RESPONDENTS Bidder #1 Bidder #2

Technical Evaluation C	50.00%		Technical Evaluators
Project Mangement		40.00%	Evaluator 1.)
Environmental Qualification		5.00%	Evaluator 2.)
Safety		7.00%	Evaluator 3)
General Engineering		15.00%	Evaluator 4.)
Trasmission		12.00%	Evaluator 4.)
Substation		6.00%	Evaluator 4.)
Protection and Control		5.00%	Evaluator 4.)
Testing and Commissioning		5.00%	Evaluator 5.)
Communications		5.00%	Evaluator 6.)
Technical Section Weighting		100.00%	
Legal/Commercial/Cre	25.00%		

 Legal/Commercial/Cre
 25.00%

 Pricing Competitivenes
 25.00%

 Based on 100 total poir
 100.00%

A.) Project Management Questions: to describe a work	Question Weighting	Section Weighting	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
execution plan for the									
1.1.1 sequence of activities from award to	20.00%	40.00%	50.00%	4.0000%	1 to 10	3.00	0.1200	7.00	0.2800
1.1.2 firms management team, both in the	5.00%	40.00%	50.00%	1.0000%	1 to 10	3.00	0.0300	8.00	0.0800
1.1.2 firms management team, both in the 1.1.3 construction labor and equipment res		40.00%	50.00%	1.0000%	1 to 10	5.00	0.0500	6.00	0.0600
1.1.4 temporary facilities	5.00%	40.00%	50.00%	1.0000%	1 to 10	6.00	0.0600	6.00	0.0600
would manage,	3.0070	40.0070	30.0070	1.000070	1 10 10	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000
monitor, and report									
the status of the	5.00%	40.00%	50.00%	1.0000%	1 to 10	6.00	0.0600	8.00	0.0800
1.1.6 mobilization plan	3.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0240	6.00	0.0360
1.1.7 communications plan	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	6.00	0.0240	5.00	0.0200
1.1.8 training	1.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.2000%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0140	7.00	0.0140
1.1.9 safety	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0280	7.00	0.0280
1.1.10 design	1.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.2000%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0080	6.00	0.0120
1.1.11 material procurement and receiving		40.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10	5.00	0.0300	7.00	0.0420
1.1.12 construction	20.00%	40.00%	50.00%	4.0000%	1 to 10	7.00	0.2800	6.00	0.2400
1.1.13 testing and commissioning resource		40.00%	50.00%	1.0000%	1 to 10	6.00	0.0600	7.00	0.0700
tools and methods									
used to recover	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	3.00	0.0120	5.00	0.0200
1.1.15 right of way management	1.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.2000%	1 to 10	5.00	0.0100	5.00	0.0100
1.1.1 jobsite security	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	6.00	0.0240	4.00	0.0160
samples, to identify									
typical scope change									
request forms and									
tracking logs, monthly									
status report formats									
including cost	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0160	5.00	0.0200
member of your									
proposed project									
management team									
(project manager,									
project controls									
specialist, safety coordinator,									
environmental									
coordinator, materials									
specialist, construction	10.00%	40.00%	50.00%	2.0000%	1 to 10	5.00	0.1000	7.00	0.1400
scheduling, scoping,									
tracking and reporting									
tools your firm has									
experience with and	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0160	6.00	0.0240
process for									
documentation control.									
This should include	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	5.00	0.0200	5.00	0.0200
company's									
communication and									
approval process	2.00%	40.00%	50.00%	0.4000%	1 to 10	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000
Score/Total Weighted	100.00%	40.00%	50.00%	20.0000%	2.00000	101.00	0.9860	123.00	1.2720
Maximum Score for							49.30%		63.60%
2.) Environmental Qualification Questions:	Question Weighting	Section Weighting	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
	~		mem		Deme	Man Deore	eiginea beole	Tun beate	. reighted besite

							_		_
how your firm has									
previously									
constructed									
transmission and									
substation projects in									
sensitive wetlands									
and wildlife habitat.									
In particular,									
describe any special									
construction	35.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.8750%	1 to 10	9.00	0.0788	6.00	0.0525
your project									
manager's proposed									
communication									
methods with land	15.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.3750%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0300	8.00	0.0300
providing specific	15.00%	5.00%	30.0070	0.575070	1 10 10	0.00	0.0500	0.00	0.0500
details, what steps									
you would use to									
convey									
environmental									
	25.000/	5 00n/	50.000/	0.62500/	1 . 10	9.00	0.0552	6.00	0.0275
stipulations and	25.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.6250%	1 to 10	9.00	0.0563	8.00	0.0375
team would be									
assigned									
responsibility for									
environmental issues									
on the Populus	25.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.6250%	1 to 10	10.00	0.0625	10.00	0.0625
	_								
Score/Total Weighted	100.00%	5.00%	50.00%	2.5000%	0.25000	36.00	0.2275	30.00	0.1825
Maximum Score for							91.00%		73.00%
C.) Safety Qualification Questions	Question Weighting	Section Weighting	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
company's interstate					-				
worker's									
compensation									
experience									
modification rate for	5.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1750%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0123	7.00	0.0123
OSHA 200 Log,	3.0070	7.0070	50.0070	0.175070	1 10 10		0.0123	- 1100	0.0123
provide your Incident									
Rate and Lost Time									
Rate for the past									
three years plus the	5.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1750%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0123	7.00	0.0123
	5.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1750%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0123	7.00	0.0123
reason to believe that									
either rate for the						<b>7</b> .00		<b>7</b> 00	
current year will vary	2.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.0700%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0049	7.00	0.0049
number of fatalities									
you have experienced	10.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.3500%	1 to 10	5.00	0.0175	5.00	0.0175
<ol><li>Do you have a</li></ol>									
written safety									
program? (Yes/No).	15.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.5250%	1 to 10	9.00	0.0473	9.00	0.0473
7. Do you conduct									
site safety									
inspections?	5.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1750%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0140	8.00	0.0140
8. Do you have a							1		
drug and alcohol							1		
policy? (Yes/No). If	5.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1750%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0140	8.00	0.0140
9. Do you have an	3.00%	7.0070	50.0070	0.175070	11010	0.00	0.0170	3.00	0.0170
accident/incident									
	8.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.2800%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0224	8.00	0.0224
investigation and					1 to 10	0.00			
manage	5.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1750%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0123	7.00	0.0123
inspected by OSHA									
or other industrial						0.05			
safety enforcement	4.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1400%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0112	7.00	0.0098
12. Identify the									
safety training that									
you provide to your									
employees. Include	8.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.2800%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0224	8.00	0.0224
has site safety	3.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1050%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0084	7.00	0.0074
14. Identify the							1		<u> </u>
officer in your	8.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.2800%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0224	7.00	0.0196
15. Describe your	8.0070	7.0070	20.0070	0.200070	1.0.10		0.0221	7.50	0.0170
company's safety	5.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1750%	1 to 10	8.00	0.0140	7.00	0.0123
16. Does your	5.00%	7.0070	50.0070	0.1,5070	1.0.10	0.00	0.0170	7.00	0.0123
company use safety	4.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1400%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0098	7.00	0.0098
company use sarcty	4.0070	7.0070	50.0070	0.1400/0	11010	7.00	0.0070	7.00	0.0070

subcontractor selection criteria with regard to 18. Describe any innovative process or	4.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1400%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0098	7.00	0.0098
approach that demonstrates your	4.00%	7.00%	50.00%	0.1400%	1 to 10	7.00	0.0098	5.00	0.0070
Score/Total Weighted Maximum Score for	100.00%	7.00%	50.00%	3.5000%	0.35000	127.00	0.2646 75.60%	121.00	0.2548 72.80%
General Engineering     organization chart for     the Populus Terminal     Project identifying all     key personnel as     identified in Exhibit J.	Question Weighting	Section Weighting T	echnical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
The organization chart should identify personnel (project manager, engineer, designer, darfier) that will be working on the project team including the key personnel as identified on Exhibit J. This list should	8.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0240	4.00	0.0240
include their name, that will be	16.00%	15.00%	50.00%	1.2000%	1 to 10	1.00	0.0120	4.00	0.0480
subcontracted by the subcontractor, office location for	2.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.1500%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0060	2.00	0.0030
1.3.2 Service provided years of experience	2.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.1500%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0060	4.00	0.0060
by consultant with	4.00% b 1.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.3000%	1 to 10	2.00	0.0060	0.00 2.00	0.0000
1.3.4 Contact name and email/phone numl additional	1.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.0750%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0030	2.00	0.0015
information that would demonstrate provide brief	3.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.2250%	1 to 10	2.00	0.0045	0.00	0.0000
description of joint subcontractor	3.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.2250%	1 to 10	2.00	0.0045	0.00	0.0000
provide estimate of percent labor rate will be applied	2.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.1500%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0060	0.00	0.0000
to subcontractors,	2.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.1500%	1 to 10	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000
you would manage information and	7.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.5250%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0210	4.00	0.0210
whether or not you have a web-based									
document control	7.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.5250%	1 to 10	6.00	0.0315	4.00	0.0210
1.5 Materials describe how you plan to manage the materials for this	15.00%	15.00%	50.00%	1.1250%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0450	6.00	0.0675
1.5.2 Please list the panel supplier. of all major construction equipment, weight, and method of access to the site (i.e. track or rubber tire) and steel	4.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.3000%	1 to 10	2.00	0.0060	2.00	0.0060
suppliers that are of suppliers for all	8.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10	0.00	0.0000	4.00	0.0240
major materials. (poles, conductor,	12.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.9000%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0360	4.00	0.0360
suppliers that are currently not	4.00%	15.00%	50.00%	0.3000%	1 to 10	4.00	0.0120	3.00	0.0090
Score/Total Weighted Maximum Score for	100.00%	15.00%	50.00%	7.5000%	0.75000	51.00	0.2235 29.80%	43.00	0.2670 35.60%

2. Transmission	Question Weighting	Section Weighting	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
provide alternate designs for any	15.00%	12.00%	50.00%	0.9000%	1 to 10		4.00	0.0360	4.00	0.0360
provide alternate	15.00%	12.00%	30.00%	0.900070	1 10 10		4.00	0.0300	4.00	0.0300
designs for any										
deviations from the	15.00%	12.00%	50.00%	0.9000%	1 to 10		6.00	0.0540	4.00	0.0360
foundation concept										
drawings for each transmission										
structure										
foundation type										
anticipated to be										
constructed.										
Drawings should include an										
elevation sketch of	34.00%	12.00%	50.00%	2.0400%	1 to 10		2.00	0.0408	2.00	0.0408
any other	- 110070									
exceptions to or										
deviations from	12.00%	12.00%	50.00%	0.7200%	1 to 10		5.00	0.0360	4.00	0.0288
conceptual design approach and										
designs for	8.00%	12.00%	50.00%	0.4800%	1 to 10		4.00	0.0192	4.00	0.0192
criteria will be used	0.0070									
to determine										
whether temporary	8.00%	12.00%	50.00%	0.4800%	1 to 10		4.00	0.0192	2.00	0.0096
conceptual design approach and										
designs for	8.00%	12.00%	50.00%	0.4800%	1 to 10		4.00	0.0192	2.00	0.0096
						ļ				
Score/Total Weighted	100.00%	12.00%	50.00%	6.0000%	0.60000		29.00	0.2244	22.00	0.1800
Maximum Score for								37.40%		30.00%
3. Substation	Question Weighting	Section Weighting	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
details of any						ĺ				
deviation from the	20.00%	6.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10		6.00	0.0360	5.00	0.0300
any other										
exceptions to or deviations from	20.00%	6.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10		6.00	0.0360	5.00	0.0300
conceptual	20.00%	0.00%	30.00%	0.000070	1 10 10		0.00	0.0300	5.00	0.0300
drawings for the										
proposed	20.00%	6.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10		8.00	0.0480	5.00	0.0300
in detail your										
approach for the design and										
installation of the										
substation ground	20.00%	6.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10		4.00	0.0240	4.00	0.0240
conceptual design										
approach and designs for the site	20.00%	6.00%	50.00%	0.6000%	1 to 10		5.00	0.0300	6.00	0.0360
designs for the site	20.00%	0.00%	30.00%	0.0000%	1 10 10		5.00	0.0300	0.00	0.0360
Score/Total Weighted	100.00%	6.00%	50.00%	3.0000%	0.30000		29.00	0.1740	25.00	0.1500
Maximum Score for								58.00%		50.00%
4. Protection and Control	Question Weighting	Section Weightin-	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
developed, over time,	Question weighting	Section weighting	recinical weighting	weighting ractor	Rating Scale	ĺ	Raw Score	weighted score	Raw Score	weighted Score
a unique system of										
documentation on										
the substation one										
line diagrams and control schematic										
diagrams to										
adequately describe	34.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.8500%	1 to 10		5.00	0.0425	5.00	0.0425
breakers on this										
project will have										
dual trip coils, except for the 46kV breaker										
at Terminal Sub. All										
of the lines, for this										
project, will have									1.00	
two sets of line	33.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.8250%	1 to 10		5.00	0.0413	4.00	0.0330

relays will be used on most of the transmission lines on this project. List the projects that your	33.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.8250%	1 to 10		8.00	0.0660	8.00	0.0660
Score/Total Weighted Maximum Score for	100.00%	5.00%	50.00%	2.5000%	0.25000		18.00	0.1498 59.90%	17.00	0.1415 56.60%
5. Testing and Commissioning of Substation evidence of relevant experience with	on Question Weighting	Section Weighting	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
5.1.1 Circuit Breakers						-	2.00		100	
i. 345kV ii. 145kV	30.00% 1.00%	5.00% 5.00%	50.00% 50.00%	0.7500% 0.0250%	1 to 10 1 to 10		3.00 5.00	0.0225 0.0013	1.00 5.00	0.0075 0.0013
iii. other voltages	0.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0000%	1 to 10	-	6.00	0.0013	6.00	0.0000
5.1.2 Transformers	0.0070	5.00%	30.0070	0.000070	1 10 10	<u> </u>	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000
5.1.2.1 10-100 MVA, < 145kV	1.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0250%	1 to 10	T T	5.00	0.0013	4.00	0.0010
5.1.2.2 100MVA, > 145kV	30.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.7500%	1 to 10	Ī	5.00	0.0375	3.00	0.0225
5.1.2.3 Currant Transformers > 69kV	1.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0250%	1 to 10		6.00	0.0015	6.00	0.0015
5.1.2.4 Voltage Transformers > 69kV	1.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0250%	1 to 10		6.00	0.0015	6.00	0.0015
5.1.2.5 CCVT's	1.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0250%	1 to 10	<u>L</u>	6.00	0.0015	6.00	0.0015
5.1.3 Capacitor Banks						_			100	
5.1.3.1 < 345kV	1.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0250%	1 to 10	<u>_</u>	5.00 4.00	0.0013	4.00 1.00	0.0010
5.1.3.2 345kV		5.00%	50.00%	0.1500%	1 to 10	-	2.00	0.0060	1.00	0.0015
5.1.3.3 series capacitor substation	3.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0750%	1 to 10	-	2.00	0.0015	1.00	0.0008
equipment	2.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0500%	1 to 10		6.00	0.0030	6.00	0.0030
samples of	2.0070	5.00%	30.0070	0.050070	1 10 10	<u> </u>	0.00	0.0050	0.00	0.0050
installation /										
commissioning	10.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.2500%	1 to 10		4.00	0.0100	3.00	0.0075
list of test equipment										
that will be used	9.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.2250%	1 to 10		4.00	0.0090	2.00	0.0045
examples of test										
procedures and	1.000/	5.000/	50.000/	0.02500/	1 . 10		6.00	0.0015	6.00	0.0015
forms that may be standards,	1.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0250%	1 to 10	-	0.00	0.0015	6.00	0.0015
methodology,										
process and forms to										
be followed and										
submitted for	3.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.0750%	1 to 10		6.00	0.0045	6.00	0.0045
Score/Total Weighted	100.00%	5.00%	50.00%	2.5000%	0.25000		79.00	0.1038	66.00	0.0610
Maximum Score for								41.50%		24.40%
6. Communications	Question Weighting	Section Weighting	Technical Weighting	Weighting Factor	Rating Scale		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
plans for having	Qg									
communications										
facilities available to										
support bringing										
Populus sub into										
service. Address										
sequence of events required to have	55.00%	5.00%	50.00%	1.3750%	1 to 10		0.00	0.0000	10.00	0.1375
testing plan to ensure	33.00%	3.00%	30.00%	1.373070	1 10 10	<u> </u>	0.00	0.0000	10.00	0.1373
that fiber facilities										
(insertion loss and										
fiber splice loss)	35.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.8750%	1 to 10		10.00	0.0875	10.00	0.0875
projected work plan						<u> </u>				
for fiber splicing that										
you have designed to meet the required										
meet the required service dates. Include										
number of line										
segments under										
construction	10.00%	5.00%	50.00%	0.2500%	1 to 10		0.00	0.0000	5.00	0.0125
						-				
Score/Total Weighted	100.00%	5.00%	50.00%	2.5000%	0.25000		10.00	0.0875	25.00	0.2375
Maximum Score for								35.00%		95.00%

Weighted Score for All  Maximum Score for  All Technical		100.00%	50.00%	50.00%	5.00000	480.00	2.4410 48.82%	472.00	2.7463 54.93%
Non-Pricing Rank							2.00		1.00
ial Requirements: Consideration									
Management Evaluation (5% 1=10, 2=8 3=6,	Question Weighting 100.00%	Section Weighting 10.00%	Legal/Credit Weighting 25.00%	Weighting Factor 2.5000%	Rating Scale 1 to 10	Raw Score 6.00	Weighted Score 0.1500	Raw Score 6.00	Weighted Score 0.1500
Score/Total Weighted Score for Credit Maximum Score for	100.00%	10.00%	25.00%	2.5000%	0.25000	6.00	0.1500 60.00%	6.00	0.1500 60.00%
contract terms and conditions which RESPONSIBILITI OF PAYMENT MAJEURE SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION	Question Weighting 7.00% 12.00% 7.00%	Section Weighting 90.00% 90.00% 90.00%	Commercial Weighting 25.00% 25.00% 25.00%	Weighting Factor 1.5750% 2.7000% 1.5750%	Rating Scale 1 to 10 1 to 10 1 to 10	Raw Score 4.00 5.00 5.00	Weighted Score 0.0630 0.1350 0.0788	Raw Score 6.00 6.00 6.00	Weighted Score 0.0945 0.1620 0.0945
AND FINAL Article 16. DELAY CHANGES IN WARRANTIES INSURANCE INDEMNIFICATI LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY	12.00% 12.00% 7.00% 12.00% 7.00% 12.00%	90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00%	25.00% 25.00% 25.00% 25.00% 25.00% 25.00%	2.7000% 2.7000% 1.5750% 2.7000% 1.5750% 2.7000%	1 to 10 1 to 10 1 to 10 1 to 10 1 to 10 1 to 10	4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 5.00 5.00	0.1080 0.0810 0.0630 0.1080 0.0788 0.1350	6.00 6.00 5.00 7.00 5.00 5.00	0.1620 0.1620 0.0788 0.1890 0.0788 0.1350
Fore/Total Weighted Maximum Score for	100%	90.00%	25.00%	22.5000%	2.25000	44.00	0.9855 43.80%	57.00	1.2915 57.40%
Weighted Score for Credit/Legal/Commerc Maximum Score for Credit/Legal/Commerc redit/Commercial Rank		100.00%	25.00%	25.00%	2.50000	50.00	1.1355 45.42% 2.00	63.00	1.4415 57.66% 1.00
Sum Proposal Bid Price cost (from OE) Competitiveness Value Pricing Rank Competitiveness						\$ 583,540,081.57 \$ 428,900,000.00 \$ 1,012,440,081.57	\$ 1.012,440,081.57 2.00 6.9978%	\$ 605,185,000.00 \$ 103,300,000.00 \$ 708,485,000.00	\$ 708,485,000.00 1.00 10.0000%
$ \begin{split} & Commodity \ Pricing \ Competitivness \\ & Fuel \ - (Gal.) \\ & Competitivness \ factor \ - 0.5\% \ best \ / \ < 0.5\% \ pt. \\ & Stability \ factor \ - 0.5\% \ fixed \ / \ < 0.5\% \ pt. \end{split} $						Average Unit Price for Commodity \$ 4.0000	0.5000% 0.0000%	Average Unit Price for Commodity \$ 6.5400	0.3058% 0.0000%
Tower Steel - (Lbs.) Competitivness factor - $2\%$ best / $< 2\%$ Stability factor - $0.5\%$ fixed / $< 0.5\%$ p						\$ 0.7712	2.0000% 0.0000%	\$ 3.1500	0.4896% 0.0000%
Cassion Steel - (Lbs.) Competitivness factor - 2% best / < 2% Stability factor - 0.5% fixed / < 0.5% p						\$ 2.9000	2.0000% 0.0000%	\$ 2.9000	2.0000% 0.0000%
Rebar Steel - (Lbs.) Competitivness factor - 0.5% best $/<0$ Stability factor - 0.5% fixed $/<0.5\%$ p						\$ 1.0500	0.5000% 0.0000%	\$ 1.1100	0.4730% 0.0000%
$Concrete - (CuYd) \\ Competitivness factor - 0.5\% \ best / < 0 \\ Stability factor - 0.5\% \ fixed / < 0.5\% \ p$						\$ 125.0000	0.4997% 0.0000%	\$ 124.9300	0.5000% 0.0000%
OPGW - (LF) Competitivness factor - 0.5% best / < 0	.5% prorated for higher					\$ 1.3320	0.5000%	\$ 1.8600	0.3581%

Stability factor - 0.5% fixed $/ < 0.5$ % prorated for adjustments	0.0000%	0.0000%
Conductor (1272 KCMIL ACSR "Bittern") - (LF) Competitivness factor - 1.5% best / < 1.5% prorated for higher Stability factor - 0.5% fixed / < 0.5% prorated for adjustments	\$ 2.5600 1.5000% 0.0000%	\$ 3.4800 1.1034% 0.0000%
Conductor (CTC 1020 kCM ACCC) - (LF) Competitivness factor - 1.5% best / < 1.5% prorated for higher Stability factor - 0.5% fixed / < 0.5% prorated for adjustments	\$ 7.6580 0.7091% 0.0000%	\$ 3.6200 1.5000% 0.0000%
Shield Wire (1/2" EHS Galv.) - (LF) Competitivness factor - 0.5% best / < 0.5% prorated for higher Stability factor - 0.5% fixed / < 0.5% prorated for adjustments	\$ 0.6150 0.5000% 0.0000%	\$ 1.0200 0.3015% 0.0000%
Galvanizing Zinc on Towers - (Lbs.)  Competitivness factor - $0.5\%$ best $/ < 0.5\%$ prorated for higher Stability factor - $0.5\%$ fixed $/ < 0.5\%$ prorated for adjustments	\$ 0.7230 0.5000% 0.0000%	\$ 3.1500 0.1148% 0.0000%
Competitiveness and Stability Value	9.2088%	7.1462%
Weighting - 50% Legal/Commercial Competitiveness	24.4100% 11.3550% 16.2066%	27.4630% 14.4150% 17.1462%
Evaluated Total Overall Rank	51.97% 2.00	59.02% 1.00