- 1 Witness Identification, Qualifications and Purpose of Testimony
- Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with
 PacifiCorp dba Rocky Mountain Power ("the Company").
- A. My name is Steven R. McDougal. My business address is 1407 West North Temple
 Street, Suite 330, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116. My present position is Director of
 Revenue Requirement for PacifiCorp.

7 Q. Please briefly describe your education and business experience.

A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting and a Master of
Accountancy degree from Brigham Young University with an emphasis in
Management Advisory Services. I have also attended various educational,
professional, and electric-industry related seminars in connection with my
employment.

13I have been employed with PacifiCorp and its predecessor, Utah Power and14Light Company ("UP&L"), since 1983. My experience includes various positions15with regulation, finance, resource planning and internal audit. My primary16responsibilities currently include overseeing the calculation and reporting of the17Company's regulated earnings or revenue requirement, assuring that the18interjurisdictional cost allocation methodology is correctly applied, and explaining19these calculations to regulators in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates.

20 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission or other state public

21 utility commissions?

A. Yes. I have provided testimony in many dockets before this Commission. I have
also provided testimony before the California, Idaho, Oregon, Washington and

24 Wyoming public utility commissions.

25 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

26 The purpose of my testimony is to support approval by the Commission of the Α. 27 Application for Approval of Purchase and Transfer Agreement and Power Supply 28 Agreement and Amendment of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity 29 ("Application") filed with this testimony. In particular, my testimony describes 30 regulatory treatment of the transaction associated with the Purchase and Transfer Agreement ("PTA") and the Power Sales Agreement ("PSA") entered into between 31 32 the Company and the Navajo Tribal Utility Authority ("NTUA"). The PTA is 33 Confidential Exhibit RMP___(LPM-1) to the testimony of Mr. Loren P. ("Lucky") 34 Morse filed in support of the Application, and the PSA is Exhibit N to the PTA. As 35 explained in Mr. Morse's testimony, both agreements have been amended, but the 36 amendments do not affect the issues discussed in my testimony.

37 Summary of Testimony

38 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. Rocky Mountain Power's current sales of power to customers within the Navajo
Nation are treated for interjurisdictional cost allocation purposes like sales to other
customers in Utah for purposes of determining the Company's revenue requirement
in Utah and other states. Revenues and distribution investment and expenses for
serving such customers have been assigned to Utah on a situs basis. The agreements
were negotiated in order to treat Utah customers and other Company customers in
a fair and reasonable manner.

Page 2 – Direct Testimony of Steven R. McDougal

46		In addition, there are certain aspects of the PTA under which the Company
47		may make payments to NTUA. The Company cannot proceed with the transaction
48		if it does not have assurance that those payments will be recoverable in its rates
49		from Utah customers. Therefore, I will explain why those payments, if they are
50		necessary, should be subject to recovery and why the Commission should indicate
51		in approving the PTA that payments made pursuant to the PTA are prudent and the
52		Company will be allowed to recover the payments from Utah customers.
53	Back	ground
54	Q.	Please briefly describe the Company's service to customers within the
55		Nation.
56	A.	As explained in more detail in the testimony of Mr. Morse, the Company, and its
57		predecessor, UP&L, have provided service to customers within the portion of the
58		Nation in San Juan County, Utah, for many years. This service has been provided
59		with the consent of the Nation and pursuant to the Company's tariffs and
60		regulations reviewed and approved by the Commission utilizing easements
61		obtained from the Nation. Most of the service is to residential and small commercial
62		customers. However, service to the largest customer, Resolute Natural Resources
63		Company, LLC ("Resolute"), and its predecessors has been provided under the
64		Company's Schedule 9.
65	Q.	How have the Company's investments, revenues and expenses associated
66		with providing this service been treated by this Commission?
67	A.	They have been treated the same as the Company's investments, revenues and
68		expenses associated with service to any other customers in the state of Utah. As a

Page 3 – Direct Testimony of Steven R. McDougal

result, revenues and distribution investment and expenses have been assigned to
Utah on a situs basis. The loads of customers within the Nation have been included
in the Utah jurisdiction, impacting allocation factors and the allocation of system
related costs.

73 Q. How have they impacted rates in other jurisdictions?

A. The revenues and situs distribution related investments and expenses have been
treated as Utah amounts and are not allocated to other states. The loads have been
included in the Utah jurisdiction, impacting allocation factors and the allocation of
system related costs. The Company is proposing to continue this treatment to keep
other states from being impacted by this transaction.

During the term of the PSA, there will be no significant changes to current interjurisdictional cost allocations. Revenues, costs and loads associated with the sale of assets and transfer of customers to NTUA pursuant to the PTA and with the sale of power to Resolute or to NTUA pursuant to the PSA will be situs-assigned to Utah during the term of the PSA.

84 Changes Resulting from the Transaction

85 Q. Please describe the changes that will result from the transaction?

A. As a result of consummation of the PTA, Rocky Mountain Power will convey title
to the facilities used to provide service to customers within the Navajo Nation to
NTUA . In addition, under the PSA, the Company is expected to
continue to provide power to NTUA to serve those customers for a period of ten
years through a service equivalent to Schedule 9 service under the Utah tariff.

- 91 Q. What is the implication of this change for ratemaking in Utah?
- 92 A.

93

As the transfer of facilities and customers takes place over time, the Company's expenses to serve the customers within the Nation will decline and eventually disappear. A small portion of its revenues will also be gradually converted from revenues under Schedules 1, 6 and 23 (residential and small commercial) to revenues under Schedule 9. Because the vast majority of revenues realized from sales to customers within the Nation are already on Schedule 9, this change should also not have a significant effect.

101 The concern is that parties may advocate before the Commission that the 102 Commission should ignore the fact that the sales are Schedule 9 equivalent and treat 103 them as system sales for purposes of ratemaking, thus requiring a portion of the 104 revenues and costs associated with the sales to be allocated to other jurisdictions.

105 Q. Why shouldn't the sales be considered as system sales and be allocated
106 among all of the Company's jurisdictions?

A. The transaction is the result of an agreement entered into by the Company's predecessor, UP&L, over 50 years ago. The agreement was required by a governmental entity to allow UP&L to continue to provide service to customers in Utah within the governmental entity's jurisdiction. Thus, the agreement was similar to a franchise agreement with a municipality in Utah. There is no reason that other jurisdictions should be affected by such an agreement.

Page 5 – Direct Testimony of Steven R. McDougal

113	Q.	Will consummating the PTA and providing power to NTUA under the PSA
114		be disadvantageous to Utah customers?
115	A.	No. The agreements were negotiated in order to treat Utah customers and other
116		Company customers in a fair and reasonable manner. For example, sales to NTUA
117		under the PSA are at Schedule 9 equivalent rates and will continue to be treated as
118		Utah revenues and loads. Therefore, Utah customers will not be harmed because
119		this treatment will continue for the balance of the term of Resolute's MESAs and
120		thereafter so long as Schedule 9 equivalent sales are made. NTUA will also share
121		in some of the benefits through payments
122		
123		
124		. Because these payments are part of the consideration for the overall
125		transaction, it is fair and reasonable to find the agreements in their entirety prudent
126		and provide assurance to the Company that it will be able to recover payments made
127		to NTUA pursuant to the agreements in Utah rates if it is required to pay them.
128	Q.	Why should the Company be entitled to recover payments it is required to
129		make ?
130	A.	The Company and its customers will receive a benefit from the Company's
131		continued service to Resolute during the term of the MESAs and the Company's
132		continued provision of power to NTUA to serve the transferred customers under
133		the PSA following completion of the transaction.
134		



Q. What if the Company and NTUA enter into a firm energy contract during
the term of the PSA? Does the Company require that the Commission
provide some assurance that costs and revenues from such a contract will be
Utah situs?

162 No. If the Company and NTUA enter into a firm energy contract based on market A. 163 prices for power, such a contract would truly be a wholesale type contract and 164 should be treated the way other contracts of that sort are treated in the Company's 165 ratemaking among its various jurisdictions. The Company has structured the 166 transaction as it has in light of the terms of the Letter Agreement and in an effort to 167 provide a reasonable transition period. Once that transition period is over, NTUA 168 will likely no longer be a Schedule 9 equivalent customer. If not, there is no need 169 that it be treated as if it were one.

170 Conclusion

171 **Q.** What do you conclude?

172 A. The Company has carefully structured the transaction to assure that other Utah 173 customers will not be harmed by it. It is fair and appropriate that the transaction be 174 treated in the manner discussed above in my testimony for ratemaking purposes. 175 The PTA and PSA are the product of extensive and difficult negotiations between 176 the Company and NTUA. They represent a carefully balanced compromise of 177 complex issues and must be viewed together and in their entirety. Approval of the 178 transaction is in the public interest and the ratemaking treatment proposed by the 179 Company is just and reasonable.

Page 8 - Direct Testimony of Steven R. McDougal

180 **Q. What do you recommend?**

181 A. The Company recommends that the Commission approve the transaction agreed

182 upon between the Company and NTUA and provide assurance that in so doing it is

- 183 approving the ratemaking treatment of the transaction discussed in my testimony.
- 184 **Q.** Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 185 A. Yes.