

ACTION REQUEST

Date: June 11, 2014

FROM: Public Service Commission Due: September 30, 2014*
SUBJECT: PacifiCorp's Affiliated Interest Report for 2013, Docket No. 14-035-66
(Company Name, Case Number, etc.)

5/31/2013
13-035-100

(6) PACIFICORP'S AFFILIATED INTEREST REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 2013 In the Matter of PacifiCorp's Affiliated Interest Report

This is a request for the Division to provide analysis, evaluation results, and the basis for conclusions and recommendations regarding the following:

- Review for Compliance and Make Recommendations
 Review Application and Make Recommendations
 Analyze the Complaint
 Review Notice and Make Recommendations
 Review Request for Agency Action and Make Recommendations
 Respond in Accordance with the Notice of Filing and Request for Comments
 Investigate
 Other – Explanation and Statement of Issues to be Addressed (See Below):

On May 27, 2014, PacifiCorp filed its CY 2013 Affiliated Interest Report in accordance with the Stipulation approved in Docket 05-035-54 in which MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company and PacifiCorp (d.b.a. Rocky Mountain Power) agreed to comply with Commitment 8 that states:

*In the event the Commission issues an order or notice providing dates for comments and/or testimony in this docket:

- The Division shall respond consistent with the order or notice;
- The order or notice, including any deadlines, shall supersede and replace this action request; and
- This action request shall be deemed withdrawn.

“PacifiCorp will file on an annual basis an affiliated interest report including an organization chart, narrative description of each affiliate, revenue for each affiliate and transactions with each affiliate.”

In the Division’s action request response last year on the Affiliate Interest Report for CY 2012, the Division stated:

The activity between the affiliates as reported in the filing appear to be fair, reasonable, and not contrary to the public interest.

1. The Commission requests that the Division provide greater detail in its response for the CY 2013 report such that we understand the scope of the Division’s investigation and the facts that lead to its conclusions. For example, is the Division able to determine that PacifiCorp paid the lower of market price or cost (or received the higher of market price or cost) for the affiliated transactions, and if so how did the Division make this determination?

*In the event the Commission issues an order or notice providing dates for comments and/or testimony in this docket:

- The Division shall respond consistent with the order or notice;
- The order or notice, including any deadlines, shall supersede and replace this action request; and
- This action request shall be deemed withdrawn.