Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. A. Randall J. Falkenberg, PMB 362, 8343 Roswell Road, Sandy Springs, Georgia 30350. I am the same witness who filed direct and rebuttal testimony in this proceeding.

4 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

- 5 A. I provide limited comments on the rebuttal testimony of Utah Clean Energy witness
- 6 Wright, Division of Public Utilities ("DPU or Division") witness Abdulle and Rocky

7 Mountain Power ("Company") witness Duvall.

8 Utah Clean Energy Witness Wright

9Q.STARTING AT LINE 244 MS. WRIGHT ADVOCATES PAYING RENEWABLE10QFS AN "UNCAPPED ENERGY PRICE" RATHER THAN A "CAPPED" PRICE11AS IS DONE UNDER THE CURRENT PDDRR METHOD FOR UNSCHEDULED12ENERGY. DO YOU AGREE?

13 No. Once QFs are receiving the capacity payment, they are beingshould be paid to supply 14 A. 15 energy based on the capital and operating costs of the avoided unit. Energy payments are should be the lesser of the market energy price (as determined by GRID) or the variable 16 17 cost of the avoided unit. Under her proposal, QFs would have the "best of both worlds" – 18 the high capacity cost of the avoided unit, and the market energy cost even if the resulting 19 payment rate exceeds the variable cost of the avoided unit. However, the avoided unit is 20 included in the expansion plan because of the energy savings it produces compared to 21 market purchases. If the avoided unit costs the same as market energy there would be no 22 reason to incur the cost of building the resource.

23

Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE THAT ILLUSTRATES THIS POINT?

A. Yes. To see why this is a problem, consider a hypothetical situation where the market energy price is \$40/MWH, and the variable cost of the avoided unit is \$30/MWH. Assume also that the capacity cost of the avoided unit is \$120/kW-year. In that case, the "all in