BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Docket No. 14-035-31
Application of Rocky
Mountain Power to Increase
the Deferred EBA Rate
through the Energy
Balancing Account Mechanism

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT: Public Service Commission

Heber M. Wells Building, Room 403

160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE:

Wednesday, October 8, 2014

TIME:

9:03 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Teena Green, RPR, CSR, CRR, CBC





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONER DAVID R. CLARK
3	CHAIRMAN RON ALLEN COMMISSIONER THAD LEVAR
4	COMMINICOTONER THAD LEVAR
5	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
6	
7	PATRICIA E. SCHMID, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
8	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 (801) 366-0380
9	
10	FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:
11	REX OLSEN, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
12	160 East 300 South, Second Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
13	(801) 366-0137
14	
15	FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:
16	YVONNE R. HOGLE, ESQ. ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
17	201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
18	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 220-4050
19	yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com
19 20	* * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1 INDEX 2 Witness: Page 3 PAUL CLEMENTS 4 Direct Examination by Ms. Hogle 5 6 Witness: Page 7 MATTHEW CROFT 8 Direct Examination by Ms. Schmid 23 9 10 Witness: Page 11 DANIEL GIMBLE 12 Direct Examination by Mr. Olsen 28 13 14 Witness: Page 15 STEVEN R. MCDOUGAL 32 16 Direct Examination by The Hearing Officer 17 18 19 Questions by the Commission 33 20 21 EXHIBITS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE 22 23 All prefiled testimony was received page 6 into evidence 24 25



October 8, 2014

9:03 a.m.

2 PROCEEDINGS

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This is the time and place that has been noticed for a hearing in Docket No. 14-035-31 In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase the Deferred EBA Rate Through the Energy Balancing Account Mechanism.

I'm Commissioner Clark. I'll serve as the hearing officer for our proceeding today. To my left is Chairman Ron Allen, to his left is Commissioner Thad LeVar.

I'd like to begin by the counsel for the parties, beginning with the applicant, entering their appearances.

MS. HOGLE: Good morning, Commissioners.

My name is Yvonne Hogle and I am here on behalf of
Rocky Mountain Power. With me here today, in support
of the settlement stipulation, is Mr. Paul Clements.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

MS. SCHMID: Good morning.

Patricia E. Schmid with the Attorney General's Office, representing the Division of Public Utilities. With me, as the Division's witness, is Matthew Croft.



1	MR. OLSEN: Rex Olsen with the
2	Attorney General's Office, representing the Office of
3	Consumer Services, and our witness today will be
4	Dan Gimble.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
6	Any other appearances? And is there
7	anyone on the phone who intends to be a participant in
8	the proceeding today? Do we have people on the phone?
9	(Inaudible response.)
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do we have people on
11	the phone?
12	MS. BINTZ: We do, if we can hear
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. We've
14	got a microphone now, so we can hear you. Thank you.
15	If you're just monitoring, there's no need
16	to identify yourselves, but if you're going to
17	participate, we'd like to know that in advance.
18	Are there any preliminary matters before I
19	lay out how we intend to proceed today?
20	MS. HOGLE: Yes, Your Honor.
21	The Company is going to present
22	James Zang, who I believe just spoke, who will be
23	adopting all of the testimony and exhibits that were
24	filed by Joelle Steward today. And he will be
25	available to answer any questions that the Commission



1	may have regarding that testimony, in addition to
2	Exhibit A, which was attached as stipulation.
3	In addition, I believe we have all of the
4	other witnesses on the phone, who also filed testimony
5	here today, available to answer any questions. And
6	behind me is Mr. Steve McDougal, who I believe also
7	filed direct testimony in the case, who is available
8	to answer any questions that you may have.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much.
10	MS. HOGLE: And then I wonder if we can
11	move for the admission of all of the testimony filed
12	in this case into the record as evidence, if right now
13	is the appropriate time.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: We can do it now and
15	that would I assume your motion encompasses the
16	testimony of the other parties as well.
17	MS. HOGLE: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: So is there any
19	objection to receiving all of the prefiled testimony
20	into evidence?
21	MR. OLSEN: We have no objection,
22	Your Honor.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: It will be received.
24	(All prefiled testimony was received into
25	evidence.)



1 MS. HOGLE: And one more matter, 2 Your Honor. 3 I received a phone call from 4 Mr. Gary Dodge, representing UAE, extending his 5 apologies for not being able to be here this morning, 6 but he wanted me to represent to you that he and his 7 clients support a settlement stipulation. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 9 MS. HOGLE: Thank you. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other 11 preliminary matters? 12 As Ms. Hogle has mentioned, we received a 13 filing in the docket on October the 6th, two days ago, 14 the filing of a settlement stipulation signed by the 15 applicant, the Division of Public Utilities, the 16 Office of Consumer Services, and the UAE intervention 17 group. And it's our intent to address that settlement 18 stipulation in this hearing. And I presume, since no 19 other parties entered any appearance at this stage, 20 that no one has come with an intention to do anything 21 other than that today, but if I'm incorrect, now is 22 the time for someone to speak up. 23 Okay. And what we propose to do, I 24 believe that Rocky Mountain Power, the Division, and 25 the Office each have a witness who will support the



1	settlement stipulation. We would propose to swear
2	them together and have them testify as a panel, each
3	one in turn making any statement orally that they wish
4	to about the settlement stipulation. And then we'll
5	have an opportunity for questions from parties or the
6	Commission after they have each made their summary or
7	statement.
8	Any objection to that process?
9	MS. SCHMID: No objection.
10	MR. OLSEN: No objection.
11	MS. HOGLE: No objection.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And since we
13	have already received the written testimony, I would
14	propose that each of the witnesses be sworn now and
15	we'll begin the process I described.
16	So would you each raise your right hand,
17	please.
18	Do you solemnly swear that the testimony
19	you are about to give shall be the truth, the whole
20	truth, and nothing but the truth?
21	MR. CROFT: Yes.
22	MR. CLEMENTS: Yes.
23	MR. GIMBLE: Yes.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
25	So we'll begin with the Company





1	Ms. Hogle.
2	MS. HOGLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	
4	PAUL CLEMENTS,
5	called as a witness for and on behalf of the Company,
6	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
7	follows:
8	EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. HOGLE:
10	Q. Good morning, Mr. Clements. Can you
11	please state and spell your name and your position
12	with the Company for the record.
13	A. Yes. My name is Paul H. Clements,
14	C-L-E-M-E-N-T-S. I'm employed by Rocky Mountain Power
15	as director of regulatory affairs. My business
16	address is 201 South Main Street, Suite 2300, Salt
17	Lake City, Utah, 84111.
18	Q. And what is the purpose of your testimony
19	here today?
20	A. Today, I will briefly review the history
21	of events and key elements of the stipulation entered
22	into by the four signing parties. Those parties are
23	Rocky Mountain Power, the Utah Division of Public
24	Utilities, the Utah Office of Consumer Services, and
25	the Utah Association of Energy Users I will also



reconfirm Rocky Mountain Power's support of the stipulation and the Company's belief that the stipulation is in the public interest.

- Q. Please proceed.
- A. I'll begin by recounting the relevant key event that has led to the agreement presented here today.

First, on March 17, 2014, Rocky Mountain

Power filed an application to increase rates by

\$28.3 million through the energy balancing account, or
EBA.

On April 1, 2014, the Commission convened a scheduling conference and established a schedule for an order and notice of hearing dated that same day.

On July 29, 2014, the Division filed its audit report and direct testimony. And on August 28, 2014, the interveners filed direct testimony and the Company provided its response to the Division's audit report.

The docket proceeded on September 23, 2014, with all parties filing their rebuttal testimony. And then on October 6, 2014, the parties reached agreement and executed the settlement stipulation before the Commission for approval today.

In addition to the 20 filing requirement



responses included with the Company's application, the Company responded to 211 data requests. If you include the subparts of those data requests, the Company responded to 415 data requests. In response to those requests, the Company provided more than 2,400 documents to parties who participated in this case, as they prepared their audit and their responses to the Company's case.

So as you can see, prior to engaging in settlement discussions, a substantial amount of evidence and discovery had been reviewed, analyzed, and evaluated by the parties.

Over the last few weeks, the parties have been engaged in settlement discussions. Based upon those discussions, the parties have agreed to the terms and conditions set forth in the settlement stipulation before the Commission.

The stipulation addresses a number of issues. The individual parties may have placed greater weight on some issues than others in getting comfortable with the stipulation. I'll let each individual party address those issues, if they choose.

Side stipulation was filed with the Commission October 6, 2014, and all intervening parties in the case have signed the stipulation.



Q. Okay. Can you please describe the key terms of the stipulation?

A. Yes.

. •

I assume the Commission and other interested parties have read the stipulation, so I will briefly address the key components without reading the stipulation verbatim. In doing so, I do not intend to modify the terms of the stipulation. So if I misspeak, the language of the stipulation and not my words is the binding agreement.

The stipulation includes agreements on the financial or rate request component of the docket, as well as introduction of several nonfinancial terms that are related to processes and procedures for future EBA cases.

Starting with the financial component, the parties agree to the following -- and this is found in paragraph 3 of the stipulation: The parties agree that the Commission should allow Rocky Mountain Power to recover EBA costs in this docket in the amount of 25.3 million of the approximate amount of 28.3 million that the Company requested. And this is based on an unspecified adjustment in the amount of 3.0 million.

The stipulation also includes several nonfinancial terms. These terms will put in place



various processes and procedures that will facilitate the Division's review and audit in future EBA dockets. They will also improve the information exchanged between the Company and the Division.

And if I may speak to that particular point for a moment. As I mentioned earlier in my testimony, a considerable amount of information is exchanged between the Company and the Division as part of the EBA audit process. I mentioned over 2,400 documents were provided by the Company in this case.

The Company desires and has worked diligently to provide the requested information to the Division and to be responsive to initial and follow-up data requests from the Division.

The Company acknowledges that the Division has a very challenging task in performing their audit within a certain time period and that efficient information exchange is critical to their ability to perform that task.

The Company further acknowledges that there have been many lessons learned through this process and that further improvements can be made. The Company appreciates the effort and the patience shown by the Division as we have worked to identify gaps in the process and to identify where improvements



can be implemented.

I want to dwell on that for a moment. The Company is very appreciative of the effort of the Division staff and working through the process to make it more efficient for the Company, for the Division, and ultimately, for the benefit of customers, and we're very appreciative of the effort they've put forth.

The processes that we've established in the stipulation are a result of that collaborative effort. After considerable experience that we've gained through the prior EBA dockets, the Company believes that the lessons learned have now been applied and the processes that have been agreed to in the stipulation will resolve all known issues regarding discovery.

The Company is committed to improving the audit process and we fully expect and, quite frankly, demand from our staff and our company that subsequent EBA cases will be much more efficient and less contentious.

Now, turning to the actual nonfinancial terms. The first nonfinancial term addresses documentation of trade purpose. And talking a bit about trade purpose, what we mean here is the Company



only enters into trades for hedging or balancing.

What we're talking about when we say "trade purpose" is the Division has requested that the Company provide additional information about why a trade was entered into on a particular day at a particular price, so basically, what was going through the trader's mind at

So paragraphs 4 and 5 put into place the procedure to capture that information so it can be provided to the Division.

the time of execution of the trade.

For all hedge transactions, which are transactions that are prompt month and forward, so this excludes balancing transactions -- and balancing transaction is a daily trade or a trade for a balance of the month product. So we're basically talking about next month and then forward products.

The Company will put in place a process for capturing the trade purpose through a weekly report that's called the commercial objectives report. Now, that report captures the objective that the Company has from a hedging standpoint for that particular week. So it will say that we expect natural gas prices to move in a certain direction, for example. And so if we have opportunities to buy or sell based on that thought, the traders are to execute



based on that strategy. So it provides a roadmap or a trading guideline for the traders for that particular week.

The Company is also agreeing to document, through additional narratives specific to a particular trade, if a trade deviates from that particular strategy. So if the weekly report has a certain strategy and the trader does a trade that is not in line with that strategy, the Company has committed to provide additional narrative as to why that trade was entered into.

The process we've established in stipulation will be further discussed and documented, in cooperation with the Division, within 90 days of the stipulation. And this process will change over time, as conditions warrant.

The parties further agree that this process for trade documentation does not apply to transactions entered into prior to the stipulation.

The Company is implementing this process now and so there may be trades in future EBA cases that were done prior to the implementation of this process. For those trades, the parties agree that they'll work in good faith to review the trade purpose and they'll use relevant documents and information that's been



3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

archived by the Company and further discussion with Company personnel where applicable.

Now, moving on to paragraph 6, 7 and 8 in the stipulation, these paragraphs are intended to clarify and to document how certain information needed by the Division will be obtained by the Company and subsequently shared with the Division. This includes customer billing information related to curtailant by/through and the verification of index prices that are used in various calculations.

Paragraph 8 establishes that the Division may request ad hoc information pertaining to EBA audit-related work outside of a formal docket and the Company will provide the information, if available.

Paragraph 9 of the stipulation sets forth the process through which the Company will provide the Division with the base set of trade data to be used in the audit at an earlier time than what has occurred in previous dockets and in a format that is preferred by the Division. This will facilitate the Division selecting its sample data earlier in the audit process. This will allow the Division and the Company more time to exchange information on this narrower set of data that is the focus of the detailed audit.

Now, the Company believes that this



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25 procedure, in particular, will have a significant impact and will greatly improve the audit process as the Division gets their information sooner and in a format that's easier for them to use.

Paragraph 10 of the stipulation states that the Company will provide to the Division, within 90 days of approval of the stipulation, a comprehensive list of documents, policies, and reports used or relied on by traders and trading activity. We're exchanging this information in advance of the next EBA audit in order to allow the Division and the Company to jointly discuss the policy documents and other key reports that the Division may find useful, once they enter into their audit next year and in coming years.

Paragraphs 11, 12 and 13 establish certain procedures that will be put in place to facilitate the timely and accurate exchange of information once the DPU has initiated its audit each year.

Paragraph 11 establishes additional communication protocols for identifying and resolving issues with discovery in a timely and efficient manner.

Paragraph 12 sets forth that the Company will make key personnel available to the Division to



2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

facilitate and expedite review of information submitted by the Company.

And paragraph 13 establishes a procedure through which the Division and the Company will meet early in the EBA audit process to review, in detail, the information for the sample trade selected by the Division.

Two more and we're done with this section.

Paragraph 14 establishes that the Company will file a notice of impending EBA application annually on January 15. The purpose of this is to establish a means by which the parties can exchange confidential information earlier in the process.

Paragraph 15 states that the Company will record the competitive price for nonbrokered transactions. This will facilitate the Division's review of those particular types of trades, so those are trades that are done directly with a counterparty and not through a broker.

And the last of the process improvement terms is in paragraph 16, and it sets forth that the Company will inform the DPU of any updates to relevant policy documents that affect hedging. Some of these documents are the corporate governance and approvals process, the risk management policy, and the



front-office procedures and practices.

review of the Company's trading policy and procedural information throughout the year. And so when the Division is performing their audit, they will already have considerable information regarding the Company's trading policies and procedures and they can track that throughout the year.

So that concludes my summary of the terms

And this will facilitate the Division's

of the stipulation related to the financial and the nonfinancial pieces, and I'll speak briefly about the rate spread.

Paragraphs 17, 18 and 19 address the collection terms and the rate spread. Paragraph 17 states that, consistent with the settlement stipulation in Docket No. 13-035-184, which was the 2014 general rate case, the parties agree that effective November 1, 2014, all deferral balances currently being collected into EBA from Docket Nos. 10-035-124, 12-035-67, and 13-035-32 shall be added together with the 25.3 million balance in this case, subject to Commission approval of the stipulation, with a total balance to be collected over one year, beginning November 1, 2014.

And staying on that particular subject for



a minute, previous EBA dockets had collection periods that are a bit staggered. We have three collection periods currently in place right now. What the stipulation, in the 2014 general rate case, said was that we will combine those three collection amounts with this current EBA docket and we will spread those all across one year, beginning November 1, 2014.

That eliminates this staggered ending for all of those collection periods and basically consolidates all of the collections into one annual period. The customers are still paying the same amounts as they were going to pay under the prior dockets, we've just consolidated the payment period.

Paragraph 18 provides that, consistent with the settlement stipulation in the 2014 general rate case, prior EBA balances shall continue to be collected from customers, without interest, during this consolidated collection period, but that the 25.3 million balance in this case, subject to Commission approval of the stipulation, will accrue interest during the collection period, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

If you desire to have additional information on those particular different tranches of collection, in Mr. McDougal's testimony at page 3,



3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 there's a very helpful graph that shows the timing and the amounts for those various collection periods.

Paragraph 19 addresses the rate spread. The parties agree to the spread and the rate designed and the approved rate change, including the balances to be consolidated with the 25.3 million balance in this case. And the rate schedules are set forth in Exhibit A of the stipulation. The EBA spread is consistent with the NPC allocator method ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 11-035-T10.

The rest of the stipulation includes general terms and conditions. So paragraph 21 through 25 contain those items that are found in most stipulations, represent the obligations of the parties to each other and to the stipulation.

As with most stipulations, the agreement was reached through negotiation and compromise. Each party became comfortable through their own means and nothing in the stipulation shall be considered as precedential in any future case, with the exception of any issues expressly called out and resolved by the stipulation.

With that background, the Company recommends that the Commission approve the stipulation and all of its terms and conditions.



1	Q. Do you have any final comments?
2	A. Yes.
3	Just a final comment, I want to again
4	thank the parties for working together to reach
5	agreement in a very short timeframe. It took a lot of
6	work to get where we are today and we restate our
7	support for the stipulation. I believe it was
8	negotiated in good faith and it's in the public
9	interest and its rates are just and reasonable. And
10	again, I recommend the Commission approve the
11	stipulation as filed.
12	Thank you very much.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Anything from
14	Ms. Hogle?
15	MS. HOGLE: No, Your Honor. Thank you. I
16	don't have anymore.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
18	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
19	MATTHEW ALAN CROFT,
20	called as a witness for and on behalf of the Division,
21	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
22	follows:
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. SCHMID:
25	Q. Mr. Croft, could you please state your



1	full name and position for the record.
2	A. Yes.
3	My name is Matthew Alan Croft. I am a
4	utility technical consultant for the Division of
5	Public Utilities.
6	Q. Could you briefly describe your
7	participation in this docket on behalf of the
8	Division.
9	A. Yes. I was the Division staff's lead
10	witness in this case. I was responsible for
11	coordinating efforts with our consultant, LaCapra
12	Associates, and I was also responsible for
13	coordinating assignments with Division staff. I also
14	did a lot of analysis myself in this case as well.
15	Q. I see the Division has signed the
16	stipulation. Do you have a statement?
17	A. Ido.
18	Q. Please proceed.
19	A. Good morning, Commissioners. And thank
20	you for the opportunity to express the Division's full
21	support of the stipulation signed by the parties in
22	this docket.
23	The Division believes the stipulation,
24	including the agreed-upon recovery of \$25.3 million,
25	is just and reasonable and in the public interest.



3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24 25

Mr. Clements has already adequately discussed the details of the stipulation, so I would just like to make a few comments regarding the agreed-upon number and the difficulties that still remain in the EBA audit process.

The Division's testimony in this case raised several issues with regards to plant outages, trade purposes, trade approvals, and other matters. These issues resulted in approximately \$7 million in adjustments to rebuttal testimony. Although it's inexplicably late, certain Company revisions and additions were made to previously provided data, as well as other Company explanations. Some of these explanations and further audit work performed by the Division took place after rebuttal testimony, with limited time for review.

This settlement is not an endorsement of such late supplementation of the record on elementary questions concerning EBA expenses. The Division does note that at least nine phone conferences were held with the Company in which certain trading reports were discussed. These phone conferences were very helpful to our staff and to LaCapra Associates.

In addition, some data request responses required the Company pulling thousands of pages of



3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

supporting documentation. The Division appreciates these helpful efforts made by the Company.

Despite these good efforts, significant problems still remain. We want to make it clear to the Commission that all is not well with the audit process, particularly as it relates to the Company's handling of and the sharing of information with the Division and its consultants.

First, as has been documented in testimony in this case, many of the late data request responses have been unnecessarily late, not merely delayed by complexity or other unique issues.

Second, while many initial Company responses to data requests may satisfy a legal requirement to answer a question, they have not satisfied the more important question of, does this response adequately explain why certain costs should be recovered?

Third, with respect to the current and previous EBA case, the Division and its consultants have become aware of additional types of trading reports and other documents used by traders that should have been disclosed previously.

Fourth, at times, the Company has used the need for customer consent and the proprietary nature



of certain information as reasons for withholding key source documents. The Division believes it is the Company's statutory obligation to provide such organization documents, regardless of its agreements with other third parties or customers.

Despite the Company's executive management's verbal assurances and the concrete commitments embodied in this stipulation, these difficulties will not be considered to be resolved until the Company proves itself in the next EBA filing. Many of the specific terms of the stipulation were written for the purpose of addressing the information issues.

Among other things, the Company has agreed to arrange access to ICE prices, document certain trade purposes and nonbrokered trade prices, and provide a complete list and brief explanation of all the types of reports used by traders in their daily operations. If improvements are not made in the next EBA case, the Division will seek penalties and could recommend the EBA end.

Despite these outstanding difficulties, the Division believes the stipulation to be in the public interest. In the Division's view, the Division not only recognizes warranted financial adjustments



1	but also outlines specific actions to be taken by the
2	Company that, if followed, will result in a smoother
3	EBA audit process. These assurances have value so
4	long as they compel the Company to act or the
5	Commission to enforce them if violated.
6	The Division is in full support of the
7	Company recovering the stipulated \$25.3 million EBA
8	deferral balance. The Division supports the rate
9	spread indicated in the stipulation and recommends
10	that these new rates be established November 1, 2014.
11	And that concludes my summary.
12	MS. SCHMID: Thank you, Mr. Croft.
13	The Division has nothing further.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
15	Mr. Olsen?
16	MR. OLSEN: Thank you.
17	DANIEL A. GIMBLE,
18	called as a witness for and on behalf of the Office,
19	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
20	follows:
21	
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. OLSEN:
24	Q. Mr. Gimble, would you state your name and
25	position and address, please.

1	A. Yes. My name is Daniel A. Gimble. I'm a
2	manager with the Office of Consumer Services, and my
3	address is 160 East 300 South, Heber Wells Building.
4	Q. Did you participate in the discussions
5	that led to the settlement stipulation that has been
6	filed with the Commission in this proceeding?
7	A. Yes, I did.
8	Q. Do you have a statement regarding the
9	stipulation?
10	A. Ido.
11	Q. Would you please set that forth now.
12	A. Okay. Good morning.
13	The stipulation includes an adjustment of
14	\$3 million to the Company's requested 28.3 million EBA
15	increase, which reflects, to some measure, the three
16	adjustments proposed by the Office in this proceeding.
17	In addition, the stipulated \$25.3 million
18	amount is appropriately spread to the rate schedules
19	and contract customers using the MPC allocator from
20	Docket 11-035-200.
21	The Office supports the stipulation as
22	being reasonable and in the public interest. We
23	recommend that it be approved by the Commission as

24

25

filed.

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

And that concludes my statement.



1	MR. OLSEN: The Office has nothing
2	further.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
4	Are there questions from the parties for
5	the witnesses who have addressed the settlement
6	stipulation?
7	Thank you.
8	Questions from the Commission?
9	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I have one. This is
10	a question for Mr. Croft and Mr. Gimble both.
11	I just want to make sure I'm understanding
12	or not reading too much into the phrase at the end of
13	paragraph 18 where it says, "Unless otherwise ordered
14	by the Commission."
15	Just to clarify, are the Division and the
16	Office both recommending that the 25.3 million balance
17	does accrue interest during the collection period?
18	MR. CROFT: That is our understanding,
19	yes, the \$23.3 million would accrue interest.
20	MR. GIMBLE: That is the Office's
21	understanding as well.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And that's both
23	party's recommendation also?
24	MR. CROFT: Yes.
25	MR. GIMBLE: Yes.



CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you.

Looking at the accounting that came in quickly and towards the end -- the past few days, I'm just curious, is there a common understanding as to how the \$3 million that's been backed out of the request is going to be allocated among the source energy accounts? Is it the three adjustments the Office was recommending? Is there a common understanding how that was being allocated or is just as the stipulation says, it's an unspecified amount?

MR. CLEMENTS: Yes, that's an unspecified amount that reduces the overall request.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: So will you be filing any post-hearing spreadsheets or anything that might indicate how the allocation of costs will occur going forward so we have a baseline or should we just use the original filings and keep it in ratio to what the original filing was, original spreadsheet?

MR. CLEMENTS: I think I'll defer to Mr. McDougal.

MR. MCDOUGAL: We are not anticipating any future filings.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. McDougal, could you get closer to the microphone for the reporter, please?



1	MR. OLSEN: Mr. Clark, does he need to be
2	sworn?
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's a good idea.
4	Would you mind if I administered an oath
5	to you, Mr. McDougal.
6	Do you solemnly swear that the testimony
7	you are about to give shall be the truth, the whole
8	truth, and nothing but the truth?
9	MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
10	STEVEN R. MCDOUGAL,
11	called as a witness for and on behalf of the Company,
12	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
13	follows:
14	
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY THE HEARING OFFICER:
17	Q. Thank you. And state your full name for
18	the record, please.
19	A. Yes, my name is Steve R. McDougal,
20	M-C-D-O-U-G-A-L.
21	Q. An employee of Rocky Mountain Power?
22	A. I am an employee of Rocky Mountain Power
23	at 201 South Main, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111.
24	We are not anticipating any future
25	filings, because what we're really doing is comparing



the historical period. So we will make that \$3 million adjustment in the accrual account and that will be really the only place that we will make an adjustment.

- Q. And that will be available to us in future cases, then?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Great. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: I have a couple of questions -- not for Mr. McDougal, so if you'd -- you can sit there, if you'd like, or resume your seat, wherever you'd like to be.

But for Mr. Clements, regarding the provisions on trade purpose, how often is it likely that a trade during the week is going to deviate from the weekly commercial objectives report that expresses the objectives that were at least known at the beginning of the trading period? In other words, I'm wondering how much additional documentation is going to flow from this provision.

MR. CLEMENTS: I think it is very unlikely that that will occur. Typically, the trading strategy is established in that weekly report. And absent a considerable market move or extenuating circumstance, that strategy does not change throughout the week. So



I would say that it would be rare, maybe ten times a year would be an estimate I would provide.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

Separate subject: Regarding paragraph 17 in which you address earlier EBA dockets, I just want to clarify for the record, those earlier dockets, that is the deferral periods pertinent to those earlier dockets, are each scheduled to conclude either by November 1, 2015, or before November 1, 2015? Am I correct there?

MR. CLEMENTS: Yes. Each of those three are already scheduled to conclude prior to November 1, 2015, correct.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So this provision would simply lengthen the recovery period for one or more of them. And, again, interest is not accruing on those balances, is that --

MR. CLEMENTS: Yes, that's correct.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

Now for Mr. Croft, I have a question about the corrective actions that you address that are detailed in the settlement stipulation.

Am I correct in understanding that at least in word, the settlement stipulation adequately addresses the deficiencies in the Company's



responsiveness that you outlined? Is that an accurate
statement?
MR. CROFT: I think what's presented in
the stipulation presents a plan or specific items that
will resolve these issues. Obviously, the Company
would have to perform on those, but assuming they do,
then they would be resolved.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Anything further? Anything further from
the parties?
MS. HOGLE: Yes, Your Honor.
Given that no party objects to the
stipulation, the Company requests that the Commission
issue a bench order approving the stipulation.
Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's be in recess
until ten minutes to the hour to consider that motion.
Thank you.
(A recess was taken.)
THE HEARING OFFICER: We'll be on the
record.
Before we address, Ms. Hogle, your motion,
I have a follow-up question that relates to the
schedules or exhibits attached to the settlement

stipulation. And this may be for Mr. McDougal or

25



Mr. Clements, I will let you sort it out, but in terms of the mechanics, as I understand it, the proposed EBA revenues that are presented are projected based on loads that relate to a former historical period, 2013, or something like that. Whatever they are, they're not likely to be exactly what's experienced during the November 1, 2014, to November 1, 2015, period.

So given that inevitable mismatch, what happens if the Company has amortized the complete balance in the account before we reach November 1, 2015? Does the Company somehow act to stop the collection or does it continue to collect and that gets sorted out in the next EBA case?

MR. CLEMENTS: I am going to defer to Mr. McDougal to make sure you get the complete response on that one.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Sorry, I took your advice and went back to the more comfortable seat.

What we are planning on doing in the EBA would just be to roll that forward to the future EBA. So what we will do is just keep this rate in effect until next November 1. If there is a positive or a negative balance, that balance will then roll forward into the future EBA filings.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. That's



1	helpful.
2	And now to the motion, we that is, the
3	Commission finds and concludes that the facts have
4	been presented sufficient for us to approve the
5	settlement stipulation. And we will issue a written
6	order that will further articulate those findings and
7	conclusions, but that is our order as of today and we
8	expect the written order will issue before November 1.
9	With that action, is there anything
10	further for the Commission at this time?
11	Then we're adjourned.
12	And thank you all very much for your
13	participation.
14	(Concluded at 9:53 a.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the proceedings in the foregoing matter were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into written form;

That said proceedings were taken at the time and place herein named;

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties of said cause of action and that I am not interested in the event thereof.

