206		• <u>BPA Transmission Rate Increase</u> – The rates for the Bonneville Power
207		Authority have been set and are no longer an estimate for the test period in
208		this case. This addresses OCS proposed adjustment 12.1 from the 2011 GRC.
209	Hedg	ging
210	Q.	Does the Company continue to include hedging costs from financial
211		transactions in NPC?
212	A.	Yes.
213	Q.	Has the Company entered into any financial hedging transactions since the
214		Company entered into a settlement agreement with all parties in the 2011
215		GRC on July 28, 2011?
216	A.	Yes. The test period includes six electricity swap transactions that were entered
217		into subsequent to July 28, 2011. The total impact of these electricity swaps on
218		NPC is a net gain of \$4,992. The test period does not include any gas swap
219		transactions entered into subsequent to July 28, 2011.
220	Wind	d Integration Costs
221	Q.	What wind integration costs are included in NPC?
222	A.	The costs of integrating wind generation in the Company's balancing authority
223		areas included in NPC are approximately \$3.44/MWh.
224	Q.	Does the Company continue to base its wind integration costs on the results
225		of the 2010 Wind Integration Study ("Wind Study") filed with this
226		Commission in both the 2011 GRC and the 2011 Integrated Resource Plan
227		dockets?
228	A.	Yes. The Company continues to believe that the level of reserves required to

229		integrate wind generation net of system load, as identified in the Wind Study, is
230		appropriate.
231	Q.	Has the Company made any changes to the reserve requirements since the
232		2011 GRC?
233	A.	Yes. The reserve requirement from the Wind Study has been increased to
234		integrate the additional wind capacity in the test period. The Wind Study
235		calculated that an average of 533 MW of reserves were necessary to integrate
236		2,046 MW of wind capacity. This level of reserves was included in the prior case.
237		The test period for this proceeding includes an average of 2,280 MW of wind
238		capacity, 234 MW more than in the Wind Study. To integrate this additional
239		capacity, the Company increased the reserve requirement by 25 MW to 558 MW,
240		based on the relationship between the reserves required at the two highest
241		penetration levels in the wind study.
242	Q.	Has the Company included the costs associated with integrating the non-
243		owned wind generation in the Company's balancing authority areas?
244	A.	Yes. As explained in the 2011 GRC, the Company is required by federal law to
245		provide wind integration services to its wholesale customers on a non-
246		discriminatory basis. Therefore, the Company continues to believe it is
247		appropriate to reflect these costs in rates as prudent and necessary costs associated
248		with operating its system.
249	Q.	Has the Company filed its transmission rate case with FERC, and included
250		charges for ancillary services for non-owned wind facilities?
251	A.	Yes. The Company filed its transmission rate case on May 26, 2011, under docket

252		number ER11-3643. In that case, the Company proposed a new Schedule 3A that
253		will apply to all transmission customers delivering energy from generators in
254		PacifiCorp's balancing authority areas to other balancing authority areas. The
255		transmission rate case is ongoing with FERC.
256	Q.	Will the Company include these incremental revenues resulting from the
257		FERC transmission rate case in Utah rates once they are known and
258		measurable?
259	A.	Yes. As more fully explained in the direct testimony of Company witness Mr.
260		McDougal, since the exact amount of any increase are unknown at this time, the
261		Company proposes to defer any ancillary service revenues resulting from the
262		FERC transmission rate case through the end of the test period May 31, 2013.
263		This deferral will occur through the EBA without the application of the 30 percent
264		sharing mechanism. Utah's allocated share of these deferred revenues that are
265		incremental to revenues included in the Company's filing may then be passed
266		through to Utah customers as directed by the Commission.
267	Impro	oving NPC Accuracy
268	Q.	Does the Company propose to update NPC during the course of this
269		proceeding and in general rate cases in the future in order to improve the
270		accuracy of the NPC projections?
271	A.	Yes. The Commission authorized the Company to establish an EBA in which the
272		base NPC will be set in general rate cases. In order to achieve the most accurate
273		forecast of base NPC, and thus minimize the deferred NPC, the Company
274		proposes to update the following limited categories of NPC: