Mark C. Moench (2284)
Yvonne R. Hogle (7550)
Rocky Mountain Power
201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Tel. 801.220.4050
Fax 801.220.3299
mark.moench@pacificorp.com
yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky

Mountain Power to Increase Rates by \$29.3

Million or 1.7 Percent through the Energy

Balancing Account

OBJECTION OF ROCKY

MOUNTAIN POWER TO
DIVISION OF PUBLIC

UTILITIES' REQUEST FOR
REHEARING

Pursuant to Utah Admin Code R746-100-11(F), Rocky Mountain Power ("Rocky Mountain Power" or "Company") hereby responds to the Division of Public Utilities' Request for Rehearing ("Request") filed with the Public Service Commission of Utah ("Commission") September 26, 2012.

The Company realizes the auditing process approved for the Company's energy balancing account ("EBA") is different from the auditing process approved for and used in the Questar 191 account. However, there are significant differences between how the Company's EBA is processed relative to how the Questar 191 account is processed that warrant the need for a less flexible schedule for the Company's EBA. For this and other reasons set forth below, the Company is opposed to changing the schedule set forth in the Commission's Order on EBA Interim Rate Process, issued in this docket on August 30, 2012 ("Order on Interim Rates").

The Company is mindful of the effort it will take to audit the Company's annual EBA applications. The Commission has considered this and other factors cited by the Commission in its findings in the Order on Interim Rates and in prior orders issued related to the EBA. For example, the Company must file monthly reports indicating, among other things, actual monthly net power costs incurred. This makes it possible for the Division to begin auditing the EBA as soon as it receives such information each month. There is no reason for the Division to wait to start performing its audit until after a full year of actual costs are incurred and a filing is made by the Company.

Thus far, the Company has provided the Division with monthly reports through June 2012 to facilitate the Division's early audit of the Company's upcoming 2013 EBA application. The Division argues that because the hearing on the EBA for the "stub period" is scheduled for January 2013, it is likely the Division will not begin to thoroughly review the 2012 monthly filings until after that hearing. The Company recognizes the audit process in place is new for the Division and that review of the Company's 2012 EBA application continues, but the Company recommends that the Division begin its substantive review of the Company's 2012 monthly reports as soon as possible.

The current schedule imposed by the Commission in its Order on Interim Rates is justified because the Company would otherwise not be able to collect its costs on a timely basis. Leaving the schedule completely "open" as the Division recommends will serve only to create or increase intergenerational issues and delay the collection of the Company's costs, to the Company's detriment. It would also ultimately be to the Company's customers' detriment because of compounding carrying charges on the costs that would be paid through a drawn out

¹ In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase Rates by \$29.3 Million or 1.7 Percent through the Energy Balancing Account, Division of Public Utilities' Request for Rehearing, Docket no. 12-035-67, September 26, 2012, p. 2.

process and because of failure to provide timely price signals to customers regarding the costs of

serving them.

The Company disagrees that the schedule established by the Commission is inflexible.

For example, the Commission did not set forth specific dates for testimony to be filed. This

shows that the current schedule is reasonably flexible. Nevertheless, the Company believes that

it is necessary to have an end-date in place for both the completion of the Division's audit and

the effective date of the EBA rates. Customers will benefit as well as they have a predictable

process and dates that make it easy for them to plan and budget on an annual basis. In addition,

it is always possible for the Division or any other party to seek an exception to the schedule in

extraordinary circumstances. However, rather than making the exception the rule, the schedule

established by the Commission should apply unless extraordinary circumstances justify an

exception.

Finally, as the Division has acknowledged, the Company, pursuant to agreement, will not

file a rate case application in 2013. This should help alleviate the bulk of the Division's concern

over its first audit of a 12 month deferral period ending December 2012 for the Company's

upcoming 2013 EBA application.

Based on the foregoing, the Company objects to the Division's recommendation to

change the schedule set forth in the Order on Interim Rates.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of October, 2012

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Yvonne R. Hogle

Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 11, 2012, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail to the following:

Chris Parker
William Powell
Dennis Miller
Division of Public Utilities
Heber M. Wells Building
160 East 300 South, 4th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
ChrisParker@utah.gov
wpowell@utah.gov
dennismiller@utah.gov

F. Robert Reeder
William J. Evans
Vicki Baldwin
Parsons Behle &, Latimer
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
bobreeder@parsonsbehle.com
bevans@parsonsbehle.com
vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com

Michele Beck
Cheryl Murray
Dan Gimble
Utah Office of Consumer Services
160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
mbeck@utah.gov
cmurray@utah.gov
dgimble@utah.gov

Gary A. Dodge
Hatch James & Dodge
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
gdodge@hjdlaw.com

Kevin Higgins
Neal Townsend
Energy Strategies
39 Market Street, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
khiggins@energystrat.com
ntownsend@energystrat.com

Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com

Arthur F. Sandack 8 East Broadway, Ste 510 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 asandack@msn.com Capt. Samuel T. Miller USAF-ULFSC 139 Barnes Ave, Suite 1 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403 samuel.miller@tyndall.af.mil

Patricia Schmid Assistant Attorney General Utah Division of Public Utilities 160 East 300 South, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 pschmid@utah.gov Paul Proctor
Assistant Attorney General
Utah Office of Consumer Services
160 East 300 South, 5th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
pproctor@utah.gov

Peter J. Mattheis Eric J. Lacey 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. 800 West Tower Washing, D.C. 20007 pjm@bbrslaw.com elacey@bbrslaw.com Jeremy R. Cook Parsons Kinghorn Harris, P.C. 111 East Broadway, 11th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 jrc@pkhlawyers.com

Sarah Wright
Kevin Emerson
Brandy Smith
Utah Clean Energy
1014 2nd Avenue
Salt Lake City, UT 84103
sarah@utahcleanenergy.org
kevin@utahcleanenergy.org
brandy@utahcleanenergy.org

Steven S. Michel Western Resource Advocates 227 East Palace Avenue, Suite M Santa Fe, NM 87501 stevensmichel@comcast.net

Nancy Kelly
Penny Anderson
Western Resource Advocates
9463 N. Swallow Rd.
Pocatello, ID 83201
nkelly@westernresources.org
penny@westernresources.org

Betsy Wolf Salt Lake Community Action Program 764 South 200 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 bwolf@slcap.org

Ryan W. Kelly Kelly & Bramwell, P.C. 11576 South State Street Blds.203 Draper, UT 84020 ryan@kellybramwell.com Steve W. Chriss Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 2001 SE 10th Street Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com