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## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: We're here in two matters:
Docket No. 11-035-17, In the Matter of: The Application of PacifiCorp For Approval of an ESA for Milford Wind Corridor Phase II.

And Docket No. 09-035-55, In the Matter of: The Application of PacifiCorp For Approval of an ESA for Milford Wind Corridor Phase I.

And with that, let's take appearances, beginning with Rocky Mountain Power/PacifiCorp, please.

MS. ISHIMATSU: Barbara Ishimatsu for Rocky Mountain Power. And I have with me Paul --
(The reporter asked Counsel to speak up.)
MS. ISHIMATSU: Barbara Ishimatsu, Rocky Mountain Power. And I have Paul Clements with me as the witness.

THE COURT: Thank you.
And Milford Wind, please?
MR. EVANS: I'm William Evans of Parsons, Behle \& Latimer, here for Milford Wind Corridor Phase I and Milford Wind Corridor Phase II. And with me is Bryan Harris, a possible witness for the Milford entities.

THE COURT: Okay. And the Division?
MS. SCHMID: Thank you. Patricia Schmid, with the Attorney General's Office, representing the Division of Public Utilities. And with me is Dr. Joni Zenger, who will be the Division's witness in this matter.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'll note that the Office of Consumer Services did file an Appearance, Request For Notice, and Response to Milford Wind's Motions For Interim Orders Or Alternative Relief.

And we'll just note that the last page says that the Office doesn't oppose granting interim relief as requested. But I'm just gonna read this last paragraph:
"The Office's position upon interim relief is conditioned upon the Commission considering the merits of Rocky Mountain Power's motion for approval of an amendment to the electric service agreement in Docket

No. 09-035-55, and application for approval of a second electric service agreement in Docket 11-035-17, only in regular proceedings to be scheduled and conducted in accordance with the

Commission's procedures and practices."
So. Just to state that on the record. And that's, that statement is such that it is the same for both dockets. And that's from the Office. And I'll just state that because we'll note that the Office isn't present today, and they told us that they wouldn't be here today.

At the outset is there any -- does the Division have any opposition to interim relief requested by Milford?

MS. SCHMID: We do not.
MS. ISHIMATSU: Does Rocky Mountain Power have any opposition to the interim relief request by Milford?

MS. ISHIMATSU: We do not.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. ISHIMATSU: We are going to file some comments responding to the allegations of delay. But we don't have -- we don't oppose interim relief.

THE COURT: Okay. Then with that, what relief do you want, then? Just if you could state that on the record, Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Well, we have filed two motions. One for -- with regard to Milford II, which currently does not have electric service from Rocky Mountain

Power. This would be the first time Milford Wind Corridor Phase II -- and we'll refer to that as "Milford II" -- will be a customer of Rocky Mountain Power.

All of the physical facilities are in place. And we would like an order allowing Rocky Mountain Power to begin delivering power to Milford II, pending the final approval of the application to approve the electric service agreement.

The second motion was filed on behalf of Milford I. With the situation bringing Milford II online, the only way the parties were able to meter the power separately between Milford I and Milford II was to change the point of metering that is described in the original Milford $I$ electric service agreement.

So we're here asking for an interim order allowing Rocky Mountain Power and Milford I to begin metering deliveries of power at a different location than specified in the original electric service agreement. And in that regard Rocky Mountain Power has filed a motion for approval of an amendment to the Milford I electric service agreement.

We're here seeking an interim order asking that the point of metering be changed, pending final approval or final ruling on Rocky Mountain Power's
motion.
THE COURT: Okay. Then with that, there's no opposition. My understanding is the Commissioners have looked over the motion -- the application and also the motion for interim relief. And they're going to grant that interim relief.

Can -- Mr. Evans, can you prepare an order for the Commission? When do you need the power to start running? I've heard a lot of back and forth about Milford II and when you needed that ESA to start. When do you need it to?

MR. EVANS: Well, we're in a position now where every day is a potentially significant cost to Milford II in coming online. So if you are going to issue an order from the bench today approving the interim relief, then $I$ think we can get that underway as soon as possible. Maybe tomorrow, or at the latest on Monday.

In the meantime I will prepare an order and get it over here this afternoon. And if it's issued -- well, I'll get over here this afternoon. And maybe we ought to go off the record for one second.

THE COURT: Okay, let's go off the record.
(A discussion was held off the record.)

MR. EVANS: All right. I'm sorry about that.
THE COURT: All right, you can continue. That's fine.

MR. EVANS: I will get a proposed order in both these dockets over to the Commission this afternoon.

THE COURT: Fine. Then I understand that Rocky Mountain Power is gonna file some responsive comments, and you don't waive any right to do that. And again, this is just -- these are just interim orders.

The next thing that we need to schedule then is -- do we need a technical conference, or do we just go to scheduling conference and -- any ideas?

MS. SCHMID: The Division would suggest setting a regular schedule conference for the remaining proceedings.

THE COURT: All right. Any, any input, Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: No. I think we -- given that we've inconvenienced the parties here on bringing them in for our request for interim relief, we're willing to defer to whatever they decide they need to do on the schedule otherwise.

THE COURT: Okay. Then Rocky Mountain Power?

MS. ISHIMATSU: We're fine with the times that the Division has proposed.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. ISHIMATSU: And I think the only issue we had was perhaps there needs to be a hearing on -either way.

MS. SCHMID: The Division circulated a proposed schedule --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MS. SCHMID: -- yesterday; however, the schedule was unacceptable to one party. And so I would request that the Commission establish a scheduling conference.

Hopefully we will be able to reach a suggested schedule before then; however, I am not sure if we can. And I know the parties would appreciate that being done soon.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. SCHMID: And of note, Milford Wind and Rocky Mountain Power have been very helpful in answering questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Then let's go off the record again.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
THE COURT: So my understanding is everyone's
okay with Tuesday, February 8th. And we'll schedule it for 2:00 p.m. scheduling conference. And we'll send out notice of that.

And if the Office has any, you know, scheduling conflicts you'll let us know before then, and then we'll reset it.

And then the order on these two dockets in interim relief, Mr. Evans, you'll get that to us this afternoon, right?

MR. EVANS: Yes.
THE COURT: And then we'll try to get that out as soon as we can. If not today, then definitely Monday.

MR. EVANS: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: The other thing -- well, anything else that needs to be handled here?

No? Then the last thing I need is, since you all have your witnesses here, is you don't have -- I'm not gonna have you -- I'm not gonna swear them in. But DPU, can you proffer that your witness would support that these interim orders are in the public interest?

MS. SCHMID: Yes, my witness would state that and I can so proffer.

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: The same, yes, we can proffer that.

THE COURT: And Ms. Ishimatsu?
MS. ISHIMATSU: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Then we'll end with that.
(The hearing was concluded at 2:11 p.m.)
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