- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address with Rocky Mountain Power
- 2 ("the Company"), a division of PacifiCorp.
- 3 A. My name is Steven R. McDougal, and my business address is 201 South Main,
- 4 Suite 2300, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111.
- 5 Qualifications
- 6 Q. What is your current position with the Company, and what is your
- 7 **employment history?**
- 8 A. I am currently employed as the director of revenue requirements for the
- 9 Company. I have been employed by Rocky Mountain Power or its predecessor
- 10 companies since 1983. My experience at Rocky Mountain Power includes various
- positions within regulation, finance, resource planning, and internal audit.
- 12 Q. What are your responsibilities as director of revenue requirements?
- 13 A. My primary responsibilities include overseeing the calculation and reporting of
- the Company's regulated earnings or revenue requirement, assuring that the inter-
- jurisdictional cost allocation methodology is correctly applied, and explaining
- those calculations to regulators in the jurisdictions in which the Company
- operates.
- 18 Q. What is your education background?
- 19 A. I received a Master of Accountancy from Brigham Young University with an
- 20 emphasis in Management Advisory Services in 1983 and a Bachelor of Science
- 21 degree in Accounting from Brigham Young University in 1982. In addition to my
- formal education, I have also attended various educational, professional, and
- 23 electric industry-related seminars.

24 0. Have you testified in previous proceedings? 25 Α. Yes. I have provided testimony before the Utah Public Service Commission, the 26 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, the California Public 27 Utilities Commission, the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, the Oregon Public 28 Utility Commission, the Wyoming Public Service Commission, and the Utah 29 State Tax Commission. 30 **Purpose of Testimony** 31 What is the purpose of your testimony? 0. 32 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain the calculation of the REC Balancing 33 Account ("RBA"). Specifically I describe the components that make up the \$4 34 million deferral balance to be returned to customers through Schedule 98 that is 35 requested in this filing including: 36 the determination of the beginning RBA balance at January 1, 2011, 37 the allocation of calendar year 2011 REC revenues, 38 the calculation of the calendar year 2011 REC revenues included in base 39 rates, 40 the amount of surcredits that were given to Utah ratepayers, and 41 the calculation of carrying charges that were applied to the deferral 42 balance. 43 Ο. Please provide a brief summary of the how the RBA is calculated. 44 A. On September 13, 2011, a stipulation ("the 2011 Stipulation") was approved by 45 the Commission that resolved several dockets including Docket Nos. 10-035-14

("UAE REC Docket") and 10-035-124 ("2011 General Rate Case"). In the 2011

46

Stipulation, the parties established a REC balancing account mechanism to track the difference between REC revenues included in rates and actual REC revenues collected. Under the RBA, the variances are identified and deferred each month for one full calendar year ("the Deferral Period"). In this RBA filing, the deferral period was January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011. On March 15th of each subsequent year, an RBA is filed to present these differences, including applicable carrying charges, with a 100 percent true up of the difference between the amounts in rates and actual sales occurring through Schedule 98.

Q. Please describe the Company's RBA filing.

A. Employing the methodology described above, the deferral balance to be credited to customers through Schedule 98 is approximately \$4 million. The table below provides a summary of how the Company arrived at this amount.

Summary of Utah REC Balancing Account (Schedule 98)

Description	Amount	
REC Revenue Deferred Balance @ December 31, 2010	\$ 39,489,521	
2011 Utah Allocated Booked REC Revenues	41,742,979	
2011 REC Revenues in Base Rates	(21,846,744)	
2011 Schedule 98 Surcredit	(37,506,654)	
Estimated Schedule 98 Surcredit January 1 - May 31, 2012	(20,437,785)	
2011 Carrying Charges	2,231,701	
Estimated Carrying Charges January 1 - May 31, 2012	355,892	
Deferral Balance to be Credited to Customers		

Exhibit RMP__(SRM-1) is an electronic version of the table shown above and is linked to Exhibit RMP__(SRM-2) and the workpapers supporting the calculations.

62 Q. Please describe how Exhibit RMP__(SRM-2) is organized. 63 Α. Exhibit RMP (SRM-2) presents the supporting documents for the Company's 64 proposed change to tariff Schedule 98 and provides the detailed calculation of the 65 \$4 million deferral balance presented in this filing. Page 2.1 shows the calculation used to determine the Utah allocated actual 2011 REC revenues, illustrating the 66 67 reallocation of revenue for renewable portfolio standard ("RPS") eligibility. Page 68 2.2 provides the calculation of the SG allocation factor that was used on page 2.1 69 as the basis to allocate REC revenue to Utah. The allocation factors are consistent 70 with those used in the energy balancing account ("EBA") filing. 71 How did the Company determine the REC revenue deferred balance as of Q. 72 **December 31, 2010?** 73 A. The REC revenue deferred balance for December 31, 2011 was originally filed 74 with the Commission as Company Exhibit RMP__(SRM-6R), page 2 of 2 in 75 Docket No. 10-035-24. The settlement in that docket established the initial credit 76 balance of \$39.5 million consistent with that exhibit. This amount represents the 77 Utah-allocated amount of REC revenues deferred from February 22, 2010 through 78 December 31, 2010, and is the January 1, 2011 beginning balance of the RBA. 79 Please describe how the 2011 Utah allocated booked REC revenue was 0. 80 calculated. 81 A. During calendar year 2011, the Company booked \$72.8 million from REC sales 82 on a total Company basis. Utah's allocated share of REC revenue is determined 83 using the SG factor, including a reallocation of revenue initially allocated system 84 wide to reflect compliance with state renewable portfolio standards. The resulting

Utah allocated amount of REC revenue during 2011 was \$41.7 million.

Α.

Q. Does the Utah allocated REC revenues represent a final amount?

No. The total Company REC sales are final. However, allocation of REC revenue among the Company's jurisdictions depends on the resources to which the REC sales are attributed. This assignment of Company resources to RECs sold occurs after the fact, once output from Company facilities is known and as various REC sales contracts are settled. Although total REC revenue for calendar year 2011 is known, at the time of filing this RBA the assignment of RECs sold to individual resources in November and December 2011 is not finalized. The Company's filing relies on an estimate of the resource assignment for these two months. Once actual resource assignments are known, any difference related to the final resource allocation will flow through in subsequent true ups. The update will not affect the total Company amount, but will have a minimal impact on the Utah allocated amount. Company witness Ms. Stacey J. Kusters provides further details of the resource assignments for 2011 REC sales.

O. How was the 2011 REC revenue in base rates determined?

A. The REC revenue in rates during 2011 was determined using the REC revenue amounts as set in Docket No. 09-035-23 ("the 2009 general rate case"), as adjusted by the Major Plant Addition Stipulation in Docket No. 10-035-89 ("MPA Stipulation"), for the Period from January 1, 2011 through September 20, 2011. Starting September 21, 2011 the REC revenues in base rates are calculated using the 2011 Stipulation. The 2009 general rate case established a total amount of REC revenue in rates of \$9.90 million Utah allocated, which was revised in the MPA

108	Stipulation to include \$0.76 million additional revenue for a total in rates amount
109	of \$10.66. Thus, the monthly amount of REC revenues shown in Exhibit
110	RMP(SRM-2) for January 1, 2011 through September 20, 2011 reflects a
111	monthly level of this amount. The 2011 Stipulation established a total amount of
112	REC revenue in rates of \$50.9 million Utah allocated. Accordingly, the monthly
113	amount of REC revenues shown in Exhibit RMP(SRM-2) for September 21,
114	2011 through December 31, 2011 reflects the amount included in rates as a result
115	of the 2011 Stipulation.

Q. What does the amount referred to as 2011 Schedule 98 surcredit represent?

- A. The MPA Stipulation established a \$3.0 million monthly surcredit to be passed back to Utah ratepayers beginning January 1, 2011. Utah ratepayers continued to receive a surcredit as a result of the MPA Stipulation until the rates from the 2011 Stipulation became effective September 21, 2011. The 2011 Stipulation established a new surcredit amount beginning September 21, 2011 through May 31, 2012 with the intent to return the entire deferred REC balance to ratepayers by May 31, 2012. Since the surcredit varies by month and since actual 2011 REC sales were not known at the time of the 2011 Stipulation, it was agreed that any remaining balance would be subject to true up in this RBA filing. In total, Utah ratepayers received approximately \$37.5 million in surcredits through Schedule 98 during calendar year 2011.
- 128 Q. Please describe what the Estimated Schedule 98 January 1 May 31, 2012 129 represents?
- 130 A. This represents an estimate of the surcredits that will be returned to ratepayers

131		during January - May 2012 as a result of the 2011 Stipulation described above.
132		With the exception of January 2012, the monthly amounts shown on
133		RMP(SRM-2), line 16 are estimated as the actual amounts were not known at
134		the time of filing. The Company will update the RBA filing once the actual
135		surcredits become known.
136	Q.	If this filing is intended to true up calendar year 2011 REC revenues, why
137		are the Estimated Schedule 98 credits for the January through May of 2012
138		included in your deferral calculation?
139	A.	As discussed earlier, the deferral balance established in the 2011 Stipulation was
140		to be returned to customers through May 31, 2012 and trued up in this RBA
141		filing. Thus, it is necessary to deduct the 2012 surcredits to correctly represent the
142		May 31, 2012 ending balance.
143	Q.	Please explain why a portion of the Estimated Schedule 98 January 1 – May
144		31 appears in the June 2012 section of Exhibit RMP(SRM-2)?
145	A.	This represents the amount that is related to customer usage prior to June 1, 2012,
146		but due to billing cycle lag, will not be included on customer bills until June 2012.
147	Q.	Did you include carrying charges in this filing? If so, please describe how
148		they were calculated.
149	A.	Yes. Approximately \$2.2 million in carrying charges for 2011 were applied to
150		arrive at the total deferral balance. The Company's most recently approved cost of
151		debt was applied to the monthly deferral balance to calculate the monthly carrying
152		charge. The cost of debt rates used were 5.98 percent for January - August 2011
153		and 5.71 percent for September 2011 - May 2012 that were approved in the 2009

154		and 2011 general rate cases, respectively.
155	Q.	Please describe how this carrying charge was calculated for the January 1,
156		2012 through May 31, 2012 period?
157	A.	Carrying charges for January through May 2012 were calculated in the same
158		manner as the calendar year 2011 carrying charge. However, the carrying charges
159		for this time period will change slightly when the February through June 2012
160		surcredit amounts are updated.
161	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
162	A.	Yes.