Witness OCS – 1D

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 32, Service from Renewable Energy Facilities

-) Docket No. 14-035-T02
-) Direct Testimony of
-) Cheryl Murray
-) for the
-) Office of Consumer Services

September 9, 2014

1	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR NAME, TITLE, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
2	A.	My name is Cheryl Murray. I am a utility analyst for the Office of Consumer
3		Services (Office). My business address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake
4		City, Utah.
5	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
6	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide the policy position of the Office
7		regarding the proposed Electric Service Schedule 32, Service from
8		Renewable Energy Facilities (Schedule 32).
9	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF SCHEDULE 32.
10	A.	Schedule 32 allows a Rocky Mountain Power (Company) customer to meet
11		some or all of its electricity service requirements directly from renewable
12		energy generated by a Renewable Energy Facility (REF) that is either
13		owned by that customer or provided through a contractual agreement with
14		a renewable energy producer. Electricity generated by the REF is
15		transmitted to the customer over the Company's transmission and
16		distribution facilities and generally the Company will provide the customer's
17		supplemental and/or back-up power needs.
18		
4.0		

Schedule 32 identifies the conditions of service, pricing, as well as the
contracting and interconnection procedures required for a customer to
obtain electricity generated by a REF.

Q. DOES SCHEDULE 32 SET THE PRICE CUSTOMERS PAY FOR
 ELECTRICITY GENERATED BY THE REF?

OCS-1D Murray

24 A. It does not. The rate customers pay for electricity generated by the REF is 25 to be negotiated between the customer and the REF. The Company is a 26 party to that process insofar as they deliver the energy from the REF to the 27 customer, collect the contracted rate from the customer and pay that 28 contracted rate or amount to the REF. According to the Company, Federal 29 Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulations and rules governing its 30 Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) require that the Company take 31 intermediary ownership of the energy.

32 Q. WHAT SERVICES ARE PROVIDED UNDER SCHEDULE 32?

- 33 A. The Company lists five (5) categories of services to be provided under
 34 Schedule 32:¹
- 35 1. Renewable Power and Energy
- 36 2. Metering and Billing Services
- 37 3. Delivery Service
- 38 4. Backup Service
- 39 5. Supplementary Service.

40 Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY'S PURPOSE IN

- 41 **DEVELOPING THE PROPOSED SCHEDULE 32?**
- 42 A. Based on Company testimony and discussions at two technical43 conferences, it appears that the Company has three main objectives in

¹ Direct Testimony of David L. Taylor, page 6, lines 131 -- 135.

44 creating Schedule 32²: 1) provide a mechanism for implementing Senate
45 Bill 12 requirements as codified in Utah Code; 2) recover all incremental
46 costs from Schedule 32 participants thereby ensuring that other customer
47 classes are not subsidizing Schedule 32 customers; and 3) structure the
48 tariff such that participants pay roughly the equivalent amount they would
49 pay for similar services on existing schedules.

50 Q. PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN THESE ISSUES.

51 The Schedule 32 tariff responds to Senate Bill 12 which was passed in the Α. 52 2012 Utah legislative session. Codified as Utah Code Title 54, Chapter 17, Part 8, "Renewable Energy Contracts", a customer may receive electric 53 54 service from a Renewable Energy Facility (or facilities) subject to the 55 customer agreeing to pay the utility "for all incremental costs associated with metering facilities, communication facilities, and administration..." It is 56 57 clear that the first two objectives I listed above are linked because the 58 relevant statute requires that all incremental costs are to be paid by the customer taking service from a REF. 59

60

61

62

The third objective, structuring the tariff such that participants pay roughly the equivalent amount they would pay for similar services on existing

 $^{^{2}}$ An additional element of Schedule 32 is that it would apply to all customers taking service under the renewable statute eliminating the need to negotiate rates and terms of service for individual customers. (Direct testimony of David L. Taylor, page 3, lines 50 – 53.)

63 schedules, relates to delivery, backup and supplementary service that 64 customers can currently receive under existing tariffs. It does not relate to 65 the rates customers would have paid for energy purchased under their 66 existing electric service schedules, when that service is replaced, entirely 67 or in part, by energy purchased from the REF. As noted above energy from 68 the REF is priced based on rates negotiated between the customer and the 69 REF. The Company has stated that although it has tried to create a tariff 70 that will be roughly equivalent to existing tariffs, individual customers will 71 experience varied outcomes based on their specific load profiles.

Q. WHAT IS THE OFFICE'S OPINION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S OBJECTIVES WITH SCHEDULE 32?

A. The Office believes that it is appropriate for the Company to attempt to keep
Schedule 32 customers from paying more for back-up and supplementary
services. However, our primary concern is that no costs associated with
Schedule 32 participation will be shifted to other customer classes; those
costs should be borne entirely by the customers that cause them to be
incurred.

80 Q. TO WHICH TARIFF SCHEDULES (CUSTOMER CLASSES) IS
 81 SCHEDULE 32 APPLICABLE?

A. Customers who qualify for Schedules 6, 8 or 9 that desire to receive all or
 part of their electricity from a REF in Utah are eligible for Schedule 32
 service. Schedules 6, 8 and 9 are applicable to large commercial and
 industrial customers. Statute 54-17-801(4) requires "The amount of

90

86 electricity provided to a contract customer under a renewable energy
87 contract may not be less than 2.0 megawatts". Thus Schedule 32 is limited
88 to customers using larger amounts of energy.³

89 Q. GIVEN THAT CUSTOMERS REPRESENTED BY THE OFFICE ARE

91 SCHEDULE 32, WHAT IS THE OFFICE'S INTEREST IN THIS DOCKET?

SMALL CUSTOMERS AND CANNOT TAKE SERVICE UNDER

A. Although customer schedules represented by the Office (Residential
Schedules and Schedules 10 and 23) cannot participate in Schedule 32
they can be affected if the tariff is not properly designed to collect all
appropriate costs from Schedule 32 customers. As I stated above our goal
is that no costs caused by Schedule 32 customers be shifted to other
customer classes including those represented by the Office.

98 Q. ON JULY 10, 2014, THE COMPANY FILED REVISED PROPOSED
99 TARIFF SCHEDULE 32 AS AN EXHIBIT TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY
100 OF DAVID L. TAYLOR.⁴ DOES THIS TARIFF MEET THE OFFICE'S
101 GOAL OF NO COST SHIFTS TO OTHER CUSTOMERS?

A. The Office cannot unequivocally state that no cost shifts will occur if
Schedule 32 is approved as proposed. As noted above the Company
asserts that it has attempted to ensure that Schedule 32 customers cover

³ Statute 54-17-801(3) provides an opportunity for a single contract customer to aggregate multiple delivery locations.

⁴ The Company originally filed proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 32, Service from Renewable Energy Facilities on April 25, 2014.

their base costs and all incremental costs associated with Schedule 32participation.

107Q.HAVE OTHER PARTIES RAISED CONCERNS RELATED TO108SCHEDULE 32?

109 Yes. In the technical conferences and in additional meetings some parties Α. 110 (potential customers and renewable energy producers) have expressed 111 concerns with the tariff as currently written. For example, there is some 112 concern with the amount of the administrative fee that the Company has 113 proposed, especially as it will relate to customers that aggregate to meet 114 the size requirements of participation. The Company's proposal is that the 115 fee needs to apply to each customer making up the aggregation, potentially 116 multiplying the total monthly administrative fee substantially.

117 Q. DOES THE OFFICE HAVE A POSITION REGARDING THE PROPOSED 118 ADMINISTRATIVE FEE?

119 Α. We do not necessarily support the dollar amount assigned to the 120 administrative fee; however, we do support the concept that costs incurred 121 to administer participation in Schedule 32 must be fully recovered from 122 Schedule 32 customers. It is our understanding that the Company's 123 determination of the administrative fee amount was based on discussions 124 with Company employees who have done similar work. The administrative 125 fee is subject to change over time and may be decreased or increased as 126 necessary.

127

128 It is the Office's opinion that starting with the best estimate of the costs that 129 will be incurred to perform necessary services is a reasonable approach; 130 and, the Company is in the best position to provide that estimated cost. We 131 recommend that any decrease in the administrative fee proposed by other 132 parties should be accompanied by substantial evidence that all costs will be 133 recovered.

134 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS REGARDING
 135 CONCERNS EXPRESSED IN THE AFORMENTIONED MEETINGS?

A. Yes. The Office is concerned that some parties may be seeking lower costs
rather than more accurate costs. While we understand this desire, lower
costs for Schedule 32 customers must not come at the expense of other
customers.

140 Q. ARE THERE ADDITIONAL ISSUES REGARDING THE TARIFF THAT 141 YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS?

A. There is one additional issue that the Office recommends the Commission address – surcharges. Schedule 32 specifies that all monthly bills shall be adjusted in accordance with Schedule 193, DSM tariff rider. The Office supports this provision; however, other surcharges should also apply. The Office asserts that Schedule 32 should be revised to include provisions that the following surcharges will also be applied to Schedule 32 customers' monthly bills:

Schedule 91, Surcharge to Fund Low Income Residential Lifeline
 Program;

```
OCS-1D Murray
```

- 151 2) Schedule 94, Energy Balancing Account;
- 152 3) Schedule 98, REC Revenue Adjustment; and
- 153 4) Schedule 195, Solar Incentive Program Cost Adjustment.
- 154 The Office recommends that in order to avoid any future controversy over 155 the issue of applicable surcharges the Commission should specify that 156 these surcharges will be applied to Schedule 32.
- 157 Q. DOES THE OFFICE SUPPORT THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED
 158 SCHEDULE 32?
- A. The Office has not identified any specific problems with Schedule 32 as proposed other than failure to identify all applicable surcharges; however, we recognize that other parties to this docket may identify problems in their direct testimony. We will review any evidence or proposals presented and give consideration to efficient or effective means of solving those problems
- so long as they do not create cost shifts to other customers.

165 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY FINAL COMMENTS?

- A. The Office's position is that the implementation of Schedule 32 must
 maintain ratepayer indifference for non-participants there must be no
 shifting of costs from Schedule 32 customers to other customers.
- 169 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 170 A. Yes, it does.