## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky	)
Mountain Power For Authority to	) Degree No. 12 025 194
Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service	DOCKET NO. 13-035-184
Rates in Utah and for Approval of its	) DDI J Evyyper 4 0 Dep Dev Dec
Proposed Electric Service Schedules and	DPU EXHIBIT 4.0 REB-REV REQ
Electric Service Regulations.	)

PRE-FILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

GEORGE W. EVANS

ON BEHALF OF THE

UTAH DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

June 4, 2014

1	Pre-filed Rebuttal Testimony		
2	GEORGE W. EVANS		
3	DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES		
4			
5		INTRODUCTION	
6	_		
7	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer, and current position or	
8		title for the record.	
9	A.	My name is George W. Evans, and my business address is 358 Cross Creek Trail,	
10		Robbinsville, North Carolina 28771. I am the President of Evans Power	
11		Consulting, Inc.	
12	Q.	For whom are you providing testimony in this case?	
13	A.	I am providing testimony on behalf of the Utah Division of Public Utilities (DPU	
14		or Division).	
15	Q.	Are you the same George Evans that presented direct testimony in this case?	
16	A.	Yes, I am.	
17		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?	
19	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to present the DPU's current position on	
20		two of the proposed net power cost (NPC) adjustments presented in my direct	
21		testimony.	

## Q. What proposed NPC adjustments will you discuss?

22

23 A. In my direct testimony, in Table 1 on page 6, I listed my proposed adjustments to 24 the Company's updated NPC. Additional information received from the Company 25 through responses to data requests has resulted in the removal of two of my 26 proposed adjustments – the shortfall in OATT collections from non-PacifiCorp 27 wind generators (adjustment 1 in Table 1) and solar integration charges 28 (adjustment 11 in Table 1). Originally, my adjustment for the shortfall in OATT 29 collections was a reduction of \$250,000 on a system basis and a reduction of 30 \$100,000 for Utah. My original adjustment for solar integration charges was a 31 reduction of \$17,000 for the system and \$7,000 for Utah. Removing these two 32 adjustments changes my total proposed NPC adjustment from a reduction of 33 \$44.28 million for the system (\$18.65 million for Utah) to a reduction of \$44.01 34 million for the system and a reduction of \$18.54 million for Utah. The revised 35 adjustments and revised total proposed adjustment are shown in the following 36 table.

DPU Exhibit 4.0 Reb-Rev Req George W. Evans Docket No. 13-035-184 Page 4 of 5

**Table 2** (Millions of Dollars)

		<u>System</u>	<u>Utah</u>		
Company's Updated Net Power Costs		\$1,510.21	\$636.14		
Proposed Adjustments:					
Con	tracts and Market Sales and Purchases:				
1	Removal of Market Caps	-\$16.14	-\$6.80		
2	CAISO Energy Imbalance Market Benefits	-\$10.23	-\$4.31		
3	Remove Constellation Purchase	-\$1.36	-\$0.57		
4	DC Intertie	-\$4.62	-\$1.95		
Foss	sil Generation Issues:				
5	Heat Rate Deration	-\$6.09	-\$2.57		
6	Lake Side 2 and Naughton 3 Gas EFOR	\$2.21	\$0.93		
7	Lake Side 1 EFOR	-\$2.31	-\$0.97		
8	Startup Energy	-\$2.46	-\$1.04		
Other Possible Adjustments:					
9	Line Losses	-\$3.02	-\$1.27		
Total Adjustment -\$44.0		-\$44.01	-\$18.54		
Adjusted Net Power Costs		\$1,466.20	\$617.60		

DPU Exhibit 4.0 Reb-Rev Req George W. Evans Docket No. 13-035-184 Page 5 of 5

- **Q.** Does this complete your rebuttal testimony?
- 38 A. Yes it does.