

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with Rocky**
2 **Mountain Power (“the Company”), a division of PacifiCorp.**

3 A. My name is William R. Griffith. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah
4 Street, Suite 2000, Portland, Oregon, 97232. My present position is Vice
5 President, Regulation, Pacific Power.

6 **Qualifications**

7 **Q. Briefly describe your education and professional background.**

8 A. I have a B.A. degree with High Honors and distinction in Political Science and
9 Economics from San Diego State University and an M.A. in Political Science
10 from that same institution; I was subsequently employed on the faculty. I attended
11 the University of Oregon and completed all course work towards a Ph.D. in
12 Political Science. I joined the Company in the Rates & Regulation Department in
13 December 1983. In June 1989, I became Manager, Pricing in the Regulation
14 Department. In February 2001, I became Director, Pricing, Cost of Service and
15 Regulatory Operations. In February 2012, I was promoted to my current position.

16 **Q. What are your responsibilities in this proceeding?**

17 A. I am responsible for the Company’s proposed spread of the Renewable Energy
18 Credit (“REC”) revenue deferred balance in the the REC Balancing Account
19 (“RBA”) and the resulting Schedule 98 REC rates in this case.

20 **Q. Have you appeared as a witness in previous regulatory proceedings?**

21 A. Yes. I have testified for the Company in regulatory proceedings in Utah,
22 Wyoming, Idaho, Oregon, Washington, and California.

23 **Purpose of Testimony**

24 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

25 A. The purpose of my testimony is to address the Company's proposed REC revenue
26 spread and REC rates in this case.

27 **Proposed REC Revenue Spread**

28 **Q. What is the test period the Company proposes to use in this case?**

29 A. The Company proposes to use the forecast test period 12 months ending May
30 2013 as filed with the Utah Public Service Commission in Docket No. 11-035-
31 200. This forecast test period matches the 12 month period the proposed REC
32 rates shall be in effect.

33 **Q. What is the total REC deferral amount in this case?**

34 A. The total REC revenue deferral is \$4.029 million, or 0.2 percent, as shown in Mr.
35 Steven R. McDougal's Exhibit RMP____(SRM-1).

36 **Q. How does the Company propose to allocate the REC revenue across
37 customer classes?**

38 A. The Company proposes to allocate the REC revenue across customer classes
39 consistent with the approved spread of REC revenues in the Company's last
40 general rate case, Docket No. 10-035-124 ("2011 GRC").

41 **Q. How was the REC revenue spread to rate schedules in the 2011 GRC?**

42 A. The REC revenue was spread to rate schedules in the last general rate case as
43 stated in Paragraph 10 of the STIPULATION ON COST OF SERVICE, RATE
44 SPREAD AND RATE DESIGN ("Rate Spread Stipulation") in the 2011 GRC.

45 Deferred REC Revenue. The Parties agree that, if and to the extent the
46 Commission authorizes in this Docket ratepayer recovery of any

47 deferred REC Revenues, such revenues should be allocated and
48 credited to customers on the basis of the F10 allocation factor utilized
49 in the Company's cost of service study sponsored by Company witness
50 Craig Paice in this docket, and implemented through a negative
51 surcharge via Schedule 98. Because a cost of service study analysis is
52 not prepared for Schedule 21, Schedule 31, or Special Contract
53 Customer 3, an F10 allocation factor is not available; therefore the
54 system average percentage change should be applied to Schedule 21
55 and Schedule 31. The REC credit for Special Contract Customer 3 will
56 be based on the percentage change applicable to Schedule 9. The results
57 of the F10 allocation will be adjusted pro rata for all customer groups to
58 accommodate these adjustments.

59 **Q. What was the REC revenue spread to rate schedules in the 2011 GRC?**

60 A. Both Exhibit A and Table 5 in the Settlement Stipulation in the 2011 GRC
61 contained the REC revenue spread agreed to by the parties and approved by the
62 Utah Public Service Commission in the 2011 GRC.

63 **Q. Please describe Exhibit RMP__(WRG-1).**

64 A. Exhibit RMP__(WRG-1) contains the Company's proposed rate spread which
65 follows the REC rate spread from the 2011 GRC as discussed above. On an
66 overall basis, based on the forecast test period 12 months ending May 2013, this
67 proposal would result in an overall credit of 0.2 percent to tariff customers in
68 Utah.

69 **Proposed Rates for Schedule 98**

70 **Q. How were the proposed Schedule 98 rates developed for each customer class?**

71 A. The proposed rates for each customer class were developed to apply to customers'
72 Monthly Power Charges and Energy Charges by dividing their responsible REC
73 revenue amount by the corresponding present revenues.

74 **Q. Please describe Exhibit RMP__(WRG-2).**

75 A. Exhibit RMP__(WRG-2) contains the billing determinants and the calculations

76 of the proposed REC rates in this case.

77 **Q. Please describe Exhibit RMP___(WRG-3).**

78 A. Exhibit RMP___(WRG-3) contains the proposed rates for Schedule 98. The
79 present Schedule 98 rates will terminate on May 31, 2012, and the proposed
80 Schedule 98 rates will become effective on June 1, 2012.

81 **Q. Did you include workpapers with this filing?**

82 A. Yes. Workpapers have been included with this filing that detail the calculations
83 shown in my exhibits.

84 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

85 A. Yes, it does.