

Global Credit Portal

RatingsDirect®

April 28, 2011

Summary:

PacifiCorp

Primary Credit Analyst:

Anne Selting, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5009; anne_selting@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Todd A Shipman, CFA, New York (1) 212-438-7676; todd_shipman@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Summary:

PacifiCorp

Credit Rating: A-/Stable/A-2

Rationale

The 'A-' corporate credit ratings on PacifiCorp reflect what Standard & Poor's Ratings Services views as a "significant" financial profile and is supported by its modest use of leverage to finance a large capital program and adequate cash flow metrics. Its "excellent" business profile benefits from the geographical, market, and regulatory diversity provided by its six-state service territory. PacifiCorp is an electric utility that serves customers under the name Rocky Mountain Power in Utah, Wyoming, and Idaho, and as Pacific Power in Oregon, Washington, and California. Utah and Oregon are the most important regions for the company, providing around 42% and 24% of annual retail sales, respectively.

PacifiCorp's financial performance has held steady throughout the recession. The utility's credit metrics would have deteriorated slightly in 2010 but for the benefits of bonus depreciation, which added \$700 million in deferred taxes to the company's \$1.4 billion in cash flow. Beneath this benefit, authorized rate increases in Utah, Wyoming, and Idaho supported a 1% increase in gross margin, but operating revenues and operating income for the year were both down slightly, by 0.6% and 2.2%, respectively, largely due to lower wholesale volumes and margins and weaker growth in retail sales. In 2010, funds from operations (FFO) to total debt was 25%, FFO interest coverage was 5.4x, and leverage was 50%.

A key consideration in 2011 is whether resurgence in sales will occur to rekindle modest growth. Although overall 2010 retail sales revenues increased by about 1%, this growth has been led by Rocky Mountain Power (which accounted for roughly two-thirds of retail sales). Utah's population and economic growth continue to outpace the nation's. Declines have been meaningful for Pacific Power, with retail sales falling a cumulative 4.4% over 2009 and 2010 on a weather-adjusted basis. Industrial load loss has been especially significant in Oregon, but may have bottomed.

Our expectation in 2011 is that the sales growth for Rocky Mountain Power market will continue to improve. A slower, more hesitant recovery appears likely for Pacific Power sales, and we expect retail sales through 2012 there to remain below levels seen when MidAmerican Energy Holdings Co. (MEHC; BBB+/Stable) acquired PacifiCorp in March 2006. As a result, growth led by Rocky Mountain should produce financial metrics in line with past performance, with FFO to total debt in the high teens and FFO interest coverage of 4.0x-4.5x. These expectations do not reflect any additional benefits for bonus depreciation. Leverage is not forecast to change from its current level of 50% of total capitalization.

PacifiCorp is wholly owned by MEHC. In turn, MEHC is privately held and majority owned by Berkshire Hathaway (AA+/Stable/A-1+). MEHC's stated strategy when it acquired PacifiCorp was to invest significant capital to upgrade its infrastructure. Its largest project is Energy Gateway, a new, 2,000-mile high-voltage transmission line that is being constructed in segments. In the company's 2010 10-K filing, it disclosed that it expects to spend \$6 billion for the project, with about \$1 billion of that amount to be spent over the next three years. MEHC has demonstrated a willingness to support the utility's capital program, providing PacifiCorp with \$1.1 billion equity

contributions since 2006. This has allowed the company to grow without straining borrowings.

The company's consolidated earned return on equity, at 8.2%, is below authorized levels, which vary but are in the area of 10%. For the company's investment strategy to succeed, PacifiCorp's customers will be required to shoulder nearly annual increases in electric rates at a time when utility regulators around the U.S. are especially focused on holding down costs. A March ruling in Idaho, which is a small portion of PacifiCorp's franchise, reduced the company's request by \$11 million to \$13.8 million, noting that difficult economic conditions challenge customer ability to pay rate increases. Two large rate cases are in process in Utah and Wyoming. It has requested a \$232 million increase in Utah effective September 2011 that would increase rates an average of 14% if approved as filed. Also pending is a \$98 million rate case in Wyoming, representing a 17% increase, with rates also requested to go into effect in September.

Liquidity

On a stand-alone basis (i.e., unenhanced by the existing contingent equity agreement available to MEHC to support any of its regulated subsidiaries, including PacifiCorp) we view the company's liquidity as "adequate" under our corporate liquidity methodology. This methodology categorizes liquidity in five standard descriptors (exceptional, strong, adequate, less than adequate, and weak). Projected sources of liquidity, which consist of operating cash flow and available bank lines, exceed projected uses, the company's committed capital expenditures, debt maturities, and common dividends by more than 1.2x over the next 12 months. Under our criteria, we exclude as sources of liquidity any facilities expiring within one year of the liquidity assessment date. This assessment does not consider MEHC draws on its contingent equity that it could make to support PacifiCorp's projected capital requirements and debt maturities over the next two years.

As of Dec. 31, 2010, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$31 million. The utility maintains unsecured credit facilities totaling nearly \$1.4 billion that mature 2012-2013. (A \$760 million facility decreases to \$720 million in July 2011. This reduction is reflected in our liquidity calculations.) As of Dec. 31, 2010, the company had additional borrowing capacity of \$1.1 billion, because of \$36 million of borrowings under the facility and \$304 million of liquidity reserved to support variable-rate tax-exempt bond obligations and letters of credit. There are no rating triggers on the credit lines. PacifiCorp's next substantial long-term debt maturities are \$587 million due in 2011 and \$261 million in 2013.

Outlook

The stable outlook on the PacifiCorp ratings incorporates our expectation that MEHC will continue to support the utility by contributing sufficient equity to manage its debt levels to 50% of total capitalization on a fully adjusted basis. We expect FFO to total debt and FFO interest coverage will be in the high teens and the 4.0x-4.5x range, respectively. We view these cash flow levels as minimum levels to maintain the rating. As in 2010, credit metrics could exceed these levels this year, depending on whether the company is able to utilize bonus depreciation benefits. We do not expect upward ratings momentum for the utility, given its heavy investment program. PacifiCorp benefits from regulatory insulation from its parent. Our criteria provide that the PacifiCorp corporate credit rating can be no more than three notches above the MEHC consolidated credit rating. The companies are a notch apart. We do not see significant risks that the utility rating will fall as a result of adverse rating changes on MEHC, which also has a stable rating outlook.

Copyright © 2011 by Standard & Poors Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.