

UE-100749/PacifiCorp
June 7, 2010
ICNU Data Request 1.33

ICNU Data Request 1.33

For each of the Firm Transmission contracts whose costs are included in GRID, please identify the purpose of the transaction, why it is used and useful in the Test Year, the amount of capacity or type of transmission service it provides, and where the capacity or service provided by this contract is modeled in GRID.

Response to ICNU Data Request 1.33

Please refer to Confidential Attachment ICNU 1.33. The second tab in the attachment is considered non-public information and cannot be shared with PacifiCorp marketing affiliate employees. This information is confidential and is provided subject to the terms and conditions of the protective order in this proceeding

PREPARER: Hui Shu / Jim Portouw / Ken Houston

SPONSOR: Gregory N. Duvall

20000-410-EP-12/Rocky Mountain Power
April 23, 2012
WIEC 1st Data Request 1.28

WIEC Data Request 1.28

Please provide a summary of all transactions that utilized the DC Intertie contract during the ECAM test year and the four preceding years. The summary should include the same categories that were included in Attachment WIEC 8.24 Confidential.

Response to WIEC Data Request 1.28

Please refer to Confidential Attachment WIEC 1.28, which provides all STF sales and purchases transactions for calendar years 2007 through 2011. The first 200 MW of purchases in an hour at the NOB point of receipt will utilize the DC Intertie Contract. The point of delivery may be found in Column K for the data through January 2011, and in Column N thereafter. Confidential information is provided subject to the terms and conditions of the protective order in this proceeding.

20000-384-ER-10/Rocky Mountain Power
January 10, 2011
WIEC 1st Set Data Request 1.72

WIEC Data Request 1.72

Please refer to Standardized Data Request Attachment 2.3-9. Please identify all transactions included in the test year that rely upon the DC Intertie contract.

Response to WIEC Data Request 1.72

Transactions utilizing the DC Intertie contract are typically entered near the time of delivery, rather than on a forward basis. A portion of the system balancing transactions modeled in the COB bubble in GRID are in reality transacted at NOB utilizing the DC Intertie.

UE-100749/PacifiCorp
September 8, 2010
ICNU Data Request 10.3

ICNU Data Request 10.3

Please refer to Attachment ICNU 1.33, tab "Conf". Please identify all transactions in the test year that rely upon this contract for delivery of power into the PACW as represented in the WCA model.

Response to ICNU Data Request 10.3

Please refer to the Company's response to ICNU Data Request 10.1. Purchases at the Nevada-Oregon Border (NOB) have relatively high prices, so they are one of the last options used to serve the Company's retail loads. Since this capability is unlikely to be used under the normalized circumstances contained in the Company's WCA GRID model, no purchases are modeled at NOB during the test year.

PREPARER: Hui Shu

SPONSOR: Gregory N. Duvall

20000-384-ER-10/Rocky Mountain Power
January 10, 2011
WIEC 1st Set Data Request 1.73

WIEC Data Request 1.73

Please refer to Standardized Data Request Attachment 2.3-9. Please provide all documents related to the administration of the DC Intertie contract since it was originally initiated. Please provide any documents related to the Company's efforts to determine whether options existed to either terminate, buy out of, renegotiate, sell or assign the contract to another party.

Response to WIEC Data Request 1.73

PacifiCorp's search for documents related to the DC Intertie contract did not produce any documentation related to administration and/or contract modification options since it was initiated.

10-035-124/Rocky Mountain Power
April 21, 2011
UIEC Data Request 14.3

UIEC Data Request 14.3

Please provide the Company's actual monthly utilization of the Centralia PTP path from the inception of the contract to the current period.

Response to UIEC Data Request 14.3

The Company does not have the actual utilization of the Centralia PTPs; however, please refer to Attachment UIEC 14.3 for all purchases made at Centralia from the start of the Centralia PTPs. In general, these purchases would have utilized the Centralia PTPs but there are exceptions when the Company booked-out a purchase with a sale at Centralia or when the Company may have made other transmission arrangements.

10-035-124/Rocky Mountain Power
April 21, 2011
UIEC Data Request 14.4

UIEC Data Request 14.4

Please describe all efforts made by the Company to monetize or resell the unused capacity of the Centralia PTP contract path.

Response to UIEC Data Request 14.4

Some amount of capacity not used by the Company to wheel energy from Centralia to its system has been monetized by redirecting the capacity to other paths and then using it to wheel energy on the new path. Starting June 2009 (for transmission service starting July of 2009) the remaining amount of capacity not used by the Company to wheel energy from Centralia to its system or not planned to be redirected for use on other paths has been offered for resale.

10-035-124/Rocky Mountain Power
April 21, 2011
UIEC Data Request 14.7

UIEC Data Request 14.7

Please describe all efforts made by the Company to monetize or resell the unused capacity of the DC Intertie contract path.

Response to UIEC Data Request 14.7

The Company makes reasonable economic decisions to minimize the unused capacity of the DC Intertie contract and values the hour-ahead access provided by this contract to buy from a willing counterparty (the California ISO). There have been no efforts to redirect or resell capacity identified after-the-fact as unused.

20000-389-EP-10/Rocky Mountain Power
February 21, 2011
WIEC 1st Set Data Request 1.46

WIEC Data Request 1.46

Please provide all documents related to the original decision process used when the DC Intertie contract was originated. Please provide a narrative explaining the reasons for the decision to enter into the contract and the alternatives available at the time.

Response to WIEC Data Request 1.46

Please refer to Attachment WIEC 1.46 for the Winter Power Sale Agreement (WPSA) between Southern California Edison Company and PacifiCorp.

PacifiCorp executed the DC Intertie agreement to facilitate receipt of energy in the WPSA between Southern California Edison Company and PacifiCorp; see specifically the delivery of Block 3 and 4 contract capacities of the WPSA as per the Amendment Number 1 to the WPSA.

Since the WPSA was terminated in 2002, the DC Intertie contract has provided a valuable means of securing capacity and energy from California entities to meet retail load. The agreement takes advantage of the load diversity between summer-peaking California and the winter-peaking Pacific Northwest. The Company has historically entered into over 200 purchase transactions each year for the past four years that rely on the DC Intertie for delivery to retail loads. The price for this 200 MW of capacity is about \$2/kW-month as compared to over \$8/kW-month for the BPA peak purchase.

A PacifiCorp search for additional documents related to the DC Intertie contract did not produce any documentation related to possible alternatives available at the time to facilitate deliveries of the WPSA Block 3 and 4.

20000-389-EP-10/Rocky Mountain Power
February 21, 2011
WIEC 1st Set Data Request 1.47

WIEC Data Request 1.47

Please discuss the options available to the Company to renegotiate, sell, assign, abrogate or otherwise escape from the terms and conditions of the DC Intertie contract.

Response to WIEC Data Request 1.47

PacifiCorp does not believe it has any contractual option to get out of the DC Intertie contract other than under the conditions specified in the termination provisions of the contract. Contract term is coincident with the AC Intertie Agreement which shall terminate when all of the facilities comprising the AC Intertie are permanently taken out of service. The DC Intertie contract does not contain any additional provisions for termination. This contract is used to serve retail load. If it were terminated, the Company would need to replace the 200 MW of lost capacity.

20000-389-EP-10/Rocky Mountain Power
February 21, 2011
WIEC 1st Set Data Request 1.49

WIEC Data Request 1.49

WIEC understands that the original purpose of the DC Intertie contract was, at least in part, related to providing service to the Southern Cal Edison sale contract. If so, explain why the Company did not coordinate the term of the DC Intertie contract with the SCE contract.

Response to WIEC Data Request 1.49

There are no documented explanations why the term of the DC Intertie contract is not coincidental to the SCE contract term. The term of the DC Intertie contract was set during a resolution process for a number of issues that resulted in a 1993 letter of understanding between Bonneville Power Administration and PacifiCorp wherein one of the provisions was for a 200 MW firm South to North DC Intertie wheeling contract with a term equal to the term of the Intertie Agreement.

20000-389-EP-11/Rocky Mountain Power
June 20, 2011
WIEC 12th Set Data Request 12.15

WIEC Data Request 12.15

Please refer to the response to WIEC 1.45. Explain why there are many instances when the amount of capacity purchased exceeds 200 MW, the assumed limit of the DC link. (See Duvall rebuttal from the GRC, page 70.) Were other contracts or links used to import these purchases?

Response to WIEC Data Request 12.15

For instances when the WIEC 1.45 exhibit RATE exceeds 200 MW, the excess amount would not have utilized the long-term DC Intertie 200 MW transmission service. These excess amounts would have been wheeled to the Company system using purchased short-term transmission service or redirected existing transmission service, or may have been booked out with a sale.

12-035-67/Rocky Mountain Power
September 12, 2012
OCS Data Request 1.2

OCS Data Request 1.2

Please provide all non-confidential (public record) and confidential documents associated with any revised or updated MFR filed in Wyoming in connection with 2012 Wyoming ECAM Docket.

Response to OCS Data Request 1.2

No Wyoming MFRs were revised or updated.