J. Craig Smith (4143)
jcsmith@smithlawonline.com
Kyle C. Fielding (12088)
kfielding@smithlawonline.com
SMITH HARTVIGSEN, PLLC
175 South Main Street, Suite 300
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Telephone (801) 413-1600
Facsimile (801) 413-1620
Attorneys for the Ticaboo Electric Improvement District

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In The Matter of the Formal Complaint of Uranium One Ticaboo Inc., against the Ticaboo Electric Improvement District DOCKET NO. 10-2508-01

AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

In answer to the Uranium One Ticaboo, Inc.'s ("Uranium One") formal complaint, the Ticaboo Electric Improvement District (the "District") responds to the separately numbered paragraphs of the complaint as follows:

- 1. The District admits the allegations in paragraphs 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 19, 20, 23, 25, and 34.
- 2. The District is without sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 21, 22, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33, and therefore the District denies the same.
- 3. The District denies the allegations in paragraphs 3, 6, 14, 15, 16, 18, 26, 27, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, and 49.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. The complaint fails to state a claim for relief against the District.
- 2. As a political subdivision of the State of Utah, the District and its rates are exempt from the Public Service Commission's oversight and regulation.
- 3. The Commission lacks subject matter jurisdiction to resolve any dispute between the parties regarding the Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"). The proper forum, if any, for resolution of any alleged contractual issues between the parties is a Utah court of general jurisdiction, after resolution of the present rate dispute by the Commission.
 - 4. The MOU is unenforceable because of impossibility.
- 5. Uranium One's claims are barred by the doctrines of unclean hands, estoppel, waiver, and laches.
- 6. The District properly adopted the New Rate Schedule, as that term is defined in the Complaint, under its emergency powers and the legal procedures associated with those emergency powers. Significantly, the actions of Uranium One forced the District into an emergency situation.

WHEREFORE, the District prays for an Order from the Commission as follows:

- A. Denying Uranium One's complaint entirely and dismissing this action with prejudice;
- B. Affirming the procedures used to adopt the District's rate schedule as presently constituted;

- C. Awarding the District its costs and attorney fees incurred herein; and
- D. Containing such other equitable and just orders and relief as is within the Commission's jurisdiction and authority.

DATED this 16th day of August, 2010.

SMITH HARTVIGSEN, PLLC

J. Craig Smith
Kyle C. Fielding
Attorneys for the Ticaboo Electric
Improvement District

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 16th day of August, 2010, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT** to be sent via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, and email—at all indicated email addresses—to the following:

Douglas J. Parry

Dorsey & Whitney

136 South Main Street, Suite 1000

Cheryl Murray
Utah Office of Consumer Services
160 East 300 South, Suite 200
PO Box 146782
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6782
Telephone (801) 530-6957
cmurray@utah.gov

Telephone (801) 366-0552

pproctor@utah.gov

Telephone (801) 530-6957

cmurray@utah.gov

Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Parry.Douglas@dorsey.com

Paul H. Proctor

Assistant Attorney General

160 East 300 South, 5th Floor

P.O. Box 140857

Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Parry.Douglas@dorsey.com

Michael Ginsberg
Patricia Schmid
ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL
Division of Public Utilities
Heber M. Wells Building, 5th Floor
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
mginsberg@utah.gov
pschmid@utah.gov