Whether it's state, federal, local. They can see what our, what our plans are, and they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, during the break were you able to get some clarification in terms of what the Company has done in Tooele County with regard to permitting for the Limber-to-Terminal segment, and then also the status of Salt Lake County? A. Yes, I did. I visited with our permitting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Strongly consider. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: If it didn't follow our preferred route. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Redirect, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a couple. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. A few minutes ago Chairman Boyer asked you a question about the fact that and if I hope I get this right. The fact that Limber-to-Terminal is outside of the your current planning period indicates that there's no current need. What's your view in terms of the future need? In other words, in terms of are you confident that the Limber-to-Terminal piece is going to be necessary in the future? Is there any doubt in your mind on that? A. No, there's not. It's just a matter of timing. It will be needed in this Valley. And I think it would be imprudent for the Company to not have shown our total plan, and what our intentions are, and what our needs are in that regard so people can plan. Whether it's state, federal, local. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Lomond and Terminal, now north of the airport, we now have a strong tie to Terminal, right in the heart of the Valley, clear to Mona. That provides redundancy and backup. So it's serving several different integrated functions, as well as serving customer load on peak demand. Q. And isn't A. As do all of our interconnected transmission lines. (There was an interruption in the proceedings.) (A recess was taken from 10:10 to 10:18 a.m.) CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, let's go back on the record. And I apologize, we interrupted you in mid-question, Mr. Smith. So maybe MR. SMITH: I have, I have no clue even where it was, so I'm gonna I'll ask it differently. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Maybe just start all over again. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Gerrard, during the break were you able to get some clarification in terms of what the Company has done in Tooele County with regard to permitting for the Limber-to-Terminal segment, and then also the status of Salt Lake County?

DepomaxMerit

1 folks who were in the room during the break. And in 1 believe having that certificate for this project in 2 regards to the project we did submit a permit 2 its entirety reduces risk to the project. It gives 3 application to Tooele County for the full project, 3 our Company better certainty. 4 4 including the Limber-to-Terminal piece of the project. We can, we can anchor this project so that we 5 5 And at that time we were told that those had have a robust plan, if you will, going forward. And 6 6 a statute of expiration or a period of expiration, and reduced risks and certainty, in my experience, 7 7 that it was too early to seek those. So we did put -translates to lower costs for our Company and our 8 8 we did apply for those permits through Tooele County, customers. 9 and suggested that we would come back later -- a later 9 We don't have to plan for more unexpected 10 10 date for the Limber-Terminal piece. things. So I think there's a, there's a significant 11 Second, I was also able to clarify for the 11 benefit to having this in hand, and knowing what our 12 12 plan is going forward, and everybody can see that. Commission that -- or the Division that we don't -- we 13 13 do not need a permit from Salt Lake County for, for Also, I mentioned that we have no -- Rocky 14 either of the projects, Limber -- Limber to Terminal 14 Mountain Power has no issues or problems with updating 15 15 or Limber to Oquirrh. Is that -- that was your the Division or the Commission with our progress as we 16 16 question? go forward. It's prudent that we review our plans 17 17 Q. Yes. One question, and I think this may have going forward and make sure they're still correct and 18 18 been clarified, but let's, let's -- this relates to the timing is right. 19 the two substations in question, Clover and Limber. 19 That's what we're talking about, is timing. 20 20 And I believe you've indicated that the plans call for So we have no issue in coming back and sharing our 21 their full completion at some time in the future that 21 plans. 22 isn't presently really pinned down. 22 Q. Is the concern really that the Company would 23 23 What about portions of it? Will, will rather have a procedure that requires it to come back, 24 24 as opposed to one that would allow the certificate to portions of those substations be built in the interim 25 with some degree of certainty? 25 just simply lapse? 53 55 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 Yeah, the question on Limber substation and 1 Yeah. I think just, just letting it maybe 2 2 Mona -- and I think Commissioner Campbell asked a terminate as a sunset is not the best approach. I 3 3 similar question. Both the stations at Limber and at think we should dialogue about whether it goes away or 4 4 Mona will have multiple voltages, multiple not as a utility and as a Division. 5 5 transformations. In other words, they're staged MR. SMITH: Thank you. That concludes our 6 500 kV, 345, and 138 kV. And all of that will not be 6 redirect. 7 built at the same time. 7 MR. GINSBERG: I just have one or two 8 8 We've staged this project I think in an questions, if I could. 9 excellent way, where we build just what we need at the 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, at some point we have 10 time so we don't incur costs sooner. But I fully 10 to cut it off. But go ahead, Mr. Ginsberg. 11 expect that Limber and Clover will need to be 11 MR. GINSBERG: It's related to the -- that's 12 constructed with the lower voltages in place first, 12 all right. I'll pass. 13 and then the 500-kV size portions of the station in 13 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, 14 14 the time frames that are in the IRP, in the IRP Mr. Gerrard, you may step down. 15 15 exhibits that were handed out by Mr. Ginsberg. Let's hear now from Dr. Zenger. 16 16 Okay. And now one final question. It goes THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 17 to the need for a CPCN -- or the Company's need for a MR. SMITH: I did offer his testimony, didn't 18 18 1? CPCN for the Limber-to-Terminal piece. Could you 19 19 address, just in general terms, why the Company deems MR. GINSBERG: I'm not sure. 20 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Actually, you did not. it important that it get a certificate, even if it's 21 subject to the Company providing updated information 21 MR. SMITH: Well, let me --22 and further review? Why it's important that it 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: This would be a good time to 23 receive the CPCN at this point in time? 23 do that. 24 Yes, certainly. I think -- I covered this a 24 MR. SMITH: Yes. Let me offer the direct 25 little bit, but maybe I'll expand it a little bit. I 25 testimony, which is RMP-2, and then the exhibits are 54 56 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

	(M. 04 0040 B. I. M. 4 i B. 00 005 54)	1	(N. 04.0040 B. I. M. 41. B. 00.005 54)
١.	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)	١.	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	RMP-2.1 through 2.6. And then R his rebuttal is	1	Q. (By Mr. Ginsberg) Can you provide a summary
2	RMP-3, with Exhibits 3.1 through 3.4. Offer those	2	of your testimony, and any additional comments you
3	into evidence.	3	want to make in response to the comments of
4	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you.	4	Mr. Gerrard made with respect to your recommendations?
5	Are there objections to the admission of	5	A. Yes, thank you. In my direct testimony that
6	Mr. Gerrard's direct and rebuttal?	6	was filed on March 30, 2010, I presented the
7	MR. GINSBERG: No.	7	Division's analysis supporting the need for the
8	CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted, together	8	proposed CPCN.
9	with exhibits.	9	The Division determined that the construction
10	MR. SMITH: Thank you.	10	of the transmission and its associated facilities
11	(Exhibit Nos. RMP-2, RMP-2.1 through RMP-2.6,	11	meets the statutory public convenience and necessity
12	RMP-3, and RMP-3.1 through 3.4 were admitted.)	12	requirement, is in the public interest, and it will
13	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Ms. Zenger, let's swear you	13	benefit Utah ratepayers.
14	in.	14	The Division recommends the Commission grant
15	(Dr. Zenger was sworn.)	15	the CPN for a period of five years, conditioned on the
16	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, please be seated.	16	Company acquiring all necessary and required permits.
17	JONI S. ZENGER, Ph.D.,	17	The Division determined that the Company needs to
18	called as a witness, having been duly sworn,	18	report to the Commission once all permits that have
19	was examined and testified as follows:	19	that are required have been obtained.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION	20	In light of the pending outcome of the
21	BY MR. GINSBERG:	21	petition before the Utility Facility Review Board on
22	Q. All set? Would you state your name for the	22	the siting in Docket 10-035-39 the Division recommends
23	record?	23	that the CPCN not be granted until the matter has been
24	A. Joni S. Zenger.	24	resolved. And that the Company needs to report to the
25	Q. And you have filed testimony in this	25	Commission any changes to the current CPCN application
	57		59
	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR		Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR
	DepomaxMerit		DepomaxMerit
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct?	1	based on the results of that proceeding.
1 2		1 2	based on the results of that proceeding. Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal
	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct?		• • • •
2	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct.	2	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal
2	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as	2 3	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty
2 3 4	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your	2 3 4	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this
2 3 4 5	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR,	2 3 4 5	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response
2 3 4 5 6	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that?	2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450
2 3 4 5 6 7	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	proceeding, both direct and rebuttal; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of this project the Division determined to add in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal testimony? MR. SMITH: No objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of this project the Division determined to add in surrebuttal, which was filed on May 18th, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal testimony? MR. SMITH: No objection. CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of this project the Division determined to add in surrebuttal, which was filed on May 18th, that requests that the Commission limit the duration of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal testimony? MR. SMITH: No objection. CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-1.0 through 1.5 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of this project the Division determined to add in surrebuttal, which was filed on May 18th, that requests that the Commission limit the duration of the proposed CPCN to five years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal testimony? MR. SMITH: No objection. CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-1.0 through 1.5 and DPU-1.9SR were admitted.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of this project the Division determined to add in surrebuttal, which was filed on May 18th, that requests that the Commission limit the duration of the proposed CPCN to five years. If portions of the project have not been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal testimony? MR. SMITH: No objection. CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-1.0 through 1.5 and DPU-1.9SR were admitted.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of this project the Division determined to add in surrebuttal, which was filed on May 18th, that requests that the Commission limit the duration of the proposed CPCN to five years. If portions of the project have not been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Correct. Q. And the direct testimony has been marked as DPU Exhibit 1, with Exhibit 1.1 through 1.5. And your rebuttal testimony was marked as DPU Exhibit 1.9-SR, is that? A. One-point-zero-SR. Q. One-point-zero-SR? And do you have any corrections to make to any of those? A. No. Q. Any of those exhibits? Or testimony? A. No. Q. Okay. And if those questions were asked to you today, that would be the answers you would give? A. Yes. MR. GINSBERG: With that I'd ask that her premarked testimony, DPU Exhibit 1, and 1.1-SR, and the accompanying exhibits be admitted. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Any objection to the admission of Dr. Zenger's direct and surrebuttal testimony? MR. SMITH: No objection. CHAIRMAN BOYER: They are admitted. (Exhibit Nos. DPU-1.0 through 1.5 and DPU-1.9SR were admitted.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Gerrard clarifies in his rebuttal testimony the costs of the project and the uncertainty surrounding the in-service dates of portions of this project. In the Company's originally-filed response the project costs were estimated at around \$450 million. In the supplemental response the project costs were estimated at approximately \$1 billion. The additional costs relate to portions of the project that are not scheduled to be completed at the same time as the transmission line for Mona to Limber to Oquirrh. Those portions of the project included in the additional costs identified by Mr. Gerrard have very uncertain in-service dates. In fact the Limber-to-Terminal transmission line has no in-service date, and was not included in planned transmission in the 2008 IRP or the 2008 IRP update. Due to uncertainties surrounding portions of this project the Division determined to add in surrebuttal, which was filed on May 18th, that requests that the Commission limit the duration of the proposed CPCN to five years. If portions of the project have not been

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 constructed within five years of the date of the 2 issuance of the CPCN, the Division believes the 3 Company needs to reapply for another certificate and 4 show that public convenience and necessity still 5 exists.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only remaining issues before the Commission with respect to the CPCN are the reporting requirements that I have just described, the timing of the project, and the need for the Company to file usage information in future CPCN applications as well as cost recovery cases.

Now, the Company announced its \$6 billion Energy Gateway Transmission project in 2007. The Company's 2008 IRP contains an entire separate chapter, which is Chapter 10, that outlines the Company's transmission expansion plan.

The Company is planning on building approximately 2,000 miles of transmission lines in its jurisdictional serving area, therefore we know that the Company plans to build a substantial amount of transmission facilities in the coming years.

For a transmission line it's necessary for the Commission and parties to have information as to where the electricity will go and who will or will not use it. Where it is known that certain kinds of

> Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

information will be needed by the regulators to make a 2 decision, that information should be provided up 3 front

The Division believes that it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to require the Company to provide such information in future cases. The information we request is the planned usage of the transmission line between retail, and in-state, and out-of-state wholesale customer groups.

This information is necessary to determine the overall projected need for a line or -- of a given capacity, as well as to determine whether there exists public convenience and necessity for Utah for that line.

Now, the remainder of my comments are -- I would like to address points that Mr. Gerrard brought up today on the stand here. The Division does not agree with the Company's proposal to provide a letter in five years down the road or so whenever a project is ready to begin construction or to be reevaluated.

We believe the statute is quite clear on the Commission's ability to not grant a certif -certificate if all permits are required, or to put conditions on a certificate granting. The fact that the local governments, Mr. Gerrard mentioned Tooele

> Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 County CUP has a limit of two years, we feel that five years is very reasonable. And there's need -- if in five years that this line is not built, a new CPCN 3

4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

62

61

should be filed.

5 There may other parties that want to 6 intervene in the docket. There may be new 7 environmental standards. The load and growth needs 8 may have varied dramatically. And things change a 9

10 And so therefore, in order to actually 11 determine whether present or future public convenience 12 and necessity does require construction of new 13 facilities, the Division believes that a full CPCN 14 filing should be made in five years, or ten, whenever 15 that date becomes known.

The next issue that I will bring up is an issue that the Division agrees with Mr. Gerrard's proposal, in that we know that the BLM has been working for years and years on the NEPA process to come up with the Draft Environmental Statement and Final Environmental Statement, and in the fall, the Record of Decision.

23 And so the Division does not want it to hold 24 the Company back from its plans, and realizes that 25 they need to obtain EPC contracts and get construction

63

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 contacts -- contracts in place. And so we believe

2 that the condition does not need to be granted on the 3 Record of Decision.

4 However, as I described in rebuttal 5 testimony, if something changes in the Record of 6 the Div -- of Decision we feel that immediately the 7 Company would need to notify the Commission and the Division of any change.

9 The next topic I want to address from 10 Mr. Gerrard is the Energy Gateway Project as a whole. 11 I was one of the first people that began working on 12 this as part of the NTTG process, and was trying for 13 years and years to help get transmission built.

Other than the Populus-to-Terminal line we haven't had significant transmission built in the state for about 20 years. So we're in new stepping grounds and we're finding out new things that may be required or that we may need to look at. And one of those is this Mona-to-Oquirrh line.

We -- through our analysis of this project we determined that this was separate than the Populus-to-Terminal CPCN, because in that case the 100 percent full -- all, all load from that transmission line was going to serve network load growth in Northern Utah and parts of Idaho. There

> Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

1 were no wholesale sales, no market purchases. It was 1 knows. 2 2 solely to serve load in Utah. THE WITNESS: No. 1, I am aware that that's 3 And now we're finding transmission lines have 3 not specifically stated. But there is language that 4 4 states that the Commission may make an order multiple purposes. Reliability, which has been talked 5 5 about in the Facility Review Board hearing, is one of declaring, upon application, certain conditions. And 6 6 the greater ones. But also to serve wholesale markets designate the public utility to apply those conditions 7 7 and to serve set -- meet market sales outside of Utah. for a certificate. 8 8 So we're learning that these -- this type of Q. (By Mr. Smith) But isn't that conditions --9 9 information is necessary. And that's why in my in this case, related to this proposal, what you're 10 10 proposing are conditions that don't relate at all to rebuttal testimony I emphasize the need that the 11 Company needs to provide when -- during a CPCN 11 this case but would relate to other CPCN cases or a 12 12 application. And also in -- if there's, if there's a cost recovery case. They're different cases, aren't 13 13 single-item rate case, like the Ben Lomond case or the they, not the same? 14 14 one coming up in August? There's not a lot of time Right. I'm, I'm talking about only in the 15 15 event that there's a CPCN filed for a transmission for discovery in those. 16 16 And so we already know up front, the project. 17 17 **Q.** But if -- the conditions that 54-4-25 allows regulators know that we're gonna be looking at this 18 18 information: Who's using the line, where it's -the Commission to place on a CPCN application relates 19 where is it going to, and who's using it? And so this 19 only to the CPCN that's before them, doesn't it? 20 20 MR. GINSBERG: I think you're asking for a reporting requirement we think is very prescient. And 21 we would hope the Commissioners would agree and 21 legal conclusion here. 22 require that. 22 THE WITNESS: I'll let, I'll let our 23 And it appears the Company's is in agreement 23 attorneys file that in their legal briefs. 24 with us on the other reporting requirements that you 24 MR. SMITH: Okay. all read in the testimony, so I won't reiterate those 25 Q. (By Mr. Smith) Now, with regard to a 65 67 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) here. So that, that concludes my comments. mandated requirement that this type of information be 2 2 MR. GINSBERG: Okay. Dr. Zenger is available filed in a cost recovery proceeding, is it your 3 3 understanding that that would probably be a rate case? for questions. 4 4 MR. SMITH: Okay. It could be a single-item rate case as well, 5 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Cross examination, 5 with a shortened time frame. 6 6 Mr. Smith? But aren't single-item rate cases typically 7 MR. SMITH: Yeah, I have a few questions. 7 frowned on? And doesn't the Company typically ask for 8 8 CROSS EXAMINATION cost recovery for new facilities in general rate 9 BY MR. SMITH: 9 cases? 10 10 Dr. Zenger, let's talk first about this Α. Well, gen -- yeah, in general in general rate 11 proposal of the Division that would require the 11 cases. However, the first two single-item rate cases 12 Company to file, in future CPCN cases and in future 12 involved transmission lines. The one in February and 13 13 cost recovery cases, this what I'll call "relative use the one in August. information." 14 14 Okay. Let me ask you this. Let's -- didn't 15 Α. Uh-huh. 15 the Commission just go through a fairly lengthy 16 16 rulemaking process to set forth in rules what had to Do you acknowledge that there's nothing in 17 Section 54-4-25 that would require that part of the 17 be required in rate case filings? The so-called 18 CPCN filing? 18 Complete Filing Rule? 19 A. I read that right before I came in. And --19 **A.** Yes. That was the big filing requirements 20 MR. GINSBERG: I think you're asking her for 20 rule -- rulemaking procedure, yes. 21 a legal conclusion about what the statute says. 21 And that was a rulemaking proceeding that 22 MR. SMITH: Well, Dr. Zenger provided a lot 22 allowed all potentially-affected parties to comment, 23 of the legal stuff. I'm just asking her if she was 23 and then amendment, and so on, correct? 24 aware that --24 A. 25 25 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We'll let her answer if she Q. But you're proposing here that the Commission 66 68 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 1 in this docket impose filing requirements in other 2 cases, without going through the rulemaking process? 2 3 A. This, this is very narrow scope. We're 3 4 4 talking about just transmission line, transmission 5 5 projects. And it only applies to the Company. It had should then take place. 6 6 not come to light until we actually had this case and But if, after reviewing it, they determine 7 7 realized that, Wow, 100 percent of the, the use for 8 8 this line is not going to Native Utahans. So we, you 9 know, we weren't even aware of this issue at that 9 10 time. 10 11 But you were able to determine the 11 12 12 information through discovery, were you not, and unnecessary. 13 13 obtain what you needed? Α. 14 14 We were. We were. But like I say, it 15 15 took -- it takes two to three times and -- to get the 16 16 information, to ask the right question, and to get the 17 17 follow-up questions answered. Q. 18 18 And I think if this information were 19 automatically provided with the CPCN for a 19 20 20 transmission project, when the -- when a single-item 21 21 rate case comes up the regulators would always --22 already have it. Because you know it's something the 22 23 Board is gonna be looking at, and it would -- I think 23 24 it would streamline the process. 24 25 Let's turn to another area if we could. Now, 25 69 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) as I read your testimony you have no qualms in stating 1 2 2 that the Mona-to-Oquirrh line is -- meets all of the 3 3 requirements statute that the need is fairly close and 4 4 that the certificate should be granted for that? 5 Α. Yes. 5 6 Q. 6 Now, what -- the Limber and Clover 7 substations, while I think Mr. Gerrard talked about 7 8 8 them not being completed in totality -- we don't know 9 the end date when they'll be completed in totality, do 9 10 10 you understand and agree that portions of those 11 substations will need to be built fairly quickly to 11 12 accommodate either the Mona-to-Oquirrh project or 12 13 13 others that will be coming shortly thereafter? 14 14 Α. Yes. 15 15 Q. Q. Well, I believe the Company's objection is, Okay. I'd like to talk to you about this 16 five-year proposal. That the CPCN lasts only five 16 17 17 years. And I'm trying to see why the Company's 18 proposal isn't reasonable. 18 19 19 What I believe the Company has proposed is 20 that the Commission, in its order in this case, could 20 21 21 set a date certain when the Company should file 22 whatever information is needed. I think Mr. Gerrard 22 23 indicated, you know, the Commission can determine 23

Division, whoever, could -- and the Commission or other parties could look at that information. And then based on that determine that, you know, enough changes have taken place that a certificate proceeding

that things look okay, that the certificate is still appropriate, in that case we wouldn't have to have a proceeding. And I don't, I don't understand why that proposal wouldn't work just as well, and avoid a proceeding that might prove to be completely

Well, now, now I can respond with a legal question to you. I don't see that option anywhere in 54-4-25 to provide a letter down the road for, you know, a major transmission project.

Well, wouldn't it be one of those conditions that the Commission could impose upon the CPCN? You've indicated that the Commission can provide a C -- or grant a CPCN subject to conditions.

And what I think Mr. Gerrard is suggesting is one of those conditions would be that, say four and-a-half years after the issuance of the CPCN, the Company would be required to file with the Commission and the Division a list of information.

71

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

That would then be reviewed by the Division or other parties, and a determination could then be made that we do or don't need to have a further proceeding. Why -- isn't that the kind of condition that the Commission could put on a CPCN?

Yeah, perhaps they could. However, I just wonder why, why not just file the CPCN? Because the -- I know the Division would want all the same information to go -- in five or ten years from now to look at the need, and the load growth, and the capacity deficit, and, you know, do a full analysis.

So we would need all the information you'd be filing anyway for a CPCN, so I, I don't understand, you know, the Company's objection.

Why have a contested proceeding if one isn't necessary? Because this is a little different. This isn't a brand new issue. This -- the Commission's already heard about Limber-to-Terminal, where it fits into the plans, and hopefully will grant a CPCN that will allow the Company to do that.

And so that's different than coming in for a completely brand new CPCN. Why do you need to have a full proceeding if you review it and say everything looks fine? That's -- it's the question of why you

24

25

70

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

72

Say it's in four and-a-half years. The

whenever that information is needed.

24

	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	have a mandated proceeding that I think is the	1	Q. Well, but if that's a different case. If
2	Company's concern. And I'm trying to understand why	2	they came in and did that
3	that is a problem to the Division.	3	A. Yeah.
4	A. Well, I think it's problematic. First	4	Q the Division has the right to say, We
5	because it's not just that we don't know we, we	5	disagree, don't they?
6	think that something's gonna happen around 2010 when	6	A. Yeah. I'll, I'll agree to disagree with you.
7	Gateway West is built or Gateway South, but we don't	7	Q. Okay. Final area, I was a little unclear,
8	know	8	your proposal was that the CPCN be issued
9	MR. GINSBERG: Did you mean 2020?	9	coincident or subject to the Tooele permit. And
10	THE WITNESS: Pardon me?	10	Mr. Gerrard suggested that we should time that at the
11		11	
	MR. GINSBERG: Did you mean 2020?	12	same time as the Board decision. Does the Division
12	THE WITNESS: Two thousand nineteen, excuse		oppose that?
13	me. But we, we some portions of the project have	13	A. I, I initially wrote in my testimony that the
14	no date. And so it just doesn't seem like a good	14	Commission should not should grant the condition
15	public policy to recommend a line be built if there's	15	the certificate conditioned upon the Company receiving
16	not even a date. Or we won't still won't even know	16	the Tooele all permits, the Tooele, before they
17	where that line will be going exactly.	17	grant blanket approval.
18	Q. (By Mr. Smith) Well, but couldn't the	18	And again, the Division recognizes the need
19	part of the request for information be precisely where	19	for the Company to plan. These things can't be built
20	the line will be going?	20	overnight. And we wouldn't want the court process to
21	A. Yeah. It would be. If, if in seven years	21	hold up the project any further. So we, we would, we
22	you haven't built the Limber piece you'd have to file	22	would be willing to accept the Utility Facilities
23	that same information, yes.	23	Review Board's decision.
24	Q. But my question is, Why do we have to have a	24	And then, you know, rather than wait the
25	formal proceeding when proceeding informally could	25	additional 60 days to actually get the permit, you
	73		75
	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR		Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR
	DepomaxMerit		DepomaxMerit
	(May 24, 2040, Dealay Mayortain Dayson, 20, 205 54)		(14
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) achieve substantially the same result?	1	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) know, let the if the Company wants to, you know,
1 2		1 2	
	achieve substantially the same result?	_	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know,
2	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the	2	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that
2	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times.	2	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to
2 3 4	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more	2 3 4	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that.
2 3 4 5	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want.	2 3 4 5	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain
2 3 4 5 6	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason	2 3 4 5 6	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power.
2 3 4 5 6 7	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith.	2 3 4 5 6 7	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filling of information that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties not having sufficient information?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be different regulatory policies, environmental laws.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties not having sufficient information? A. That, that would probably meet that concern.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be different regulatory policies, environmental laws. There will be different load, load balances. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties not having sufficient information? A. That, that would probably meet that concern. But it wouldn't address the concern that we don't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be different regulatory policies, environmental laws. There will be different load, load balances. There may be more load growth. It may require a higher a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties not having sufficient information? A. That, that would probably meet that concern. But it wouldn't address the concern that we don't want the Company coming in at the end of the year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be different regulatory policies, environmental laws. There will be different load, load balances. There may be more load growth. It may require a higher a 500-kV line. It may not require a 345 certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties not having sufficient information? A. That, that would probably meet that concern. But it wouldn't address the concern that we don't want the Company coming in at the end of the year and filing for Gateway West, which isn't gonna be built	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be different regulatory policies, environmental laws. There will be different load, load balances. There may be more load growth. It may require a higher a 500-kV line. It may not require a 345 certain through certain portions or segments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filling of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties not having sufficient information? A. That, that would probably meet that concern. But it wouldn't address the concern that we don't want the Company coming in at the end of the year and filling for Gateway West, which isn't gonna be built for ten years, but we want the certificate now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be different regulatory policies, environmental laws. There will be different load, load balances. There may be more load growth. It may require a higher a 500-kV line. It may not require a 345 certain through certain portions or segments. So the, the project would be kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	achieve substantially the same result? MR. GINSBERG: I think he's already asked the question a number of times. THE WITNESS: I could come up with more reasons if you want. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's hear your last reason and then maybe move on, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: It's the Division's recommendation that we stick with the five years. There may like I say, there may be other entities that might want to intervene due to extremely different conditions in ten years. Q. (By Mr. Smith) Okay. And A. They wouldn't have had the opportunity here. Q. Well, I think we're talking Mr. Gerrard's proposal would be a public filing of information that would be available to other parties. If that were the case, would that meet the concern about third parties not having sufficient information? A. That, that would probably meet that concern. But it wouldn't address the concern that we don't want the Company coming in at the end of the year and filing for Gateway West, which isn't gonna be built for ten years, but we want the certificate now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know, let the if the Company wants to, you know, look at the options when they get the results of that and file any changes with us, we would be amenable to that. MR. SMITH: That's all from Rocky Mountain Power. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you Mr. Smith. Commissioner Allen? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Zenger, on this five-year cap I guess I'm just not quite clear. And maybe I've just forgotten, I have read it. But what kind of problems, what's the parade of horribles that a five-year sunset prevents? THE WITNESS: The five-year prevents, if, if the Company still had the same plan as they did now to build let's say the Limber substation or the Clover one? And, and but they don't even start building it? We think that in five years there's gonna be different regulatory policies, environmental laws. There will be different load, load balances. There may be more load growth. It may require a higher a 500-kV line. It may not require a 345 certain through certain portions or segments. So the, the project would be kind of

	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)				
1	indeterminant. And it would be hard to make a	1 Any redirect, Mr. Ginsberg?				
2	recommendation for you to issue a con a CPCN to	2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
3	build a project that we don't know when or where it's	3	BY MR. GINSBERG:			
4	gonna go in.	4	Q. You've you were asked a question with			
5	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Do we have a history of	5	respect to these sunset provisions and. Have you ever			
6	providing sunset dates on CPCNs that you know of?	6	run across the at least the other transmission line			
7	THE WITNESS: No. I none that I know of.	7	that I think you worked on, where there was			
8	We could ask our attorney.	8	essentially no known in-service date like there is for			
9	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And is there a reason	9	the Limber-to-Terminal piece?			
10	why the Company, knowing that they have to some at	10	A. No. Like I mentioned, the only one that's			
11	some point seek cost recovery during general rate	11	the only transmission that's significant			
12	cases, so-called single-item rate cases, is there not	12	transmission that's been built was that			
13	reason why your concerns couldn't be met when they	13	Populus to Terminal. And I wrote the dates in my			
14	seek recovery? That they know that they have to prove	14	testimony.			
15	those things up, those changes, those?	15 Once the CPCN was granted, they be				
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, they would have to. It's	16	construction. And the whole project was expected to			
17	just I don't think the Division wouldn't want to	17	be finished by the end of the year. I've never so			
18	put ratepayers at risk if, you know, they end up	18	no, I have not.			
19	building it a whole different direction or something	19	Q. There was no piece hanging out there with an			
20	and the costs are a lot higher.	20	unknown in-service date?			
21	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, thank you.	21	A. No.			
22	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Commissioner Campbell?	22	Q. Or a piece that had not been permitted yet at			
23	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: My questions also	23	all?			
24	deal with the five-year condition that you propose.	24	A. No.			
25	If the Company this is a, this is a hypothetical.	25	Q. Like Limber to Terminal?			
	77		79			
	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR		Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR			
	DepomaxMerit		DepomaxMerit			
		1				
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)			
1	If the Company were able to build everything within	1	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No.			
2	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber	2	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you.			
2	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your	2	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you,			
2 3 4	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of	2 3 4	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused.			
2 3 4 5	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that?	2 3 4 5	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that			
2 3 4 5 6	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell.			
2 3 4 5 6 7	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that	2 3 4 5 6 7	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard it correctly, Mr. Gerrard said that they would not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per side, you think, or less?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard it correctly, Mr. Gerrard said that they would not have to have a CPCN for the Terminal piece.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per side, you think, or less? MR. SMITH: I think that's Mr. Moscon's			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard it correctly, Mr. Gerrard said that they would not have to have a CPCN for the Terminal piece. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Well, let me, let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per side, you think, or less? MR. SMITH: I think that's Mr. Moscon's gonna give it, but I think we're talking 10			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard it correctly, Mr. Gerrard said that they would not have to have a CPCN for the Terminal piece. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Well, let me, let me I've got two questions for attorneys, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per side, you think, or less? MR. SMITH: I think that's Mr. Moscon's gonna give it, but I think we're talking 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well then. If			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard it correctly, Mr. Gerrard said that they would not have to have a CPCN for the Terminal piece. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Well, let me, let me I've got two questions for attorneys, and I think I'm gonna save those for the attorneys.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per side, you think, or less? MR. SMITH: I think that's Mr. Moscon's gonna give it, but I think we're talking 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well then. If			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard it correctly, Mr. Gerrard said that they would not have to have a CPCN for the Terminal piece. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Well, let me, let me I've got two questions for attorneys, and I think I'm gonna save those for the attorneys. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per side, you think, or less? MR. SMITH: I think that's Mr. Moscon's gonna give it, but I think we're talking 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well then. If Mr. Moscon chooses MR. MOSCON: Sure, 15 minutes, absolutely.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	If the Company were able to build everything within five years the Clover substation, the Limber substation, and Limber-to-Terminal it's your testimony that they ought to have a CPCN for all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So how does that relate to their inability now, let me add on now their inability to get permits for pieces of that because they're not ready to build them? So, so your, your attorney asked a number of questions related to the Limber-to-Terminal piece. And I'm just curious if you're so your five-year requirement has nothing to do with overall need of the whole project? You as of today you would see a need for the, for the overall project? THE WITNESS: Right, right. And if I heard it correctly, Mr. Gerrard said that they would not have to have a CPCN for the Terminal piece. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Well, let me, let me I've got two questions for attorneys, and I think I'm gonna save those for the attorneys. THE WITNESS: Okay. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. And I have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) A. No. MR. GINSBERG: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Zenger. You are excused. Commissioner Allen has a question or two that should be directed to Counsel, and then Campbell. I'm sorry, I was looking at Allen and thinking Campbell. The parties have asked for an opportunity to make closing legal arguments. And so we'll deal with Commissioner Campbell's questions. Then we'll take a short break. We'll come back and we'll hear those arguments. Then we'll be in recess until the 5:00 public witness hearing. And proceed on that, on that basis. But I'm thinking that in terms of your legal arguments 10 or 15 minutes ought to be sufficient per side, you think, or less? MR. SMITH: I think that's Mr. Moscon's gonna give it, but I think we're talking 10 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Very well then. If Mr. Moscon chooses MR. MOSCON: Sure, 15 minutes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN BOYER: Great. Then if Mr. Moscon			

DepomaxMerit

	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
1	that will be fine with us as well.	1	say if he has a different interpretation.
2	Okay. With that, Commissioner Campbell?	2	But yes, I would state that, as has been
3	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Let me my question	3	pointed out by the Division through their testimony,
4	comes down to what the statute requires as it relates	4	some of these processes take a long time. The Company
5	to permits. And I'm just trying to get clear in my	5	has been in the process of trying to obtain all of the
6	mind what permits have not been yet requested.	6	permits.
7	So, and so maybe my first two questions are a	7	•
			They have approached the County. And it's
8	little factual before I get to the legal question.	8	the County that came back and said, We want you to
9	But the first is, is a permit required from Tooele	9	proceed on this piece first and come back on that
10	County to build from Limber to Terminal?	10	piece. But they've been in the process.
11	MR. MOSCON: Yes.	11	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Is it your
12	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: And has that been	12	interpretation, Mr. Ginsberg, that they are in the
13	requested?	13	process of obtaining the permit for Limber to
14	MR. MOSCON: Yes and no. Originally	14	Terminal?
15	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Has it been	15	MR. GINSBERG: Well, it was actually the
16	withdrawn? I mean	16	first I heard this morning that they had actually
17	MR. MOSCON: Yes. Originally the Company	17	applied for the permit. I hadn't even understood that
18	went to Tooele County seeking a permit for the entire	18	they even applied. So, you know, I'd always viewed
19	project.	19	the statute that you could issue a certificate while
20	Tooele County indicated back to the Company,	20	permits were pending.
21	Hey, our permits only last for a year, so since you're	21	Now, whether that falls into the
22	not planning on building that segment within a year it	22	classification of a pending permit, the party could
23	doesn't do you any good to even ask for the permit.	23	argue it either way.
24	Because whether we give it to you or not now, you're	24	I think our problem that we were faced with
25	going to have to come back again later.	25	was when you had a project, this segment of this
	81		83
	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR		Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR
	DepomaxMerit		DepomaxMerit
	(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)
	(a) = 1, =0.10 1100H,0110H 00 000 0.1		(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Fower - 09-035-54)
1	So the Company then just sought the permit	1	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you
1 2		1 2	
l _	So the Company then just sought the permit		transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you
2	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now.	2	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that
3	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a	2	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion,
2 3 4	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now?	2 3 4	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something
2 3 4 5	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit.	2 3 4 5	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have
2 3 4 5 6	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company	2 3 4 5 6	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just
2 3 4 5 6 7	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince	2 3 4 5 6 7	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question, have you legally met that for the Limber-to-Terminal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high level when you look at the Gateway project on the maps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question, have you legally met that for the Limber-to-Terminal piece of this certificate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high level when you look at the Gateway project on the maps and in the very high level that little piece is there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question, have you legally met that for the Limber-to-Terminal piece of this certificate? MR. MOSCON: And you're jumping into one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high level when you look at the Gateway project on the maps and in the very high level that little piece is there, we went ahead and said, Well, give them the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question, have you legally met that for the Limber-to-Terminal piece of this certificate? MR. MOSCON: And you're jumping into one of the things I would cover in my topics, so I'll try and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high level when you look at the Gateway project on the maps and in the very high level that little piece is there, we went ahead and said, Well, give them the certificate. So.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question, have you legally met that for the Limber-to-Terminal piece of this certificate? MR. MOSCON: And you're jumping into one of the things I would cover in my topics, so I'll try and abbreviate this for the answer and let Mr. Ginsberg	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high level when you look at the Gateway project on the maps and in the very high level that little piece is there, we went ahead and said, Well, give them the certificate. So. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: My second question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question, have you legally met that for the Limber-to-Terminal piece of this certificate? MR. MOSCON: And you're jumping into one of the things I would cover in my topics, so I'll try and abbreviate this for the answer and let Mr. Ginsberg	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high level when you look at the Gateway project on the maps and in the very high level that little piece is there, we went ahead and said, Well, give them the certificate. So. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: My second question 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So the Company then just sought the permit for the portion that it was seeking to build now. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: So that is not a pending permit as it stands right now? MR. MOSCON: It's not a pending permit. Whether the application is in process, or the Company in process of obtaining it, I guess you could mince words with COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Okay, that's my question. Because I'm looking at 4-A-i, right? Or 4-A-1: "Each Applicant for a certificate shall file evidence as required by the Commission to show that the Applicant has received or is in the process of obtaining the required consent, franchise, or permit with the proper county, city, or other public authority." And I'm struggling with the legal question, have you legally met that for the Limber-to-Terminal piece of this certificate? MR. MOSCON: And you're jumping into one of the things I would cover in my topics, so I'll try and abbreviate this for the answer and let Mr. Ginsberg	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transmission line, Limber to Terminal, which, you know, we understood that we weren't sure that they'd even applied for the permits for that portion, or it required something When something is so uncertain we could have either approached it by saying, Well, that should just be left out of the certificate at this point and maybe they shouldn't even ask for it. Or we came up with this other alternative in saying and this is I think where you get hung up. But where we said, Well, we've looked at the broad-brush needs for the project. And this little piece is in there at a high level. So why don't we go ahead and give them the certificate, but then say that if it's not built within the five years then they should have to come in and reapply for a certificate. I think we could have gone either way. And I think, since they'd applied for it using including Limber to Terminal, and at a high level when you look at the Gateway project on the maps and in the very high level that little piece is there, we went ahead and said, Well, give them the certificate. So. COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: My second question

1 deals with whether a decision by the Utility 1 we'll hear closing arguments. 2 2 Facilities Review Board meets the requirements in (A recess was taken from 11:05 to 11:18 a.m.) 3 4-A-ii, right? Where it says during the process of 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's go back on the record. 4 obtaining the consent, franchise, or permit, it can be 4 And now we'll hear from Mr. Moscon first, and then 5 5 conditioned upon. Mr. Ginsberg. 6 And the question is, is a decision by the 6 MR. MOSCON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Do you wish to divide your Review Board receipt of the consent, franchise, or 8 permit? Or, or does -- legally do we really have to 8 time, Mr. Moscon? 9 wait till 60 days till the County actually responds to 9 MR. MOSCON: Yeah. If I could save four 10 the Board's decision? 10 minutes for rebuttal, please. Thank you. 11 11 MR. MOSCON: My understanding or I appreciate the time and attention of the 12 12 interpretation is that as far -- the statute regarding Commissioners in this matter. I know my client does 13 the Facility Review Board is clear that the County 13 as well and the Division does as well. As I've 14 14 shall -- and the language is mandatory -- issue a reviewed the materials and sat here I think it's clear 15 permit consistent with that Board's decision within 15 that both sides before the Commission today agree that 16 60 days. 16 there is a need for this project. 17 17 So I think that a permit and a decision by In other words, there's not a dispute about 18 the Board are not the same thing, but the Board can 18 whether to issue a certificate. It's really only a 19 direct what the permit will state. 19 question about certain parameters or conditions that 20 As far that specific sub-ii goes, I would say 20 should be applied to the certificate, or whether those 21 21 that you could say that the Applicant is in the conditions should be attached. And so I'd just like 22 process of obtaining the permit once the decision of 22 to focus my thoughts on those one by one. 23 the Review Board is made because we know that the 23 The first is a question of inclusion of the 24 permit must be issued within 60 days. 24 Limber-to-Terminal piece. And it ties into this 25 There, however, is also farther down, C-3, 25 five-year piece. And I'm paraphrasing, of course, but 85 87 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) which is the section that describes the fact that the the argument seems to be that everyone agrees there's 2 2 certificate can describe construction on portions of a present need right now -- maybe yesterday -- to get 3 the line. 3 the Mona or -- Mona-to-Oquirrh piece. But the piece 4 So I think what the recommendation was, or 4 from Limber-to-Terminal is in the future, therefore 5 the concern the County -- or that the Company had was, 5 don't issue the certificate. 6 Hey, we're not saying that Tooele's not going to abide 6 And I think the best answer to that comes 7 by the order. That they're gonna do something 7 directly from the statute, which states that this 8 outlandish. 8 Commission should issue a certificate if there's a 9 9 But if that were to happen, we need this demonstration, and I quote: 10 10 project going. And we can't have -- we, we shouldn't "That present or future public 11 have to stop and wait down in Moab, and Juab County, 11 convenience and necessity does or will 12 and Utah County until all permits are in hand. So you 12 require the construction." 13 13 should issue the certificate now. And if worse came So this Commission is not limited by a 14 demonstration of existing present need. In fact, the 14 to worse we could start building in the South, coming 15 up, and get to the Tooele County line. 15 statute is clear that we -- it wants the Company 16 Again, I'm not saying that will happen. But 16 looking into the future and planning projects in an 17 17 if there was a certificate issued that said, Don't do integrated way as it has done here. 18 anything until you get all permits in your hand, and 18 It is to look to the future. And a 19 in the event that there was something unusual -- that 19 certificate should issue if there's a demonstration of 20 Tooele County refused to follow the order of the Board 20 evidence that the Company will need to construct this 21 21 or something like that and we couldn't get started project. 22 down below -- then there could be problems. If that 22 Mr. Gerrard's testimony was unequivocal that 23 answers your question. 23 the critical load area, that the Salt Lake Valley as a 24 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you for that. 24 whole, including the Tooele area, will need the 25 25 Limber-to-Terminal piece. That that is not an Let's take a ten-minute recess, and then 86 88

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) equivocal thing. It's not something that's in the -up in the air. 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What's left in the air is the Company has simply stated, We want to, for the protection of our ratepayers, to not invest the capital before it is absolutely needed. However, when those needs come, they come quickly.

People come in with a large project. A large industrial project could come into a county and say, We want to attach, we want to be involved. And if there's not sufficient, you know, energy available out of say the Terminal or Limber substation, the Company will need to act quickly to get that up to speed.

If it needs to take another year to start a new certificate process and do this all over again, then it is damaging not only the ratepayers, the new customers trying to get on, the economic development of communities.

Also I'll point out when you do projects that way, when you're trying to build them yesterday, it's more expensive to ratepayers. Because when you can't plan and get the best contracts, and you're having to hurry and do things quickly, it's always done in a way that is less beneficial to the ratepayers as a whole.

There is a need for this project. The

89

8

15

90

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) project was defined and identified as an integrated whole. And the Limber-to-Terminal piece or segment is part of this project. It is appropriate for the certificate to include that.

Although it's not required that the Company issue further reports and data, in order to help ease some of the concerns of the Division the Company is willing, as a compromise, to have this Commission's certificate be conditioned upon a filing by the, by the Company, in some time that the Commission deems appropriate -- three, four, five years -- showing what their current projections are. Will it be 2019, will it be 2015, will it be 2016?

And if at that time there's a problem, a third party or the Division could open a docket. But there should not be a hesitancy to issue the certificate for that portion of the project.

The second real area of contention is, again, on the issue of permits. And it's one of the things that Commissioner Campbell was asking questions on. The statute, again, is clear that the certificate should issue for an entire project as long as there is evidence that the Applicant is in the process of obtaining the permits.

I also pointed to Section C-3, which

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 indicates that the certificate can limit construction to a portion of a contemplated line or system. 3 Therefore what we suggest is that the Commission enter 4 its order now.

5 Again, we recognize it's prudent to wait for 6 the decision of the Facility Review Board. But upon 7 decision of that Board a certificate should state that 8 the Company, at its discretion, can begin construction 9 on any part of the line where it has, you know, the 10 requisite permit in place.

11 That way the Company could start building in 12 Utah County, or Juab County, or outside of Mona, or in 13 Salt Lake County. And it would not be potentially 14 held hostage by the actions of one county that's 15 refusing to issue a permit, which could jeopardize the 16 construction time frame of the entire line.

17 I think that, again, the -- Section C-3 of 18 the statute clearly identifies that the Commission 19 should allow the certificate, notwithstanding that one 20 permit. And all the other areas of the project that 21 have been permitted should be able to proceed 22 accordingly.

23 And I believe that Dr. Zenger's testimony 24 clarified that, again on the issue of the ROD and the 25 BLM, that certain steps such as surveying and these

91

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) other -- contracting, that that should not be held up.

2 And I think the Division and the Company are in accord 3 as far as that goes. 4 The third area of dispute relies to this

5 required filing of data for usage for the project. 6 And as came out in the exchange between Mr. Smith and 7 Dr. Zenger, it should be clear to the Commission that

the Company is troubled by this for several reasons.

9 First and foremost, as the initial filings in 10 this docket indicated -- the scheduling order and in 11 fact the statutes describing what this is about -- the 12 certificate process is limited solely to need. Issues 13 of cost, all these other things, are not appropriate 14 in this docket.

They may well be appropriate in other dockets 16 in rate cases or the like, but it does not belong in 17 this case. To the extent that there is a question of 18 how this information would tie into need the Division 19 or an interested party can make a data request and ask 20 the Company for this information, as happened in this 21 case.

22 The Division asked for this data, and it was 23 provided. So there does not need to be an order 24 conditioning the certificate on production of this 25 information.

> Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) 1 1 recovery of these facilities. To the extent the request asks the Commission 2 2 to make a rule going forward for all other certificate I think it's clear that -- I think there 3 filings, again, we think that that is procedurally 3 should be no question that the Commission has the 4 4 improper on a number of grounds. authority to require the Company to file whatever 5 As indicated first of all, that would amount 5 information they think is relevant for the 6 to rulemaking. And there is, as I'm sure the 6 determination of a certificate, or for cost recovery. 7 7 Commission is aware, a developed set of case law and And when the Division tells you that they 8 8 statutory law about the process that must be gone think that it's important that that information be 9 through in order to get into rulemaking. 9 provided up front, I think the Commission should give 10 I'm also remained, in fact, of this 10 that view of the Division important consideration in 11 11 Commission's order in the Populus-to-Terminal reaching its decision. 12 12 certificate, where it was not the same request, but The idea that somehow this is rulemaking I 13 13 think is -- the Commission clearly has the authority outside parties wanted certain filing requirements. 14 14 And this Commission in its order declined to to adopt in the proceedings, if you look at the 15 15 rulemaking act. And if they thought that it had some do so. Saying, We want to approach these on a 16 16 case-by-case basis. And we don't want to make a rule general applicability and should apply to other 17 17 on this case saying what should happen in every case. utilities, has the authority to then turn it into a 18 18 That's not what's best for the citizens of this state. rule within 120 days without doing anything improper. 19 19 That's not what's best for the Commission. What is driving this issue is that -- the 20 20 enormous investment that's being made in the And that same situation would apply here. 21 21 Right now there's been data provided. There's an transmission projects that the Company is planning. 22 unequivocal assertion of need for the project that's 22 And it is, in our mind, completely relevant to 23 at issue. And to the extent that there's any 23 determine whether need is -- exists to determine who 24 information that would be lacking in a, in a rate case 24 is gonna be using the capacity on that transmission 25 or a cost recovery case, that information could be 25 line. Whether it's retail, wholesale, or other types 93 95 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) requested and would be properly before the body at 1 of customers. 2 2 that time. The second issue that I wanted to discuss 3 3 deals with this five year. I think it's highly But it is not necessary at this time. 4 4 unusual. We've been through a number of certificate Therefore it would simply, again, cloud the issue. It 5 would be a rulemaking obligation that we think is 5 proceedings on generating plants, transmission lines, 6 improper. And it's simply beyond the scope of the 6 where a certificate is being requested with absolutely 7 no in-service date. 7 current proceedings. 8 8 When the Commission stops and focuses on what Not even, not even one that is -- you could 9 is before it today, it is simply the need for the 9 even say which is in the future, when it's even 10 10 project. I think there's no dispute that the need outside of the planning horizon that the Company has 11 exists. The testimony was unequivocal. There has 11 set up within its own IRP process. 12 been no contrary evidence to that matter. And there 12 It seems to us highly unusual that a 13 13 should not be any conditions that go beyond the need Commission would give an unconditional certificate 14 14 of this project. without any opportunity to re-look at it if the -- in 15 Therefore, unless there are other questions 15 some reasonable period of time when the project, as I 16 of the Commission, I'll reserve the remainder of my 16 think became clear when we went through the IRP, 17 17 time to respond. Thank you. hadn't gone through the IRP process. 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Moscon. 18 The Limber-to-Terminal piece is outside of 19 19 the planning horizon of all the documentation that's Mr. Ginsberg? 20 MR. GINSBERG: Thank you. Let -- I -- let me 20 provided to the, to the parties in reviewing the IRP. 21 21 start with the last discussion that was made with So it seems to us we're faced with like a respect to this additional reporting requirement that 22 22 couple of different choices when we basically focus on 23 the Division has asked that the Commission make part 23 the fact that there's no known in-service date for 24 of a requirement when the Company files for additional 24 this Limber-to-Terminal piece. We could have excluded 25 25 certificates for transmission facilities and for cost it from the certificate altogether. Or we could have 94 96 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

DepomaxMerit

1 simply has not stated it's 2017 or 2019. 1 come up with this recommendation that, if it's not 2 2 built within a reasonable period of time, that they But the Commission can appreciate, if a large 3 should have to re-show the need for that project. 3 industrial customer wanted to move in to say Tooele 4 4 And the difference between making them have County area and brought in the need to build up those 5 5 to actually file for a, a amendment or application for substations and put that line in, there will be time 6 6 their certificate and just filing a report we think is necessary to get that line up and operational. 7 7 a significant difference. Where the Division, and the But to have to first go through an entire 8 8 Commission, and others who might want to would then filing process to get a certificate process, 9 have the opportunity to re-look at this 9 et cetera, is not only an additional time constraint 10 Limber-to-Terminal piece in light of conditions that. 10 and expense constraint, it is simply unnecessary. 11 you know, might be out as far as 2020 or 2025, since 11 And I would say it's the very reason that the 12 12 there is no known in-service date. code is written as it is, which is when a utility --13 13 The final area, that I think that there's as the Company -- can show a future need. We know 14 14 probably really no disagreement on, although I think I this is coming. Our engineers have looked at the 15 heard the Company indicate that they want the 15 data. We've done the studies. We know it's coming. 16 16 Commission to grant the permit -- the certificate We have this window. It will be somewhere in this 17 17 immediately, and then -time frame. We don't know the exact date yet. 18 18 We think that the Utility Facility Review That is sufficient for a certificate, if it's 19 Board's potential decision is so overriding and 19 a present need or a future need. It needs to be built 20 20 now, or it will be built in the future. That's what significant in this process that the certificate 21 should wait at least through that decision. And not 21 the code tells you is sufficient to grant a 22 grant -- give them -- have some construction that's 22 certificate. 23 23 Therefore with that authority, the going on today. 24 That decision is coming out in the next 24 certificate should issue. And it does not need any of 25 30 days, and at that point we can evaluate where we 25 these sunsetting things. 97 99 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) are. But I think I heard indication that they were 1 Again, if in -- we arbitrarily say five years 2 asking that the certificate be granted today, when the 2 now. And four and-a-half years into it, you know, recommendation that I heard Mr. Gerrard make was that 3 3 something comes down on the horizon, but we've already 4 4 they're willing to accept the fact that the got this five-year thing in place. It's just an 5 certificate should be issued when the Facility -- the 5 arbitrary line that's been drawn, five years. And it 6 Facilities Review Board decision comes out. Thank 6 doesn't make sense. It's not needed. 7 7 you. Finally, I also want to clarify, we are 8 8 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Ginsberg. happy, or I -- if I misspoke, I should clarify my own 9 Mr. Moscon? 9 comments. The certificate decision can wait the 10 10 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. Very quickly, in Facility Review Board decision. The Company does not 11 order of the points made. On the reporting 11 plan on taking steps or action prior to June 21st. 12 requirements, if this Commission is considering making 12 However, just clarifying, the concern is we 13 that filing requirement in the order I ask it review 13 cannot have this certificate conditioned upon the 14 14 Utah Code 63G-3-201, et cetera, regarding rulemaking, Company first getting all of the permits, including 15 et cetera, because, again, I think the Commission's 15 the Tooele County permit, and then filing a subsequent 16 being invited down a slippery slope that will have 16 report, and then being some further action by the 17 17 implications beyond this case. Commission. 18 On the five-year-window horizon, again, 18 Because even in the best-case scenario that 19 there's a concern that the Company maybe is really not 19 puts us one, two, three, four months down the road, 20 even serious about this line yet and it's not even on 20 and the Company cannot get started. And in a 21 its planning horizon. 21 worst-case scenario, again, if Tooele County were to 22 That is belied by the fact that the Company 22 take some unforeseen step it could jeopardize a lot of 23 actually went to Tooele County and tried to permit the 23 need for this route. 24 Limber-to-Terminal piece. It is in the process of 24 So again, we hope that the Commission will 25 25 this piece. It is part of the overall plan. And it issue a certificate granting the Certificate of Public 98 100 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54)

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) Need and Convenience for the project, including the 1 relative usage reporting at this point in this docket. 1 2 Limber-to-Terminal section, the entire project as We want to underscore that the certificate planned. 3 3 includes the Limber and Clover substations, as 4 That the Com -- if the Board wishes -- excuse 4 currently planned and detailed by Mr. Gerrard in his 5 me. If the Commission wishes, it can condition that 5 testimony. 6 on a filing by the Company at a time the Commission 6 The portions of the transmission line that 7 7 feels is appropriate -- two, three, five, seven years are subject to permitting in Tooele County are 8 8 approved, but conditioned upon obtaining the necessary out -- of when its timeline is. An updated needs 9 assessment. We're, we're happy to do that. We're not 9 10 trying to hide our cards. 10 And to the extent that the Company believes 11 11 But the certificate, under the authority it's prudent, it can commence construction, or issue 12 12 given to this Commission by the statute, should be RFPs, or whatever portion of the process it wants to 13 issued for the entire project, and without a 13 do on those portions of the transmission line for 14 14 sunsetting period over the project. And we cannot which it has received permits at this point in time. 15 15 have one permit able to highjack the process as a And I think that nails down all of the 16 16 whole. Thank you very much. issues. 17 17 Mr. Smith? CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you all for your participation. We'll recess until 5:00 this 18 18 MR. SMITH: Well, one thing, just so I'm afternoon. See you back here then. 19 19 clear. One issue was the -- whether the CPCN will be 20 (A recess was taken from 20 deemed -- for the items you've identified will be 21 21 11:38 a.m. to 5:06 p.m.) deemed granted as of the date of the Review Board 22 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let's go back on the record. 22 decision, or we --23 23 And let it reflect that this is the time and place CHAIRMAN BOYER: It will be deemed granted 24 duly noticed for the hearing testimony from public 24 today. 25 witnesses in the Certificate of Convenience and 25 MR. SMITH: Okay. Okay. 103 101 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) (May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) Necessity Hearing in Docket 09-035-54. 1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: We'll wait and see what the 2 2 And Ms. Murray has already signalled me that Board does. 3 there are no members of the public here who wish to 3 MR. SMITH: Okay. 4 speak. And we have no end time on this particular 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: By the 21st. 5 hearing, so we're gonna proceed. 5 Unless there are any questions or necessity 6 6 for any clarification, that will be our ruling. And And we're prepared to rule from the Bench now 7 7 on the certificate proceeding. And the -- a written we'll follow up with an order here in due course. 8 8 order will follow in due course with more detail and MR. SMITH: Thank you very much. 9 rationale, but it will go as follows: 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you all for your 10 10 We're going to approve the Certificate of participation. 11 Convenience and Necessity, with the following 11 (The hearing was concluded at 5:10 p.m.) 12 provisos: 12 13 13 Number one, we're not persuaded that the 14 14 Company has met the burden of proving that the 15 Limber-to-Terminal portion of the transmission line, 15 16 particularly where there's no in-service date of yet, 16 17 17 is currently necessary and/or for the convenience of 18 the general public. 18 19 Secondly, by eliminating that portion of the 19 20 certificate from the proceeding here we see no need to 20 21 put a five-year term on the certificate. Nor do we 21 22 see a need for additional reporting in three, five, or 22 23 six years, as proposed by the Company bringing in new 23 24 information. 24 25 25 We've determined that we'll not require any 104 102 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit DepomaxMerit

(May 24, 2010 - Rocky Mountain Power - 09-035-54) CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF UTAH 3) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) 4 This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, KELLY L. WILBURN, a Certified 5 Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter in and for the State of Utah. 7 That the proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting. And that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages, 10 numbered 1 through 104, inclusive. 11 I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said 12 cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof. 13 SIGNED ON THIS 29th DAY OF May, 2010. 14 15 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR 16 Utah CSR No. 109582-7801 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 105 Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR DepomaxMerit

	T	T		T
\$	2007 [1] - 61:13	4-A-ii [1] - 85:3	69:11, 78:1, 91:21,	9:16, 9:18, 43:17,
Ψ	2008 [7] - 33:1, 37:8,	450 [1] - 46:13	101:15	43:22, 43:23, 57:8,
	37:12, 38:1, 60:19,		absolute [1] - 48:21	57:12, 58:18, 58:23,
\$450 [1] - 60:6	61:14	5	absolutely [3] -	58:25
	2009 [1] - 7:10		80:23, 89:6, 96:6	adopt [1] - 95:14
•	2010 [7] - 4:1, 8:6,		accept [2] - 75:22,	advance [1] - 13:20
	37:9, 37:13, 46:20,	5 [1] - 48:8	98:4	advantage [1] -
140 04.0	59:6, 73:6	500 [4] - 25:24,	accepted [1] - 31:20	22:14
'19 _[1] - 21:8	2013 [8] - 13:10,	44:23, 44:25, 54:6	Access [1] - 11:10	affect [3] - 31:15,
•	13:15, 13:20, 14:1,	500-kV [8] - 19:16,	accommodate [1] -	31:17, 31:22
0	17:1, 25:19, 30:21	25:2, 25:12, 25:22,	70:12	affected [1] - 68:22
	2015 [2] - 41:16,	26:7, 26:13, 54:13,	accompanying [1] -	affects [1] - 31:8
09-035-54 [2] - 4:4,	90:13	76:23	58:18	affirm [1] - 29:16
102:1	2016 [1] - 90:13	500/345 [1] - 4:8	accomplish [1] -	afternoon [1] -
	2017 [3] - 21:8,	54-4-25 [3] - 66:17,	21:17	101:19
1	25:10, 99:1	67:17, 71:15	accord [1] - 92:2	agencies [1] - 14:18
•	2019 [15] - 25:10,	5:00 [2] - 80:13,	accordingly [2] -	ago [2] - 47:15,
	27:1, 27:4, 34:19,	101:18	14:19, 91:22	50:10
1 [3] - 7:24, 48:8,	36:19, 36:25, 39:10,	5:06 [1] - 101:21	accurate [2] - 8:12,	agree [10] - 15:10,
60:9	39:13, 39:19, 40:25,	5:10 [1] - 104:11	8:17	15:21, 20:9, 20:11,
1.0 [1] - 37:23	43:15, 45:22, 46:6,		accurately [1] - 46:8	40:21, 62:18, 65:21,
1.1 [1] - 58:4	90:12, 99:1	6	achieve [1] - 74:1	70:10, 75:6, 87:15
1.1-SR [1] - 58:17	2020 [4] - 41:15,		acknowledge [1] -	agreed [2] - 18:21,
1.5 [2] - 58:4, 58:24	73:9, 73:11, 97:11	6 [3] - 7:12, 7:25,	66:16	29:8
1.9-SR [2] - 37:24,	2025 [3] - 13:1,	61:12	acquired [1] - 15:20	agreement [1] -
58:5	39:15, 97:11	60 [4] - 75:25, 85:9,	acquiring [1] - 59:16	65:23
1/2 [1] - 23:14	21st [4] - 18:18,	85:16, 85:24	act [4] - 29:25, 89:13,	agrees [5] - 15:2,
10 [5] - 40:14, 48:8,	18:21, 100:11, 104:4	60-day [1] - 17:14	95:15	15:5, 15:16, 63:17,
61:15, 80:17, 80:20	24 [2] - 4:1, 7:12	63G-3-201 [1] - 98:14	action [11] - 32:25,	88:1
10-035-39 [1] - 59:22	258 [1] - 33:6	66 [2] - 34:20, 34:23	33:17, 33:19, 33:24,	ahead [10] - 16:21,
100 [2] - 64:23, 69:7	28-2 [1] - 11:12	00[2] 04.20, 04.20	36:21, 37:8, 37:11,	19:3, 29:18, 29:20,
10:10 [1] - 52:12	28-3 [1] - 11:12	7	38:25, 39:9, 100:11,	30:13, 31:3, 37:25,
10:18 [1] - 52:12	280 [1] - 34:2	1	100:16	56:10, 84:14, 84:23
11:05 [1] - 87:2			Action [1] - 38:1	air [2] - 89:2, 89:3
11:18 [1] - 87:2	3	7,000 [1] - 13:2	actions [1] - 91:14	airport [1] - 52:1
11:38 [1] - 101:21	_		actively [1] - 13:19	aligning [1] - 49:16
120 [1] - 95:18		8	activities [2] - 19:4,	Allen [2] - 10:3, 80:7
12th [3] - 15:8,	3 [4] - 38:18, 38:22,		30:10	allow [6] - 18:25,
18:18, 18:20	43:18, 43:20	20 10 15	actual [1] - 13:7	48:5, 49:21, 55:24,
138 [2] - 44:24, 54:6	3.1 [4] - 8:8, 9:4,	80 [2] - 12:15	add [3] - 17:22,	72:21, 91:19
138-kV [1] - 26:9	23:9, 57:2		60:21, 78:8	allowed [1] - 68:22
1407 [1] - 7:4	3.4 [5] - 8:8, 9:4,	9	adding [2] - 46:10,	allows [1] - 67:17
15 [4] - 20:23, 40:14,	23:9, 57:2, 57:12		46:11	alternative [4] -
80:17, 80:23	30 [2] - 59:6, 97:25	9 [1] - 23:14	additional [9] -	21:16, 31:20, 31:21,
16 [1] - 8:4	31 [1] - 37:13	90 [1] - 23:11	29:24, 59:2, 60:10,	84:9
181 [1] - 24:13	31st [2] - 37:10,	925 [1] - 7:1	60:15, 75:25, 94:22,	alternatives [2] -
18th [1] - 60:22	38:25	9:05 [1] - 4:1	94:24, 99:9, 102:22	35:8, 35:19
	345 [7] - 25:21,	3.00 [1]	address [10] - 6:21,	altogether [2] -
2	25:25, 26:2, 44:23,	Α	7:1, 15:25, 17:12,	31:23, 96:25
	54:6, 76:23		20:15, 22:13, 54:19,	aluminum [1] - 47:9
9 (5) 7,45 44,40	345-kV [2] - 26:10,		62:16, 64:9, 74:22	amenable [1] - 76:3
2 [5] - 7:15, 11:10,	26:21	a.m [3] - 52:12, 87:2,	addressed [1] -	amendment [2] -
38:2, 43:20, 48:8	345-to-138-kV [1] -	101:21	22:23	68:23, 97:5
2,000 [1] - 61:18	45:8	A.M [1] - 4:1	adds [1] - 18:2	American [1] - 10:25
2.1 [3] - 7:17, 7:25,	4	abbreviate [1] -	adequate [1] - 11:13	amount [2] - 61:20,
9:3	4	82:25	adjusted [1] - 31:3	93:5
2.10 [1] - 13:8		abide [1] - 86:6	admission [5] - 9:7,	analysis [5] - 8:17,
2.2 [1] - 40:4	4 [1] - 8:5	ability [3] - 19:2,	43:20, 45:24, 57:5,	39:18, 59:7, 64:20,
2.6 [4] - 7:17, 7:25,	4,400 [1] - 13:1	19:6, 62:22	58:20	72:11
9:3, 57:1	4-A-1 [1] - 82:11	able [8] - 42:17,	admitted [15] - 6:6,	anchor [1] - 55:4
20 [1] - 64:16	4-A-i [1] - 82:10	47:22, 52:21, 53:11,	6:7, 6:8, 9:10, 9:14,	and-a-half [3] -
2005 [1] - 10:17	7-A-1[1] 02.10			

70:25, 71:23, 100:2 Annex [1] - 24:22 announced [1] -61:12 annually [3] - 29:12, 29:13, 45:12 answer [8] - 23:8, 23:19, 30:5, 46:4, 47:18, 66:25, 82:25, 88:6 answered [1] - 69:17 answers [3] - 8:24, 58:14, 86:23 anyway [1] - 72:13 Apologies [1] - 18:23 apologize [1] - 52:14 appeal [3] - 17:20, 18:1, 18:13 appear [1] - 37:1 appearances [1] applicability [1] -95:16 Applicant [5] - 5:4, 82:12, 82:14, 85:21, 90:23 application [7] -53:3, 59:25, 65:12, 67:5, 67:18, 82:6, 97:5 Application [1] - 4:5 applications [1] -61:10 applied [5] - 83:17, 83:18, 84:3, 84:19, 87:20 applies [1] - 69:5 **apply** [4] - 53:8, 67:6, 93:20, 95:16 appreciate [3] - 16:1, 87:11, 99:2 appreciates [1] approach [2] - 56:2, 93:15 approached [2] -83:7, 84:6 appropriate [7] -62:5, 71:8, 90:3, 90:11, 92:13, 92:15, 101:7 approval [2] - 14:8, 75:17 approvals [1] - 18:3 approve [1] - 102:10 approved [1] - 103:8 arbitrarily [1] - 100:1 **arbitrary** [1] - 100:5 area [19] - 12:13, 12:17, 12:19, 12:24,

12:25, 13:3, 13:16,

23:13, 26:9, 26:18, 61:19, 69:25, 75:7, 88:23, 88:24, 90:18, 92:4, 97:13, 99:4 areas [1] - 91:20 argue [1] - 83:23 argument [3] -17:12, 23:23, 88:1 arguments [4] -22:23, 80:10, 80:13, 80:17 aside [1] - 28:13 assertion [1] - 93:22 assessment [1] -101:9 assets [1] - 22:21 associated [1] -59:10 attach [1] - 89:10 attached [3] - 7:12, 8:4, 87:21 attention [1] - 87:11 attorney [2] - 77:8, attorneys [3] - 67:23, 78:21, 78:22 August [2] - 65:14, 68:13 authority [8] - 10:24, 31:24, 82:19, 95:4, 95:13, 95:17, 99:23, 101:11 Authorizing [1] - 4:7 automatic [1] - 32:6 automatically [2] -21:18, 69:19 available [5] - 13:10, 23:25, 66:2, 74:18, 89:11 avoid [1] - 71:10 aware [5] - 42:9, 66:24, 67:2, 69:9, 93:7

В

backup [4] - 51:15, 51:18, 51:22, 52:4 balances [1] - 76:21 Based [1] - 22:7 based [3] - 47:1, 60:1, 71:3 basis [4] - 11:21, 31:3, 80:15, 93:16 bear [2] - 10:12, 32:14 became [1] - 96:16 become [1] - 25:22 becomes [1] - 63:15 began [2] - 64:11, 79:15 begin [5] - 19:1, 29:20, 48:22, 62:20, 91:8 **beginning** [1] - 24:13 belied [1] - 98:22 believes [5] - 22:13, 61:2, 62:4, 63:13, 103:10 belong [1] - 92:16 **below** [1] - 86:22 Ben [1] - 51:23 Ben Lomond [3] -12:17, 51:25, 65:13 Bench [1] - 102:6 beneficial [1] - 89:24 benefit [2] - 55:11, 59:13 benefits [2] - 42:15, 51:13 best [8] - 27:5, 47:24, 56:2, 88:6, 89:22, 93:18, 93:19, 100:18 best-case [1] -100:18 better [1] - 55:3 between [10] - 12:17, 25:10, 25:23, 39:24, 51:16, 51:25, 62:8, 92:6, 97:4 beyond [3] - 94:6, 94:13, 98:17 **bid** [6] - 16:17, 30:9, 30:14, 47:8, 47:22 bidding [1] - 29:21 bids [2] - 16:24, 47:10 **big** [3] - 48:2, 48:4, 68:19 billion [3] - 46:14, 60:9, 61:12 bit [8] - 24:17, 35:22, 43:10, 45:23, 47:17, 47:19, 54:25 blanket [1] - 75:17 **BLM** [7] - 20:1, 20:5, 20:6, 20:13, 29:25, 63:18, 91:25 Board [21] - 15:7,

Boulevard [1] - 7:2 Boy [1] - 48:9 **brand** [2] - 72:18, 72:23 Brandon [1] - 28:8 break [4] - 24:18, 52:20, 53:1, 80:12 brief [1] - 9:22 **briefly** [2] - 51:11 briefs [1] - 67:23 bring [2] - 31:14, 63:16 bringing [1] - 102:23 broad [1] - 84:12 broad-brush [1] -84:12 brought [2] - 62:16, 99:4 Bruce [1] - 5:14 brush [1] - 84:12 build [20] - 14:2, 19:10, 21:6, 25:2, 42:1, 42:6, 45:5, 45:6, 49:1, 49:21, 54:9, 61:20, 76:16, 77:3, 78:1, 78:10, 81:10, 82:2, 89:20, 99:4 building [8] - 34:10, 44:22, 61:17, 76:17, 77:19, 81:22, 86:14, 91:11 **built** [37] - 19:15, 21:1, 21:3, 25:1, 25:7, 25:11, 26:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:17, 34:12, 35:18, 40:13, 41:7, 41:14, 41:15, 43:4, 45:5, 45:22, 53:24, 54:7, 63:3, 64:13, 64:15, 70:11, 73:7, 73:15, 73:22, 74:24, 75:19, 79:12, 84:15, 97:2, 99:19, 99:20 burden [1] - 102:14 business [3] - 6:21, 6:25, 46:21 **BY** [6] - 6:19, 24:6, 50:9, 57:21, 66:9, 79:3

bottom [1] - 33:8

C

C-3 [3] - 85:25,

90:25, 91:17
calculations [1] 22:4
California [1] - 47:17
Camp Williams [1] 51:17
Campbell [4] - 10:3,

45:14, 80:6, 80:8 Campbell's [1] -80:11 canceled [1] - 22:11 canceling [1] - 22:15 cannot [3] - 100:13, 100:20, 101:14 cap [2] - 47:11, 76:10 capability [2] -51:16, 51:19 capacity [5] - 12:20, 13:9, 62:12, 72:11, 95:24 capital [1] - 89:5 cards [1] - 101:10 case [27] - 6:10, 8:3, 11:9, 33:19, 42:8, 49:14, 64:22, 65:13, 67:9, 67:11, 67:12, 69:6, 70:20, 71:8, 74:19, 75:1, 92:17, 92:21, 93:7, 93:16, 93:17, 93:25, 98:17, 100:18, 100:21 case-by-case [1] -93:16 cases [15] - 23:3, 61:11, 62:6, 66:12, 66:13, 67:11, 67:12, 68:6, 68:9, 68:11, 69:2, 77:12, 92:16 Cedar [1] - 45:9 centerline [2] -16:17, 30:8 Central [3] - 12:2, 12:8, 43:2 certain [10] - 21:23, 29:21, 61:25, 67:5, 70:21, 76:23, 76:24, 87:19, 91:25, 93:13 certainly [1] - 54:24 Certainly [2] - 45:18, 49:4 certainty [4] - 16:16, 53:25, 55:3, 55:6 certif [1] - 62:22 Certificate [5] - 4:6, 48:17, 100:25, 101:25, 102:10 certificate [91] -4:19, 10:14, 13:23, 15:6, 15:17, 15:22, 16:13, 16:20, 17:5, 18:24, 20:11, 20:18, 20:20, 20:22, 21:22,

22:11, 29:23, 30:3,

31:11, 31:14, 32:5,

32:7, 32:10, 34:8,

40:11, 41:5, 41:19,

54:20, 55:1, 55:24,

30:6, 30:11, 31:6,

16:13, 17:13, 18:18,

20:11, 21:5, 31:12,

31:24, 32:3, 48:20,

69:23, 75:11, 85:7,

85:18, 85:23, 86:20,

91:7, 101:4, 103:21,

Board's [7] - 17:5,

17:16, 17:20, 75:23,

85:10, 85:15, 97:19

body [1] - 94:1

104:2

61:3, 62:23, 62:24, 67:7, 70:4, 71:4, 71:7, 74:25, 75:15, 82:12, 82:22, 83:19, 84:7, 84:15, 84:17, 84:24, 86:2, 86:13, 86:17, 87:18, 87:20, 88:5, 88:8, 88:19, 89:15, 90:4, 90:9, 90:17, 90:21, 91:1, 91:7, 91:19, 92:12, 92:24, 93:2, 93:12, 95:6, 96:4, 96:6, 96:13, 96:25, 97:6, 97:16, 97:20, 98:2, 98:5, 99:8, 99:18, 99:22 99:24, 100:9, 100:13, 100:25, 101:11, 102:7, 102:20, 102:21, 103:2 certificated [1] - 12:4 certificates [1] -94:25 certification [1] -51:8 cetera [3] - 98:14, 98:15, 99:9 **Chair** [1] - 76:9 **Chairman** [3] - 7:16, 48:9, 87:6 Chairman Boyer [73] - 4:3, 4:12, 4:17, 5:9, 5:16, 6:2, 6:5, 6:9, 6:12, 7:19, 7:22, 9:6, 9:9, 9:16, 9:18, 10:2, 10:7, 18:16, 24:2, 37:19, 37:23, 43:19, 43:22, 43:25, 44:4, 44:9, 45:16, 45:19, 46:3, 46:10, 46:23, 48:6, 48:12, 48:15, 48:24, 49:8, 49:11, 49:15, 50:2, 50:5, 50:10, 52:13, 52:18, 56:9, 56:13, 56:20, 56:22, 57:4, 57:8, 57:13, 57:16, 58:19, 58:23, 66:5, 66:25, 74:6, 76:7, 77:22, 78:24, 80:3, 80:21, 80:24, 86:24, 87:3, 87:7, 94:18, 98:8, 101:17, 101:22, 103:23, 104:1, 104:4, 104:9 change [5] - 30:23, 31:1, 46:7, 63:8, 64:8 changed [1] - 39:23 changes [9] - 15:11, 22:10, 31:15, 42:18, 59:25, 64:5, 71:4, 76:3, 77:15

chapter [1] - 61:15 Chapter [1] - 61:15 check [2] - 28:21, 48:11 choices [1] - 96:22 chooses [2] - 80:22, 80:25 chose [1] - 32:1 cite [1] - 42:8 cities [1] - 28:25 citizens [2] - 10:20, 93:18 city [1] - 82:18 City [4] - 18:8, 18:10, 18:15, 45:9 clarification [2] -52:21, 104:6 clarified [2] - 53:18, 91:24 clarifies [1] - 60:2 clarify [5] - 23:5, 35:22, 53:11, 100:7, 100:8 clarifying [1] -100:12 clarity [1] - 44:22 classification [1] -83:22 clear [13] - 29:19, 52:3, 62:21, 76:11, 81:5, 85:13, 87:14, 88:15, 90:21, 92:7, 95:2, 96:16, 103:19 clearly [5] - 13:11, 21:10, 40:23, 91:18, 95:13 client [1] - 87:12 close [1] - 70:3 closing [1] - 80:10 closing arguments [1] - 87:1 cloud [1] - 94:4 Clover [19] - 14:3, 20:25, 24:20, 24:24, 26:12, 44:12, 44:13, 44:17, 44:19, 44:23, 45:7, 45:14, 46:11, 53:19, 54:11, 70:6, 76:16, 78:2, 103:3 **clue** [1] - 52:16 **Code** [1] - 98:14 code [2] - 99:12, 99:21 coincident [2] -16:13, 75:9 coined [1] - 12:13 colleague [1] - 28:7 Com [1] - 101:4 com [1] - 51:1 comfortable [2] -

16:21, 30:7

coming [11] - 5:13, 55:20, 61:21, 65:14, 70:13, 72:22, 74:23, 86:14, 97:24, 99:14, 99:15 commence [1] -103:11 comment [3] - 9:23, 15:14, 68:22 comments [5] - 59:2, 59:3, 62:15, 66:1, 100:9 Commission [58] -4:22, 10:23, 12:4, 14:25, 15:11, 21:24, 22:8, 40:11, 53:12, 55:15, 59:14, 59:18, 59:25, 60:23, 61:7, 61:23, 62:5, 64:7, 67:4, 67:18, 68:15, 68:25, 70:20, 70:23, 71:1, 71:18, 71:19, 71:24, 72:5, 75:14, 82:14, 87:15, 88:8, 88:13, 90:10, 91:3, 91:18, 92:7, 93:1, 93:7, 93:14, 93:19, 94:8, 94:16, 94:23, 95:3, 95:9, 95:13, 96:13, 97:8, 97:16, 98:12, 99:2, 100:17, 100:24, 101:5, 101:6, 101:12 Commission's 161 -20:19, 62:22, 72:18, 90:8, 93:11, 98:15 Commissioner [1] -80:11 Commissioner Allen [8] - 44:6, 44:8, 76:8, 76:9, 77:5, 77:9, 77:21, 80:5 Commissioner Campbell [18] - 44:9, 44:10, 45:4, 45:20, 54:2, 77:22, 77:23, 78:7, 78:20, 81:2, 81:3, 81:12, 81:15, 82:3, 82:9, 83:11, 84:25, 90:20 Commissioners [3] -44:5, 65:21, 87:12 commodities [1] -47:2 commodity [2] -48:2, 48:6 communicate [1] -42:15 communities [2] -14:20, 89:18

15:4, 15:10, 15:16, 15:23, 16:1, 16:5, 16:15, 18:25, 20:2, 20:9, 21:16, 21:22, 22:25, 23:21, 31:25, 41:2, 42:16, 50:21, 52:22, 54:19, 54:21, 55:3, 55:7, 55:22, 59:16, 59:17, 59:24, 61:3, 61:9, 61:12, 61:17, 61:20, 62:5, 63:24, 64:7, 65:11, 66:12, 68:7, 69:5, 70:19, 70:21, 71:24, 72:21, 74:23, 75:15, 75:19, 76:1, 76:15, 77:10, 77:25, 78:1, 81:17, 81:20, 82:1, 82:6, 83:4, 86:5, 88:15, 88:20, 89:3, 89:12, 90:5, 90:7, 90:10, 91:8, 91:11, 92:2, 92:8, 92:20, 94:24, 95:4, 95:21, 96:10, 97:15, 98:19, 98:22, 99:13, 100:10, 100:14, 100:20, 101:6, 102:14, 102:23, 103:10 company [1] - 6:25 Company's [18] -14:6, 14:15, 16:12, 17:24, 42:1, 42:21, 42:23, 48:16, 54:17, 60:5, 61:14, 61:16, 62:18, 65:23, 70:17, 72:14, 72:15, 73:2 company's [2] -10:16, 11:10 Complete [1] - 68:18 completed [5] - 12:5, 44:21, 60:11, 70:8, 70:9 completely [3] -71:11, 72:23, 95:22 completion [2] -12:5, 53:21 Complicated [1] -10:6 compromise [1] -90:8 con [2] - 41:22, 77:2 concern [14] - 41:13, 41:25, 42:1, 42:6, 42:21, 42:22, 55:22, 73:2, 74:19, 74:21, 74:22, 86:5, 98:19, 100:12 concerns [4] - 21:12, 22:14, 77:13, 90:7 conclude [2] - 15:13, 23:23

concluded [1] -104:11 concludes [2] - 56:5, 66:1 conclusion [2] -66:21, 67:21 concrete [2] - 47:2, 47:8 **condition** [5] - 64:2, 72:4, 75:14, 77:24, 101:5 conditional [2] -27:19, 28:16 conditioned [13] -16:5, 18:3, 20:10, 20:12, 29:23, 30:3, 48:18, 59:15, 75:15, 85:5, 90:9, 100:13, 103:8 conditioning [1] -92:24 Conditioning [1] -15:5 conditions [21] -4:19, 9:23, 11:4, 15:1, 15:3, 17:22, 20:6, 62:24, 67:5, 67:6, 67:8, 67:10, 67:17, 71:17, 71:20, 71:22, 74:13, 87:19, 87:21, 94:13, 97:10 **conductor** [1] - 47:9 confident [1] - 50:16 connected [1] -23:15 connects [1] - 51:21 consent [3] - 82:16, 85:4, 85:7 consider [1] - 50:1 consideration [1] -95:10 considering [1] -98:12 consistent [1] -85:15 consisting [1] - 8:4 consists [1] - 7:11 constraint [2] - 99:9, 99:10 construct [3] - 19:3, 19:6, 88:20 constructed [8] -14:16, 20:21, 21:19, 25:24, 26:1, 35:9, 54:12, 61:1 constructer [1] -47:24 construction [26] -13:19, 16:24, 16:25, 17:1, 19:11, 19:23, 22:3, 29:20, 34:11,

Company [88] - 5:19,

11:4, 14:14, 15:2,

34:18, 47:22, 47:25, 48:22, 59:9, 62:20, 63:12, 63:25, 79:16, 86:2, 88:12, 91:1, 91:8, 91:16, 97:22, 103:11 Construction [1] -4:7 contacts [1] - 64:1 contains [1] - 61:14 contemplated [1] -91:2 contention [1] -90:18 contested [3] - 5:20, 20:17, 72:16 continue [2] - 17:25, 37:11 continuously [1] contract [3] - 19:10, 19:11, 49:21 contracting [1] -92:1 contractor [1] -47:24 contracts [3] - 63:25, 64:1, 89:22 contrary [1] - 94:12 control [1] - 47:21 convenience [6] -59:11, 61:4, 62:13, 63:11, 88:11, 102:17 Convenience [5] -4:6, 48:17, 101:1, 101:25, 102:11 convenient [2] -7:22, 46:1 convention [1] - 7:16 cor [1] - 8:21 Corporation [1] -10:25 Correct [2] - 58:2, correct [27] - 7:13, 8:6, 8:20, 24:22, 25:6, 25:14, 25:20, 26:7, 26:14, 26:19, 26:22, 26:25, 30:2, 30:4, 33:11, 33:16, 34:9, 35:6, 37:3, 39:4, 40:2, 43:10, 48:22, 48:23, 55:17, 58:1, 68:23 corrections [2] -8:10, 58:9 correctly [2] - 36:10, 78:18 cost [19] - 8:17, 8:18, [7] - 4:22, 9:5, 24:1, 8:19, 22:4, 36:6, 46:13, 47:2, 47:20, 24:3, 24:5, 66:5, 66:8 **CUP**[1] - 63:1 48:5, 61:11, 66:13,

67:12, 68:2, 68:8, 77:11, 92:13, 93:25, 94:25, 95:6 costs [12] - 17:3, 46:17, 47:6, 48:7, 54:10, 55:7, 60:3, 60:6, 60:9, 60:10, 60:15, 77:20 Costs [1] - 46:23 Counsel [1] - 80:6 counsel [4] - 17:11, 17:18, 22:23, 23:5 Counties [1] - 19:2 counties [1] - 28:25 county [4] - 49:22, 82:18, 89:9, 91:14 County [12] - 19:1, 28:10, 83:7, 83:8, 85:9, 85:13, 86:5, 86:11, 86:12, 91:12 County's [1] - 30:4 couple [4] - 10:10, 47:7, 50:7, 96:22 Couple [1] - 45:16 course [6] - 14:1, 47:13, 87:25, 102:8, 104:7 **cover** [5] - 10:12, 27:12, 27:15, 27:18, 82:24 covered [1] - 54:24 **CPCN** [48] - 14:11, 14:25, 16:10, 16:12, 18:2, 20:9, 21:18, 22:17, 22:19, 23:3, 44:16, 44:17, 54:17, 54:18, 54:23, 59:8, 59:23, 59:25, 60:24, 61:2, 61:7, 61:10, 63:3, 63:13, 64:22, 65:11, 66:12, 66:18, 67:11, 67:15, 67:18, 67:19, 69:19, 70:16, 71:18, 71:20, 71:23, 72:5, 72:7, 72:13, 72:20, 72:23, 75:8, 77:2, 78:4, 78:19, 79:15, 103:19 **CPCNs** [1] - 77:6 **CPN** [3] - 16:5, 16:8, 59:15 critical [13] - 12:9, 12:13, 12:14, 12:17, 12:19, 12:24, 13:3, 13:15, 14:11, 16:11, 17:8, 23:13, 88:23 cross [1] - 5:21 cross examination

curious [2] - 48:13, 78:13 current [13] - 13:1, 21:8, 21:25, 25:9, 37:9, 42:23, 43:7, 50:13, 50:14, 51:5, 59:25, 90:12, 94:7 customer [10] -12:16. 13:13. 14:4. 23:1, 23:2, 23:12, 52:5, 62:9, 99:3 customers [13] -11:5, 11:18, 11:19, 13:15, 17:10, 35:25, 36:7, 41:3, 42:10, 42:13, 55:8, 89:17, 96:1 customers' [1] -35:13 cut [1] - 56:10 D

damaging [1] - 89:16 Darrell Gerrard [2] -6:15, 6:23 data [9] - 21:6, 21:11, 46:6, 90:6, 92:5, 92:19, 92:22, 93:21, 99:15 Data [1] - 13:8 date [34] - 13:20, 17:2, 20:19, 21:3, 21:22, 25:18, 26:5, 26:8, 26:24, 27:1, 27:2, 27:6, 28:23, 29:3, 39:12, 39:14, 40:12, 53:10, 60:18, 61:1, 63:15, 70:9, 70:21, 73:14, 73:16, 79:8, 79:20, 96:7, 96:23, 97:12, 99:17, 102:16, 103:21 dates [7] - 24:15, 24:19, 39:23, 60:4, 60:16, 77:6, 79:13 days [6] - 75:25, 85:9, 85:16, 85:24, 95:18, 97:25 deal [3] - 5:16, 77:24, 80:10 dealing [2] - 29:6, 47:5 deals [2] - 85:1, 96:3 decade [1] - 25:8 decide [1] - 32:10 Decision [3] - 63:22, 64:3, 64:6 decision [42] - 14:15, 15:7, 15:9, 16:14,

32:7, 32:10, 49:19, 49:20, 62:2, 75:11, 75:23, 85:1, 85:6, 85:10, 85:15, 85:17, 85:22, 91:6, 91:7, 95:11, 97:19, 97:21, 97:24, 98:6, 100:9, 100:10, 103:22 decisions [1] - 17:20 declaring [1] - 67:5 declined [2] - 42:11, deemed [3] - 103:20, 103:21, 103:23 deems [2] - 54:19, 90:10 defer [1] - 28:7 deferred [1] - 35:9 deficit [1] - 72:11 defined [1] - 90:1 definite [1] - 25:18 definitely [2] - 16:24, 17:1 degree [2] - 16:22, 53:25 **delay** [3] - 16:23, 17:17, 18:2 delayed [3] - 19:23, 25:12, 25:13 delaying [1] - 16:20 delegating [1] -10:24 deliver [1] - 12:20 delivery [2] - 11:15, 47:25 demand [5] - 12:16, 12:25, 14:4, 23:12, 52:6 demands [3] - 11:3, 12:23, 45:12 demonstrated [3] -13:5, 13:11, 13:12 demonstration [3] -88:9, 88:14, 88:19 depart [1] - 13:8 dependent [2] - 16:9, 25:3 describe [5] - 11:24, 12:12, 14:15, 17:18, 86:2 described [4] -12:22, 36:9, 61:8, 64:4 describes [2] -10:15, 86:1 describing [1] -92:11

20:4, 20:13, 29:15,

29:22, 29:25, 30:3,

30:22. 31:1. 31:2.

31:7, 31:11, 32:5,

description [4] -38:2, 38:17, 39:1, 39:20 descriptive [1] - 34:3 design [2] - 16:17, designate [1] - 67:6 detail [2] - 11:24, 102:8 **detailed** [1] - 103:4 determination [4] -22:6, 22:9, 72:2, 95:6 determine [10] -36:6, 62:10, 62:12, 63:11, 69:11, 70:23, 71:3, 71:6, 95:23 determined [5] -59:9, 59:17, 60:21, 64:21, 102:25 developed [1] - 93:7 development [3] -21:9, 42:3, 89:17 dialogue [1] - 56:3 difference [2] - 97:4, 97:7 different [12] - 49:16, 52:4, 67:12, 72:17, 72:22, 74:13, 75:1, 76:20, 76:21, 77:19, 83:1, 96:22 **Different** [1] - 6:10 differently [2] -49:16, 52:17 **Direct** [1] - 9:2 direct [15] - 5:14, 7:11, 8:14, 9:9, 10:15, 32:12, 32:20, 37:23, 56:24, 57:6, 58:1, 58:3. 58:20. 59:5. 85:19 DIRECT **EXAMINATION** [2] -6:18, 57:20 directed [1] - 80:6 direction [1] - 77:19 directly [4] - 23:13, 23:16, 47:18, 88:7 disagree [2] - 75:5, disagreement [1] -97:14 disagrees [1] - 15:23 discovery [2] -65:15, 69:12 **discretion** [1] - 91:8 discretionary [1] -23:17 **discuss** [1] - 96:2 discussed [2] -34:14, 35:17

discussing [1] - 12:9

17:6, 17:16, 20:1,

discussion [3] -5:14, 11:12, 94:21 discussions [2] -21:5, 28:18 dispute [3] - 87:17, 92:4, 94:10 disturbances [1] -51:25 **Div** [1] - 64:6 divide [1] - 87:7 **Division** [44] - 5:18, 9:24, 15:24, 21:7, 21:24, 22:8, 22:24, 23:8. 53:12. 55:15. 56:4, 59:9, 59:14, 59:17, 59:22, 60:21, 61:2, 62:4, 62:17, 63:13, 63:17, 63:23, 64:8, 66:11, 71:1, 71:25, 72:1, 72:8, 73:3, 75:4, 75:11, 75:18, 77:17, 83:3, 87:13, 90:7, 90:15, 92:2, 92:18, 92:22, 94:23, 95:7, 95:10, 97:7 **Division of Public Utilities** [1] - 5:8 Division's [11] -13:8, 14:24, 20:16, 20:17, 21:12, 22:13, 23:19, 29:5, 41:17, 59:7, 74:9 docket [8] - 20:20, 22:17, 63:6, 69:1, 90:15, 92:10, 92:14, 103:1 Docket [3] - 4:4, 59:22, 102:1 dockets [1] - 92:15 document [1] - 37:8 documentation [1] -96:19 documentations [1] - 37:2 documents [1] - 51:5 dollars [1] - 46:14 done [6] - 11:25, 48:4, 52:22, 88:17, 89:23, 99:15

double [1] - 12:25

doubt [1] - 50:18

33:8, 47:17, 53:22,

56:14, 62:19, 71:15,

81:4, 85:25, 86:11,

86:22, 98:16, 100:3,

100:19, 103:15

DPU [7] - 38:22,

39:6, 39:21, 43:20,

58:4, 58:5, 58:17

down [15] - 24:18,

DPU-1.0 [1] - 58:24 DPU-1.9SR [1] -58:25 **DPU-2**[3] - 38:19, 43:18, 43:23 **DPU-3**[2] - 38:19, 43:23 Draft [2] - 14:9, 63:20 dramatically [1] -63:8 draw [1] - 19:14 drawn [1] - 100:5 drive [2] - 17:3, 21:9 driven [2] - 20:25, 42:2 driver [1] - 13:21 driving [1] - 95:19 drove [1] - 29:5 DTG-2[1] - 32:13 Due [1] - 60:20 due [6] - 15:13, 18:18, 41:12, 74:12, 102:8, 104:7 duly [4] - 4:4, 6:16, 57:18, 101:24 duration [4] - 13:19, 20:18, 28:19, 60:23 during [5] - 52:20, 53:1, 65:11, 77:11, 85:3

Ε

early [1] - 53:7 ease [1] - 90:6 economic [5] - 14:4, 21:9, 42:3, 46:16, 89:17 economy [1] - 47:14 effective [1] - 48:21 efficient [1] - 10:20 efforts [1] - 17:19 **EIS**[1] - 15:12 either [7] - 8:11, 23:15, 53:14, 70:12, 83:23, 84:6, 84:18 electric [2] - 40:20, 51:13 **Electric** [1] - 10:25 **electrical** [3] - 12:24, 40:23, 42:12 electricity [1] - 61:24 element [1] - 12:10 elements [2] - 13:25, 20:21 eliminating [1] -102:19 emphasize [1] -65:10

end [7] - 16:19, 17:11, 70:9, 74:23, 77:18, 79:17, 102:4 Energy [6] - 10:23, 11:23, 38:17, 39:22, 61:13, 64:10 energy [1] - 89:11 engineer [1] - 19:3 engineer-procure**construct** [1] - 19:3 engineers [1] - 99:14 enormous [1] -95:20 ensure [1] - 35:4 enter [2] - 5:1, 91:3 entered [1] - 5:20 entire [14] - 8:18, 14:12, 14:15, 14:25, 21:13, 30:15, 30:24, 61:14, 81:18, 90:22, 91:16, 99:7, 101:2, 101:13 entirely [1] - 62:4 entirety [3] - 14:7, 20:12, 55:2 entities [1] - 74:11 entity [1] - 18:13 Environmental [2] -63:20, 63:21 environmental [5] -14:8, 21:15, 41:10, 63:7, 76:20 Environmental impact [4] - 14:9, 14:10, 15:12, 15:13 **EPC** [2] - 47:22, 63:25 equivocal [1] - 89:1 essential [1] - 10:18 essentially [1] - 79:8 estimate [2] - 27:5, 28:21 estimated [4] - 22:4, 24:15, 60:6, 60:9 et [3] - 98:14, 98:15, 99:9 evaluate [4] - 32:4, 33:18, 35:23, 97:25 evaluates [1] - 35:16 evaluation [1] -35:19 event [3] - 51:24, 67:15, 86:19 evidence [6] - 9:2, 57:3, 82:13, 88:20,

excellent [1] - 54:9 exchange [1] - 92:6 excluded [1] - 96:24 excuse [4] - 7:1, 28:9, 73:12, 101:4 Excuse [2] - 18:20, excused [1] - 80:4 execute [2] - 11:4, exhibit [3] - 32:12, 34:22 Exhibit [8] - 6:8, 9:12, 38:19, 43:23, 57:11, 58:4, 58:5, 58:24 Exhibit 1 [3] - 6:7, 58:4, 58:17 Exhibit 2 [3] - 37:20, 38:22, 39:6 Exhibit 3 [1] - 39:22 exhibits [12] - 7:12, 7:17, 8:5, 9:4, 9:11, 9:19, 37:18, 54:15, 56:25, 57:9, 58:11, 58:18 **Exhibits** [5] - 7:24, 9:4, 43:20, 57:2 existing [2] - 36:3, 88:14 exists [4] - 61:5, 62:12, 94:11, 95:23 **expand** [1] - 54:25 **expansion** [2] - 25:3, 61:16 expect [3] - 14:5, 42:21. 54:11 **expected** [4] - 11:3, 12:25, 21:7, 79:16 **expense** [1] - 99:10 expensive [1] -89:21 experience [7] -17:2, 17:13, 19:9, 19:14, 19:24, 47:1, 55:6 experts [1] - 20:2 expiration [3] -28:19. 53:6 **explain** [1] - 51:9 **explained** [1] - 21:4 explicitly [1] - 34:16 exposure [1] - 47:11 extent [4] - 92:17, 93:1, 93:23, 103:10 extremely [1] - 74:12

example [1] - 49:2

F

faced [2] - 83:24, 96:21 facilities [7] - 42:12, 59:10, 61:21, 63:13, 68:8, 94:25, 95:1 Facilities [4] - 15:6, 21:5, 48:20, 75:22 **Facilities Review** Board [6] - 29:22, 30:23, 31:7, 49:17, 49:19, 98:6 facility [4] - 12:2, 12:6, 12:7, 29:7 Facility [6] - 16:13, 17:5, 17:16, 18:17, 97:18, 98:5 **Facility Review** Board [6] - 13:6, 29:15, 65:5, 85:13, 91:6, 100:10 fact [13] - 20:25, 50:11, 50:12, 51:4, 60:16, 62:24, 86:1, 88:14, 92:11, 93:10, 96:23, 98:4, 98:22 factor [1] - 48:4 facts [1] - 22:18 factual [2] - 13:7, 81:8 fair [5] - 35:20, 35:21, 36:8, 36:11, 39:8 fairly [3] - 68:15, 70:3, 70:11 fall [1] - 63:21 falls [1] - 83:21 far [10] - 15:20, 28:13, 33:17, 39:11, 39:17, 47:25, 85:12, 85:20, 92:3, 97:11 February [1] - 68:12 federal [5] - 10:22, 11:1, 14:7, 14:17, 50:24 Federal [1] - 10:23 FERC [2] - 11:9, 29:12 few [2] - 50:10, 66:7 fif [1] - 36:19 file [18] - 8:3, 15:16, 15:21, 15:22, 21:23, 28:22, 31:8, 61:9, 66:12, 67:23, 70:21, 71:24, 72:7, 73:22, 76:3, 82:13, 95:4, 97:5 filed [13] - 6:6, 7:11,

27:19, 28:2, 28:16,

90:23, 94:12

57:19

exact [1] - 99:17

41:25, 49:2, 73:17

exactly [4] - 17:19,

examined [2] - 6:17,

28:24, 57:25, 59:6, following [3] - 15:5, 60:5, 60:22, 63:4, 45:19, 102:11 67:15. 68:2 follows [3] - 6:17, **files** [1] - 94:24 57:19, 102:9 **Filing** [1] - 68:18 forecast [2] - 39:17, filing [16] - 41:20, 45:22 63:14, 66:18, 68:19, forecasted [2] - 11:3, 69:1, 72:13, 74:17, 46:8 74:24, 90:9, 92:5, forecasts [3] - 13:7, 93:13, 97:6, 98:13, 45:11, 45:13 99:8, 100:15, 101:6 foremost [1] - 92:9 filings [4] - 23:3, forgotten [1] - 76:11 68:17, 92:9, 93:3 Fork [1] - 12:18 Final [3] - 14:9, formal [1] - 73:25 63:21, 75:7 forth [2] - 8:23, 68:16 final [6] - 17:12, forward [13] - 19:9, 22:23, 23:23, 45:3, 20:8, 22:19, 31:14, 54:16, 97:13 32:11, 40:24, 42:17, Finally [1] - 100:7 49:22, 55:5, 55:12, fine [2] - 72:25, 81:1 55:16, 55:17, 93:2 finished [2] - 51:23, **four** [6] - 70:25, 79:17 71:22, 87:9, 90:11, **firm** [1] - 5:3 100:2, 100:19 First [2] - 73:4, 92:9 frame [7] - 21:7, first [22] - 4:14, 5:10, 36:24, 42:17, 48:5, 12:2, 12:6, 24:7, 68:5, 91:16, 99:17 30:20, 36:4, 38:5, frames [1] - 54:14 39:5, 54:12, 64:11, franchise [3] - 82:17, 66:10, 68:11, 81:7, 85:4, 85:7 81:9, 83:9, 83:16, free [1] - 10:5 87:4, 87:23, 93:5, front [8] - 32:18, 99:7, 100:14 33:1, 33:7, 35:2, fits [1] - 72:19 38:22, 62:3, 65:16, five [34] - 20:19, 95:9 21:20, 21:23, 29:9, frowned [1] - 68:7 59:15, 60:24, 61:1, full [7] - 14:2, 53:3, 62:19, 63:2, 63:3, 53:21, 63:13, 64:23, 63:14, 70:16, 72:9, 72:11. 72:24 74:10, 76:10, 76:13, full disclosure [1] -76:14, 76:19, 77:24, 14:22 78:2, 78:13, 80:25, fully [3] - 12:22, 84:16, 87:25, 90:11, 21:5, 54:10 96:3, 98:18, 100:1, functions [1] - 52:5 100:4, 100:5, 101:7, future [31] - 12:23, 102:21, 102:22 23:3, 25:16, 25:24, five-year [9] - 70:16, 26:1, 26:5, 26:8, 76:10, 76:13, 76:14, 26:13, 28:12, 28:13, 77:24, 78:13, 87:25, 30:20, 33:14, 33:17, 100:4, 102:21 41:3, 50:15, 50:18, five-year-window [1] 53:21, 61:10, 62:6, - 98:18 63:11, 66:12, 88:4, focus [2] - 87:22, 88:10, 88:16, 88:18, 96:22 96:9, 99:13, 99:19, focuses [1] - 94:8 99:20 folk [1] - 12:1 folks [2] - 23:18, G 53:1 follow [6] - 20:5, 50:3, 69:17, 86:20,

102:8, 104:7

follow-up [1] - 69:17

Gate [1] - 44:24 Gateway [41] -

11:23, 12:2, 12:8,

13:22, 25:4, 25:5,

25:9, 25:11, 27:2, 29:11, 33:15, 38:17, 39:1, 39:22, 40:25, 41:1, 41:6, 41:11, 41:16, 43:1, 43:2, 43:3, 43:4, 43:6, 43:12, 43:14, 43:15, 44:14, 44:16, 44:24, 45:5, 45:7, 45:15, 46:21, 61:13, 64:10, 73:7, 74:24, 84:21 gen [1] - 68:10 general [8] - 23:5, 54:19, 68:8, 68:10, 77:11, 95:16, 102:18 generally [1] - 47:1 generating [1] - 96:5 generation [3] -11:16, 11:18, 12:21 gentlemen [1] - 12:1 germane [1] - 11:11 Gerrard [32] - 4:15, 4:21, 5:12, 6:9, 6:11, 6:20, 7:9, 8:9, 9:21, 18:5, 23:25, 24:2, 24:7, 44:2, 44:7, 45:17, 50:5, 52:20, 56:14, 59:4, 60:2, 60:15, 62:16, 62:25, 64:10, 70:7, 70:22, 71:21, 75:10, 78:18, 98:3, 103:4 **GERRARD** [1] - 6:14 **Gerrard's** [5] - 9:9,

88:22 GINSBERG [33] -5:7, 6:4, 9:8, 24:4, 24:6, 32:17, 34:25, 37:17, 37:22, 37:25, 38:4, 38:6, 38:13, 38:16, 43:17, 43:24, 44:2, 56:7, 56:11, 56:19, 57:7, 57:21, 58:16, 66:2, 66:20, 67:20, 73:9, 73:11, 74:2, 79:3, 80:2, 83:15, 94:20

57:6, 63:17, 74:16,

Ginsberg [18] - 6:3, 24:3, 32:19, 32:20, 35:3, 37:19, 38:21, 44:4, 51:3, 54:15, 56:10, 59:1, 79:1, 82:25, 83:12, 87:5, 94:19, 98:8

Given [1] - 17:8 given [2] - 62:11, 101:12 glad [1] - 29:14

gonna [14] - 35:4, 49:7, 52:17, 65:17, 69:23, 73:6, 74:24,

76:19, 77:4, 78:22, 80:20, 86:7, 95:24, 102:5 governments [1] -62:25 grant [11] - 14:25, 16:4, 59:14, 62:22, 71:20, 72:20, 75:14, 75:17, 97:16, 97:22, 99:21 granted [10] - 14:12, 15:18, 21:15, 59:23, 64:2, 70:4, 79:15, 98:2, 103:21, 103:23 granting [2] - 62:24, 100:25 Great [2] - 10:9, 80:24 greater [1] - 65:6 grid [1] - 40:23 grounds [2] - 64:17, 93:4 groups [1] - 62:9 growth [12] - 13:2, 14:4, 21:8, 26:8, 26:17, 42:3, 45:11, 46:7, 63:7, 64:25, 72:10, 76:22 guess [5] - 4:14, 25:16, 44:15, 76:10,

hide [1] - 101:10 high [5] - 36:17, 44:19, 84:13, 84:20, 84:22 high-level [1] - 36:17 high-voltage [1] -44:19 higher [2] - 76:22, 77:20 highjack [1] - 101:15 **highly** [2] - 96:3, history [2] - 13:7, 77:5 **hold** [3] - 6:21, 63:23, 75:21 hooked [1] - 11:19 hope [3] - 50:11, 65:21, 100:24 hopefully [1] - 72:20 horizon [5] - 96:10, 96:19, 98:18, 98:21, 100:3 horizons [1] - 43:9 horribles [1] - 76:13 hostage [1] - 91:14 hundred [1] - 19:16 hung [1] - 84:10 hurry [1] - 89:23 hypothetical [1] -

Н

half [3] - 70:25,

hand [4] - 30:6,

55:11, 86:12, 86:18

handed [1] - 54:15

hanging [1] - 79:19

hear [8] - 4:13, 4:20,

happy [2] - 100:8,

hard [1] - 77:1

4:21, 56:15, 74:6,

80:12, 87:1, 87:4

heard [9] - 10:3,

36:10, 44:13, 72:19,

78:17, 83:16, 97:15,

hearing [7] - 4:4,

46:25, 65:5, 80:14,

101:24, 102:5, 104:11

Hearing [1] - 102:1

hearings [1] - 10:4

hedge [1] - 47:10

helps [1] - 47:20

held [2] - 91:14, 92:1

help [2] - 64:13, 90:6

hesitancy [1] - 90:16

heart [1] - 52:2

98:1. 98:3

71:23, 100:2

101:9

82:7

Idaho [1] - 64:25 idea [3] - 49:6, 95:12 38:20 90:1, 103:20 ii [1] - 85:20 32:1, 48:2 98:17 **impose** [2] - 69:1,

71:18

50:21

94:6, 95:18

77:25

identification [1] identified [3] - 60:15, identifies [1] - 91:18 immediately [3] -48:21, 64:6, 97:17 **impact** [3] - 17:10, implications [1] important [9] -11:14, 13:17, 16:19, 17:8, 51:21, 54:20, 54:22, 95:8, 95:10

improper [3] - 93:4,

imprudent [1] -

in-service [21] -

13:20, 17:2, 24:15,

ı

62:1, 62:2, 62:6, 62:7,

62:10, 65:9, 65:18,

24:19, 26:24, 27:1, 27:5, 29:3, 39:12, 39:23. 40:12. 60:4. 60:16, 60:17, 79:8, 79:20, 96:7, 96:23, 97:12, 102:16 in-service-date [1] -28:23 in-state [1] - 62:8 inability [2] - 78:8, 78:9 inaccurate [1] -39:17 inasmuch [1] - 37:20 include [6] - 30:8, 39:7, 39:18, 39:25, 41:17, 90:4 included [9] - 33:1, 33:24, 39:6, 39:9, 44:16, 44:18, 46:20, 60:14, 60:18 includes [2] - 34:6, 103:3 including [9] - 16:6, 17:19, 18:3, 27:16, 53:4, 84:20, 88:24, 100:14, 101:1 inclusion [1] - 87:23 incomplete [1] - 41:2 increase [1] - 8:17 increased [3] -46:13, 46:14, 46:17 incur [1] - 54:10 indeed [1] - 9:25 independent [1] -22:9 indeterminant [1] indicate [3] - 26:16, 27:8, 97:15 indicated [13] -18:13, 18:15, 18:17, 24:25, 28:11, 32:21, 40:9, 53:20, 70:23, 71:19, 81:20, 92:10, 93:5 indicates [3] - 26:23, 50:14, 91:1 indication [1] - 98:1 indications [1] -45:13 indirectly [1] - 23:16 industrial [2] - 89:9, 99:3 infor [1] - 23:6 informally [1] - 73:25 information [43] -8:14, 8:16, 22:3, 22:5, 22:21, 22:25, 23:7, 29:6, 29:9, 48:11,

54:21, 61:10, 61:23,

66:14, 68:1, 69:12, 69:16, 69:18, 70:22, 70:24, 71:2, 71:25, 72:9, 72:12, 73:19, 73:23, 74:17, 74:20, 92:18, 92:20, 92:25, 93:24, 93:25, 95:5, 95:8, 102:24 informed [4] - 17:24, 17:25, 20:2, 28:17 initial [2] - 22:15, 92:9 input [1] - 36:4 integral [3] - 26:14, 32:23, 40:20 integrated [4] -10:16, 52:5, 88:17, 90:1 Integrated [5] -35:23, 36:5, 36:18, 36:24, 37:9 intend [3] - 14:22, 19:4, 21:6 intent [1] - 14:2 intentionally [1] -28:24 intentions [1] - 50:22 interconnected [1] -52:8 interest [1] - 59:12 interested [1] - 92:19 interim [1] - 53:24 interpretation [3] -83:1, 83:12, 85:12 interrelated [2] -51:7, 51:10 interrelationship [1] - 51:9 interrupt [2] - 18:5, 18:16 interrupted [1] -52:14 interrupting [1] -37:7 interruption [1] -52:10 **intervene** [2] - 63:6, 74:12 invest [1] - 89:5 investment [2] -40:13, 95:20 invited [1] - 98:16 involved [2] - 68:12, 89:10 IRP [26] - 32:16, 32:17, 32:22, 32:23, 33:1, 33:22, 34:15, 34:21, 34:22, 35:15, 37:4, 38:1, 38:25,

39:24, 46:15, 46:22, 51:5, 54:14, 60:19, 61:14, 96:11, 96:16, 96:17, 96:20 issuance [7] - 16:9, 18:24, 20:20, 30:4, 32:6, 61:2, 71:23 issue [43] - 16:18, 16:23, 17:15, 17:22, 19:7, 20:17, 22:22, 22:24, 28:18, 40:11, 45:23, 46:24, 48:13, 48:17, 48:19, 55:20, 63:16, 63:17, 69:9, 72:18, 77:2, 83:19, 85:14, 86:13, 87:18, 88:5, 88:8, 88:19, 90:6, 90:16, 90:19, 90:22, 91:15, 91:24, 93:23, 94:4, 95:19, 96:2, 99:24, 100:25, 103:11, 103:19 issued [10] - 15:10, 15:22, 20:4, 31:6, 31:11, 75:8, 85:24, 86:17, 98:5, 101:13 issues [4] - 10:13, 55:14, 61:6, 103:16 **Issues** [1] - 92:12 issuing [1] - 15:17 item [7] - 22:20, 65:13, 68:4, 68:6, 68:11, 69:20, 77:12 items [1] - 103:20 itself [1] - 7:24 J

jeopardize [2] -82:20 91:15, 100:22 **JONI** [1] - 57:17 85:8 Joni [1] - 57:24 lengthy [1] - 68:15 Juab [3] - 19:1, less [2] - 80:18, 86:11, 91:12 89:24 jumping [1] - 82:23 letter [2] - 62:18, June [3] - 15:8, 71:15 18:18, 100:11 jurisdictional [1] -61:19 justified [1] - 35:5 84:22

Κ

key [3] - 12:7, 12:12, 16:10 kind [3] - 72:4, 76:12, 76:25 kinds [1] - 61:25 knowing [3] - 49:2, 55:11, 77:10 known [5] - 61:25, 63:15, 79:8, 96:23, 97:12 knows [1] - 67:1 kV [9] - 4:8, 25:24, 25:25, 44:23, 44:24, 44:25, 54:6

L

lack [2] - 22:17 lacking [1] - 93:24 land [1] - 14:19 land-use [1] - 14:19 language [2] - 67:3, 85:14 lapse [1] - 55:25 large [4] - 42:13, 89:8, 99:2 larger [1] - 17:17 last [12] - 19:15, 20:15, 22:20, 33:8, 34:24, 42:25, 47:6, 48:15, 74:6, 81:21, 94:21 lasts [1] - 70:16 law [5] - 5:3, 10:23, 11:2, 93:7, 93:8 laws [1] - 76:20 lead [2] - 11:5, 13:18 learning [1] - 65:8 least [4] - 19:22, 42:9, 79:6, 97:21 left [2] - 84:7, 89:3 legal [11] - 17:19, 22:22, 66:21, 66:23, 67:21, 67:23, 71:13, 80:10, 80:16, 81:8, legally [2] - 82:21,

letting [1] - 56:1 level [5] - 25:13, 36:17, 84:13, 84:21, 84:22 licensed [1] - 11:9 lies [1] - 12:16 light [5] - 5:23, 9:1, 59:20, 69:6, 97:10 Limber [84] - 14:2, 21:1, 21:2, 25:17, 25:24, 26:1, 26:4, 26:20, 27:12, 27:16, 27:18, 27:25, 28:15, 29:1, 29:4, 30:20, 33:23, 34:4, 34:13, 34:17, 36:12, 36:15, 36:22, 36:23, 39:7, 39:12, 39:25, 40:10, 40:19, 41:7, 41:14, 41:18, 42:1, 42:25, 43:8, 43:11, 44:12, 45:21, 46:12, 49:3, 50:12, 50:17, 51:4, 51:12, 51:21, 52:23, 53:4, 53:10, 53:14, 53:15, 53:19, 54:1, 54:3, 54:11, 54:18, 60:12, 60:17, 70:6, 72:19, 73:22, 76:16, 78:2, 78:3, 78:12, 79:9, 79:25, 81:10, 82:21, 83:13, 84:1, 84:20, 87:24, 88:4, 88:25, 89:12, 90:2, 96:18, 96:24, 97:10, 98:24, 101:2, 102:15, 103:3 Limber-Terminal [2]

Limber-to-Terminal [45] - 21:2, 27:18, 27:25, 29:1, 29:4, 33:23, 34:13, 34:17, 36:15, 36:22, 36:23, 39:7, 39:25, 40:10, 41:14, 41:18, 42:25, 43:8, 43:11, 45:21, 46:12, 50:12, 50:17, 51:4, 51:12, 51:21, 52:23, 53:4, 54:18, 60:17, 72:19, 78:3, 78:12, 79:9, 82:21, 87:24, 88:4, 88:25, 90:2, 96:18, 96:24, 97:10, 98:24, 101:2, 102:15 limit [3] - 60:23,

- 28:15, 53:10

limitations [2] - 19:6, 19:8 limited [2] - 88:13, 92:12

63:1, 91:1

limits [2] - 13:7, 13:9 line [44] - 19:16, 21:14, 24:13, 25:21, 25:22, 25:23, 25:25, 26:6, 26:20, 26:21, 28:12, 28:15, 33:24, 34:7, 34:10, 34:14, 40:19, 44:11, 45:20, 49:5, 49:7, 49:12, 49:23, 62:11, 62:14, 63:3, 64:14, 64:19, 65:18, 69:8, 70:2, 73:15, 73:17, 73:20, 76:23, 86:3, 86:15, 91:2, 91:9, 91:16,

98:20, 99:5, 99:6, 100:5 line's [1] - 28:10 8:7, 38:1 lines [6] - 51:22, 52:9, 61:18, 65:3, 68:12, 96:5 liquid [1] - 36:2 list [1] - 71:25 65:7 listed [1] - 40:25 65:6 **lists** [1] - 33:12 load [23] - 12:13, 12:17, 12:21, 12:24, 13:15, 21:8, 23:12, 23:13, 26:8, 26:17, 42:2, 45:11, 46:7, 87:14 52:5, 63:7, 64:23, 64:24, 65:2, 72:10, 5:5 76:21, 76:22, 88:23 loads [1] - 11:16 **Local** [1] - 26:8 local [6] - 14:17, 25:1, 26:9, 45:8, 50:24, 62:25 Lomond [1] - 51:23 long-term [1] - 13:25 39:14 look [19] - 32:10, 32:25, 33:8, 35:8, 37:11, 39:5, 39:20, 45:11, 49:24, 64:18, 71:2, 71:7, 72:10, 76:2, 84:21, 88:18, 95:14, 96:14, 97:9 looked [2] - 84:11, 99:14 looking [7] - 40:6, 45:10, 65:17, 69:23, 80:7, 82:10, 88:16 looks [2] - 42:25, 72:25 lower [2] - 54:12, 55:7 [1] - 5:7 lowering [1] - 47:6 lowest [1] - 36:6 lowest-cost [1] -52:15 36:6 M

maintain [1] - 7:4
major [2] - 40:13,
71:16
manageable [2] 19:13, 20:14
managing [1] - 19:24
mandated [2] - 68:1,
73:1
mandatory [1] 85:14
manner [1] - 4:24
maps [1] - 84:21

March [4] - 37:10,

37:13, 38:25, 59:6 mark [5] - 7:14, 7:23, marked [7] - 7:20, 37:17, 38:19, 38:22, 39:21, 58:3, 58:5 market [2] - 65:1, markets [3] - 36:2, material [1] - 22:9 materially [2] -30:23, 31:17 materials [2] - 48:1, Matt Moscon [1] matter [4] - 50:19, 59:23, 87:12, 94:12 Matter [1] - 4:5 MAY [1] - 4:1 mean [4] - 48:24, 73:9, 73:11, 81:16 means [2] - 11:17, meant [1] - 18:8 meet [6] - 11:21, 12:23, 35:12, 65:7, 74:19, 74:21 meets [4] - 11:3, 59:11, 70:2, 85:2 megawatts [2] -13:1, 13:2 members [1] - 102:3 mentioned [7] - 16:7, 18:25, 45:11, 47:21, 55:13, 62:25, 79:10 met [4] - 17:23, 77:13, 82:21, 102:14 Michael Ginsberg mid [1] - 52:15 mid-question [1] might [16] - 5:10, 15:18, 17:20, 20:6, 21:9, 26:16, 31:12, 31:15, 31:22, 41:3, 49:2, 49:3, 71:11, 74:12, 97:8, 97:11 miles [2] - 19:16, 61:18 million [2] - 46:13, 60:7 mince [1] - 82:7 mind [4] - 48:18, 50:18, 81:6, 95:22 minute [3] - 27:7,

87:10 missed [1] - 19:22 missing [1] - 38:12 misspoke [1] - 100:8 Moab [1] - 86:11 models [1] - 46:15 moment [4] - 10:12, 24:9, 32:15, 43:24 Mona [31] - 4:7, 14:1, 19:17, 21:2, 22:1, 23:9, 24:21, 24:22, 25:16, 25:23, 30:16, 30:18, 30:19, 33:9, 33:23, 34:4, 36:12, 40:22, 44:20, 45:1, 51:14, 52:3, 54:2, 54:4, 60:12, 64:19, 70:2, 70:12, 88:3, 91:12 Mona to Oquirrh [1] - 12:8 Mona-Oquirrh [9] -4:7, 14:1, 19:17, 22:1, 23:9, 30:18, 40:22, 44:20, 45:1 Mona-to [1] - 33:23 Mona-to-Limber-to-Oquirrh [1] - 36:12 Mona-to-Oquirrh [7] - 21:2, 30:16, 33:9, 64:19, 70:2, 70:12, 88:3 month [1] - 8:3 months [2] - 17:7, 100:19 more or less [1] -46:14 morning [3] - 6:14, 23:24, 83:16 Moscon [6] - 80:22, 80:24, 87:4, 87:8, 94:18, 98:9 **MOSCON** [11] - 5:5, 80:23, 81:11, 81:14, 81:17, 82:5, 82:23, 85:11, 87:6, 87:9, 98:10 Moscon's [1] - 80:19 move [11] - 4:23, 5:25, 19:3, 19:8, 20:8, 30:13, 31:2, 32:11, 42:17, 74:7, 99:3 Moving [1] - 42:19 moving [2] - 4:14, 16:21

must [3] - 11:4, 85:24, 93:8 Ν nails [1] - 103:15 name [3] - 6:20, 24:24, 57:22 named [1] - 24:23 narrow [1] - 69:3 **Native** [1] - 69:8 nature [3] - 19:17, 22:22, 29:3 near [3] - 12:5, 13:24, 40:21 near-term [1] - 13:24 nearly [1] - 12:25 necessary [14] -16:6, 32:8, 45:25, 47:3, 50:17, 59:16, 61:22, 62:10, 65:9, 72:17, 94:3, 99:6, 102:17, 103:8 necessity [6] -59:11, 61:4, 62:13, 63:12, 88:11, 104:5 Necessity [4] - 4:6, 48:18, 102:1, 102:11 need [66] - 6:10, 8:10, 10:11, 10:15, 12:22, 13:22, 14:21, 22:6, 29:5, 30:11, 40:24, 41:3, 42:24, 43:7, 45:4, 45:6, 50:14, 50:15, 53:13, 54:9, 54:11, 54:17, 59:7, 61:9, 62:11, 63:2, 63:25, 64:2, 64:7, 64:18, 65:10, 70:3, 70:11, 72:3, 72:10, 72:12, 72:23, 75:18, 78:14, 78:16, 86:9, 87:16, 88:2, 88:14, 88:20, 88:24, 89:13, 89:25, 92:12, 92:18, 92:23, 93:22, 94:9, 94:10, 94:13, 95:23, 97:3, 99:4, 99:13, 99:19, 99:24, 100:23, 102:20, 102:22 Need [1] - 101:1 needed [10] - 13:10, 45:14, 46:5, 50:20, 62:1, 69:13, 70:22, 70:24, 89:6, 100:6 needs [13] - 11:21, 35:13, 50:23, 59:17,

59:24, 61:3, 63:7,

65:11, 84:12, 89:6,

89:14, 99:19, 101:8

NEPA[3] - 14:7, 21:14, 63:19 **NERC** [1] - 10:24 network [6] - 11:14, 11:15, 12:20, 12:21, 23:2, 64:24 never [2] - 25:11, 79:17 **new** [17] - 12:22, 20:22, 35:24, 37:11, 42:10, 63:3, 63:6, 63:12, 64:16, 64:17, 68:8, 72:18, 72:23, 89:15, 89:16, 102:23 next [8] - 4:20, 16:16, 30:7, 31:3, 33:12, 63:16, 64:9, 97:24 **nineteen** [1] - 73:12 No. 1 [1] - 5:24 non [2] - 23:2, 23:17 non-discretionary [1] - 23:17 non-PacifiCorp [1] nondiscriminatory [3] - 11:13, 11:14, 11:20 none [1] - 77:7 **normally** [1] - 4:13 north [2] - 49:12, 52:1 North [2] - 7:4, 10:24 Northeast [1] - 7:1 Northern [2] - 13:3, 64:25 Nos [5] - 9:12, 38:19, 43:23, 57:11, 58:24 notes [1] - 18:23 **nothing** [2] - 66:16, 78:14 notice [2] - 20:4, noticed [2] - 4:4, 101:24 **notify** [1] - 64:7 notwithstanding [1] - 91:19 November [2] - 7:10, 12:6 **NTTG** [1] - 64:12 **Number** [1] - 102:13 number [7] - 33:4, 47:16, 51:12, 74:3, 78:11, 93:4, 96:4

0

numbers [2] - 46:15,

46:20

objection [6] - 9:7,

8

multiple [3] - 54:4,

Multnomah [1] - 7:1

municipalities [1] -

Murray [1] - 102:2

65:4

23:15

46:19, 86:25

minutes [5] - 10:10,

50:10, 80:17, 80:23,

43:21, 58:19, 58:22, opposed [1] - 55:24 72:14, 72:15 opposes [2] - 15:3, objections [2] -23:21 43:19, 57:5 option [1] - 71:14 obligated [2] - 10:19, options [3] - 35:23, 23:17 49:21, 76:2 **obligation** [1] - 94:5 Oquirrh [26] - 4:7, obtain [4] - 20:22, 14:1, 19:17, 21:2, 63:25, 69:13, 83:5 22:1, 23:9, 25:17, **obtained** [1] - 59:19 26:1, 30:16, 30:18, obtaining [7] - 82:7, 30:20, 33:9, 34:4, 82:16, 83:13, 85:4, 34:7, 36:12, 40:22, 85:22, 90:24, 103:8 44:20, 45:1, 51:17, 53:15, 60:13, 64:19, occurred [1] - 22:10 70:2, 70:12, 88:3 occurring [1] - 33:13 Oquirrh-to-October [1] - 15:14 Terminal [1] - 34:7 Offer [1] - 57:2 order [19] - 12:23, offer [3] - 5:23, 18:18, 41:12, 63:10, 56:17, 56:24 67:4, 70:20, 86:7, office [2] - 7:3, 7:5 86:20, 90:6, 91:4, official [1] - 24:24 92:10, 92:23, 93:9, once [2] - 59:18, 93:11, 93:14, 98:11, 85:22 98:13, 102:8, 104:7 Once [2] - 31:2, Oregon [2] - 7:2, 79:15 19:15 One [5] - 51:2, 53:17, original [2] - 8:20, 58:7, 58:8, 103:19 39:24 one [43] - 14:1, Originally [2] -14:10, 15:21, 15:25, 81:14, 81:17 18:3, 19:20, 19:22, **originally** [1] - 60:5 24:20, 28:5, 28:9, originally-filed [1] -28:11, 33:9, 37:6, 38:8, 38:11, 42:9, otherwise [1] - 22:11 44:10, 51:13, 54:16, ought [2] - 78:4, 55:24, 56:7, 64:11, 80:17 64:18, 65:5, 65:14, 68:12, 68:13, 71:17, out-of-state [1] -71:22, 72:16, 76:17, 62:9 79:10, 82:23, 87:22, outcome [1] - 59:20 90:19, 91:14, 91:19, outlandish [1] - 86:8 96:8, 100:19, 101:15, outline [1] - 23:22 102:13, 103:18 outlines [1] - 61:15 One-point-zero-SR outlining [1] - 21:24 [2] - 58:7, 58:8 outside [14] - 10:21, ones [1] - 65:6 20:21, 34:18, 39:10, Open [1] - 11:10 39:11, 42:4, 43:8, open [2] - 15:14, 50:13, 65:7, 91:12, 90:15 93:13, 96:10, 96:18 opening [1] - 22:16 outstanding [3] -27:9, 27:21, 28:12 operate [1] - 44:25 operated [2] - 25:25, overall [5] - 32:2, 26:2 62:11, 78:14, 78:16, **operating** [1] - 11:3 98:25 operational [2] overnight [1] - 75:20 51:10, 99:6 overriding [1] opinion [2] - 46:6, 97:19 47:13 own [4] - 36:3, 47:13, 96:11, 100:8 opportunity [5] -4:22, 74:15, 80:9,

96:14, 97:9

oppose [1] - 75:12

P p.m [2] - 101:21, 104:11 Pacific [2] - 6:25, 7:7 PacifiCorp [5] - 6:22, 6:24, 11:9, 23:2, 23:13 package [1] - 16:18 packages [2] - 30:9, 30:14 page [9] - 20:23, 33:4, 33:6, 33:12, 34:2, 34:20, 34:23, 39:5 page 2 [1] - 39:21 Page 7 [1] - 16:7 page 8 [2] - 24:7, 24:11 pages [2] - 7:12, 8:4 paperwork [1] - 10:8 parade [1] - 76:13 paragraph [2] -24:13, 34:24 paragraphs [1] -11:11 parameters [1] -87:19 paraphrasing [1] -87:25 **Pardon** [1] - 73:10 part [20] - 15:23, 23:4, 23:6, 23:7, 26:14, 31:14, 32:23, 34:8, 40:18, 40:20, 40:23, 44:17, 64:12, 66:17, 73:19, 90:3, 91:9, 94:23, 98:25 participation [2] -101:18, 104:10 particular [2] -46:25, 102:4 particularly [2] -47:17, 102:16 parties [12] - 5:21, 22:17, 61:23, 63:5, 68:22, 71:2, 72:2, 74:18, 74:19, 80:9, 93:13, 96:20 parts [5] - 13:14, 14:16, 29:21, 51:25, 64:25 party [4] - 4:14, 83:22, 90:15, 92:19 pass [1] - 56:12 patterns [1] - 46:8 Pause [2] - 37:16,

15:21, 59:20, 82:4, 82:5, 83:20, 83:22 **People** [1] - 89:8 **people** [3] - 28:17, 50:23, 64:11 per [1] - 80:17 percent [7] - 12:15, 23:11, 23:14, 48:8, 64:23, 69:7 percentages [1] -48:8 perhaps [1] - 72:6 period [11] - 17:8, 17:14, 25:25, 29:9, 34:18, 50:13, 53:6, 59:15, 96:15, 97:2, 101:14 permit [45] - 16:6, 16:9, 17:15, 17:22, 18:10, 20:13, 22:15, 27:9, 27:10, 27:12, 27:24, 27:25, 28:1, 28:3, 28:11, 30:4, 40:9, 53:2, 53:13, 75:9, 75:25, 81:9, 81:18, 81:23, 82:1, 82:4, 82:5, 82:17, 83:13, 83:17, 83:22, 85:4, 85:8, 85:15, 85:17, 85:19, 85:22, 85:24, 91:10, 91:15, 91:20, 97:16, 98:23, 100:15, 101:15 permits [31] - 15:18, 15:20, 16:6, 21:15, 22:5, 27:8, 27:19, 27:21, 27:23, 28:16, 28:18, 53:8, 59:16, 59:18, 62:23, 75:16, 78:9, 81:5, 81:6, 81:21, 83:6, 83:20, 84:3, 86:12, 86:18, 90:19, 90:24, 100:14, 103:9, 103:14 permitted [2] -79:22, 91:21 permitting [4] -41:10, 52:23, 52:25, 103:7 persuaded [1] -102:13 petition [1] - 59:21 Ph.D [1] - 57:17 pick [1] - 31:24 picture [2] - 37:4, 41:2 piece [45] - 14:2, 20:15, 27:19, 29:1, 29:4, 34:17, 36:12, 36:13, 36:15, 36:23, 40:1, 41:14, 41:18, 42:25, 43:1, 43:8,

43:11, 50:17, 51:12, 51:22, 53:4, 53:10, 54:18, 73:22, 78:12, 78:19, 79:9, 79:19, 79:22, 82:22, 83:9, 83:10, 84:13, 84:22, 87:24, 87:25, 88:3, 88:25, 90:2, 96:18, 96:24, 97:10, 98:24, 98:25 pieces [4] - 8:11, 39:6, 51:8, 78:9 pinned [1] - 53:22 place [11] - 4:3, 20:6, 39:23, 54:12, 64:1, 67:18, 71:4, 71:5, 91:10, 100:4, 101:23 plan [29] - 10:19, 11:2, 11:5, 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 12:7, 13:20, 14:19, 16:18, 32:25, 33:25, 36:21, 37:11, 38:25, 39:9, 40:20, 46:21, 50:22, 50:24, 55:5, 55:9, 55:12, 61:16, 75:19, 76:15, 89:22, 98:25, 100:11 Plan [6] - 35:23, 36:5, 36:19, 36:24, 37:10, 38:1 planned [8] - 22:3, 26:24, 34:12, 41:7, 60:18, 62:7, 101:3, 103:4 **planning** [12] - 7:7, 11:1, 35:15, 43:8, 50:13, 61:17, 81:22, 88:16, 95:21, 96:10, 96:19, 98:21 plans [16] - 14:18, 14:19, 14:20, 17:25, 33:18, 33:19, 35:12, 37:8, 41:2, 50:25, 53:20, 55:16, 55:21, 61:20, 63:24, 72:20 plants [2] - 36:3, pleading [1] - 10:8 point [19] - 7:9, 10:22, 19:14, 37:6, 37:20, 41:6, 42:11, 45:15, 48:10, 54:23, 56:9, 58:7, 58:8, 77:11, 84:7, 89:19, 97:25, 103:1, 103:14 pointed [2] - 83:3, 90:25 **points** [2] - 62:16, policies [1] - 76:20 policy [1] - 73:15

44:1

peak [1] - 52:6

pending [7] - 15:18,

Populus [5] - 12:3, previous [1] - 24:23 47:7. 64:14. 64:22. prices [5] - 47:8, 93:11 47:9, 47:19, 48:3 Populus to primarily [1] - 22:22 **Terminal** [1] - 79:13 problem [6] - 29:17, **Populus-Terminal** 41:23, 41:24, 73:3, [1] - 47:7 83:24, 90:14 problematic [1] -Populus-to-73:4 **Terminal** [4] - 12:3, 64:14, 64:22, 93:11 problems [3] - 55:14, portion [18] - 14:1, 76:12, 86:22 33:24, 34:7, 34:8, procedurally [1] -34:13, 35:16, 40:10, 44:19, 45:7, 45:8, procedure [3] -46:13, 82:2, 84:3, 21:17, 55:23, 68:20 90:17, 91:2, 102:15, proceed [10] - 4:13, 102:19, 103:12 4:24, 5:10, 16:15, portions [19] - 14:13, 20:3, 20:5, 80:14, 25:3, 33:14, 43:6, 83:9, 91:21, 102:5 48:25, 53:23, 53:24, proceeding [20] -54:13, 60:4, 60:10, 7:10, 20:6, 23:7, 25:4, 60:14, 60:20, 60:25, 30:7, 58:1, 60:1, 68:2, 70:10, 73:13, 76:24, 68:21, 71:4, 71:9, 86:2, 103:6, 103:13 71:11, 72:4, 72:16, **Portland** [1] - 7:2 72:24, 73:1, 73:25, posed [1] - 23:8 102:7, 102:20 position [3] - 6:21, proceedings [4] -42:16, 48:16 52:11, 94:7, 95:14, possibilities [1] -96:5 33:13 process [35] - 14:7, possible [1] - 18:25 14:8, 15:13, 21:14, 32:22, 32:23, 35:15, potential [2] - 18:2, 97:19 36:13, 36:21, 63:19, potentially [2] -64:12, 68:16, 69:2, 68:22, 91:13 69:24, 75:20, 82:6, 82:7, 82:15, 83:5, potentially-affected 83:10, 83:13, 85:3, [1] - 68:22 85:22, 89:15, 90:23, Power [2] - 6:25, 7:8 92:12, 93:8, 96:11, Power's [1] - 16:20 96:17, 97:20, 98:24, **pre** [1] - 6:6 99:8, 101:15, 103:12 **pre-filed** [1] - 6:6 processes [1] - 83:4 precisely [1] - 73:19 procure [1] - 19:3 preferred [1] - 50:4 production [1] premarked [1] -92:24 58:17 professional [1] prepared [3] - 9:22, 46:6 42:7, 102:6 progress [1] - 55:15 preparing [1] - 30:9 Project [1] - 64:10 prescient [1] - 65:20 project [124] - 8:18, present [5] - 63:11, 102:23 8:19, 10:11, 10:16, 88:2, 88:10, 88:14, 11:24, 12:3, 12:5, 99:19 12:8, 12:12, 13:10, presented [1] - 59:6 13:18, 13:21, 13:24, presently [1] - 53:22 13:25, 14:6, 14:10, president [1] - 7:6 14:12, 14:13, 14:15, pressure [2] - 16:25, 14:25, 16:3, 16:11, 48:3 16:21, 17:3, 17:8, pretty [2] - 39:16, 77:14 19:4, 19:9, 19:15, 49:5 provide [17] - 10:1, 19:18, 19:24, 20:3, prevents [2] - 76:13, 10:19, 11:17, 15:10,

20:7, 20:21, 21:13,

21:25, 22:1, 23:10,

76:14

24:14, 24:20, 25:4, 29:11, 29:21, 30:8, 30:13, 30:15, 30:24, 31:2, 31:9, 31:13, 31:22, 32:2, 32:11, 32:21, 33:15, 38:17, 39:2, 41:9, 41:11, 41:16, 42:9, 42:17, 43:1, 44:14, 45:2, 47:5, 51:7, 51:20, 53:2, 53:3, 53:4, 54:8, 55:1, 55:2, 55:4, 60:3, 60:5, 60:6, 60:8, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:25, 61:9, 61:13, 62:19, 64:20, 67:16, 69:20, 70:12, 71:16, 73:13, 75:21, 76:25, 77:3, 78:15, 78:16, 79:16, 81:19, 83:25, 84:12, 84:21, 86:10, 87:16, 88:21, 89:8, 89:9, 89:25, 90:1, 90:3, 90:17, 90:22, 91:20, 92:5, 93:22, 94:10, 94:14, 96:15, 97:3, 101:1, 101:2, 101:13, 101:14 projected [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 62:11 projections [3] -42:24, 43:7, 90:12 projects [9] - 29:11, 42:24, 47:4, 47:16, 53:14, 69:5, 88:16, 89:19, 95:21 proper [1] - 82:17 properly [1] - 94:1 property [1] - 19:18 proposal [12] -20:18, 23:21, 31:5, 62:18, 63:18, 66:11, 67:9, 70:16, 70:18, 71:10, 74:17, 75:8 propose [1] - 77:24 proposed [14] - 9:24, 15:1, 25:24, 29:16, 30:19, 31:19, 33:14, 34:3, 35:17, 47:16, 59:8, 60:24, 70:19, proposes [3] - 21:16, 21:21, 22:24 proposing [3] -22:22, 67:10, 68:25 propound [1] - 8:22 protection [1] - 89:4 prove [2] - 71:11,

23:17, 25:1, 29:6, 29:14, 59:1, 62:6, 62:18, 65:11, 71:15, 71:19 **provided** [9] - 32:3, 51:5, 62:2, 66:22, 69:19, 92:23, 93:21, 95:9, 96:20 provider [1] - 10:18 provides [4] - 51:12, 51:15, 51:18, 52:3 providing [3] - 11:12, 54:21, 77:6 proving [1] - 102:14 provisions [1] - 79:5 provisos [1] - 102:12 Prudence [1] - 46:24 prudent [6] - 28:22, 35:11, 49:24, 55:16, 91:5, 103:11 public [13] - 59:11, 59:12, 61:4, 62:13, 63:11, 67:6, 73:15, 74:17, 82:18, 88:10, 101:24, 102:3, 102:18 Public [1] - 100:25 public witness [1] -80:14 **published** [1] - 33:22 purchases [3] - 36:1, 36:2, 65:1 purpose [1] - 21:18 purposes [1] - 65:4 put [11] - 5:25, 16:25, 35:14, 36:23, 47:22, 53:7, 62:23, 72:5, 77:18, 99:5, 102:21 puts [3] - 30:12, 42:16. 100:19 putting [3] - 16:3, 28:12, 48:3

Q

qualms [1] - 70:1 questions [22] -4:23, 8:23, 23:8, 44:3, 44:6, 44:11, 45:16, 51:3, 56:8, 58:13, 66:3, 66:7, 69:17, 77:23, 78:12, 78:21, 78:25, 80:11, 81:7, 90:20, 94:15, 104:5 quickly [7] - 10:10, 10:13, 70:11, 89:7, 89:13, 89:23, 98:10 quite [3] - 47:15, 62:21, 76:11 quote [1] - 88:9

R

range [1] - 48:7 rate [7] - 68:6, 68:8, 68:10, 68:11, 77:11, 77:12, 92:16 rate case [6] - 65:13, 68:3, 68:4, 68:17, 69:21, 93:24 ratepayers [6] -59:13, 77:18, 89:5, 89:16, 89:21, 89:24 rather [4] - 32:5, 41:19, 55:23, 75:24 rationale [1] - 102:9 re [3] - 96:14, 97:3, re-look [2] - 96:14, 97:9 **re-show** [1] - 97:3 reaching [1] - 95:11 read [8] - 10:7, 34:24, 35:1, 35:6, 65:25, 66:19, 70:1, 76:12 ready [2] - 62:20, 78:10 real [2] - 10:10, 90:18 realized [1] - 69:7 realizes [1] - 63:24 really [7] - 46:24, 53:22, 55:22, 85:8, 87:18, 97:14, 98:19 reapply [2] - 61:3, 84:17 reason [10] - 12:14, 12:19, 28:15, 44:18, 47:12, 51:7, 74:6, 77:9, 77:13, 99:11 reasonable [4] -63:2, 70:18, 96:15, 97:2 reasons [4] - 23:22, 40:24, 74:5, 92:8 rebuttal [15] - 8:3, 8:15, 9:10, 15:19, 16:2, 24:8, 46:11, 57:1, 57:6, 58:1, 58:5, 60:2, 64:4, 65:10, 87:10 **Rebuttal** [1] - 9:3 rec [1] - 29:18 receipt [3] - 15:6, 20:10, 85:7 receive [2] - 16:12, 54:23 received [3] - 38:3, 82:15, 103:14

receiving [2] - 16:6,

19:2, 22:2, 22:25,

75:15 21:22, 22:16, 62:5, refusing [1] - 91:15 risk [7] - 13:14, Salt Lake County [6] recently [1] - 17:14 regard [3] - 50:23, 63:12, 65:22, 66:11, 13:15, 17:3, 30:12, - 27:16, 28:5, 28:9, 66:17, 76:22, 76:23, recess [6] - 52:12, 31:3, 55:2, 77:18 52:24, 53:13, 91:13 52:22, 67:25 88:12, 95:4, 102:25 80:13, 86:25, 87:2, risk-adjusted [1] sat [1] - 87:14 **Regarding** [1] - 20:1 required [17] - 20:22, 101:18, 101:20 regarding [2] -31:3 satisfactory [1] - 6:2 recognize [2] - 19:5, 22:25, 27:16, 28:5, risks [3] - 19:12, save [2] - 78:22, 87:9 85:12, 98:14 91:5 29:12, 59:16, 59:19, 19:25, 55:6 regardless [2] - 31:6, scenario [2] -62:23, 64:18, 68:17, Rives [2] - 5:4, 5:6 recognizes [1] -32:7 100:18, 100:21 71:24, 81:9, 82:13, 75:18 **RMP** [1] - 6:7 Regardless [1] **schedule** [4] - 19:12, 82:16, 84:4, 90:5, recommend [2] -49:8 **RMP-1** [1] - 6:8 20:8, 25:9, 31:15 92:5 19:5, 73:15 regards [2] - 22:15, **RMP-2** [5] - 7:24, 9:3, scheduled [6] - 25:7, requirement [8] recommenda [1] -9:12, 56:25, 57:11 26:5, 26:21, 43:3, 11:6, 59:12, 65:20, regularly [1] - 35:11 **RMP-2.1** [3] - 9:12, 43:11, 60:11 68:1, 78:14, 94:22, recommendation regulators [3] - 62:1, 57:1. 57:11 **schedules** [1] - 22:3 94:24, 98:13 [11] - 20:25, 22:19, RMP-2.6 [2] - 9:12, 65:17, 69:21 scheduling [1] requirements [9] -28:25, 29:5, 41:17, Regulatory [1] -57:11 92:10 13:24, 61:8, 65:24, 41:21, 74:10, 77:2, 10:23 **RMP-3** [5] - 8:8, 9:4, **scope** [3] - 30:24, 68:19, 69:1, 70:3, 86:4, 97:1, 98:3 9:13, 57:2, 57:12 regulatory [1] -69:3, 94:6 85:2, 93:13, 98:12 recommendations 76:20 RMP-3.1 [2] - 9:13, season [1] - 16:25 requires [4] - 13:18, [2] - 15:24, 59:4 reiterate [1] - 65:25 57:12 seasons [1] - 19:23 recommended [1] -13:19, 55:23, 81:4 relate [4] - 60:10, **RMP-3.4** [1] - 9:13 seated [2] - 6:13, requisite [1] - 91:10 14:24 67:10, 67:11, 78:8 road [3] - 62:19, 57:16 reserve [1] - 94:16 recommending [1] related [5] - 44:11, 71:15, 100:19 second [7] - 12:7, resolved [2] - 19:7, 17:4 44:14, 56:11, 67:9, robust [1] - 55:5 12:9, 20:17, 38:7, 59:24 recommends [2] -78:12 84:25, 90:18, 96:2 Rocky Mountain [1] 59:14, 59:22 resource [3] - 14:20, relates [3] - 53:18, - 16:20 Second [3] - 11:8, 35:24, 37:4 record [18] - 5:2, 67:18, 81:4 **Rocky Mountain** 15:9, 53:11 Resource [5] -5:13, 5:20, 6:1, 10:11, relative [5] - 22:20, Power [19] - 4:5, 5:6, Secondly [1] -35:23, 36:5, 36:19, 15:9, 18:19, 20:1, 23:1, 23:6, 66:13, 5:24, 6:21, 6:24, 7:5, 102:19 36:24, 37:10 20:3, 20:13, 24:24, 103:1 7:7, 7:15, 10:17, 11:8, section [3] - 19:20, resources [3] -29:25, 30:3, 30:22, relevant [4] - 22:5, 11:22, 15:4, 21:21, 86:1, 101:2 12:21, 35:24, 36:6 52:14, 57:23, 87:3, 48:12, 95:5, 95:22 22:12, 23:14, 30:6, Section [4] - 11:10, 101:22 respect [4] - 59:4, 31:19, 55:13, 76:5 Reliability [2] -66:17, 90:25, 91:17 Record [3] - 63:22, 61:7, 79:5, 94:22 **ROD** [1] - 91:24 secured [3] - 19:18, 10:25, 65:4 64:3, 64:5 respond [2] - 71:13, reliability [1] - 45:10 **room** [1] - 53:1 19:21, 19:22 94:17 recovery [10] reliable [1] - 10:20 route [8] - 29:16, see [19] - 14:18, 61:11, 66:13, 67:12, responds [1] - 85:9 relies [1] - 92:4 31:18, 31:20, 31:21, 24:15, 24:18, 30:25, 68:2, 68:8, 77:11, response [4] - 23:11, 31:25, 49:22, 50:4, 33:11, 34:4, 45:4, remain [1] - 13:4 77:14, 93:25, 95:1, 59:3, 60:5, 60:8 100:23 45:6, 45:12, 46:5, remainder [2] -95:6 rest [1] - 48:21 route's [1] - 49:7 49:24, 50:25, 55:12, 62:15, 94:16 Redirect [1] - 50:6 result [3] - 17:20, remained [1] - 93:10 **Rule** [1] - 68:18 70:17, 71:14, 78:15, redirect [3] - 4:23, 49:17, 74:1 remaining [1] - 61:6 rule [5] - 68:20, 93:2, 102:20, 102:22, 104:1 56:6, 79:1 results [2] - 60:1, See [1] - 101:19 remarks [1] - 23:24 93:16, 95:18, 102:6 REDIRECT 76:2 seek [3] - 53:7, report [8] - 21:23, rulemaking [10] -**EXAMINATION** [2] retail [5] - 11:18, 77:11, 77:14 22:7, 31:8, 41:20, 68:16, 68:20, 68:21, 50:8, 79:2 23:2, 23:12, 62:8, seeking [2] - 81:18, 59:18, 59:24, 97:6, 69:2, 93:6, 93:9, 94:5, reduced [1] - 55:6 95:25 100:16 95:12, 95:15, 98:14 82:2 reduces [1] - 55:2 Review [13] - 17:13, rules [1] - 68:16 seem [1] - 73:14 **reporting** [7] - 61:7, 17:16, 18:17, 20:10, reduction [1] - 48:6 ruling [3] - 18:1, segment [10] - 17:9, 65:20, 65:24, 94:22, 31:12, 32:3, 35:12, redundancy [2] -28:17, 35:14, 45:21, 98:11, 102:22, 103:1 104:6 51:19, 52:3 48:20, 75:23, 85:7, 48:19, 49:1, 52:23, reports [1] - 90:6 run [2] - 46:15, 79:6 85:23, 97:18, 103:21 reevaluate [1] - 35:4 81:22, 83:25, 90:2 Request [1] - 13:8 review [5] - 29:11, reevaluated [1] request [6] - 23:20, S **Segment** [2] - 34:4, 54:22, 55:16, 72:24, 62:20 39:22 62:7, 73:19, 92:19, 98:13 referred [1] - 38:24 segments [8] -93:1, 93:12 safe [1] - 10:20 reviewed [4] - 22:11, referring [3] - 24:12, 21:19, 21:25, 22:16, requested [5] sales [2] - 65:1, 65:7 28:14, 40:4 37:8, 72:1, 87:14 24:14, 24:19, 35:4, 31:25, 81:6, 81:13, Salt Lake [3] - 19:1, reviewing [2] - 71:6, reflect [1] - 101:23 35:9, 76:24 94:1, 96:6 25:18, 88:23 96:20 refresh [1] - 29:13 **selected** [1] - 31:21 requests [3] - 21:7, RFPs [1] - 103:12 Salt Lake City [1] refreshed [1] - 29:9 **sending** [1] - 30:15 21:11, 60:23

sense [7] - 32:4,

rights [1] - 19:18

require [13] - 11:2,

refused [1] - 86:20

7:3

40:16, 40:17, 46:16, 48:24, 51:10, 100:6 separate [2] - 61:14, 64:21 serious [2] - 17:10, 98:20 seriously [1] - 11:7 serve [6] - 36:6, 42:10, 64:24, 65:2, 65:6, 65:7 **served** [2] - 11:6, 23:13 service [35] - 10:18, 10:19, 10:20, 11:15, 13:13, 13:14, 13:16, 13:20, 17:2, 23:17, 24:15, 24:19, 26:6, 26:24, 27:1, 27:4, 27:5, 28:23, 29:3, 39:12, 39:23, 40:12, 42:11, 42:14, 45:9, 60:4, 60:16, 60:17, 79:8, 79:20, 96:7, 96:23, 97:12, 102:16 **services** [1] - 6:24 serving [4] - 12:13, 52:4, 52:5, 61:19 set [7] - 8:23, 57:22, 65:7, 68:16, 70:21, 93:7, 96:11 seven [2] - 73:21, 101:7 several [4] - 14:17, 15:1, 52:4, 92:8 **shall** [2] - 82:13, 85:14 **sharing** [1] - 55:20 **short** [4] - 10:12, 11:17, 11:23, 80:12 short-term [1] -11:23 **shortened** [1] - 68:5 **shortly** [1] - 70:13 **show** [5] - 8:18, 61:4, 82:14, 97:3, 99:13 showed [1] - 39:18 showing [1] - 90:11 shown [1] - 50:22 **shows** [1] - 39:22 side [1] - 80:18 sides [1] - 87:15 **signalled** [1] - 102:2 significant [5] -55:10, 64:15, 79:11, 97:7, 97:20 Significantly [1] -19:23 **similar** [4] - 19:16, 26:12, 41:4, 54:3 simply [7] - 55:25,

89:4, 94:4, 94:6, 94:9, 99:1, 99:10 single [9] - 13:25, 27:24, 28:1, 65:13, 68:4, 68:6, 68:11, 69:20, 77:12 single-item [6] -65:13, 68:4, 68:6, 68:11, 69:20, 77:12 site [6] - 22:18, 25:16, 26:1, 26:13, 27:12, 30:20 Siting [4] - 15:6, 16:13, 18:17, 21:5 siting [1] - 59:22 situation [1] - 93:20 six [3] - 21:23, 34:21, 102:23 Sixty [1] - 34:21 **Sixty-six** [1] - 34:21 size [2] - 13:1, 54:13 slides [2] - 10:5, 10:6 slip [1] - 17:9 slippery [1] - 98:16 slope [1] - 98:16 slowed [1] - 47:17 **Smith** [18] - 4:9, 5:2, 5:11, 8:1, 8:9, 9:21, 50:6, 52:15, 52:20, 66:6, 67:8, 67:25, 73:18, 74:7, 74:14, 76:7, 92:6, 103:17 **SMITH** [43] - 4:11, 4:16, 5:3, 5:12, 5:18, 6:19, 7:14, 7:21, 7:23, 8:7, 9:1, 9:15, 9:17, 9:20, 18:21, 23:25, 32:16, 38:3, 38:5, 38:7, 38:10, 43:21, 50:7, 50:9, 52:16, 56:5, 56:17, 56:21, 56:24, 57:10, 58:22, 66:4, 66:7, 66:9, 66:22, 67:24, 74:8, 76:5, 80:19, 103:18, 103:25, 104:3, 104:8 Smith's [1] - 28:8 so-called [2] - 68:17, 77:12 softened [2] - 47:12, 47:19 softening [1] - 47:8 solely [2] - 65:2, 92:12 something's [1] -73:6 **sometime** [1] - 45:22 somewhere [1] -

99:16

21:9

soon [2] - 18:24,

sooner [7] - 21:10, 26:10, 42:2, 42:6, 42:20, 45:14, 54:10 sorry [2] - 38:6, 80:7 sort [2] - 28:12, 45:24 Sort [1] - 45:19 sorts [1] - 47:3 sought [3] - 14:8, 51:8, 82:1 South [21] - 7:1, 25:5, 25:9, 25:11, 27:2, 40:25, 41:1, 41:6, 41:11, 41:16, 43:3, 43:14, 43:15, 44:14, 44:16, 44:24, 45:5, 45:7, 45:15, 73:7, 86:14 southern [2] - 44:20, **Spanish** [1] - 12:18 specific [1] - 85:20 specifically [1] -67:3 **speculate** [1] - 48:10 speed [1] - 89:13 spent [1] - 16:2 **split** [1] - 80:25 **SR** [2] - 58:7, 58:8 stage [1] - 30:21 staged [2] - 54:5, 54:8 **staging** [1] - 26:3 **staking** [2] - 16:17, stand [1] - 62:17 standards [2] - 11:1, 63:7 stands [1] - 82:4 start [7] - 37:20, 52:18, 76:17, 86:14, 89:14, 91:11, 94:21 started [3] - 19:19, 86:21, 100:20 starting [1] - 16:16 state [18] - 6:20, 10:18, 10:21, 12:14, 12:16, 14:17, 23:18, 45:3, 50:24, 57:22, 62:8, 62:9, 64:16, 83:2, 85:19, 91:7, 93:18 statement [2] -35:20, 35:21 Statement [4] - 14:9, 14:10, 63:20, 63:21 states [3] - 16:4, 67:4, 88:7 stating [2] - 45:21,

26:10, 54:13 stations [1] - 54:3 status [4] - 21:25, 22:4, 29:10, 52:24 statute [13] - 28:19, 53:6, 62:21, 66:21, 70:3, 81:4, 83:19, 85:12, 88:7, 88:15, 90:21, 91:18, 101:12 statutes [2] - 29:13, 92:11 statutory [2] - 59:11, steel [2] - 47:2, 47:9 step [3] - 32:8, 56:14, 100:22 **stepping** [1] - 64:16 steps [5] - 16:16, 30:7, 31:3, 91:25, 100:11 stick [1] - 74:10 still [14] - 8:12, 8:20, 17:23, 27:21, 35:5, 35:12, 45:23, 46:16, 48:19, 55:17, 61:4, 71:7, 73:16, 76:15 **stipulated** [1] - 5:19 stipulation [2] - 5:21, 5:25 **Stoel** [2] - 5:4, 5:5 stop [1] - 86:11 stops [1] - 94:8 streamline [1] -69:24 strong [2] - 14:5, strongly [2] - 50:1, 51:14 struggling [1] -82:20 **studies** [1] - 99:15 Study [1] - 48:20 stuff [2] - 32:17, 66:23 **sub** [2] - 7:17, 85:20 sub-exhibits [1] -7:17 **sub-ii** [1] - 85:20 subject [8] - 9:5, 10:4, 28:21, 48:11, 54:21, 71:20, 75:9, 103:7 Subject [1] - 5:24 **submit** [2] - 9:2, 53:2 submittal [2] - 22:7, 24:23 submitted [2] - 8:16, 15:19 subsequent [3] -15:16, 17:16, 100:15

substantial [3] -

13:4, 15:11, 61:20 substantially [1] -74:1 substation [19] -14:3, 24:20, 25:1, 25:2, 25:12, 25:17, 26:4, 26:13, 27:13, 44:13, 44:14, 44:20, 44:23, 46:12, 54:1, 76:16, 78:2, 78:3, 89:12 substations [11] -14:3, 21:1, 51:16, 51:17, 51:18, 53:19, 53:24, 70:7, 70:11, 99:5, 103:3 sufficient [6] - 11:5, 74:20, 80:17, 89:11, 99:18, 99:21 suggest [1] - 91:3 suggested [3] -29:18, 53:9, 75:10 suggesting [2] -29:23, 71:21 summarize [1] -10:10 summary [5] - 9:22, 13:21, 15:17, 24:18, 59:1 summer [1] - 19:23 sunset [4] - 56:2, 76:13, 77:6, 79:5 sunsetting [2] -99:25, 101:14 supplemental [1] -60:8 **support** [1] - 16:3 **supporting** [1] - 59:7 **supportive** [1] - 4:18 **suppose** [2] - 30:25, 46:12 surprises [2] - 14:22, 51:1 surrebuttal [3] -37:24, 58:20, 60:22 surrounding [3] -40:12, 60:4, 60:20 surveying [1] - 91:25 swear [2] - 6:10, 57:13 sworn [4] - 6:11, 6:16, 57:15, 57:18 system [12] - 7:6, 11:19, 13:9, 13:14, 13:16, 23:16, 26:15, 40:18, 51:13, 51:24, 51:25, 91:2

Т

Table [1] - 40:4

station [3] - 26:7,

21:11, 22:20, 23:24, tacit [1] - 45:24 Tariff [1] - 11:10 24:8, 26:23, 28:8, 32:3. 32:13. 32:21. team [1] - 47:6 37:23, 46:11, 56:17, **Ted Smith** [1] - 5:3 56:25, 57:25, 58:3, Temple [1] - 7:4 58:5, 58:11, 58:17, ten [11] - 33:20, 58:21, 59:2, 59:5, 33:22, 37:3, 37:4, 60:3, 64:5, 65:10, 42:5, 63:14, 72:9, 65:25, 70:1, 75:13, 74:13, 74:25, 80:25, 78:4, 79:14, 83:3, 86:25 88:22, 91:23, 94:11, ten-minute [1] -101:24, 103:5 86:25 **Testimony** [2] - 9:2, ten-year [3] - 37:3, 9:3 37:4, 42:5 the court [1] - 75:20 term [5] - 11:23, THE WITNESS [35] -13:24, 13:25, 40:21, 10:9, 18:20, 18:22, 102:21 32:18, 38:9, 38:11, Terminal [74] - 12:3, 38:15, 44:18, 45:6, 21:2, 26:21, 27:16, 45:18, 46:2, 46:4, 27:18, 27:25, 28:15, 46:18, 47:5, 48:9, 29:1, 29:4, 33:23, 48:14, 48:23, 49:4, 34:7, 34:13, 34:17, 49:10, 49:13, 49:18, 36:13, 36:15, 36:22, 50:3, 56:16, 67:2, 36:23, 39:7, 39:13, 67:22, 73:10, 73:12, 39:25, 40:10, 40:19, 74:4, 74:9, 76:14, 41:7, 41:14, 41:18, 77:7, 77:16, 78:6, 42:2, 42:25, 43:8, 78:17, 78:23 43:11, 44:12, 45:21, thereabouts [1] -46:12, 47:7, 50:12, 23:15 50:17, 51:4, 51:12, thereafter [1] - 70:13 51:14, 51:16, 51:21, Therefore [4] - 91:3, 51:23, 52:1, 52:2, 94:4, 94:15, 99:23 52:23, 53:4, 53:10, therefore [4] - 21:16, 53:14, 54:18, 60:17, 61:19, 63:10, 88:4 64:14, 64:22, 72:19, 78:3, 78:12, 78:19, they've [1] - 83:10 thinking [2] - 80:7, 79:9, 79:25, 81:10, 82:21, 83:14, 84:1, 80:16 84:20, 87:24, 88:4, third [3] - 74:19, 88:25, 89:12, 90:2, 90:15, 92:4 93:11, 96:18, 96:24, thoughts [1] - 87:22 97:10, 98:24, 101:2, thousand [3] - 36:19, 102:15 37:13, 73:12 terminal [2] - 44:20, three [6] - 15:24, 69:15, 90:11, 100:19, Terminal-to-Ben [1] 101:7, 102:22 - 51:23 tie [2] - 52:2, 92:18 terminate [2] - 21:19, ties [2] - 51:14, 87:24 56:2 time period [1] terms [7] - 47:24, 41:15 48:7, 50:15, 50:16, timeline [1] - 101:8 52:21, 54:19, 80:16 timing [6] - 16:10, testified [2] - 6:17, 41:9, 50:20, 55:18, 57:19 55:19, 61:8 testimony [62] to-Terminal [1] -4:18, 5:14, 5:19, 5:24, 36:13 6:6, 6:7, 7:11, 7:24, today [14] - 8:22, 8:2, 8:3, 8:11, 8:14, 10:5, 12:9, 12:11, 8:23, 9:5, 9:22, 10:15, 13:13, 44:13, 58:14, 13:6, 13:12, 13:22,

103:24 together [5] - 9:11, 9:18, 16:3, 16:18, 57:8 **Tooele** [16] - 16:7, 17:21, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:14, 26:18, 27:9, 29:24, 30:4, 49:1, 49:5, 75:9, 75:16, 88:24 Tooele County [29] -16:9, 17:15, 17:21, 17:25, 18:6, 18:12, 19:6, 20:12, 26:8, 28:4, 28:9, 42:9, 48:19, 49:5, 49:11, 52:22, 53:3, 53:8, 62:25, 81:9, 81:18, 81:20, 86:15, 86:20, 98:23, 99:3, 100:15, 100:21, 103:7 **Tooele's** [1] - 86:6 took [1] - 69:15 topic [1] - 64:9 topics [1] - 82:24 total [2] - 12:16, 50:22 totality [2] - 70:8, 70:9 transformations [1] - 54:5 translates [1] - 55:7 Transmission [3] -38:17, 39:2, 61:13 transmission [34] -7:6, 11:1, 11:15, 11:19, 12:20, 12:23, 23:1, 23:16, 25:1, 35:22, 36:4, 39:1, 45:8, 47:4, 51:18, 52:8, 59:10, 60:18, 61:16, 61:18, 61:21, 64:13, 64:15, 65:3, 67:15, 68:12, 69:4, 69:20, 71:16, 79:11, 79:12, 94:25, 95:21, 96:5 Transmission line [28] - 4:8, 21:3, 25:15, 27:15, 29:4, 30:16, 30:19, 31:18, 33:9, 34:3, 35:17, 36:16, 39:7, 40:10, 41:18, 48:25, 60:12, 60:17, 61:22, 62:8, 64:24, 69:4, 79:6, 84:1, 95:24, 102:15, 103:6, 103:13 treated [1] - 14:6

try [3] - 39:17, 47:10, 82:24 **trying** [9] - 42:15, 64:12, 70:17, 73:2, 81:5, 83:5, 89:17, 89:20, 101:10 turn [8] - 13:23, 17:17, 24:7, 34:2, 34:20, 44:5, 69:25, 95:17 two [20] - 11:10, 13:16, 17:7, 19:22, 28:20, 28:23, 36:19, 37:13, 38:9, 47:15, 53:19, 56:7, 63:1, 68:11, 69:15, 78:21, 80:5, 81:7, 100:19, 101:7 **Two** [1] - 73:12 two-year [1] - 28:23 type [4] - 23:1, 31:8, 65:8, 68:1 **types** [2] - 30:9, 95:25 typically [3] - 7:19, 68:6, 68:7 U

updated [5] - 22:2, 22:4, 37:10, 54:21, 101:8 updates [3] - 8:19, 31:13, 38:24 ultimate [1] - 25:23 **updating** [1] - 55:14 ultimately [3] - 25:2, urgent [1] - 40:22 25:22, 44:23 usage [4] - 61:10, unable [1] - 42:10 62:7, 92:5, 103:1 uncertain [3] - 45:24, Utah [11] - 13:3, 60:16, 84:5 15:6, 19:1, 59:13, uncertainties [1] -62:13, 64:25, 65:2, 60:20 65:7, 86:12, 91:12, uncertainty [2] -98:14 40:12, 60:3 **Utahans** [1] - 69:8 unclear [1] - 75:7 utilities [1] - 95:17 unconditional [1] -Utility [2] - 75:22, 96:13 97:18 under [4] - 10:22, utility [4] - 11:2, 11:9, 34:11, 101:11 56:4, 67:6, 99:12 underscore [1] -**Utility Facilities** 103:2 Review Board [1] understood [2] -85:1 83:17, 84:2 **Utility Facility** underway [1] - 41:9 Review Board [1] unequivocal [3] -59:21 88:22, 93:22, 94:11 utilized [1] - 12:22 unexpected [1] -

unfinished [1] -

unforeseen [1] -

29:3, 39:14, 79:20

unknowns [1] -

unknown [4] - 17:23,

22:16

100:22

19:10

V

unless [1] - 94:15

Unless [1] - 104:5

unnecessary [3] -

22:18, 71:12, 99:10

17:22

96:4, 96:12

unreasonable [1] -

unusual [3] - 86:19,

up [32] - 5:13, 16:19,

17:3, 18:2, 21:14,

25:16, 49:4, 49:5,

62:2, 62:17, 63:16,

63:20, 65:14, 65:16,

75:21, 77:15, 77:18,

84:9, 84:10, 86:15,

89:2, 89:13, 92:1,

95:9, 96:11, 97:1,

99:4, 99:6, 104:7

update [8] - 8:13,

29:10, 37:12, 37:14,

39:24, 46:21, 46:22,

Update [2] - 38:1,

60:19

38:25

69:17, 69:21, 74:4,

Valley [5] - 25:18, 50:20, 51:19, 52:3, 88:23 variances [1] - 49:22 varied [1] - 63:8

various [2] - 24:14,

tried [1] - 98:23

true [1] - 8:21

troubled [1] - 92:8

62:17, 78:15, 87:15,

94:9, 97:23, 98:2,

15:19, 16:2, 16:4,

16:8, 20:16, 20:24,

24:19 54:5, 82:8, 87:17 varying [1] - 21:25 worse [2] - 86:13, verbal [3] - 38:2, 86:14 38:16, 39:20 worst [1] - 100:21 viability [1] - 31:22 worst-case [1] vice [1] - 7:6 100:21 view [5] - 16:11, **Wow** [1] - 69:7 16:12, 20:10, 50:15, written [5] - 8:12, 95:10 8:23, 18:23, 99:12, viewed [1] - 83:18 102:7 visited [1] - 52:25 wrote [2] - 75:13, voltage [1] - 44:19 79:13 voltages [2] - 54:4,

Υ

W

54:12

wait [7] - 75:24, 85:9, 86:11, 91:5, 97:21, 100:9, 104:1 waited [1] - 19:21 waiting [1] - 29:24 waive [1] - 5:21 wants [3] - 76:1, 88:15, 103:12 warranted [1] - 35:10 Welcome [1] - 4:9

Welcome [1] - 4:9 welcome [1] - 4:9 West [6] - 7:4, 41:11, 43:4, 43:12, 73:7, 74:24 whole [9] - 15:23,

64:10, 77:19, 78:15, 79:16, 88:24, 89:24, 90:2, 101:16

wholesale [4] - 62:9, 65:1, 65:6, 95:25 **Williams** [2] - 5:15, 5:22

Williams' [1] - 6:6 **willing** [3] - 75:22, 90:8, 98:4

window [5] - 17:1, 28:24, 42:5, 98:18, 99:16

wish [3] - 48:17, 87:7, 102:3

wishes [2] - 101:4, 101:5

withdrawn [1] - 81:16

witness [3] - 5:10, 6:16, 57:18

witnesses [2] - 4:14, 101:25

wonder [1] - 72:7 word [1] - 11:14 words [7] - 16:8, 29:17, 40:15, 50:16,

year [25] - 12:6, 15:8, 15:14, 16:19, 28:23, 37:3, 37:4, 37:10, 42:5, 70:16, 74:23, 76:10, 76:13, 76:14, 77:24, 78:13, 79:17, 81:21, 81:22, 87:25, 89:14, 96:3, 98:18, 100:4, 102:21 years [43] - 14:17, 20:19, 21:20, 21:23, 28:20, 29:10, 33:20, 33:22, 40:14, 47:7, 47:15, 59:15, 60:24, 61:1, 61:21, 62:19, 63:1, 63:2, 63:3, 63:14, 63:19, 64:13, 64:16, 70:17, 70:25,

71:23, 72:9, 73:21,

76:19, 78:2, 84:16,

90:11, 100:1, 100:2,

100:5, 101:7, 102:23

yesterday [3] -

42:14, 88:2, 89:20

74:10, 74:13, 74:25,

Ζ

your Honor [1] - 9:1

Zenger [15] - 4:18, 4:24, 20:22, 56:15, 57:13, 57:15, 57:24, 66:2, 66:10, 66:22, 76:10, 78:25, 80:4, 92:7 ZENGER [1] - 57:17 Zenger's [5] - 16:1, 20:16, 37:21, 58:20, 91:23 zero [2] - 58:7, 58:8