BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Investigation of the Costs and Benefits of Pacificorp's Net	Docket No. 14-035-114
Metering Program	Surrebuttal Testimony of Dan Black for Vivint Solar, Inc.

September 29, 2015

1	Q.	Please state your name, your position, and the party for whom you are testifying.	
2	A.	My name is Dan Black and I am Associate General Counsel for Vivint Solar, Inc.	
3		("Vivint Solar"). My address is 3301 North Thanksgiving Way, Suite 500, Lehi, Utah	
4		84043 and I am testifying for Vivint Solar.	
5	Q.	Did you file testimony previously in this docket?	
6	А.	Yes, I filed rebuttal testimony on September 8, 2015 for Vivint Solar.	
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?	
8	А.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to some of the rebuttal testimony	
9		of the other parties, particularly to the testimony of Rocky Mountain Power witness Paul	
10		Clements.	
11	Q.	What is your principal concern?	
12	A.	With the exception of the Joint Parties, the parties in this proceeding are suggesting	
13		approaches and even delving into rate design in ways to undervalue distributed solar	
14		power generation.	
15	Q.	Please give an example.	
16	A.	Rocky Mountain Power witness Paul Clements continues to advocate in his rebuttal	
17		testimony that any excess power a net metering customer generates be valued at Rocky	
18		Mountain Power's avoided cost rate.	
19	Q.	Do you understand Mr. Clements' rationale for that proposal?	
20	A.	On page 5, lines 98 to 104, Mr. Clements states that the Commission has determined the	
21		value of solar power for QFs and he believes that the power from rooftop distributed	
22		generation should be given the same value.	
23	Q.	Do you agree with that position?	

A. No. I think Mr. Clements' proposal undervalues rooftop solar power. Distributed rooftop
solar generation is not the same as power produced by a QF.

26

Q. What is the basis for that statement?

27 A. Joint Parties witness Ben Norris describes the differences in the value of power produced

by a QF versus power produced by distributed rooftop solar panels in his rebuttal

testimony on lines 276 to 315. Mr. Norris points out the significant difference in line loss

30 between the two sources of energy. It doesn't appear that Mr. Clements has taken account

of the fact that rooftop solar generation has virtually no transmission or distribution line

32 losses.

33 Q. Does Mr. Norris cite other differences?

A. Yes. He shows that reduced line loss increases the capacity benefit of distributed solar,
 that distributed generation reduces required reserve capacity, and that distributed

36 generation reduces the future distribution capital investment which in turn reduces

37 revenue requirement. These benefits of distributed generation can be quantified and

38 should be included and accounted for in any framework the Commission adopts.

Q. Do you have an opinion about why Rocky Mountain Power takes the approach it
 does in this proceeding?

41 A. I can't speak for Rocky Mountain Power, but generally their approach and

42 recommendations reflect what utilities are recommending elsewhere in the country. In a

43 report released this past summer by Environment America Research and Policy Center

- 44 entitled "Shining Rewards," the authors found that of 11 cost-benefit studies performed,
- 45 only the three sponsored by utility companies concluded that the value of solar energy is

2

46

47

48

less than the retail electricity rate. I have attached a copy of the report to this testimony as Exhibit A.

52

0. Does the report explain why?

49 A. Yes. As is true in Rocky Mountain Power's testimony and in the other parties' testimony other than the Joint Parties, they exclude benefits or attribute less than the full value of 50 solar power to the environment and to society by including only costs and savings that 51 affect the direct costs of operating the grid. These are real costs and should not be disregarded. 53

54

What is the effect of disregarding them? **Q**.

As stated before, it devalues the actual benefits of solar power and net metering. In 55 A. addition, it means that net metering customers contribute a benefit for which they are not 56 57 compensated. That is not right, just, reasonable or in the public interest.

Q. Do you have other concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's proposal? 58

- A. Yes, I am concerned about Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to use a short term over 59
- 60 which to measure the costs and benefits of net metering. The Office of Consumer
- Services makes the same proposal. 61
- Why is that a problem? 62 **Q**.

It makes no sense to evaluate a long-term resource like solar panels and net metering over 63 A. something as short as a test year used to set rates. The evaluation period should be much 64 longer and should probably include the entire life of the resource. I believe that is one of 65 the reasons why this Commission adopted the Utility Cost Test in its October 7, 2009 66 order in Docket No. 09-035-27 to analyze the effect of small-scale solar photovoltaic 67 projects. 68

- 69 Q. Does that conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
- 70 A. Yes

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony of Dan Black forVivint Solar was served by email this 29th day of September 2015 on the following:

Rocky Mountain Power: Bob Lively Yvonne Hogle Daniel E. Solander D. Matthew Moscon	bob.lively@pacificorp.com yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com daniel.solander@pacificorp.com dmmoscon@stoel.com
Division of Public Utilities: Patricia Schmid Justin Jetter Chris Parker Artie Powell Dennis Miller	pschmid@utah.gov jjetter@utah.gov chrisparker@utah.gov wpowell@utah.gov dmiller@utah.gov
Office of Consumer Services Rex Olsen Michele Beck Cheryl Murray Bela Vastag	: rolsen@utah.gov mbeck@utah.gov cmurray@utah.gov bvastag@utah.gov
Utah Clean Energy: Sophie Hayes Sarah Wright Kate Bowman	sophie@utahcleanenergy.org sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org
Alliance for Solar Choice: Bruce M. Plenk Thadeus Culley	solarlawyeraz@gmail.com tculley@kfwlaw.com
Utah Association of Energy Gary Dodge Kevin Higgins Neal Townsend	Users: gdodge@hjdlaw.com khiggins@energystrat.com ntownsend@energystrat.com
Salt Lake City Corporation Tyler Poulson	tyler.poulson@slcgov.com
Sierra Club Casey Roberts Travis Ritchie Derek Nelson	casey.roberts@sierraclub.org travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org derek.nelson@sierraclub.org

Utah Solar Energy Association Elias Bishop ebishop@utsolar.org Chad Hofheins chad@synergypowerpv.com

Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Inc. Sara Baldwin Auck sarab@irecusa.org

UCARE

Michael D. Rossetti	mike_rossetti@ucare.us.org
Stanley T. Holmes	stholmes3@xmission.com

/s/ Stephen F. Mecham_____