Gary A. Dodge, #0897 Hatch, James & Dodge 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Telephone: 801-363-6363

Facsimile: 801-363-6666 Email: gdodge@hjdlaw.com

Attorneys for Utah Association of Energy

Users

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power Proposed Schedule 94, Energy Balancing Account (EBA) Pilot Program

Docket No. 11-035-T10

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF KEVIN C. HIGGINS

The Utah Association of Energy Users ("UAE") hereby submits the Prefiled Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins in this docket.

DATED this 16th day of March, 2012.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by email this 16th day of March, 2012, on the following:

Mark C. Moench Yvonne R. Hogle Daniel E. Solander Rocky Mountain Power 201 South Main Street, Suite 2300 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 mark.moench@pacificorp.com yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

Michael Ginsberg Patricia Schmid Assistant Attorney General 500 Heber M. Wells Building 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84111 mginsberg@utah.gov pschmid@utah.gov

Paul Proctor Assistant Attorney General 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 pproctor@utah.gov

F. Robert Reeder
William J. Evans
Vicki M. Baldwin
Parsons Behle & Latimer
One Utah Center, Suite 1800
201 S Main St.
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
BobReeder@pblutah.com
BEvans@pblutah.com
VBaldwin@pblutah.com

Arthur F. Sandack 8 East Broadway, Ste 510 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 asandack@msn.com Peter J. Mattheis Eric J. Lacey Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. 800 West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 pjm@bbrslaw.com elacey@bbrslaw.com

Gerald H. Kinghorn Jeremy R. Cook Parsons Kinghorn Harris, P.C. 111 East Broadway, 11th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 ghk@pkhlawyers.com jrc@pkhlawyers.com

Steven S. Michel Western Resource Advocates 227 East Palace Avenue, Suite M Santa Fe, NM 87501 smichel@westernresources.org

Michael L. Kurtz Kurt J. Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

Betsy Wolf Salt Lake Community Action Program 764 South 200 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 bwolf@slcap.org Holly Rachel Smith, Esq. Russell W. Ray, PLLC 6212-A Old Franconia Road Alexandria, VA 22310 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Mr. Ryan L. Kelly Kelly & Bramwell, PC 11576 South State Street Bldg. 203 Draper, UT 84020 ryan@kellybramwell.com Sarah Wright Utah Clean Energy 1014 2nd Avenue Salt Lake City, UT 84103 sarah@utahcleanenergy.org

/s/ _____

BEFORE

Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins on behalf of

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

UAE

Docket No. 11-035-T10

March 16, 2012

1		SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF KEVIN C. HIGGINS
2		
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is Kevin C. Higgins. My business address is 215 South State
5		Street, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111.
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7	A.	I am a Principal in the firm of Energy Strategies, LLC. Energy Strategies
8		is a private consulting firm specializing in economic and policy analysis
9		applicable to energy production, transportation, and consumption.
10	Q.	Are you the same Kevin C. Higgins who pre-filed direct and rebuttal
11		testimony in this docket on behalf of UAE?
12	A.	Yes, I am.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental rebuttal testimony?
14	A.	The purpose of my supplemental rebuttal testimony is to clarify my
15		comments regarding the Composite NPC Allocator proposed by OCS witness
16		Daniel Gimble and the need to reflect voltage differentiation in Schedule 94 rates
17	Q.	What clarification do you wish to offer?
18	A.	In my rebuttal testimony I stated that Mr. Gimble ignores the proposal by
19		Rocky Mountain Power ("RMP") in its initial EBA filing to reflect voltage
20		differentiation in recovering EBA costs. Although Mr. Gimble does not
21		explicitly address this issue, after further review of the mechanics of his proposal,

I have concluded that the voltage differentiation is properly reflected in the

22

UAE Exhibit 1RS Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins UPSC Docket No. 11-035-T10 Page 2 of 2

27	Α.	Yes, it does.
26	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental rebuttal testimony?
25		94 rates is not absent from his proposal.
24		line losses. Therefore, the proper treatment of voltage differentiation in Schedule
23		allocation he derives, in that the loads measured at input have been adjusted for