LEAST-COST PLANNING FOR 21ST CENTURY ELECTRICITY SUPPLY

MARK COOPER,

SENIOR FELLOW FOR ECONOMIC ANALYSIS INSTITUTE FOR ENERGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT, VERMONT LAW SCHOOL SENIOR ADJUNCT FELLOW, SILICON FLATIRONS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO

> COMMISSIONER INFORMATION MEETING COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AUGUST 23, 2011

OUTLINE

- Resource Supply Curves
- Adapting Prudence to the 21 century
- The Four Regions of Knowledge
- A Road Map to the Future

\$2009/MWH

LEVELIZED COST OF ENERGY



CEC 🛞 Lazard



Sources: Congressional Budget Office, Nuclear Power's Role in Generating Electricity, May 2008, p.13; Stan Kaplan, Power Plants: Characteristics and Costs, Congressional Research Service, November 13, 2008, Appendix B; Staff draft, Comparative Costs of California Central Station Electricity Generation Technologies Cost of Generation Model, August 2009, p. 18; Lazard, Levelized Cost of Energy Analysis— Version 2.0, June 2008, p. 10; Levelized Cost of Energy Analysis – Version 3.0, for efficiency, onshore wind, biomass, natural gas, coal and nuclear and solar PV, as reported in World Resources Institute, Renewable Energy Opportunities in Florida, April 2009; Amory Lovins, and Imran Shiekh, and Alex Markevich, Nuclear Power: Climate Fix or Folly?, December 31, 2008, Draft, p. 2; Moody's, New Nuclear Generating Capacity: Potential Credit Implications for U.S. Investor Owned Utilities, May 2008, p. 15; National Research Council of the National Academies, America's Energy Future: Technology and Transformation, Summary Edition, 2009, p. 58; Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st Century, Renewables 2007: Global Status Report, 2008; Standard & Poor's, Assessing the Credit Risk of Competing Technologies for New U.S. Nuclear Power Plants, August 13, 2008, p. 11.

Exelon's View of Carbon Abatement Options – 2010 3





- In the current environment the core principles of prudency and least cost planning should be reaffirmed, but a prudent, integrated resource plan must
- be hedged against risk,
- maximize options to reduce <u>uncertainty</u>,
- be flexible with respect to outcomes that are, at best, <u>vague</u> and
- be insulated against <u>ignorance</u> of the unknown





	REGIO	NS			
	IGNORANCE		VAGUENESS	UNCERTAINTY	RISK
TOPOGRAPHY Knowledge of					
Outcomes	Outcomes Poorly defined		Poorly defined	Well defined	Well defined
Probabilities	Probabilities Unknown		Known	Unknown	Known
Challenges	Unanticipated		Contested	Nonlinear	Familiar
	Effec	ts	Framing	Systems	systems
Conditions	onditions Black Swans		Sort of Safe	Safe	Extremely safe with (mild randomness)
Distributions	Fat tailed		Thin tailed	Fat tailed	Thin tailed
Payoffs Comple		ex:	Complex	Simple	Simple
CHARACTERIZATI	IONS				
Modern Unknow		wn/ Unknowns	Unknown/ knowns	Known/ unknowns	Known/knowns
Greek Mythology	Pandora, Pythia		Damocles, Cassandra	Cyclops	Medusa
Catholic Hell			Limbo	Purgatory	Reality
ANALYSIS					
Approach	Multi-criteria analysis Diversity assessment		Fuzzy Logic	Decision Heuristics	Statistics
Tools			Sensitivity analysis	Scenario analysis	Portfolio evaluation
POLICY TOOLS Instruments Insur Rules		nce/ diversity	Monitor & Adjust	Optionality	Hedging
TECHNOLOGY		BLACK SWAN	TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY
RISK ANALYSIS		THEORY	RISK ANALYSIS	RISK ANALYSIS	RISK ANALYSIS
Precaution		Truncate	Resilience	Flexibility	Resilience
Buy insurance		Exposure	Adaptability	Across Time	Robustness
for system survival		Buy insurance		Across Space	Hedge
Accept non-		for system	BLACK SWAN		
optimization		survival	THEORY	BLACK SWAN	BLACK SWAN
Diversity		Accept non-	Multi-	THEORY	Palvet to Emer
Variety		optimization	functionality	Optionality	Robust to Error
Balance		Redundancy	What Works		Early Mistakas
Disparity	Numerical				Incentive &
L		Functional			disincentives
		Adaptive			Avoid Moral Hazard
		•			Hedge

EXHIBIT ES-1: TOPOGRAPHY AND NAVIGATION TOOLS FOR THE REGIONS OF KNOWLEDGE

Sources: Nassim Nicholas Taleb, *The Black Swan* (New York: Random House, 2010), p.365; Andrew Stirling, *On Science and Precaution in the Management of Technological Risk* (European Science and Technology Observatory, May 1999), p. 17, *On the Economics and Analysis of Diversity* (Science Policy Research Unit, University of Sussex, 2000), Chapter 2; "Risk, Precaution and Science; Toward a More Constructive Policy Debate," *EMBO Reports*, 8:4, 2007.

PRACTICAL ADVICE

1. Identify the trade-offs between cost and risk and lower risk through hedging.

2. Reduce exposure to uncertainty by buying time.

3. Keep options open by acquiring small assets that can be added quickly.

4. Minimize surprises by avoiding assets that have unknown or uncontrollable effects.

5. Create systems that monitor conditions and can adapt to change to maintain system performance.

6. Build resilience with diversified assets by increasing variety, creating balance, and adding disparity.

7. Buy insurance where possible and recognize that diversity is the best insurance against ignorance.

LIFELONG FINANCIAL STRATEGIES TIP SHEET

TIP #1: Seek assistance from a CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER

TIP #2: Buy long-term care insurance now, when it's less expensive

TIP #3: Have and follow an investment roadmap

TIP #4: Diversify your assets

TIP #5: Prepare properly for the years to come

LONG TERM FINANCIAL PLANNING: SECURING YOUR FINANCIAL FUTURE

What concepts do I need to understand to make sure the money I invest continues to grow?

Why is <u>"risk"</u> an important concept to investors?

What is meant by <u>"diversification"?</u>

<u>"Volatility"</u> is a term that I have heard used, but what is it?

Why is insurance important and how do I determine whether I need it?





Risk = Variable Cost Volatility (\$/MWH)

EXPOSURE TO UNCERTAINTY: LAZARD (2009) DATA

Size = MW



Duration Years = Construction Period

Environmental Vagueness: Supply Security and Environmental Impact

Impact





The Region of Ignorance

Search for Swans: Consistency **Unintended Consequences Additional Externalities Diversity:** Structural - resources that are varied, balanced and disparate **Alternative Instruments** Sufficiency: Adequacy Sequence

AMBIGUITY AND LEVELIZED COST: A ROAD MAP FOR RESOURCE ACQUISITION

LCOE 2009\$



SUFFICIENCY – KEEPING THE LIGHTS ON IN A LOW CARBON ENVIRONMENT



Source: Calculated by author.

MAJOR IMPLICATIONS

- Acquisition of central station facilities, particularly nuclear, makes long-term commitments in exactly the wrong way for the current decision making environment.
- 2. The dash to gas that is developing is being significantly overdone because it exposes ratepayers to volatility risks and unnecessary uncertainty.
- 3. A balanced approach that begins with a great deal more efficiency and locally abundant renewables that can be acquired more quickly and in much smaller increments, combined with natural gas, yields lower expected costs.
- 4. Long-term contracts for smaller increments of the more attractive resources are a form of insurance that public utility commissions should require utilities to acquire.