

## Fwd: Please save solar in Utah!!

1 message

Andria McQueen <andria27@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:03 PM

Hello,

I am a current solar customer and am extremely concerned with Rocky Mountain Power's current proposal to change the current structure to solar customers. I have invested over \$30,000 of my own money to help do my part to clean up Utah's dirty air. When we looked for a solar company, we invested in a Utah based company, investing in Utah jobs, people, and industry. We believe that the future of Utah lies in innovation, technology and STEM jobs, clean energy and a vibrant economy with many players.

Utah has a wonderful economy that thrives on diversity. Imagine if the only grocery store allowed by the state were Harmons? Or if the only car dealership allowed were Toyota? Or if the only home builder were Ivory Homes? Monopolies are never good for business, large or small. Rocky Mountain Power is essentially a monopoly, and they are using their power to stamp out any other competition. But Rocky Mountain Power will continue to thrive regardless of solar power. There will always be a need for an electric grid. There will always be a need for traditional electric power. Rocky Mountain Power should find a way to innovate and reduce costs, and compete rather than act as a monopoly and unfairly price competitors out of the market. This move would kill thousands of Utah jobs and essentially kill the investment of thousands of honest Utahn's who have put solar on their homes.

I ask you to consider how destructive this proposal from Rocky Mountain Power is. Please consider the millions of consumers, thousands of jobs, and the future industries at stake and not just the millions in profits RMP is hoping to sustain.

Regards, Andria McQueen



## Solar power

1 message

stan swallow <stanswallow@att.net>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:07 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

I heard that Rocky Mountain Power is trying to hurt solar power production in Utah. Please don't let them punish those of us who have spent a lot of money for a solar system. We put ours in with the idea of helping the energy situation. Thanks for your consideration.

Stan Swallow

Sent from my TMobile 4G LTE Device



## **Rocky Mountain Power Rates for Solar owners**

1 message

jamiekay@xmission.com <jamiekay@xmission.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:11 PM

Dear Commission Members;

I am appalled to learn of Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate increase to solar customers.

Those who choose solar are choosing a cleaner environment for our descendants. Please do all you can to encourage alternative energy sources rather than punish those who will use Rocky Mountain Power's electricity only from time to time. Of course it is fair to charge for the electricity used, but all customers should pay the same rates.

Make Utah energy use as clean as possible, and deny Rocky Mountain Power's request to increase their rates for solar customers.

Sincerely, Jamie S. Kay



## RMP Net metering proposal

1 message

**Daniel** <daniel@elementscapitalgroup.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:13 PM

## To Whom It May Concern:

I have learned that Rocky Mountain Power is attempting to **unreasonably** raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, which effectively restricts free trade and limits access to clean and efficient energy. Being a finance company affiliated with a bank that provides financial support to many of those participating in or purchasing products from the solar industry, **I strongly oppose** these attempts by Rocky Mountain Power to **penalize** solar customers (and solar businesses) through rate increases.

It is important that there is freedom of choice for consumers to elect their source of power and to be enabled to save money through the use of solar power. Utah has long been regarded as a state encouraging forward thinking businesses and cutting edge technology, and efforts have been made for years by state and local leaders to promote that nationally . Solar power is not a trend, but is the future of energy , and Utah has the opportunity be an example to the rest of the country and become a leader in renewable energy production.

I am disappointed that Rocky Mountain Power has chosen to put its bottom line ahead of the clean air, consumer choice, solar jobs, and the health of Utah residents.

This is my personal disagreement with and complaint regarding Rocky Mountain Power's actions. I oppose it and hope that this can be stopped to save jobs, the environment, and consumer's choices.

Thank you,

Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy Note5.



# **Support for Rocky Mountain Power Solar rate increase**

1 message

Michael Elliott <melliott84067@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:15 PM

To: stevehandy@le.utah.gov, jwstevenson@le.utah.gov, jsadams@le.utah.gov, psc@utah.gov

I believe Rocky Mountain Power should be allowed to reduce the rate they reimburse home solar panel owners to the wholesale rate. I do not wish to subsidise the purchase of solar at the neighbourhood level.

Please see attachment

Sincerely,

Mike Elliott



Solar Power Rate increase support.doc

# Subject: Support for Rocky Mountain Power billing changes regarding net metering for solar panel homeowners.

I am writing you today after having a representative from a solar company knock on my door in order to convince me that net metering should not change as Rocky Mountain Power desires. I have done my research and believe that Rocky Mountain Power is correct in wishing to end the current rate of net metering for future customers. I do not believe that my neighbors and I that do not own solar panels should continue to subsidize those with solar panels.

I believe solar should be part of our energy future but it should stand on its own. I further believe that individuals that have solar need to pay to maintain and improve the grid system over which power is delivered to them when the sun is not shining, and when they are delivering their excess power to Rocky Mountain Power. They should receive fair remuneration for the power they deliver to Rocky Mountain Power; however, I believe a fair amount is the same as other wholesale producers, not at the retail rate.

Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Mike Elliott 1353 N 3100 E Layton, Utah 84040



## **Rooftop Solar Rate Change**

1 message

Catherine Chambless <cathychambless@me.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Tim Chambless <tchambless@poli-sci.utah.edu>

To Whom It May Concern,

We want to voice our opposition to the recent rate change proposal from Rocky Mountain Power regarding homeowners who install rooftop solar. This sudden proposal needs more discussion and consideration before it should be implemented. I support postponing this rate change and holding public hearings to allow more research, analysis and discussion.

This change would have devastating effects on the fledgling solar industry emerging in Utah. Solar power has many more benefits to the community as a whole than just to individual users. As battery storage decreases in price, rooftop solar users can reduce the overall need for power

Rocky Mountain Power is very precipitous in this decision and more input from the general public and outside analysts is needed. Please delay this decision to change rates on solar power for homeowners who take responsibility for reducing our carbon footprint.

Sincerely, Tim and Cathy Chambless 1977 Scenic Dr Salt Lake City, UT 84108

Cathy Chambless cathychambless@icloud.com and Tim Chambless tchambless@poli-sci.utah.edu



## Do Not approve the levy of a surcharge on rooftop solar

1 message

**Catherine Sharpsteen** <csharpsteen@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

Dear Sirs or Madams:

I am opposed to the levy of a surcharge on rooftop solar installations even though I do not have such equipment myself, because I believe everyone benefits when people choose to use this form of energy.

The need in this state, in this country, and in this world is for sustainable energy development as well as more efficient use of energy. The imposition of a tax or mon thly fee on households and businesses dissuades investment in clean sources of power.

I urge the Public Service Commission to deny Rocky Mountain Power's new rate structure that would severely discourage new solar installation and penalize people who have invested in the power of the future.

Respectfully,

Catherine Sharpsteen

1366 E Royal Troon Dr. Apt 11

Salt Lake City, UT 84124



## **Please Save Solar**

1 message

**Danielle Brown** <a href="mailto:vdanielle.brown@auricsolar.com">danielle.brown@auricsolar.com</a>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

To whom it may concern,

Please help save the solar industry. Auric Solar has been the most wonderful company I have ever worked with and I hope to continue developing myself and my career with this company and in this industry. So many people I have spoken with who don't even have solar are very for it. It gives them the option to choose where they want their power to come from and most look forward to participating in the near future. Please save our jobs and keep us in mind when making these critical decisions in the next few weeks.

All the best,

Danielle Brown

Talent Acquisition (801) 708-0647





## Opposed to RMC rate proposale

1 message

**School** <jill.burkett@washk12.org>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:22 PM

To whom it may concern,

I would like to add my name to any list available showing my opposition to Rocky Mountain Company's proposal.

With the inversion, pollution, and continued growth in Salt Lake, along with the rest of the state, it would be in opposition to cresting a healthier environment for the citizens of our state to award them this proposal.

Please see fit to oppose this, as well.

Jill Burkett St. George, Utah 435-632-9802

Sent from my iPhone



## RMP requested change to net metering

1 message

clark stacey <clarkstacey@me.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:22 PM

Honorable Commissioners.

Please consider my voice as among those objecting to RMP's proposed changes to the net metering program for residential solar installations, as presented in Docket 14-035-114.

I am a customer of Rocky Mountain Power, and do not have solar panels installed on my residence. I hope to do so in the next 12-18 months, though, and hope to see many of my neighbors follow suit. I confess I therefore have a vested interest in the outcome of your decision, but that is not what compels me to write. I believe it is in the public's best interest that every reasonable means be employed to incentivize solar power installations along the Wasatch Front.

The air pollution in the Salt Lake-Provo corridor is intolerable and getting worse. Yet RMP generates 80% of our electricity with coal-fired power plants, the dirtiest means available, regardless of mitigating technologies. The effect of their nominal investments in large-scale renewable and natural gas generation is de minimus against the overwhelming influence of coal-driven power generation, the proximity of gas refineries within city limits, and our growing vehicle population.

By incentivizing solar installations, you have the power to address two of those problems directly. Residential solar will lessen our dependence on coal burning, and accelerate the conversion of urban commuters to electric vehicles. I am one electric car driver who is perpetually frustrated that my car is fueled by coal-derived electricity, negating the positive effect I could be having on our smog problem.

Please send a strong message to Rocky Mountain Power that until the bulk of their power is derived from clean sources, the PSC will make the health of W asatch Front residents their highest priority by incentivizing residential solar through the existing net metering arrangements.

Sincerely,

Clark Stacey 563 South 1100 East SLC, UT 84102 801,412,0000



## I support

1 message

**jevine@bizexchange.co** <jevine@bizexchange.co> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:24 PM

Hello,

There are many reasons to support innovating our energy sources and clean air is a BIG one. As an adult, I now have severe asthma brought on from our extremely poor air conditions, multiple times each year. It has cost my family thousands of dollars, loss time from work and **farf**ing an illness that doesn't go away

It is my believe and that of many of us who live in Salt Lake Counthyat we need to clean up our air Please do the right thing and make that changes necessary to implement these changes.

I do support solar energy and you support the possibility of adjusting rates as part of a full rate case where all costs and benefits of solar energy can be evaluated.

Thank you.



# RMP residential solar power rate hike

1 message

**Brad Carroll** <br/>bcarroll@weber.edu><br/>To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

Dear Reader

I am writing to strongly oppose Rocky Mountain Power's request to increase their rates for users of solar power. As we in the Salt Lake Valley are overwhelmed by pollution during the winter months, it is important that every possible alternative energy solution be developed. The proposed rate hike would work against this, and set back the substantial progress that has been made.

Sincerely,

Brad Carroll 1563 Swan St. Ogden, UT 84401



## Please save Utah Solar!

1 message

**Dominique Sparacino** <dsparacino321@yahoo.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:28 PM

To whom ever it may concern,

Please do not allow Rocky Mountain Power to change the existing rates on rooftop solar power in Salt Lake City! Don't let them steal the opportunity for us all to share in the profits of Solar Power. It's healthier for everyone! Our city air is disgusting as it is. Don't kill the initiative for a clean air environment. And the jobs that solar produces are so beneficial to hundreds of families. Don't let them shut us down! Please!

Sent from my iPhone



## **Rocky Mountain Power/Solar Power**

1 message

**Shawn Green** <animalimagesphotography@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:33 PM

The state of Utah needs to regulate the power of Rocky Mountain Power. Solar power is an excellent, clean alternative energy that is perfect for a desert, which is what we live in. The fact that RMP is intending massive rate increases to those using solar power is extortion. I am to the point of considering going off grid, regardless of the laws. Why should citizens follow the dictates of corrupt big business? Are you really getting the kick backs you think justify screwing over your public.

If this isn't stopped, it will be remembered next election time, bond time and whatever other ways you intend to stick it to us.

STOP THIS!

SHAWN MARIE GREEN



## Re: Rocky Mountain Power solar rate increase

1 message

**scottalltogether@gmail.com** <scottalltogether@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:33 PM

Forgot to include my name and number:

Scott Bartlett 801-830-7188

Sent from my iPhone

- > On Nov 22, 2016, at 4:56 PM, Scott Bartlett < scottalltogether@gmail.com> wrote:
- >
- > Please do not approve Rocky Mountain Power's requested solar rate increase. I understand their need to protect their company and their investment in infrastructure, but their request will kill solar power in Utah. I think this would be bad for consumers and businesses. I also think rooftop solar power can and should be a huge part of Utah's energy future, both for environmental and energy independence reasons. There are certainly challenges to be met to make best use of rooftop solar, but those challenges are not a reason to kill the industry. A comprehensive solution that will promote rooftop solar and help Rocky Mountain Power serve the state is needed. Their proposal serves only them.



## **Rocky Mountain Solar Proposal**

1 message

**Xing Li** <xingtheli@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:34 PM

To whom it may concern,

My name is Xing and I have lived in Utah for many years. This state is great. We have a fantastic outdoor environment that rivals any state or nation. And the state's values of small government and the free market has done wonders for the economy.

The proposal that Rocky Mountain Power issued to tax solar customers is an unprecedented attack on all of that.

My background is in finance, but I've been a power and utilities analyst for almost five years now. Berkshire Hathaway and its subsidiaries, including Rocky Mountain Power, have been some of the most viciously anti-solar entities in the country. They want us to keep paying for outdated and dirty coal, while they reap the benefits. Berkshire owns the entire supply chain: the railroads, coal mines, coal terminals, and decades-old coal plants that are killing our air.

Crucially, they've been given monopoly power of how electricity is consumed in this state. Have they used that integration and market power to lower costs and improve the grid for everyone? Clearly not. If they had been making useful investments in energy efficiency, they wouldn't have to raise prices at all. Instead of working with solar to make power cheaper for everyone, they've chosen to try and eliminate solar to squeeze customers even more.

No other utility in the nation, outside of others owned by Berkshire, have behaved this way. On both coasts in the in the center of the country, in all sorts of states, urban and rural, solar and other renewables have thrived as people have found them to be common sense technologies that benefit everyone. I urge you to not let Utah be one of the rare black marks that turns its back on our energy future.

Best regards, Xing Li

Xing Li xingtheli@gmail.com 801.615.1628



## **Solar Panels**

1 message

Jon Rackham <jlrackham@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:36 PM

To whom it may concern,

I am writing in concern of Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) wanting to penalize those with solar panels. I am not in favor of this. I have read articles that RMP should pay us because we are saving them money. Please do not vote in favor of this.

They are using solar panels in generating electricity. Are they going to fine themselves also.

Thanks

Jon Rackham 435-730-2149



## RMP rate hike

1 message

**Dan Bunner** <dan.bunner@auricsolar.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:40 PM

To whomever it concerns.

This email is in regards to the proposal that Rocky Mountain Power wants to charge customers for the solar power that they get.

First I don't think that should be allowed due to the fact that that power is generated by the sun. The customers paid for their solar systems and are doing their part to help clean up the air and reduce the reliance on fossil fuel energy. I hope that more people in the future do what they can to reduce the need for fossil fuel.

Second I don't think that RMP should be able to charge for a service they are not providing. Rocky Mountain Power charging for solar is putting money in their pocket that they don't deserve.

Solar is my livelyhood something like this risks the livelyhood of a lot of people in the solar industry.

**Daniel Bunner** 



## Protect the Public!! Save the Whale oil and Buggy whip industries!!!

1 message

**Bill Haueter** <bill.haueter@auricsolar.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:45 PM

To whom it may concern,

Can you imagine the world we would live in now if the current environment of passing laws and regulations to limit competition and choice, supposedly in the name public interest and national security, would have existed in the past?

Laws that would have kept the status quo, just as we were on the verge on changing the world as it was. Laws that would have prevented the whale oil industry from going out of business because it was being threatened by new discoveries and technology, turning that obnoxious black stuff that was oozing out of the ground, ruining good farmland, into a product that could replace the whale oil in lamps?

Or the motorcar, at the time seen only as a passing fad.

Surely the cry went out to stop those obnoxious machines from ruining the Country!

Or AC electricity, where live demonstrations were staged to show how dangerous it was by hooking up animals to live wires and then electrocuting them to death. All done in an effort to promote the self-interest of a few companies and individuals.

If those existing companies, together with their collective power, had been allowed to put a stop to the development of those new technologies, can you even imagine the world we would live in now?

No one could have imagined, at that point in time, the innovations and life changing discoveries that would come about because of the birth of those industries.

When you stomp out competition you also kill all of the future discoveries and innovation that come from those new competitors.

Now we have the new whale oil industry Rocky Mountain Power, complaining that competition is unfair and hurts their bottom line. Again it is slanted to make it feel like they are looking after the public good by claiming that competition is unfair to their existing customers by making them shoulder an unfair portion of the burden.

Funny, this sounds like the same argument used every time a large monopolistic company is threatened by any type of competition.

It can't be allowed, they cry!!

It's a threat to the public, they cry!!

If the Public Service Commission's job is to do things that are in the best interest of the public, do you think that limiting competition is the way to do that?

Are you willing to take a stance that could not only kill the current competition but every discovery and innovation that could come about because of that competition?

I urge you to look at the bigger picture here and the implications of allowing Rocky Mountain Power to eliminate its competition.

Can you truly say the trend toward less and less real choice is in the best public interest?

Large companies nationwide have been allowed to gobble up or block the competition in every area in which we used to have a real choice. From where we eat, to the news we watch and the products we buy, the trend has been to consolidate everything into a few large hands.

This has resulted in a huge loss in jobs, potential innovation and real competition.

Can you give me a compelling argument that competition is not in the best interest of the public?

Please think carefully about what implications your decision could have on future generations.

Don't sacrifice a future, that possibly can't even be imagined yet, in the name saving the status quo.

Just as the industries of the past have cried foul in the face of new competition, and the threat to their bottom line, so is Rocky Mountain Power crying foul now.

I work in the solar industry and so I accept the fact that my opinions may be biased. But I challenge you to find fault with the argument presented.

Respectfully,

Bill Haueter

8315 W 3100 S

Magna, UT 84044



## **Rocky Mountain Power solar changes**

1 message

**Brian L Smith** <br/> <br/> <br/> <br/> Fo: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:52 PM

My name is Brian Smith. I live in Cedar City UT. I purchased solar power for my home about a year ago. I think that changes to the existing solar power structure in UT is unfair to solar power users and is merely a money grab by the utility company. As it stands now, the utility gets all my extra solar production that I fail to use for free. If they then add additional "infrastructure" cost to my bill, it is another way for the utility to make money and for consumers to lose money. Please stand up to Rocky Mountain Power on our behalf.

Sincerely, Brian Smith



## Protect the Public!! Save the Whale oil and Buggy whip industries!!!

1 message

**Bill Haueter** <bill.haueter@auricsolar.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:45 PM

To whom it may concern,

Can you imagine the world we would live in now if the current environment of passing laws and regulations to limit competition and choice, supposedly in the name public interest and national security, would have existed in the past?

Laws that would have kept the status quo, just as we were on the verge on changing the world as it was. Laws that would have prevented the whale oil industry from going out of business because it was being threatened by new discoveries and technology, turning that obnoxious black stuff that was oozing out of the ground, ruining good farmland, into a product that could replace the whale oil in lamps?

Or the motorcar, at the time seen only as a passing fad.

Surely the cry went out to stop those obnoxious machines from ruining the Country!

Or AC electricity, where live demonstrations were staged to show how dangerous it was by hooking up animals to live wires and then electrocuting them to death. All done in an effort to promote the self-interest of a few companies and individuals.

If those existing companies, together with their collective power, had been allowed to put a stop to the development of those new technologies, can you even imagine the world we would live in now?

No one could have imagined, at that point in time, the innovations and life changing discoveries that would come about because of the birth of those industries.

When you stomp out competition you also kill all of the future discoveries and innovation that come from those new competitors.

Now we have the new whale oil industry Rocky Mountain Power, complaining that competition is unfair and hurts their bottom line. Again it is slanted to make it feel like they are looking after the public good by claiming that competition is unfair to their existing customers by making them shoulder an unfair portion of the burden.

Funny, this sounds like the same argument used every time a large monopolistic company is threatened by any type of competition.

It can't be allowed, they cry!!

It's a threat to the public, they cry!!

If the Public Service Commission's job is to do things that are in the best interest of the public, do you think that limiting competition is the way to do that?

Are you willing to take a stance that could not only kill the current competition but every discovery and innovation that could come about because of that competition?

I urge you to look at the bigger picture here and the implications of allowing Rocky Mountain Power to eliminate its competition.

Can you truly say the trend toward less and less real choice is in the best public interest?

Large companies nationwide have been allowed to gobble up or block the competition in every area in which we used to have a real choice. From where we eat, to the news we watch and the products we buy, the trend has been to consolidate everything into a few large hands.

This has resulted in a huge loss in jobs, potential innovation and real competition.

Can you give me a compelling argument that competition is not in the best interest of the public?

Please think carefully about what implications your decision could have on future generations.

Don't sacrifice a future, that possibly can't even be imagined yet, in the name saving the status quo.

Just as the industries of the past have cried foul in the face of new competition, and the threat to their bottom line, so is Rocky Mountain Power crying foul now.

I work in the solar industry and so I accept the fact that my opinions may be biased. But I challenge you to find fault with the argument presented.

Respectfully,

Bill Haueter

8315 W 3100 S

Magna, UT 84044



## **Rocky Mountain Power solar changes**

1 message

**Brian L Smith** <br/> <br/> <br/> <br/> Fo: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:52 PM

My name is Brian Smith. I live in Cedar City UT. I purchased solar power for my home about a year ago. I think that changes to the existing solar power structure in UT is unfair to solar power users and is merely a money grab by the utility company. As it stands now, the utility gets all my extra solar production that I fail to use for free. If they then add additional "infrastructure" cost to my bill, it is another way for the utility to make money and for consumers to lose money. Please stand up to Rocky Mountain Power on our behalf.

Sincerely, Brian Smith



## **NO** changes

1 message

Mark Judd <mjuddcsi@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Mark Judd <mjuddcsi@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:55 PM

I have just put solar on my house, it would really hurt if you let them change the rules right hibre the idea of helping OUR environment. Seams to me they just do as they please and get rate increases every year We have just gone through five very slim years a couple with out any raise just glade to have a job. I know they are for profit but they give a lot of money in rebates where is that money coming from. I don't know all the answers but it would hurt a lot of people that have invested a lot of money if you let them change the rules. Solar helps when it is needed in the middle of the summer and we are all running ouAC's



## **RMP Solar Rate Proposal**

1 message

**Sheldon R. Furst** <furst@comcast.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:59 PM

Sirs:

I am a rooftop solar owner, having installed my system in 2015. I am pleased to be reducing my carbon footprint, especially in a region that relies so heavily on coal for electricity production.

While I am very happy with my solar system, it will take almost 16 years for me to hit the break-even point to where I recoup my investment (and this includes the state and federal rebates). Under the new rate system proposed by Rocky Mountain Power I calculate it would take close to 30 years for payoff. Despite my eagerness to "go green", that would be an investment that would be impossible to justify

I STRONGLY urge you to deny RMPs proposed rate change for residential solar. Approval would devastate the residential solar industry (as it has in Nevada), increase greenhouse gases and result in the loss of good-paying technology jobs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sheldon Furst 2752 Comanche Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84108



# Please Prevent RMP from Squashing Their Competition and Kill Freedom to Choose.

1 message

**Matthew Jenkins** <boydjenkins@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue. Nov 22, 2016 at 6:04 PM

When businesses compete, people benefit from innovation and better prices, better customer experience, etc.

RMP has been a publicly traded monopoly for far too long and now they have a legitimate competitor, they are trying to kill it.

They say they love solar, but they only love it if they can sell it. Solar farms allow RMP to mark up solar power and sell it to the end user who is stuck. If their proposal is approved, it will not be in the best interested of the common good, but will be because their profits are in jeopardy, and they claim that solar companies shift the cost of maintain the grid to now solar customers, proving that they cannot compete without pulling this type of playground bullying. Studies all around the country have proven that solar is neutral or beneficial for utilities in many ways. RMP's claim about how much money solar customers would cost them is outright ridiculous.

I lived in Hawaii for 4 years and they have between 20-30% of homes already that have rooftop solar and they did study after study after study and decides that "grid upgrade" charges needed to be charged for new solar customers to help defray the costs of maintaining and upgrading each individual circuit on a case by case basis and these fees ranges from \$20 to \$140 one time fee, not a ridiculous monthly increase, and a reduced feed in tariff and demand charge that will cost each new solar customer tens of thousands in the following decades. That is not fair, not just, and ridiculous for all who have seen how things were handled in a state that literally have 20+ times for solar per capita than Utah.

We need to deregulate and allow other utilities to compete in Utah and being in more compettion, not help a monopoly get rid of it. Other businesses have to compete and improve and earn their business. RMP just has to obey your decisions and we are dependent on you three commissioners to represent the hundreds of thousands of our interests. I fear that you will care more about pleasing a publicly traded monopoly than about serving to protect the interests of the individual home owner

Please take time to read all of the precedence in all the states that have passed this way before and please ensure that competition continues and that you will preserve our right to chose where we buy our electricity.

Solar customers already pay the same fee as non solar customers. \$6-\$8 per month and have a neutral effect on the grid as they consume less and acctually generate electricity that can be used by those in their close proximity .

Look at Nevada. Look at Hawaii. Look at SRP in Arizona.

Thanks!!!

Matt Jenkins



# New bill being introduced

1 message

LENNIE MARIE SWENSON < lennie.swenson@utah.edu>

10

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:09 PM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

To whom it may concern,

I do not support or agree with Rocky Mountain's Docket No. 14-035-114 COMPLIANCE FILINGAND REQUEST TO COMPLETE ALL ANALYSES REQUIRED UNDER THE NET METERING SATUTE FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE NET METERING PROGRAM. Private owners of renewable energy systems, i.e. solar energy hould not be subjected to your proposed rate fee schedule.

Sincerely,

Lennie Swenson



## Against rate hikes on solar power

1 message

**David Root** <deltadave91@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:27 PM

I hope the measure put forward by RMP to hike rates on solar power users is dismissed.

This was done in Nevada so as to disincentivise the transitioning to clean renewable energy and it killed the solar power industry there.

Shouldn't we try to incentivise more people to transition over to solar power rather than maintain the status quo?

## **David Root**

DNR Enterprises 91 South Sherwood Dr Providence, Utah 84332 deltadave91@gmail.com



## Rocky Mountain Power proposal for rooftop solar customers

1 message

Casey Preece pinknapkin@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:30 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing regarding the proposed rate increase for RMP roof top solar customers. I am hearing that you have received very little input from the public on this and wanted to voice my concerns. First of all, I have gone through an amazing amount of trouble to have my panels installed (cutting down trees and upgrading my electrical system to name a couple) and now after finally having my panels installed and they are up and running, I am hearing that RMP is feeling slighted due to the surge in roof top solar. First of all, my electrical system is on a net meter, meaning that any electricity that I generate that I do not use, is put back on the grid for RMP to sell to other customers, I don't sell it back to them, I GIVE it to them because I believe in solar and anything I can do to reduce the burning of coal makes me happy. For them to say solar customers are draining the grid is laughable, they obtain free solar power that they then sell on to non-solar customers. The numbers I heard thrown around were that it was costing them millions of dollars to allow net metering. I want to declare my disbelief that such a thing is happening. I believe that RMP is feeling slighted because they are loosing customers to solar, not that it is costing them any more to allow net metering. The fact of the matter is that Northern Utah is a perfect place for solar, and with the warming trend that we are experiencing, the sunny days are only going to increase. It would make more sense for RMP to embrace the inevitable and make net metering attractive so that they can continue to get my solar power for free and sell it at greatly inflated rates to their non-solar customers!! I feel like Rocky Mountain Power is attempting to make solar power unaffordable because they fear losing profit, not because it is in the best interest of the environment or their customer base.

I hope you will take my concerns into consideration in your ultimate decision regarding this power monopoly.

Sincerely,

Casey J. Preece 6525 Lombardy Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84121



## Support for rooftop solar

1 message

**Ann Turner** <annturner212@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:46 PM

I am a homeowner who installed rooftop solar panels three years ago. I am vehemently opposed to the request by Rocky Mountain Power to change the rates that are charged to solar users. Their claims are unwarranted.

Utah needs to encourage the expansion of solar power wherever it can. This is a state blessed with abundant sunshine, but one that also struggles with terrible air quality, often the worst in the nation. We cannot let a monopoly like Rocky Mountain, who also owns interest in coal mines, dictate how we generate our electricity.

Please reject this request from Rocky Mountain to increase charges to homeowners with solar power.

Ann Turner

Sent from my iPad



# Kepp solar for the people

1 message

Anne Peters <annempeters@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Anne Peters <annempeters@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:42 PM

Please allow homeowners to have solar in the homes Please keep the pricing and rate structures as they currently are.

thank you,

Anne Peters Ivins, UT



## **Solar Energy**

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:53 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Attached is a letter written by Dr. Reed M. Izatt. I have read the letter and completely agree with what Dr. Izatt has asked the Public Service Commission to take action on, please consider it. I am sending this letter , and I know other's have as well, and I agree with the letter that action must be taken to transition completely from fossil fuel energy to renewable resource investments. Thank you for taking the time and consideration to look this over and please act on it.

Respectfully,

Liberty Clarissa foster

Durango, CO



solar letter docx

14K

## To whom it may concern:

Use of solar panels provides an efficient way to convert energy from the sun into electricity. Solar electricity generation is one of the few low-carbon energy technologies with the potential to grow to large scale. Several benefits are immediately obvious. The sun provides unlimited energy that has been used for millions of years to produce green plants. Sunlight is free. With the advent of modern physics, chemistry, and engineering during the past century, clever individuals have discovered how to convert sunlight into electricity using readily available chemical elements. In the past few years, this discovery has been commercialized and is now the basis for a rapidly growing global enterprise that has the promise over the next few decades of providing electricity to a wide variety of users ranging from homeowners to large businesses at competitive rates. Today, it is estimated by the Solar Energy Industries Association that the U.S. solar industry employs 174,000 Americans and pumps nearly \$18 billion per year into our economy.

Advantages of solar energy for electricity production are many. Solar panels can be used for small installations such as homes or for large buildings. A solar inverter is used to convert direct current output into alternating current for use by the energy off-taker. Most installations are tied to regulated electric utility industries, but it is possible to make the operation independent by using rechargeable batteries. The system is simple with no need for extensive transmission lines from commercial coal, natural gas, or nuclear electric power generating plants to the user. Secondary effects encountered with the use of coal, natural gas, or radionuclides to power these plants, such as environmental pollution and negative human health issues are eliminated. This is a tremendous benefit, especially in developing countries, since waste generated in mining, processing, and using these resources is large and expensive to treat, if treated at all.

Use of green technologies, such as solar panels, is increasing on a global scale. Western Europe, China, and India are prime movers in this effort. The population of India is over one billion. Of these, about 300 million do not have access to electricity. This number is equal to the population of the United States. India has embarked on a program of installing solar panels on rooftops and setting up massive solar parks. This effort is expected to catapult India to the forefront of the global effort to bring electricity to all. An immediate benefit is the decreased need to build electric power generating plants to provide this electricity.

Some experts predict that by 2050 a major fraction of the world's power should come from solar sources. However, getting to this point will require real and dedicated cooperation among stakeholders including users; providers; local, State, and National legislators and policy makers; and utilities. Many important and controversial issues need to be considered and workable solutions found.

The long-term potential of solar power to meet a significant portion of global electricity demand is assured. It is imperative that stakeholders work together to identify and solve problems. Individuals in the U.S. should be leading this field, not putting impediments in its path.

Reed M. Izatt, Ph.D.

Provo, Utah



# Solar Fee Increase

1 message

**kyle** <kyledodtnu@aol.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:04 PM

#### Public Service Commission:

I am emailing to express my concern over the net meter rate schedule proposed by Rocky Mountain Power.

The proposed fee increase will make most residential solar installations economically unfeasible. The pricing based on peak power demand seems to be based on commercial solar installations which has questionable applicability to residential customers.

Residential solar is an important source of jobs in Utah, and helps improve air quality and thus quality of life, especially for the very young and old and other vulnerable groups. While adjustments may be needed to the fee structure there are questionable or at least debatable assumptions involved in the calculations that conclude residential solar customers are getting a \$400 yearly subsidy. A transparent discussion with an extended public comment period could help in developing a proposal that can have residential solar customers contribute a fair amount to electrical grid maintenance while not completely stymieing residential solar development.

Thank you, Kyle Dodson



# Deny Rocky Mountain Power's proposed net metering fee increases on solar , Docket Number: 14-035-114

1 message

**Dave Iltis** <dave@cyclingutah.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue. Nov 22, 2016 at 7:20 PM

Dear Utah PSC,

We are writing to oppose Rocky Mountain Power's request to increase fees on net meter (solar) customers.

We purchased 17 solar panels in 2015 through the University of Utah program. These have powered my home since.

By doing so, we made an investement in Utah's clean air, in reducing fossil fuel consumption, and in our own economic future.

Because Rocky Mountain Power is too short sighted and only wanting to benefit their stockholders, they are wanting to charge more for customers who BENEFIT RMP! is ridiculous beyond belief.

Solar is something that RMP should encourage by working with home owners, not the other way around.

It's ironic and sad to see the billboards and ads touting RMP's committment to solar, while at the same time, they appear to be doing everything to discourage it. There advertising that they support solar is inline with their need to reduce the need for new power plants, yet their proposed net metering fees for solar customers are going to kill the solar industry in Utah, just like in Nevada.

More solar is a net benefit to RMP, and the net metering fee increase is bad for Utah, unfair for RMP customers, and bad for the earth.

"Public Services" should imply servicing the public, not just one corporation.

The PSC needs to have a backbone and look at the big picture - do we want a planet that is habitable? Or, more money for RMP's stockholders?

Please deny RMP's application on increasing rates on net metered customers, Docket Number: 14-035-114.

Sincerely,

Dave Iltis and Lisa Hazel 1124 4th Ave. Salt Lake City, UT 84103 801-328-2066



# **Utah solar**

1 message

David Scott pumamup@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:25 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

It would be horrible if you made a decision that completely shut down solar in Utah. Look at what happened to Nevada. Installs have dropped by 99%.... you should at least look at other options instead of stopping it in it's tracks right now. If this rate increase passes thousands of jobs will be lost, and that will be on you. No one loses jobs if it stays, not even anyone at Rocky Mountain power.



# **Docket 14-035-114 Net Metering Policy Proposal**

1 message

**Amra Ferhatbegovic** <amra.ferhatbegovic@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:34 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to you as a very concerned citizen in regards to Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to increase rates for consumers of solar power. I understand that they have a business need. However, this is a giant leap backward and we need to consider the entire, long-term impact of this proposal. An increase would discourage businesses and home owners from making the switch to sustainable solar energy. Renewable energy is a crucial step to better our air quality and our environment. Rocky Mountain Power should work on being competitive in that market instead of using its power to monopolize the business.

This would jeopardize many Utah businesses that have been successful in the solar industry as well as thousands of jobs.

Consumers should have a choice in where their power comes from and this would disadvantage the solar industry, the consumers who already invested in solar panels, and it would discourage others from making the switch.

Therefore, I urge you to vote down this proposal.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Amra Ferhatbegovic



# Solar power in Utah

1 message

**Neena Plant** <nplant9@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:39 PM

Rocky Mountain Power is trying to find any excuse to tax or otherwise restrict the use of solar power by the citizens of the state of Utah. This is plane wrong. If a household can put solar panels up and save our air from burning coal and pitch, we should be incentivized, not punished. Shareholders notwithstanding, RMP takes plenty of our already stretch paychecks. Give the planet and our air a chance by declining their requests for restrictions, penalties, and taxation of solar homes. Follow your agency's name and mandate to serve and protect individual households from the greed of public utilities, and keep solar energy start-up costs coming down so they're af fordable for lower income families who will benefit most.

Sincerely, Neena Plant-Henninger West Valley City UT (801)558-4634



# Solar power metering surcharge

1 message

Mike Stinson <mds429564@yahoo.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:50 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: ME <Fishnfly2002@yahoo.com>, Helene Seegmuller <h.see@wanadoo.fr>

Hello and thanks for hearing my opinion on the direction our energy future is heading.

I am not in favor of increasing the metering costs of solar power (residential and commercial) hooking up to the existing grid. If we built a new energy producing site i.e. coal, nuclear or natural gas we would not require the large corporation to pay for the right to give the people of utah generated electricity.

A small solar producer that creates excess power kWh that the utility company can sell for a higher price (or less than the expected kWh price from a new generation plant) is enough of a incentive to keep the current metering cost. Plus the diversification of your power generation and reduction of green house gasses is another reason to encourage solar power. The jobs that are created by solar (with the state taxes on the sale, maintenance and repair) of solar are an additional reason to encourage solar projects and not discourage our local solar projects.

We are all familiar with the inversions that can effect our health, city wide attitudes and tourist attractiveness.

Encouraging solar development will mitigate some of our geographic problems and growing populations that contribute to our inversions.

Sincerely, Michael D. Stinson CDR USN retired

787 3rd Ave Salt Lake City. UT. 84103

A pilot that flies for Delta Air Lines and chooses to live in Slc for the lifestyle, climate and progressive attitude.

Sent from my iPad



# **Comment on Rocky Mountain Power proposal**

1 message

**Briant Kimball** <br/> <

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:51 PM

Cc: Kimball Briant <br/>
bkim1554@gmail.com>

Dear Commission Members,

I recognize the economics of using the power lines, and that RMP may be subsidizing, in a small way , the solar industry. I find it strange, however, that they have invested so much in providing a solar option to customers through their new, gigantic solar array, and then cannot consider the use of the power lines by home owners who help to generate power as an "investment" in clean energy. I support RMP in their initiative to offer solar; I have subscribed to their program. But I definitely do no support their effort to recover what seem to be restrictive fees on families who want to also be part of the solution to long-term clean energy. That industry is one of the fastest growing in the state, providing many needed jobs and contributes so much to clean air in our choking valley! Perhaps they should be given compensation through taxes rather than by a proposal that would slow solar growth. Please reject their proposal.

Sincerely,

Briant Kimball 4671 S Garden Spring Ln Millcreek UT 84117 801-618-8163



# Rocky Mountain Power anti-solar special request

1 message

Aleta Warren <aletawarren@q.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:52 PM

Please do not allow Rocky Mountain Power to char us more for our solar!

We spent a lot of money this summer putting solar panels on our roof. Before we did it I called Rock Mountain Power and they said \( \)s, that is a good thing to do because it helps create more electricity and they work with solar companies. Now they want to change the rate structure to make solar equipped homes pay more in electric bills. It will take us more than ten years as it is to recoup the expense we put into our solar system. Meanwhile we are producing clean electricity which benefits t air quality for Utah and reduces our dependence on fossil fuels, which is a benefit for the country as whole. It is not fair for Rocky Mountain Power to charge us more, making our solar more expensive just so they can make more moneyWe don't have a choice of other electric companies to work with other than Rocky Mountain PowerPlease don't approve their plan.

Sincerely, Aleta Warren Syracuse, Utah 801-779-4019



# (no subject)

1 message

**Johnny Israelsen** <johnisraelsen@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:59 PM

Hello,

My name is John Israelsen and I work in the solar industry . I am concerned about the proposal regarding Docket 14-035-114 Net Metering Policy Proposal.

This policy would impact my career choice as a service disabled combat veteran who went through the vocational rehabilitation chapter 31 of the GI bill. I graduated with two bachelor of science degrees and work for Auric Solar because I believe that solar is a better choice for our air quality and having the option to use solar ener gy is American. I feel that this proposal ramrods a monopolistic control over an industry that is unfair .

I hope you understand how this proposal has negative impacts more than benefits. .

Thank you.

Regards, John

\_

John Israelsen



# Solar rate hike

1 message

Mark Shah <mark\_shah@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:07 PM

Please don't allow RMP to raise rates on new residential solar users. They are helping us all breath easier. We need to continue to incentivize solar!

Mark Shah



# Solar hike

1 message

Jim Durham <jim@afjmedia.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:08 PM

It is unbelievable to me that with the wretched air quality we have in Salt Lake City you would even consider doing anything(rate increase) to discourage increasing solar installations. Shame on you!



# Save Solar

1 message

**Beth Carreiro** <br/>
<br/>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:25 PM

Please save our solar!!!

Beth



# **Docket 14-035-114 Net Metering Policy Proposal**

1 message

**Matthew Lee** <matthew.lee@auricsolar.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:26 PM

As I read through the proposed changes I become sick to my stomach. The American dream is being shut down. Our environment is being destroyed and solar is a starting point to turning around the damage that is being done. In utah the word inversion should scare everyone, and make us think what we are leaving for future generations. Please listen to the outcry and stop this rediculas and backwards proposal!

Sincerely Matthew Lee

Get Outlook for Android



# Our sun, our solar

1 message

sycamore131@aol.com <sycamore131@aol.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:27 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Hello.

Please do what you can to keep solar rates low for consumers. Let's encourage people to do what they can to protect the environment. The sun and its earth belong to all of us, not just the few at Rocky Mountain Power.

Thank you,

Laura Riley

Syracuse, UT



# Save our solar

1 message

**humblebss@gmail.com** <humblebss@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:27 PM

Please save our solar!
Do not let Rocky Mountain power take out solar

Beth Saratoga springs Utah



# RMP's proposed solar rate change

1 message

Casey Henich <chenichmd@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:33 PM

Hello,

I am opposed to the Rocky Mountain Power rate change on solar homes, this will devastate the solar economy in the state which has been growing exponentially, it will only hinder people using solar on their homes, which is of course good for the environment, and if this such proposal is passed it would only force people going solar to go completely off the grid and therefore Rocky Mountain Power would have no income from these homes at all only complicating their reported difficulties. Please stop this proposal. Thank you.

Regards,

Casey Henich, resident of Sandy Utah Sent from my iPhone



# We want solar..help clean up this inversion and make our air cleaner to breath!!!!

1 message

**Teri Christensen** <teri.christensen@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:34 PM



# We want solar!!!!!!

1 message

**Teri Christensen** <teri.christensen@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:34 PM



## Save Solar

1 message

**Ashley Alexander** <ashley.alexander@auricsolar.com> To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:38 PM

To whom It May Concern;

I am emailing you today to express my thoughts and feelings regarding Solar Energy in Utah. I work for a fantastic solar company that solar company is Auric Solar

I am a single mother of three beautiful young girls. Was a family want clean renewable energy. We want clean air to breath, not only now but in the future. I do not agree with Rocky Mountain Power hiking their rates or getting rid of solar energy all togethe. They will cause hundreds of thousands of people just like me to loses not only their jobs, but their homes and frankly you will be taking away their right to choose where they receive their power from.

Rocky Mountain Power is being unreal in their proposal for rate increases, they will cause those small business owners to go out of business. The money we need put back into our community will be lost. Unemployment rates will be high again. Those that moved to Utah to join the Solar industry will have to leave state or settle for something less, all because Rocky Mountain Power wants to increase rates In my opinion I feel Rocky Mountain Power should lower rates or pay the customer's that have solar that are over producing. When solar is on a home everyone is seeing the benefits, cleaner, ainused solar pushed back to the grid, can now be used by the community

Solar in Utah makes sense! Now people can aford to make a difference. People are choosing to help our planet be a better cleaner place.

Please make the right decision go green, go solar!

Thank you for your time in this matter

Thank you,

Ashley Alexander



# Solar energy

1 message

Joelma Yangali <jsyangali@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:39 PM

Please don't raise prices for solar energy. Now that we got Trump, is there really a need to make things even worse.

Let us have at least some hope that we can work with solar energy and don't raise costs for solar energy.

Respectfully, Joey



# Keep it low: solar energy prices

1 message

Joey Y <joey.kiko@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:40 PM

Dear Utah reps,

Please don't raise prices for solar energy. Now that we got Trump, is there really a need to make things even worse.

Let us have at least some hope that we can work with solar energy and don't raise costs for solar energy.

Respectfully, Joey



# **Rocky Mountain Power Rate Increase**

1 message

**Melissa Osborn** <melissa.w.osborn@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:58 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please don't allow Rocky Mountain Power to increase the rates of customers who install solar panels. This will hurt job growth in the solar industry in Utah. We've seen the solar job growth up close as my husband works for a solar company, who "puts bread on our table."

Thank you for considering this important matter.

Melissa Osborn



## Solar

1 message

**Holly** <hollysnorth@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:01 PM

Holly north

Layton utah

Although I am currently not a solar user, I am highly supportive of solar power and supporting it. Being self sufficient is increasingly important and should be sought wherever and however possible. My hope is that Any concerns by Rocky Mountain power will be accurately reported and investigated without exception. The following should be verified in every way possible before adding fees to discourage solar...

Rocky Mountain Power conducted a study that found a typical rooftop solar customer underpays their actual cost of service by about \$400 per year. This cost shift currently amounts to \$6.5 million each year to other residential customers and is forecast to grow to as much as \$78 million annually if the rate is not addressed. Over the next 20 years the cost shift to other customers is estimated to be about \$667 million.

"Rocky Mountain Power supports renewable resources as long as an appropriate rate is in place that allows customers to use private generation without adversely afecting other residential customers," said Gary Hoogeveen, Rocky Mountain Power Senior Vice-President and Chief Commercial Officer. "Customers partially relying on renewable energy through the net-metering program must still pay their fair share of the costs to serve them."

#1 if they can retrospectively see that solar customers are under paying why can't they get it right the first time.

#2 I'm not sure what net metering is but obviously it sounds less than accurate if we have errors in metering. We need to hold companies accountable for being accurate. If there's not enough man power to do the job... you need more employees even when the company wants to keep the money that should be used to "run the business accurately"

Thanks,



## Docket #14-035-114 and #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

**PHIL ROMNEY** <philromney@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:02 PM

Dear Commissioners:

I'm writing to voice my opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's (RMP) recent filing of Advice No. 16-13 to change the rooftop solar rate structure effective next month. My concerns are several, among which are the following:

- The timing and fast track nature of RMP's request are suspect. Important changes such as this should be subject to thorough scrutiny and should allow ample opportunity for public input. Any action that attempts to circumvent the normal ratemaking process, as RMP's proposal does, should be soundly rejected by the Commission.
- RMP's proposal fails to adequately consider the many benefits of rooftop solar, among which are: reducing the need to build expensive new generation capacity and transmission infrastructure and reducing the environmental, public health and economic impacts of fossil fuel consumption.
- RMP's proposed rate increase will destabilize the burgeoning solar industry in Utah, resulting in the loss of thousands of jobs and economic loss to the state. In order from homeowners to be willing to make the significant investment in rooftop solar, there needs to be some predictability regarding future rates. I speak from personal experience. I have been investigating an investment in rooftop solar for the last three months and was very close to making the decision to invest when I learned of RMP's proposal. Now I'm seriously reconsidering my decision because I have no confidence in what future power rates will be and, thus, what the payback period will be. I can imagine that many others are having similar reservations.

If approved, RMP's rate increases will effectively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry. I respectfully urge the Commission to reject RMP's current rate change request. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input and for considering my request and similar requests of other interested and concerned citizens. Respectfully,

Phil Romney
South Jordan, UT
philromney@msn.com



# **Rocky Mountain Power Net Metering Increase**

1 message

**Dan Nickolaisen** <nickolaisen@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Dan Nickolaisen <nickolaisen@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:08 PM

Hi.

I hope you're doing well this evening

I've just learned that today is the last day for the public to voice their opinion on Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate increase for solar customers, which has prompted me to write this email.

Personally, I find the move cowardly and evidence that a monopolistic organization (Rocky Mountain Power) has no idea how to stay relevant or competitive in the 21st centumyhere viable alternatives to their services now exist.

As a monopoly, they are attempting to take drastic steps to ensure that an absolute reliance on their services exists across the state, and are looking to punish those who have and who will be trying to find alternatives to power creation and consumption, that falls outside of Rocky Mountain's business objectives.

Solar, like many other energy sources is not only positive for the state of Utah, but for the world as a whole. As technologies such as solar power-generation are used, the world progresses, technology improves, the environment improves and life overall improves.

My simple request as a Sandy City resident is that proposals like this are not passed. Let's stop such efforts from negatively impacting life in Utah. The effects of such a proposal being ratified reach far beyond financial implications to the citizens of Utah, but also to the environment and the longevity of our beautiful state. Rocky Mountain Power is only interested in improving their bottom line with the least amount of effort possible. They seek to do this by generating more reliance on their services, discouraging alternatives, and generating energy cheaply through environmentally irresponsible means (primarily coal).

As one who was raised in Utah, a young home-ownefuture father and one who wants to invest in a solar power-generation system, I ask that Rocky Mountain Power not be able to increase these rates, but rather be encouraged to adapt, as any successful business should.

Thank you for your consideration.

Dan Nickolaisen

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android



# **Solar Power**

1 message

Paul Peaden <pjathome1@q.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:20 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

We think it would be a big mis take to raise rates selectively for solar cus tomers. It is true that people with solar panels also benefit using the power grid as an effective "power back up/supply" when the sun is not shining. It is also true than they put a lot of power into the electricity grid from a solar arroy that they paid for - **not** the utility company. The utility company derives some benefit from the consumers investment by not having to generate that power themselves, and being able to provide it to other users. This is especially true when a solar user generates more power than they will ever use. Under the current system the power company keeps as its "o wn" any excess power generated over a years time.

We have read in the paper's some grumblings that the base rate charged to users does not really clover the hook up and infrastructure costs. If it does not do that then why selectively raise it for solar user's and not for everyone. To do otherwise seems to us like the solar user is subsidizing everyone else.

There is a value in a state being "energy independent", i.e. not depending on other states for its energy needs. We see solar energy as one means by which Utah achie ves that independence. To discourage that does not seem a wise State energy policy.

Paul and Julie P eaden.



# The Future of Solar in Utah

1 message

**Tim Butler** <tim.butler@auricsolar.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:24 PM

To whom it may concern,

It is clear to me that the proposal put forward by Rocky Mountain Power (Docket 14-035-114 Net Metering Policy) is a misuse of power by a public utility. The proposals would cripple the renewable energy industry in Utah, putting thousands of jobs at risk and taking away the freedom of residents to choose renewable energy alternatives to the coal power we are currently using in this state. As a concerned citizen and a Utahn employed in the Solar Energy industry , I adamantly vote against any such proposals and would admonish our current administration to escalate this proposal to a general rate case opened on the public utility Rocky Mountain Power .

# Tim Butler

Residential Energy Consultant 801.783.9419

Facebook





# Docket #14-035-114

1 message

**Lucy Dameron Peck** <lucydameron@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:31 PM

I am writing to let you know of my opposition to the net metering billing issue. I think solar energy is one of the best ways to improve Utah's air and making it more expensive will discourage people from using it. Allowing big coal money to tax it will only result in using up a finite resource more quickly and contribute to our state's already unbreathable air. Leave solar alone. Hopefully more breakthroughs will come to help us leave a better living quality for our children and theirs.

Sincerely, Lucy Peck (Not currently a solar owner)



# **Rocky Mountain power**

1 message

James Bagley <james.bagley@vivintsolar.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:32 PM

Dear Commission.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain power to raise rates that would harm the solar industry. I feel that Rocky Mountain Power is monopolizing the power industry and they are now pushing to hurt solar companies. This will hurt the environment and it will hurt small businesses, many people will loose jobs and career opportunities in Utah because of this. Please do not hurt our economy and our environment to fill the pockets of a monopoly. God bless and Trump on. -James Bagley

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



## #SaveUtahSolar

1 message

**Ammon Crowfoot** <ammon@elementscapitalgroup.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:36 PM

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is Ammon Crowfoot and I would like to voice my opinion on solar and what a great industry it is especially in the great state of Utah. With the pollution Utah has, it is great to see something as awesome as solar to help the environment. Every bit counts.

I have seen this industry create jobs for so many people and also help people financially by going solar. It is not often that you see something that can improve the environment as well as help put people in a better situation financially. Anyone and everyone should choose how they want their power and where that power comes from. That should not be tampered with. I feel that solar is something that is not only great for Utah but great for the people as well.

Thank you,

Ammon Crowfoot Financial Specialist 385-335-4009





# Don't go along with RMP's proposal

1 message

Mark LeBaron <mark\_lebaron@yahoo.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:42 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Serena LeBaron <seenie\_lebaron@yahoo.com>

Don't go along with Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to punish solar power users by raising rates. It isn't needed because the net metered power is sold back to the grid at wholesale prices and RMP can make a profit or at least cover connection costs for solar users with the difference between the wholesale price and the retail price.

Mark & Serena LeBaron Layton, Utah Voters



# **Rocky Mountains solar proposal**

1 message

Whitney Fautin <wfautin@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:51 PM

I just wanted to write and give my thoughts with regard to the proposal that Rocky Mountain power has put forward to raise rates on solar customers. I think in the Salt Lake V alley out of any other city we need solar. We need to push for clean energy in our valley. This is not something that should not be about money anymore, it should be about the health of the residents of Salt Lake City. I already have solar panels on my house, it was extremely costly, but I felt I was making a difference by installing them. I would also like to put solar panels on rental properties that I own and it's already expensive enough to front the cost of the panels themselves... To raise the rates on customers is going to stall the progress that solar has made in our community.

For the future of our kids, air quality should be a top priority and anything that pushes us toward cleaner air should be incentivized, not taxed and upcharged.

Stop this nonsense, don't allow Rocky Mountain power to charge these fees.

Whitney Fautin 801-604-3024



## Re: SOLAR INSTALLATION NEEDED

1 message

paul rodriguez <paulrodriguez426@gmail.com>
To: PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:26 PM

I just bought a house in the city and i need you install the solar panels of f the grid with the battery backup . do you accept credit card ???

On Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:44 AM, PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov> wrote:

Thank you for providing comments in Docket No. 14-035-114, "In the Matter of the Investigation of the Costs and Benefits of PacifiCorp's Net Metering Program" and/or Docket No. 16-035-T14, "In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Revisions to Schedule 135, Net Metering Service Proposal for new Schedule 135A, Net Metering-T ransition Service."

Please be assured that each comment will be entered into the respective docket in an entry for the day the comment was received and will be read and considered. For information relating to these dockets, including schedules, notices, comments, and filed testimony, please refer to the PSC's website (psc.utah.gov) and search on the docket in question. As these are currently active dockets before the PSC, we are unable to respond to your individual questions.

Thank you for participation in this important matter .

**Gary Widerburg** 

On Mon, Nov 21, 2016 at 4:35 PM, paul rodriguez <paulrodriguez426@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello this is paul i will like to confirm if you do solar installation and are you the owner ????



## Solar in Utah

1 message

**Tyler Williams** <tyler.williams@vivintsolar.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:27 PM

To whom it may concern,

I want to voice my concern for the proposed utility initiative that removes the incentive for residents to get energy from the sun, and suggests an unfair rate to solar customers.

I have three simple concerns and thoughts on the matter.

- 1. People should have a choice of how they get their power: Free enterprise works. Innovation improves industry We now how a viable alternative to fossil-fuel based power This bill eliminates the people's ability to chose the plan that works for them.
- 2. Utah can do better to protect the environment: we are a conservative state. We believe in being responsible, and moderate. Utah is one of the most beautiful places in the world to live, but drive up i15 in February. You'll base mountains that have been cut down, drive through a sufocating inversion, and exit our city nestled in the mountains to a huge industrial plant with smoke billowing out the top. This isn't who we are. We have a cheaper, more viable way to fuel the state, we should use it.
- 3. Jobs and economy boost: I'm proud of Utah's industriousness. It's grown to be one of the best places in the country for businesses. We create jobs here, we provide opportunity. Solar is a completely new industry that has, and will continue to bring more jobs to its residence, and help the economy continue to flourish.

Sharing the load is one thing, eliminating a choice, for the good of our beautiful state, and acting with a job-eliminating scarcity mentality is not who we are.

Let's do this, Utah.

Tyler Williams

Sent from my iPhone

\_

Vivint Solar Developer LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page. < http://www.vivintsolarcom/en/licenses> The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



# **RMP**

1 message

**Brian Uribe** <b22152@gmail.com> To: Psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:36 PM

Hello!

I do not support the rate increase of RMP and am all in favor of solar.

Best,

Brian Uribe-Bate



## Solar

1 message

**Dan Barrell** <br/> <br/

totally halt the program for many people. Thank you

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:51 PM

To whom it may concern, please do not charge people with roof top solar panels more money. They have paid to have paid to install the panels. they are harvesting solar power which ultimately will be good for this valley. We need to have more solar power as our pollution is so high. Just a few days ago it was medium and I felt like I couldn't exercise outside. Rocky Mountain Power needs to look into alternative energy. Please do not charge the people who have panels. This will

Arleen Barrell

Sent from my iPhone



# Stop forcing us!!

1 message

Karie Rhodes <karierhodes@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:19 PM

I am sending this email to express my utter disdain for any attempt to force Utah people to buy into only one option...your option. Rocky Mountain Power has enjoyed a long run of monopolizing the industry and its nice that we actually have options now. Surely they are scared that so many are now turning to solar; their reign is coming to an end. Stop being greedy and put your product on the market if it's a good one.

Karie Rhodes



# **Public Comment on RMP proposed Net Metering changes**

1 message

**Terral Fox** <terralfox@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:21 PM

To Whomever it may concern,

I would like to take the opportunity as a citizen of Utah and a small business owner in the state, to express some concern about the proposed net metering changes by Rocky Mountain Power. First of all, I understand that some changes may need to happen. However, I believe that it is erroneous to have allowed Rocky Mountain Power to conduct its own study on the rate issue. I have reviewed the study and I feel that it is biased, and one sided It points out all of the costs associated with a growing number of rooftop solar customers, however, it does not include any value added benefits that they receive from rooftop solar customers.

Minnesota conducted a similar study recently except that the study was conducted independently . It was discovered that the value that the power company received from rooftop solar customers was \$36 million. I have not been to Minnesota but I have heard that we have much more frequent sunny days here in Utah. I, personally would like to see a second study conducted by a non-biased party to see if Rocky Mountain Power receives more benefits from solar customers than their "study" shows.

It appears to the public that RMP is using its political sway here in Utah to stamp out any competition and is aggressively defending their monopoly using "fairness" as a guise. I don't know if that is true or not, but it does appear that way. A fair and non-biased study would, however, shine more light on the matter.

Lastly, as a business owner, we have to constantly adapt and innovate to survive. We are manufacturing footwear and competing with footwear made overseas at a fraction of the cost. This is difficult, but it is possible through innovation and problem solving. In this situation, I feel that RMP needs to first do everything it can to adapt to the changing climate of power customers and use innovation rather than simply forcing non-traditional customers to pay more. My challenge to you is to first, conduct a new study on the true costs of net metering, and second, critically consider all other options before allowing RMP to increase rates so dramatically.

Thank you for your consideration,

Terral Fox, Founder/CEO Unshoes Minimal Footwear



## Save solar!

1 message

Terese Walton <terese@gaddisinv.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:41 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Hello - this is very concerning that Solar incentives May go away with this recent Rocky Mountain power request. We need to think about the next generations to come and what's happening with our planet. We need to pull our heads out of the sand and get a clue. This is reality. Think about if you have children or grandchildren that will be living on this planet after you were gone. Do you want what's best for them? Help to save our planet - leave them a positive clean earth as your legacy. Let's wake up! Wake up to the reality of where the planet is going. We must all take a part in helping improve our planet. Please, please consider beyond Rocky Mountain power's bottom line.

Sent from my iPhone

Terese Walton Gaddis Investments 801.487.3236 Ext 5



# Docket #14-035-114, #16-035-t14

1 message

**Scott Schwab** <schwab.scott@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:46 PM

I believe in solar and having more options for energy production and consumption.



# **Defend Rooftop Solar**

1 message

**Nathaniel W illiams** <nathaniel.williams@auricsolar.com> To: "Psc@utah.gov" <Psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:56 PM

Hello,

I work for the solar industry here in Utah and I ask you to keep rates for solar customers fair and reasonable. My job is in jeapordy if you approve the proposed fees. There are at least 100 solar companies emplying more than 4,000 people in Utah. We are pushing the electric market forward into the future. Electric service no longer needs to be a complete monopoly. Also, we desperately need to clean up the dirty air we breathe. Rooftop solar is a big part of the solution, especially combined with emerging batteries and electric cars. I ask you do to what is best for public health, and for business and jobs.

Sincereley,

Nathaniel Williams



# Comments about Rocky Mountain Power Net Metering proposed changes

1 message

**Daniel Bowen** <gov1@dbowen.net> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:59 PM

Hi,

Thank you for taking comments on the proposed changes to the Net Metering rate structure from Rocky Mountain Power. I wanted to voice my opposition to those changes in general, starting with having them not take effect on December 9<sup>th</sup>.

My family and I just purchased a solar panel setup earlier this year in 2016. We had been interested in solar panels for quite some time and were excited to finally be making the move to cleaner, more renewable power, and owning that source of power generation. I work from home with computers and equipment (that use a lot of power) and we often received letters from Rocky Mountain Power letting us know that we use more power than our neighbors. We try to maintain our home and not heat or cool it more than necessary, but in the summer months we still bump up well into the most expensive tiers. We've also moved to LED lights, we've upgraded our furnace to be more efficient, and have made other energy efficiency updates. We really felt like solar panels are the right way to go for us for a number of reasons.

I can understand Rocky Mountain Power wanting to leverage their monopoly to change the net metering agreement that solar users use to stymie competition, but their changes seem to be unbalanced and introduce a lot of uncertainty. I'm at least grateful that they were willing to grandfather in existing net metering agreements. However, it seems that even with grandfathering in current agreements, selling a home with a solar panel setup seems like it will reset that agreement, and bring uncertainty to a potential buyer, and decrease the value of any home with rooftop solar using net metering.

With our home, we do not have a system that offsets all of our usage. Our roof can't accommodate the number of panels that would be needed. We have 9 panels that face mostly south and 13 panels that face mostly west. Not all solar configurations are equal. We have a "7.59 kW" capacity system. But of course throughout the day, at any given point we're generating less than that (it typically follows a bell curve through the day). Here are some example usage and bills:

August 2015 (no solar): Used 2014 kwh (400 kwh tier 1, 600 kwh tier 2, 1014 kwh tier 3). \$302.95

August 2016 (solar): Used 1279 kwh. "sold back" 294 kwh. Net 985 kwh (400 kwh tier 1, 585 kwh tier 2). \$127.75

During August, when my electrical demand was the highest (due in large part to the A/C), most of the time the solar energy system was able to meet, or mostly meet that demand. There was only a couple hundred kwh that I was able to "sell back" that month, so the profile would match more closely to if I had been reducing my demand (like being on vacation and not running the A/C). \_The electrical usage pattern over the course of a day in our home probably doesn't match that of an average home. We're a little more constant in the day time, and are less in the evening.

To have a new \$15 flat monthly fee, as well as moving to a demand charge plus volumetric charge is a big change. \$15 seems high for a flat rate fee for every net metering customer to pay. If that were the only change, that would be a big change in and of itself. That's equivalent to 168 kwh of energy at summery tier 1 rates and of course \$180/year more. And then if the normal tiered volumetric charge is not fair for solar users, shouldn't everyone be moved to a demand

charge + volumetric charge? If I reduce my electrical usage through upgrading appliances, upgrading A/C and furnace, conservation and so on, does that change the equation for Rocky Mountain Power "fairness" as well?

The sample that Rocky Mountain power used in their latest study I understand was actually a pretty small sampling (52 households?). Not everyone has the same usage patterns. I'd like to see a much more comprehensive study for the actual demand usage differences between people with solar power system and without — as well as differently "sized" systems. Rocky Mountain Power pushes their Cool Keeper program. Having solar energy back on the grid during the times that Cool Keeper would want to kick in is also normally the time that a solar energy setup could provide power back to the grid to help ease the demand from hundreds of miles away.

It's difficult to understand the impact of the demand charge + volumetric charge, especially if it does not apply to all residential users. If Rocky Mountain power ever does move to a demand charge for some segment of their customers, it would be nice to have that kind of reporting even if that is not the rate schedule active for our house, just to understand how it would manifest itself in practice.

Net metering in general is the most efficient way to handle excess power that a solar energy system would produce above what is used. Your neighbor can use the power instead of it coming from hundreds of miles away. It's not the only option though. Using batteries like the Tesla Powerwall could also be used. In fact, if rates are moved to demand usage, batteries and smarter technology could be used to optimize the usage pattern of a residence to avoid demand charges. With a change like this for net metering now, that is paving the way for something like that in the future to come sooner, which probably also scares Rocky Mountain Power. I'm sure Rocky Mountain Power doesn't like the idea of someone else owning a part of the energy generation. It's part of the American entrepreneurial spirit for individual home owners to be able to own at least part of their energy generation.

Rocky Mountain power used to have a lottery for Solar rebates with a very low chance of benefitting (1 in 13?). That was killed earlier this year.

It seems residential solar is being attacked on other fronts as well, such as Jeremy Peterson's continued efforts to kill the Utah solar energy rebate. The Utah rebate is already onerous to apply for. He's now claiming that its taking away from the education budget (http://www.deseretnews.com/article/865667347/Lawmaker-Rooftop-solar-tax-credits-taking-20-million-from-education-budget.html?pg=all). That seems like a specious argument. W ouldn't that mean that the oil and gas subsidies are also taking away from the education budget as well?

There's also the environmental impact that is a big positive for solar energy generation. In Utah, we have inversions — that's part of the natural cycle here. But we do have influence over what gets trapped in the air for those times we have inversions. Rocky mountain power does not have to factor in various "externalities" like this which effect the whole equation. But as the public service commission of Utah, you get to help factor in the bigger picture that Rocky Mountain power would prefer to ignore.

Solar energy should be promoted, not penalized	١.
Thanks!	

-Daniel Bowen

Draper, UT



# Solar

1 message

## AE Crowfoot <mysunnyporch@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:11 AM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Please allow solar so I can return to live in Utah and my grandchildren don't have to spend so much of their playtime indoors.

It's indeed a shame that the current systems will lose money , but with the money everyone will save, other new businesses will

replace them and it will all work out.

Let's not force a substandard environment just to save one industry their investment dollars. To do so puts their profits before

people, making them more important' than future generations.

Sincerely, Ellen Crowfoot



# Solar rate increase

1 message

**Marilee** <islandmarilee@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:33 AM

I am writing to express my concern about the recent proposal to increase rates for rooftop solar in Utah. Perhaps you should look at what has happened in Nevada as a precursor to what will happen here as we move to deregulate the monopoly of Rocky Mountain Power. You will not win this war and I will not stand idly by and allow you to bully the people of Utah with your reckless policies. I would recommend that you do the right thing not because you were bought and paid for by the prevalent industries in Utah but because you have a conscience and care about the future of Utah.

Sincerely,

Marilee Guinan Board of Directors Murray Chamber of Commerce



# Solar and the right to choose

1 message

Alyssa Gerratt <alyssa.gerratt@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov, Alyssa Gerratt <alyssa.gerratt@yahoo.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:40 AM

To whom it may concern:

I am writing as a concerned wife, mother, and Utah resident who values her right to have a choice in lower cost power. I've never agreed with companies monopolizing anything in this world. It isn't right or fair business practice to force citizens into only one choice (RMP). It also isnt right for that same company (RMP) to impose fees upon people for being able to utilize energy created by the sun which is an object owned by none. Aside from my personal opinions, I speak as a woman concerned for my family and many other families. Many will lose their jobs as a result of any law passed in regards to Rocky Mountain Power gaining control over solar power in Utah. Nevada has seen the error of allowing that to happen in their state and as you know, have overturned that decision but not without certain considerable damages having been incurred. I implore you to consider carefully the legitimate rights of your residents and beg you not to let our rights be sold to the highest bidder (RMP) here.

Thank you for reading.

Alyssa Gerratt 801-719-4918

Sent from BlueMail



# Solar power

1 message

sue mcaley <smcaley@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:05 AM

I will keep it simple.. no rate increase for solar power.

How greedy can one be that it seems wise to discourage the use of a clean and efficient energy source? You would rather fill your wallets whilst raping the earth?

Shame.

Sue McAley



# rocky mountain rate proposal

1 message

**Stephen Mackert** <smackert@msislc.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:56 AM

To whom it may concern;

Please do NOT let Rocky Mountain charge solar customers a higher rate than others!!!

This is counterproductive to the effort of saving our environment!

Regards,

Stephen Mackert

Molding Solutions, Inc.



# Save solar

1 message

**Travis Shorts** <travisshorts@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:02 AM

We need to save solar on homes! To help improve the air quality. All the jobs solar creates.

Thanks, Travis Shorts



# Please save my solar company, Beautifi Solar

1 message

**Isaac Hunsaker** <ihunsaker@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:06 AM

Public Service Commission.

I am the owner of a small business called Beautifi Solar. I employ four, hard-working individuals in the residential-solar industry. If the Utah PSC approves the changes recommended by Rocky Mountain Power to make net metering less favorable for solar customers, I will not be able to offer customers a "no-additional-cost" option to go solar. Most of my prospective customers are not interested in solar without this option. If the change is approved, I will be closing my business, and my four employees will be out of work. I am fortunate enough to have a PhD in Chemical Engineering, and will return to the workforce in short order. With my degree, I will be able to retain a high quality of life, but I will likely need to work in the fossil-fuel industry to do so. I realize this is the opposite end of the environmental-responsibility spectrum, but it is where I can earn the most money. I would much prefer to retain my diligent employees and remain in the clean-energy business. Please help me accomplish this by voting to deny Rocky Mountain Power's job-killing motion.

Sincerely,

Isaac Hunsaker, PhD Owner Beautifi Solar, LLC



## Solar Utah

1 message

**Heather W illiams** <heather.marne@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:41 AM

Hello,

I am writing to inform you of my opinion regarding solar energy in Utah. With global temperatures on the rise that lead to increases in respiratory diseases, vector diseases, food insecurity, natural disasters, and other mental and physical health problems, we should be doing everything we can to promote sustainable energy infrastructure and choices.

Furthermore, the Salt Lake and Utah valleys have some of the worst air quality in the country, which is bad for families, particularly the very young and very old. Solar energy is part of the solution for achieving better air quality and better overall health for families in our state. We should be promoting solar energy, not cutting its legs from beneath it.

For these reasons I am against Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate increase for consumers who choose to invest in solar energy. This move is bad for consumers, bad for the environment, bad for health, bad for business, and bad for Utah.

Sincerely, Heather Williams-Young



# **Rooftop Solar**

1 message

**Nicholas Ottley** <ottleynick@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:18 AM

Good Morning,

I politely ask that the PSC reject any rate changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power . 3 years ago I moved my whole family to California to pursue a dream of Selling Solar, making the world a better place and having a positive impact on air quality. This August my dream of providing solar in my home state of Utah became a reality. I was finally able to move my family home to Utah and continue to persue my dream. If "RMP Advice 16-13" passes, myself and thousands of jobs including their families will be forced to leave the state.

Please carefuly consider the impact of these changes and do what you feel is right.

Thank you,

Nicholas Ottley 559.797.7425



## Solar In Utah and around the World

1 message

**Tripper Gawan** <a href="mailto:ripper.gawan@vivintsolarcom">ripper.gawan@vivintsolarcom</a> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:12 AM

# mo·nop·o·ly

mə näpəlē/ 🔜

noun

1. the exclusive possession or control of the supply or trade in a commodity or service.

"his likely motive was to protect his regional **monopoly on** furs"

For the sake of not leaving a hanging argument I will say this... The exclusion of an alternative is neither good business or healthy for any economy. I strongly urge you to consider the consumer with regards to energy and the future of our planet. Children all across the world are bringing Climate Change to the argument dinner table and "adults," refuse to listen. If RMP is allowed to continue a monopoly in Utah it will be adding to the precedence of the companies/people in power making choices determined by profits not what is best for the consumer. It is your duty and charge to care for the public not the public utility provider. Thank you for your time with regards to this matter and have a great holiday.

"Quality Means Doing it Right; When No One is Looking,"

Kindest Regards,

Tripper Gawan · vivintsolar

Manager, Quality Assurance/Control tripper.gawan@vivintsolar.com • C: 480-202-5908 Simply brighter / www.vivintsolar.com 1800 W Ashton Blvd Lehi, UT 84043

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



## **Anti Solar bullies**

1 message

Jeff Wood <jeff.wood@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:20 AM

I want to speak up and tell my representatives that I am totally disgusted in the way Rocky Mountain Electric seems to feel like they have all the power in the world to bully and control choices for potential solar customers that are on the fence with going "GREEN". (Solar) This is one company that I have always disliked because they have never had to deal with any competition in this field. We just pay what they tell us we owe. (No Choices). Well technology has finally caught up with them and now they are in a panic mode, so what do they do, they huf f and they puff and they turn into bullies increasing undeserving rate hikes. Please stand up for us and stop this in its tracks. I did not invest thousands of dollars in solar to have RMP come in and try to change the rules. Because of our great men and women that fought and died for the freedoms of this great country, we have "choices". Let's not let one greedy company change that great privilege that so many have died to obtain. STOP this insanity! STOP RMP!!!

# JEFF WOOD

## DOT/FLEET SPECIALIST

Jeff.wood@vivintsolar .com

O:385-715-6220

simply brighter / vivintsolarcom 1800 West Ashton Blvd. Lehi Utah, 84043



Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



# **Shining Example**

1 message

Junior Silva <newtonsilvajr@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:22 AM

I'm not a solar homeowner or work for the solar industry in any way.

Why would the state want to kill solar renewable energy?

We want to be the shining example to the country of self sufficiency and self reliance as individuals, as families, as communities and as a state.

Please make appropriate immediate changes to not only support the solar industry but make incentives to promote it at an even faster rate.

Please show the country that we are technology leaders and innovators.

Please make Utah the shining example.

Sincerely, Junior



# our Air, our Solar

1 message

Mark <marcus\_344@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Mark <marcus\_344@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:26 AM



# **Dear Public Service Commission,**

I am in the process of getting solar panels on my roof, the main reason i am doing this is to help clean up our Dirty Utah Ai.

Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to raise my fees to connect to the grid would have major detrimental efects on the future of our air quality in Utah

Rocky mountain Power nor its ownerWarren Bufet, are loosing money when i help them produce electricity for their other customers.

Please do all you can do to promote Solar energy and clean air for our children's future and don't allow rate increases for solar users!

Thank You,

Mark Barone Salt lake City





Yahoo Mail Stationery



# Opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's proposed net metering changes

1 message

groneil <groneil@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:27 AM

In the interest of promoting improved air quality, better public health, greater power grid resilience, and the addition of thousands of high skilled high wage jobs I believe it is in our State's best interest to deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff. Anyone living in Cache, Weber, Davis, Salt Lake or Utah Counties in the Winter is well aware of the air quality problems we suffer. The proposed changes put forth by Rocky Mountain Power will stymie growth of Solar Energy in our state and extend our reliance on coal.

Additionally, few Utahns appreciate the impact the growth in electric vehicles will have on our electric grid requirements in the next decade. It may be hard to see the immediate impact today, but the German government recently issued new laws forbidding the sale of new combustion vehicles after 2030. What's most remarkable is that none of the major German automakers opposed this law. They anticipate converting all of their vehicle fleets to electric by at least 2030 if not sooner. With auto giants like Mercedes and BMW anticipating such dramatic shifts I think it's safe to assume we can expect to see much less reliance on gasoline and the need for a significant expansion of electric capacity. If we manage that growth through the expansion of coal fired power plants, we will add fewer jobs and fail to realize the benefits of cleaner air from electric vehicles, then if we continue to support the growth of clean energy alternatives.

I am a married father of two daughters who moved to Utah more than 20 years ago in awe of the beautiful landscapes, incredible outdoor activities, active lifestyle, and incredibly friendly culture. I have a master's degree in business administration from the University of Utah, a biology degree from UNH, and work in IT/Business Administration for Intermountain Healthcare. In the last 20 years I've witnessed tremendous growth in the state and we will continue to produce high paying jobs and attract high quality employees to the state if we remain on the leading edge of technology while maintaining the incredible beauty and healthy living we've come to enjoy.

Thank you for your consideration,

Gregory O'Neil 4531 S. Park Hill Dr Salt Lake City, UT 84124



## Solar In Utah and around the World

1 message

**Tripper Gawan** <a href="mailto:ripper.gawan@vivintsolarcom">ripper.gawan@vivintsolarcom</a> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:12 AM

# mo·nop·o·ly

mə näpəlē/ 🔜

noun

1. the exclusive possession or control of the supply or trade in a commodity or service.

"his likely motive was to protect his regional **monopoly on** furs"

For the sake of not leaving a hanging argument I will say this... The exclusion of an alternative is neither good business or healthy for any economy. I strongly urge you to consider the consumer with regards to energy and the future of our planet. Children all across the world are bringing Climate Change to the argument dinner table and "adults," refuse to listen. If RMP is allowed to continue a monopoly in Utah it will be adding to the precedence of the companies/people in power making choices determined by profits not what is best for the consumer. It is your duty and charge to care for the public not the public utility provider. Thank you for your time with regards to this matter and have a great holiday.

"Quality Means Doing it Right; When No One is Looking,"

Kindest Regards,

Tripper Gawan · vivintsolar

Manager, Quality Assurance/Control tripper.gawan@vivintsolar.com • C: 480-202-5908 Simply brighter / www.vivintsolar.com 1800 W Ashton Blvd Lehi, UT 84043

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



## **Anti Solar bullies**

1 message

Jeff Wood <jeff.wood@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:20 AM

I want to speak up and tell my representatives that I am totally disgusted in the way Rocky Mountain Electric seems to feel like they have all the power in the world to bully and control choices for potential solar customers that are on the fence with going "GREEN". (Solar) This is one company that I have always disliked because they have never had to deal with any competition in this field. We just pay what they tell us we owe. (No Choices). Well technology has finally caught up with them and now they are in a panic mode, so what do they do, they huf f and they puff and they turn into bullies increasing undeserving rate hikes. Please stand up for us and stop this in its tracks. I did not invest thousands of dollars in solar to have RMP come in and try to change the rules. Because of our great men and women that fought and died for the freedoms of this great country, we have "choices". Let's not let one greedy company change that great privilege that so many have died to obtain. STOP this insanity! STOP RMP!!!

# JEFF WOOD

## DOT/FLEET SPECIALIST

Jeff.wood@vivintsolar .com

O:385-715-6220

simply brighter / vivintsolarcom 1800 West Ashton Blvd. Lehi Utah, 84043



Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



# **Shining Example**

1 message

Junior Silva <newtonsilvajr@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:22 AM

I'm not a solar homeowner or work for the solar industry in any way.

Why would the state want to kill solar renewable energy?

We want to be the shining example to the country of self sufficiency and self reliance as individuals, as families, as communities and as a state.

Please make appropriate immediate changes to not only support the solar industry but make incentives to promote it at an even faster rate.

Please show the country that we are technology leaders and innovators.

Please make Utah the shining example.

Sincerely, Junior



# our Air, our Solar

1 message

Mark <marcus\_344@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Mark <marcus\_344@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:26 AM



# **Dear Public Service Commission,**

I am in the process of getting solar panels on my roof, the main reason i am doing this is to help clean up our Dirty Utah Ai.

Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to raise my fees to connect to the grid would have major detrimental efects on the future of our air quality in Utah

Rocky mountain Power nor its ownerWarren Bufet, are loosing money when i help them produce electricity for their other customers.

Please do all you can do to promote Solar energy and clean air for our children's future and don't allow rate increases for solar users!

Thank You,

Mark Barone Salt lake City





Yahoo Mail Stationery



# Opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's proposed net metering changes

1 message

groneil <groneil@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:27 AM

In the interest of promoting improved air quality, better public health, greater power grid resilience, and the addition of thousands of high skilled high wage jobs I believe it is in our State's best interest to deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff. Anyone living in Cache, Weber, Davis, Salt Lake or Utah Counties in the Winter is well aware of the air quality problems we suffer. The proposed changes put forth by Rocky Mountain Power will stymie growth of Solar Energy in our state and extend our reliance on coal.

Additionally, few Utahns appreciate the impact the growth in electric vehicles will have on our electric grid requirements in the next decade. It may be hard to see the immediate impact today, but the German government recently issued new laws forbidding the sale of new combustion vehicles after 2030. What's most remarkable is that none of the major German automakers opposed this law. They anticipate converting all of their vehicle fleets to electric by at least 2030 if not sooner. With auto giants like Mercedes and BMW anticipating such dramatic shifts I think it's safe to assume we can expect to see much less reliance on gasoline and the need for a significant expansion of electric capacity. If we manage that growth through the expansion of coal fired power plants, we will add fewer jobs and fail to realize the benefits of cleaner air from electric vehicles, then if we continue to support the growth of clean energy alternatives.

I am a married father of two daughters who moved to Utah more than 20 years ago in awe of the beautiful landscapes, incredible outdoor activities, active lifestyle, and incredibly friendly culture. I have a master's degree in business administration from the University of Utah, a biology degree from UNH, and work in IT/Business Administration for Intermountain Healthcare. In the last 20 years I've witnessed tremendous growth in the state and we will continue to produce high paying jobs and attract high quality employees to the state if we remain on the leading edge of technology while maintaining the incredible beauty and healthy living we've come to enjoy.

Thank you for your consideration,

Gregory O'Neil 4531 S. Park Hill Dr Salt Lake City, UT 84124



# Rooftop solar: Net metering is a net benefit | Brookings Institution

1 message

**Matthew Jenkins** <boydjenkins@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:50 AM

Please read this lengthily article analyzing the net cost of rooftop solar from in depth studies from around the country . You have to get this decision on Rocky Mountain Power's proposed changes or else it may be detrimental to energy users without solar not to mention eliminating homeowners freedom and ability to produce their own electricity.

Rooftop solar: Net metering is a net benefit | Brookings Institution

https://www.brookings.edu/research/rooftop-solar-net-metering-is-a-net-benefit/



# roof top solar

1 message

Chad Perkins <chadperk@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:56 AM

To whom it may concern:

Please deny Rocky Mtn. Power's selective rate increase for rooftop solar. I believe that it will limit the ability of the individual consumer to become independent from large scale energy production, an increasingly environmentally detrimental endeavor Roof top solar, in my opinion as a tax paying and voting citizen of the great state of Utah, is the best way to produce energy both for the resident of the house and for the surrounding energy consumers. It allows for the production of energy on site, negating the need for large transmission lines, large power production facilities and green house gas emissions that are associated with the way most of the energy we consume is produced today . Roof top solar should not only be free of unfair rate increases, it should be subsidized by state, federal and global agencies as it is in the best interest of all citizens of the world.

Sincerely,

**Chad Perkins** 

240 N 100 W Ivins, UT 84738



## Fwd: RMP RATE INCREASE

1 message

Utility Complaints <utilcomp@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:59 AM

To: DSCOTT@westech-inc.com

Cc: Marialie Martinez <marmartinez@utah.gov>, PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

#### **Dear Consumer**

You have sent an electronic mail to the Division of Public Utilities. However the scope of the agency at this capacity is the Informal Complaint process which is to enforce uniform residential utility service practices and procedures governin eligibility, deposits, account billing, termination, and deferred payment agreements.

Because your complaint pertains to a topic currently being evaluated by the Public Service Commission under an open docket(s) (14-035-114, and/or 16-035-T14), I can forwal your comments to the Commission to be submitted under this docket, and suggest that you monitor any upcoming proceedings atwww.psc.utah.gov.

Respectfully,

The Utah Division of Public Utilities

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Dave SCOTT < DSCOTT@westech-inc.com>

Date: Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 3:43 PM Subject: RMP RATE INCREASE

Dear Division Dir ector,

For the fate of the positive Solar changes we have seen in the last few years, PLEASE REJECT Rocky Mountain Power 's proposal to increase rates to Solar Customers!!

Rocky Mountain Power's initiatives are to slow down the positive changes that solar is having on our power infrastructure, leaving them in more power to be in control of the future. In fact a MONOPOLY afraid of the future!!

Any costs Rocky Mountain Power says they are incurring more from solar customers is false. With more Solar Customers than ever before, KSL reported on October 8<sup>th</sup> that...

"The Utah Public Service Commission on Thursday approved a \$15.6 million decrease in Rocky Mountain Power 's customer electricity bills. Overall, rates will decline 0.8 percent or about \$6.84 in annual savings for a typical Utah residential customer."

Why the decrease????

"The commission approves customer prices based partially on the expected costs of fuel and electricity purchases, with rates adjusted after determination of whether the actual costs rise or fall. The decision comes

# after the utility achieved lower fuel and electricity purchase costs than previously forecast, a news release stated."

The cost of electricity has decreased because of Solar Customers NOT increased the demand and costs!!!

Please continue supporting the positive changes the Solar Industry is having on our nation by voting against these rate increases to Solar Customers!!

**Best Regards**,

**David Scott** 



**WesTech Engineering, Inc.** 

Systems Information Manager 3665 S. West Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84115 (801) 290-1257direct line (801) 265-1000 ext 137

(801) 290-1257fax DScott@WesTech-Inc.com



# (no subject)

1 message

Jake Bersie <jacobbersie1@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:22 AM

My family would appreciate if you ruled in favor of Solar. This would help my Uncle's company to continue expanding which has and will continue to create jobs. Solar is the future and it would be irresponsible to allow one company to have a monopoly over energy. Let the people choose the future that will best serve them.

Thank You



# **Utah solar!**

1 message

Paulette Platt <peanutbutter\_27@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:28 AM

I am opposed to RMP's proposed rate increases. We need to continue to insentivise roof top solar in Utah to help clean our air. Please support the people and children of Utah by opposing these unnecessary increases. Thank you!

-Paulette Platt Taylorsville UT



# **Utah solar!**

1 message

Paulette Platt <peanutbutter\_27@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:28 AM

I am opposed to RMP's proposed rate increases. We need to continue to insentivise roof top solar in Utah to help clean our air. Please support the people and children of Utah by opposing these unnecessary increases. Thank you!

-Paulette Platt Taylorsville UT



# NEM Docket 14035114 Rocky Mtn Power proposal

1 message

**Susan Finlayson** <susanclfinlayson@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:40 AM

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing to urge the Public Service Commission to deny Rocky Mountain Power's new rate structure that would severely discourage new solar installation and penalize people who have invested in the power of the future.

Thank you,

Susan Finlayson Salt Lake City, UT



# **Rocky Mountain Power Proposal on Solar Energy**

1 message

**Charlotte Nelson** <cnelsonchar@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:00 AM

To the Utah Public Service Commission

My husband and I went to extra expense in adding solar panels to our home with the intent that we would help with energy challenges in our community. The situation is urgent and we believe that we must all be part of the solution. We oppose the recent proposal put forth by Rocky Mountain Power, because we feel it is designed to reduce the commitment so many of us have made to solar sources for energy. Let's not put the cleaner solar choice out of reach for people. Isn't there a way to work equitably on this issue? Rocky Mountain Power 's proposal is not the answer.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Nelson



## Save Solar

1 message

**Kyle Amaya** <kamaya@solcius.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:30 PM

To Whom It may concern,

Good afternoon, I am sure that you have received countless emails and an abundance of "Please to not approve, rectify and pass this bill." I wont do that at all. Here are some facts of what Solar has done for Utah

- There are currently more than 92 solar companies at work throughout the value chain in Utah, employing 2,679 people
- In 2015, Utahinstalled 231 MW of solar electric capacity ranking it eighth nationally Installed solar capacity in Utah has grown by 1538% over the last year
- In 2015, \$389 million was invested on solarinstallations in Utah. This represents a 168% increase over the previous year and is expected to grow again this year
- The **391 MW of solar energy currently installed**in Utah ranks the state 14th in the country in installed solar capacity. There is enough solar energy installed in the state t**power 67,000 homes.**
- Over the next five years, Utah is expected to insta@2684 MW of solar electric capacity anking the state sixth over that time span. This amount ismore than 11 times the amount of solar installed over the last five years.
- Installed solar PV system prices in the U.S. have dropped steadily by 12% from last year and 66% from 2010.

In short, not only have we grown the Utah economyand put good people to work and set a shining example to the rest of the Country to follow we have also accomplished all this because it is the right thing to do. 2679 people got together and accomplished this feat. You pass that bill, all of we accomplished goes out the door and you, who we elected into office will have done harm to this great state.

Kyle Amaya, Solcius Employee 2 vrèteran of the solar industry



# Say no to Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Jennifer Pratt <jenniferapratt@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:51 PM

Please do not allow Rocky Mountain Power to raise it's rates for solar customers. It will discourage clean air and drive away solar companies that provide thousands of jobs. I worry that Rocky Mountain Power is only trying to protect their monopoly.

Thank you,

Jen Pratt



# **Solar Energy Incentives**

1 message

jeanne eakland <eaklandslc@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:49 PM

I am opposed to the Rocky Mountain Power proposal and request that more evaluation be done to make sure that it is a fair charge for both net metered and residential consumers. My family is considering having solar panels installed next years we are very strong proponents of CLEAN ENERGY. We want to do the right thing for everyone who believes in clean air and water ever if Rocky Mountain Power and the majority of our law makers don't. I hope I'm not too late.

Very Sincerely Jeanne Eakland 1637 Emerson Ave. SLC, Utah 84105



# Solar power

1 message

James martin <raftingjimmy@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:26 PM

Please support solar energy .it is the future fossil fuels are the past and have many negatives bedsides climate change .we the people of Utah desperately a cleans energy source .there is a 97% consensus by scientist that climate change is real . back when cars come into the picture no one tried to protect the horse and bugy industry from the auto mobile . because that would have prevented innovation and progress so please allow the solar industry a fare playing field . don't allow unfair competition to snuff out a technology that is needed for the survival of the planet .

Sincerely a very concerns citizen of the great state of utah



# Rocky Mountain Power proposed rate hikes for solar customers

1 message

Russel Weiser <weiserworld@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:29 PM

## To whom it may concern:

The proposal put forth by Rocky Mountain Power is a blatant pushback against early adopters of cleenergy and MUST be struck down.

Two things are particularly egregious to me with this proposal:

- 1) Utah claims to be a "free market state" where the market decides which business models thrive and which do not. The market is speaking loud and clear!eY Rocky Mountain Power has complete monopoly on our electricity and their proposal flies directly in the face of any attempts to let the mar decide for itself.
- 2) Utah claims to be a "family friendly state", but in reality fe along the Wasatch Front is anything but family friendly due to the horrible air quality we steet through at various times of the yearClean solar power IS A BOON to any societal effort to reduce air pollution. Not only should this proposal be struc down for this reason, but the State of Utah should feet further incentives to dramatically encourage the use of solar wherever and whenever possible. This can be our legacy!

The state has a chance to send a message here - WE CARE ABOUT YOUR HEATH, NOT OUR CRONIES IN ROCKY MOUNAIN POWER! If the state passes on this opportunity istory can only look with disdain on the shortsightedness of the decision makers.

I am a 1st year solar producer under the current Net metering program. I find that the annual reset my net meter credits, offsets some of the Costs the Rocky Mountain Power claims to be losing. I believe that the PSC need to clearly understand the whole program and not let the power company just say they are losing Millions. I missed last years Rocky Mountain Power rebate program as the shut it down when the funds are fully allocated for the 1st come 1st serve basis. I still decided to ins my panels regardless for the tax credit and the believe I was helping the local environment.

Please think carefully and ponder what your grandchildren will think of your decisions!

Respectfully Russel F Weiser



# solar change

1 message

**Robert Woodruff** <robert.william.woodruff@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:01 PM

I feel that they have probably already paid you guys off to do this I have a lot of friends working in solar right now. I can read I know rmp s study conclusion was preordained before they began their research. I know that one of you guys used to be an executive for RMP so I am not sure how that makes any sense that now they are in charge of reigning them in. I just think that they want to keep gouging customers left and right. Their are many other companies who provide power in this State and they are all much less expensive. Why not de regulate? If RMP is doing such a great job well people will stay with them Iol. Please do not kill solar in Utah, it is in your hands. Please do not do it. Thanks again for looking at this



# **Free Market for Electricity**

1 message

Clark Cannon <clarkcannon@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:43 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to tell you I do not support the proposed RMP rate change/increase for solar customers. The proposed rate change is an unfair move by a monopoly to punish consumer who are trying to save money via residential solar. The new rate changes will almost triple the amount of time it will take for consumers to break even on their solar investment. They will be charging consumers that are using less power from their grid which does not make a lot of sense in my mind. It will also solidify RMPs position as a monopoly and not allow the public to have a choice to upgrade their homes to solar without paying their inflated fees. I oppose this rate increase.

# Clark Cannon, AB

Influence Realty & Relocation

Associate Broker

801-699-3456Direct clarkcannon@gmail.com InfluenceRelocation.com



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com