

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2015 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2015 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In providing your feedback, PacifiCorp requests that the stakeholders identify whether they are okay with the Company posting their comments on the IRP website.

XYes No May we post these comments to the IRP webpage?

Date of Submittal 8/25/2014

*Name: Bela Vastag

Title: [Click here to enter text.](#)

*E-mail: bvastag@utah.gov

Phone: [Click here to enter text.](#)

*Organization: Utah Office of Consumer Services

Address: [Click here to enter text.](#)

City: [Click here to enter text.](#)

State: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Zip: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Public Meeting Date comments address: 7/17/2014

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Transmission reinforcements for generating unit retirements and generation additions – see pages 43, 44 & 46 of the July 17 presentation (Public Input Meeting 2).

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

From slide 46, it appears that PacifiCorp Transmission Planning will be performing analyses to develop transmission reinforcement costs for the Coal Analysis and that these costs will be manually added to the PVRs developed from System Optimizer runs. This process seems similar to the 2013 IRP where SBT benefits were manually subtracted from PVRs for certain Energy Gateway scenarios and for which the Utah OCS expressed serious concerns. We urge the Company to provide transparency on these transmission reinforcement costs and provide background information and details on these costs to stakeholders prior to the completion of the 2015 IRP. The Utah OCS would also like clarification from the Company on whether these transmission reinforcement costs will be incorporated in other scenarios or Cases where generating units are added or retired. If so, we would like to see transparency for those situations also.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields