- 1 Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with
- 2 PacifiCorp dba Rocky Mountain Power ("the Company").
- 3 A. My name is Erich D. Wilson. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street,
- 4 Suite 1800, Portland, Oregon 97232. My present position is Director, Human
- 5 Resources.

### **Qualifications**

6

18

- 7 Q. Please briefly describe your education and business experience.
- 8 A. I have been employed as the Director of Human Resources since March 2006.
- 9 From March 2001 to March 2006, I was the Director of Compensation for the
- 10 Company. Prior to coming to the Company, I held various positions within the
- area of human resources (operations, benefits and staffing), but for the majority of
- my career I have directed the design and administration of compensation
- programs. I received a Bachelor's degree in Economics (Business) from the
- 14 University of California, San Diego in 1992. In addition, I achieved the Certified
- 15 Compensation Professional status from the American Compensation Association
- in 1999 and have kept this certification current by attending various educational
- programs and seminars.
  - Q. Please describe your present duties.
- 19 A. My primary responsibilities include managing the Company's Human Resources
- departments including compensation, benefits, compliance, staffing, training and
- 21 development, employee and labor relations, and payroll. I focus on assisting the
- Company in attracting, retaining, and motivating qualified employees, along with
- 23 the administration of all associated human resource programs and employee

<b>~</b> 4	•
24	experiences.

25

26

39

41

### **Purpose and Overview of Testimony**

#### 0. What is the purpose of your testimony?

- 27 Α. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the compensation and 28 benefit plans provided to employees at the Company and to support the costs 29 related to these areas included in the test period.
- 30 In your testimony, do you address both union and non-union compensation 0. 31 and benefit plans?
- 32 A. The focus of my testimony is on the plans and programs provided to the 33 company's non-union workforce. Our union workforce and the compensation and 34 benefit plans provided to them are governed by their respective collective 35 bargaining agreements. These agreements are reached between the company and 36 union and set forth to provide market competitive level compensation, benefits 37 and work rules. The respective levels associated with the union workgroup are 38 addressed in the exhibits of Mr. Steven R. McDougal.

#### Please provide an overview of your testimony. 0.

40 Α. This overview focuses on the total compensation plan (consisting of base pay and annual incentive), pension plan and healthcare benefit plan. These plans are 42 designed to allow the Company to attract and retain the employee talent necessary 43 to deliver safe and reliable service at a reasonable cost. I also demonstrate that the 44 Company has prudently contained increases in labor costs since the last rate case 45 and, in particular, has kept increases in benefit costs at a reasonable level that 46 reflect the economic conditions and market.

# Q. What factors does the Company consider with respect to its compensation and benefit costs?

A. First, the Company's philosophy continues to be to keep operations and maintenance and administrative and general costs under control to mitigate the impact on customer rates of the increased levels of capital investment currently being made.

Second, while it is important to keep compensation and benefit costs under control, it is still critical for the Company to be able to retain, and attract competent and qualified personnel to manage and operate the system. To do so, the Company continues to ensure that its wage levels are aligned with the practices of the labor market. The market continues to see a shift in having employees bear more of the costs of benefits. The Company continues to shift the cost sharing and cost of benefit plans to its employees and facilitate adjustments to plan design to align with current market practices.

#### **Total Compensation**

### Q. What is the Company's compensation philosophy?

A. Two fundamental principles underlie the Company's compensation philosophy. First, the Company's primary goal in determining employee compensation is to provide pay at or near the market average. Competitive compensation is critical to attracting and retaining qualified employees. The market for the skilled positions required to manage and operate a utility system is extremely competitive. Thus, the Company endeavors to provide the same general pay levels and benefits in its total compensation package as are included in the packages provided by others in

the industry. The Company believes that providing total compensation at or near market levels results in reasonable total compensation costs.

A.

Second, the Company believes that in order to encourage superior performance, some portion of each employee's total compensation must be "at risk" and dependent upon individual performance and achievement of a limited number of specific business goals. I discuss in detail how this Annual Incentive Plan operates later in my testimony.

## Q. How does the Company determine the total compensation package for each position?

Each of the Company's positions has been assigned a grade within the Company's overall salary structure. At least annually, the Company collects market data for comparable positions and calculates the average data point for total compensation for each grade. Market data is provided through a variety of compensation studies produced by experts/organizations, including AON Hewitt, Towers Watson, and Mercer. In addition, the Company uses an on-line tool "MarketPay.com." MarketPay.com provides electronic access to all of the compensation studies we have traditionally used and some additional surveys, allowing us to more efficiently perform information searches and job and pay comparisons.

After the Company determines the appropriate level of total compensation for a specific grade, it then determines the "at risk" portion of the compensation for each grade. The Company sets the "at risk" portion by reviewing market compensation using the various compensation studies described above. The "at risk" portion is typically in the 10-25 percent range; however, incentive pay for a

few employees is set as high as 75 percent. Generally speaking, the higher the position is within the Company, the higher the amount of pay at risk and thus the higher the percentage of potential incentive pay. The "at risk" portion of compensation (i.e., "incentive compensation") is administered through the Annual Incentive Plan.

The remaining percentage of total compensation which is not at risk is referred to as "base compensation."

#### **Annual Incentive Plan**

A.

### Q. What is the objective of the Annual Incentive Plan?

The objective of the Annual Incentive Plan is to provide each non-represented employee with incentive to perform at an above-average level. The plan is not a bonus; additional (i.e., incentive) compensation is not layered upon base compensation that is already at market levels for total compensation. Through the process I discussed above, base compensation for each position is set at a level below the market level for total compensation for that position. Only if an employee performs at an acceptable level for the position will the employee have an opportunity to earn total compensation at or near comparable positions in the market.

# Q. Is incentive compensation a greater benefit to customers than compensation consisting solely of base compensation?

A. Yes. In the Company's experience, a higher level of overall employee performance is achieved when a portion of pay is "at risk." In addition, the Company's incentive compensation plan enables the Company to attract and

retain talented employees in the increasingly competitive market for skilled labor.

Therefore, while the total cost of the Company's base plus incentive compensation program is equal to what a salary-only plan would be, the benefit to customers is greater.

### Q. How is the incentive compensation plan implemented?

A.

First, before the distribution of the "at risk" compensation dollars, senior Company management assesses the Company's achievement of certain critical business goals such as safety, customer satisfaction, and managing expenses in relation to revenues. Underperformance by the Company in satisfying critical business goals may result in a downward adjustment of the total pool of "at risk" dollars available for distribution to all Company personnel. For example, the Company's underperformance in satisfying one or more of these goals resulted in reduction in the total amount of incentive compensation available for distribution to 85 percent in both 2009 and 2010, 87 percent in 2011, and 85 percent in 2012. In 2013, based on the performance level, the award was reflective of a 100 percent target award.

At approximately the same time, supervisors meet with each of the employees in their group to conduct an assessment of the employee's performance throughout the year against the employee's individual goals and other performance objectives. The results of these performance reviews and associated scores are reported to Human Resources.

Then, after the total pool of "at risk" compensation available for distribution has been determined by senior management, supervisors are informed

of the amount of incentive compensation available for distribution within their group. Based on this information, each supervisor submits the recommended incentive payments for each employee in their group to Human Resources for review and consistency.

## Q. How does the Company ensure that an employee's individual goals are consistent with overall business goals?

Each year, the Company's senior management, in conjunction with MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company, set the overall goals for the Company. All of these goals focus on delivering safe and reliable electricity to our customers and providing excellent customer service. Goals include safety goals such as reducing lost time, recordable, preventable, and restricted duty incidents. Customer service goals include implementing local and regional customer service improvements, improving visibility and relations with industrial customers and consumer associations, and improving overall customer satisfaction. Other goals relate to operating within established budgets, including maintaining operating costs, controlling the cost of capital expenditures, and achieving operational efficiencies/financial targets. Still other goals relate to operational performance, major project delivery, organizational planning and development, and quality of service and regulatory commitments. The achievement of each and every one of these goals will serve to benefit our customers.

These Company-wide goals serve as the foundation for the goals set for each individual employee. Thus, when an individual employee works with his/her supervisor to establish individual goals for the year, they are set by reference to

A.

how that employee's position can advance the overall goals of the Company. The employee's performance on individual goals accounts for approximately 70 percent of his or her overall evaluation. In addition to performance against individual goals, all employees are evaluated with reference to six performance factors. These performance factors describe the characteristics the Company believes are important to the success of all employees, *i.e.*, customer focus, job knowledge, planning and decision making, productivity, builds relationships and leadership. The employee's performance with respect to these factors accounts for approximately 30 percent of the employee's overall evaluation.

# Q. Why is it reasonable to include incentive compensation as well as base compensation in rates?

First, the incentive compensation amount is a necessary part of total market value compensation. Hence, it is a legitimate business expense and does not result in unreasonable compensation levels. By basing total compensation on market levels, the Company is using an objective and accepted industry standard. The total compensation amount does not become unreasonable simply because the Company separates the total compensation in two parts.

Second, incentive pay allows the Company to recruit and maintain a qualified labor force. If only the base compensation were included in rates, the compensation amount in rates would be significantly below competitive market levels, and the Company could not maintain and attract the workforce needed to provide safe and reliable service if it only compensated employees at that level. Third, the goals upon which employee performance is assessed are designed to

A.

encourage superior performance on the part of our employees to pursue the goals that directly benefit our customers-safety, reliability, and customer service. This is precisely the type of prudently designed incentive plan program that provides direct benefits to customers and which customers should therefore support. Fourth, the incentive plan has been deliberately structured to avoid two elements which regulators have identified as objectionable. One, payment of the incentive is not contingent upon the parent company (i.e., MidAmerican) achieving a trigger profit level. Two, there is no probability that there will be no payment at all under the Annual Incentive Plan, although the amount available for distribution in any year may be less than 100 percent of the level indicated by the market data. The absence of these two elements is in contrast to another incentive plan available to a few of the Company's highest performers, the Long-Term Incentive Plan. The costs of that plan are not included in rates.

- Q. Please explain the level of incentive compensation that is included in this application.
- A. Recognizing that the pool of incentive compensation made available for distribution was reduced below 100 percent of the indicated market level in 2011 and 2012, the Company is proposing in this case to apply a percentage to the market level reflecting the average of the last three full actual years (calendar years 2011-2013). As shown in the exhibit of Company witness Mr. Steven R. McDougal (see Exhibit RMP\_\_(SRM-3)), this application includes a request for total Company incentive compensation in the amount of \$31.4 million (\$22.3 million expense after capitalization). This amount is calculated using the pro

forma wages in this case multiplied by a three-year average of the actual payment rate. The Utah portion of this expense is approximately \$9.5 million.

#### **Retirement Plans**

210

211

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

### Q. Please describe the Company's retirement plan.

212 The Company continues to strive to provide a competitive retirement plan Α. 213 offering while at the same time reducing the volatility in expense tied to 214 retirement plans so as to benefit both the customer and employee. In doing so, the 215 Company provides for non-represented employees hired prior to January 1, 2008, 216 the ability to receive their retirement through either a cash balance or 401k only 217 design. All non-represented employees hired post January 1, 2008, receive their 218 retirement through the 401k design approach. Retirement plan benefits for 219 represented employees are determined through the collective bargaining process, 220 through which the Company has maintained its focus to shift the retirement 221 approach from the traditional defined benefit to defined contribution (401k) 222 approach.

### **Employee Health Benefits**

### Q. Please describe the Company's health care benefits.

A. As with all benefits, the Company attempts to provide employees with the same level of health care benefits that are provided by the employers with whom the Company competes for labor. In our case, this means offering employees what I would describe as market average health benefits. And, of course, the Company seeks to provide these benefits as economically as possible.

230	Q.	How does the Company ensure that it is providing these competitive benefits
231		as economically as possible?
232	A.	The Company relies on the advice of its consultant, AON Hewitt, to ensure that it
233		is securing market competitive benefits at the best possible rate. AON Hewitt are
234		respected experts in their field and the Company has relied on them for many
235		years. With the help of AON Hewitt, the Company periodically reviews and
236		adjusts the sharing of healthcare-related costs with employees in an effort to
237		stabilize cost, manage volatility, and respond to changing market practices.
238	Q.	Has the Company faced any particular challenges in the past several years
239		relevant to its provision of health care benefits?
240	A.	Yes. It is widely understood that health care costs have been rising sharply over
241		the past several years. As a result, the Company experienced significant increases
242		in its health care benefit costs.
243	Q.	Has the Company taken any action to contain these cost increases?
244	A.	Yes. Beginning in 2008 the Company made adjustments to the cost sharing and
245		plan design to reduce costs and to align with market practices. In particular, the
246		Company established a base medical plan with a high deductible and a cost
247		sharing of 90/10, which in 2013 was set at 81/19 percent. The Company continues
248		to evaluate its plans and design and on January 1, 2014, will only offer the high
249		deductible plan (Health Savings Account) as the medical plan, with the exception
250		of Kaiser in limited markets.

251	Q.	What is the Company's rationale for sharing healthcare-related costs with
252		employees?
253	A.	This structural and design shifts adhere to the Company's goal of providing
254		competitive benefits to its employees, while doing so in a manner that is fair and
255		prudent for our customers.
256	Q.	Please explain the level of healthcare costs included in this application and
257		compare that to previous fiscal year expenses.
258	A.	Healthcare cost have remained a significant expense and one with a high degree
259		of volatility. For calendar years 2010, 2011, 2012 and 12 months ended June 2013
260		actual healthcare expenses totaled \$59.7, \$63.8, \$62.4, and \$61.0 million
261		respectively. The Company has included in this Application healthcare expenses
262		on a total Company basis of \$65.4 million (\$46.4 million expense after
263		capitalization), as shown in Exhibit RMP(SRM-3). The Utah allocated share
264		of healthcare expense is \$19.7 million.
265		AON Hewitt has informed the Company that current trends indicate the
266		rates for the Company's health benefits are anticipated to increase further in 2014
267		and 2015 by between eight and 10 percent.
268	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
269	A.	Yes