Docket No. 20000-400-EA-11 Witness: Chad A. Teply

BEFORE THE WYOMING PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Rebuttal Testimony of Chad A. Teply

April 2012

Q. Are you the same Chad A. Teply who submitted direct testimony in this
 proceeding?

3 A. Yes.

4 **Purpose of Testimony**

5

Q. What is the purpose of this testimony?

A. The purpose of this testimony is to provide the Commission with updated
information regarding the Company's continued evaluation and analysis of the
pollution control equipment investments, as well as alternative compliance
options, for the Naughton Unit 3 facility undertaken since the Company filed its
Application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity ("CPCN") for
the subject pollution control projects in September of 2011.

12 Q. Please summarize your testimony in this proceeding?

13 The Company has continued its evaluation and analysis in light of changing A. 14 conditions and best available information, while also addressing the issues raised by parties in this docket. Based upon the results of the Company's continued 15 assessment, the Company has arrived at an alternative decision to pursue gas 16 conversion at the Naughton Unit 3 facility rather than invest in environmental 17 18 control technologies as originally proposed in the Application. My testimony 19 provides background information as to what key drivers and assumptions have changed since the time of the Application and how they have impacted analysis 20 results. My testimony will also set forth details supporting the alternate gas 21 conversion approach that the Company has determined to be in the best interest of 22 its customers, indentifying key processes that will need to be pursued outside of 23

1 the CPCN process.

- 2 Q. Does the Company believe that this CPCN docket has resulted in the 3 opportunity for customers and stakeholders to meaningfully review the 4 proposed environmental compliance projects?
- Yes. In accordance with Article 13.b of the Stipulation and Agreement 5 A. ("Stipulation") approved by the Public Service Commission of Wyoming in 6 7 Docket No. 20000-384-ER-10 as it pertains to Major Plant Investments, the Company believes that the Naughton Unit 3 CPCN docket has allowed the 8 Company and parties to participate in a process that affords the parties and the 9 Commission an opportunity to meaningfully review, before construction, whether 10 the proposed capital expenditures for Naughton Unit 3 are reasonable, prudent 11 12 and in the public interest. The Company believes that the alternative decision described herein represents a thoroughly reviewed and favorable result of this 13 process for customers and stakeholders. 14

Q. What is the most important factor that has driven the Company's alternative decision?

A. The most important factor in the Company's alternative decision is the assumption
of forecast natural gas prices. Since the Company's original Application filing,
actual forward natural gas market prices have continued to decline and longer
term natural gas price forecasts provided by third party experts have followed
suit. The impacts of this key input assumption will be discussed at length in the
Company's testimony.

Page 2 – Rebuttal Testimony of Chad A. Teply