BEFORE THE UTAH UTILITY FACILITY REVIEW BOARD

> March 23, 2016 9:00 a.m.

Location: Utah Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Job No: 296512

Reporter: Teri Hansen Cronenwett Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter

1	74	Page 2
	APP	EARANCES
3	Board Members:	Thad Leva David Clark
4		Jordan White Beth Holbrook
5	For Applicants:	D. Matthew Moscon
6		STOEL RIVES 201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100
7		Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 578-6985 matt.moscon@stoel.com
8		
9		Heidi Gordon, Rocky Mountain Power
10	Han Wasahab Cambari	The last David
11	For Wasatch County:	Tyler Berg Wasatch County Attorney's Office
12		805 West 100 South Heber City, UT 84032
13		(435) 654-2947 Fax
14		
15	For the Proposed Intervenors:	Jeremy Reutzel BENNETT TUELLER JOHNSON & DEERE
16		3165 East Millrock Drive Suite 500
17		Salt Lake City, UT 84121 (801) 438-2000
18		(801) 438-2050 Fax jreutzel@btjd.com
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Page 3 March 23, 2016 9:00 a.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	MR. LEVAR: We are here well, first, good
4	morning everybody. We're here for the initial hearing
5	of the Utah Utility Facility Review Board. Just by way
6	of introduction, this board does not meet very often.
7	But the board consisted of three members of the Public
8	Service Commission, which is myself, Mr. David Clark and
9	Jordan White at the end.
10	It has two additional members. Beth Holbrook
11	is a member from the Utah League of Cities and Towns.
12	You're on the Bountiful City Council; is that right?
13	MS. HOLBROOK: That's correct.
14	MR. LEVAR: Then a fifth member was appointed
15	two days ago and is not able to be here today.
16	David Wilson from the Weber County attorney's office is
17	a fifth board member, and he has given us dates that he
18	is or isn't available for hearings so we should be able
19	to work out the scheduling issues that way today.
20	And just as an announcement, I just want to
21	let everybody in the room know, this is an odd
22	announcement, but we were informed yesterday that the
23	Utah Highway Patrol is doing active shooter training in
24	the building today and tomorrow so there may be noise
25	from that. It's something that's being conducted by
1	

- Page 4
- 1 highway patrol. So if there's noises, that's what's
- 2 going on.
- Why don't we go to appearances next then.
- 4 Those who are here, make an appearance. We'll start
- 5 with the applicants.
- 6 MR. MOSCON: Matt Moscon and Heidi Gordon for
- 7 Rocky Mountain Power.
- 8 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 MR. BERG: Tyler Berg with Wasatch County.
- 10 MR. REUTZEL: Jeremy Reutzel on behalf of the
- 11 parties seeking to intervene, BlackRock Ridge Home
- 12 Owners Association and Mark 25.
- MR. LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. We have a few
- 14 issues to go through in this hearing -- in this initial
- 15 hearing today. So the first issue is whether this will
- 16 be a formal versus informal proceeding. We've received
- 17 a few comments from parties recommending that it be a
- 18 formal proceeding. To my knowledge, we have not
- 19 received any request for it to be an informal
- 20 proceeding.
- Do any of the parties in the room wish to
- 22 address that issue before we take that issue under
- 23 advisement, starting with the applicant.
- 24 MR. MOSCON: No. In fact, thank you,
- 25 Mr. Commissioner. We spoke again before the

Page 5 commencement. I think all the parties agree that formal 1 2 makes the most sense. MR. LEVAR: 3 Okay. 4 MR. BERG: For Wasatch County as well. 5 MR. LEVAR: Okay. And BlackRock have any different feelings on that? 6 MR. REUTZEL: No, your Honor. 8 MR. LEVAR: Okay. This -- for those who are 9 used to participating with the Public Service Commission 10 here, this board acts a little bit differently. So I think we have to do public votes as a board on any issue 11 12 that we deliberate or decide. So I'll come back to the Is there any discussion of the issue of whether 13 board. 14 this should be a formal or an informal proceeding? I 15 guess I'll just start with Mr. White. MR. WHITE: And based upon the consensus of 16 17 the parties, I think it's appropriate to proceed forward as a formal proceeding. 18 19 MR. LEVAR: Any other comments? I guess I'll 20 entertain a motion. 2.1 MR. CLARK: So moved. 22 MS. HOLBROOK: Second. 23 MR. LEVAR: Okay. All in favor of proceeding 24 as a formal adjudication under UAPA say yes or aye. 25 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

	Page 6
1	MR. LEVAR: Any opposed?
2	(Silence.)
3	MR. LEVAR: Okay. So that seems to be
4	unanimous. The next item of business is a hearing date.
5	So under statute, with today as the initial hearing, the
6	last day that this hearing could be held is May 20th.
7	Just to let, let everybody in the room know a few
8	issues. There are Public Service Commission hearings
9	the 17th, 18th, 19th and 20th of May in a matter
10	involving the Navajo Tribal Utility Authority. So those
11	are not available as hearing dates.
12	We've heard back from Mr. Wilson who is the
13	fifth board member who is unavailable due to a family
14	event out of state May 12th through the 17th. So those
15	are dates that are off the table. And I think maybe
16	the well, let me ask you if in the weeks before May
17	20th, are there dates that you are or are not available?
18	MS. HOLBROOK: So I will be in St. George in
19	April for the Utah League conference, and that's April
20	4th through the 9th.
21	MR. LEVAR: Okay.
22	MS. HOLBROOK: And I do have a little bit of
23	traveling for my company. So 13th and 14th, I'm gone as
24	well in April.
25	MR. LEVAR: Of April?

```
Page 7
               MS. HOLBROOK: But May is fine.
 1
                                                I don't have
 2
     any issues with May.
 3
                           Okay. Let me go to the parties
               MR. LEVAR:
 4
     then and just get a sense for what you're thinking in
 5
     terms of how long of a hearing, how much time we need to
     reserve for hearing in this matter. I'll start with the
 6
     applicant.
 8
               MR. MOSCON:
                            Sure. And if it helps, I'll also
     represent this is something that prior to the hearing we
 9
10
     discussed possible dates, recognizing it may or may not
     work for the board. I think that we agree that this is
11
12
     a one day hearing. I recognize the board may want to
     include or add at a separate time a public comment
13
14
     period. That may be at the same day. But we -- I don't
15
     think any of the parties believe we need more than one
     day to hear the issues regarding the company's position.
16
17
               MR. BERG: The county agrees with that, and we
     also discussed possible dates. And I think we had an
18
     agreement, based on what would work with the board, that
19
20
     May 11th would work for the parties involved.
     would be the day before -- I quess you'd said the 12th
21
22
     through the 17th and then the 17th through the 20th were
23
     all blocked out.
24
               MR. LEVAR: Right.
25
                          So the 11th, that Wednesday, I
               MR. BERG:
```

Page 8 1 believe would work for the parties. 2 MR. LEVAR: Okay. And everyone's in agreement 3 on the May 11th date? MR. MOSCON: Yes. 4 5 MR. LEVAR: Any concerns from any of the board 6 members? (Silence.) 8 MR. LEVAR: Okay. 9 I guess my only concern would be MR. CLARK: 10 if for some reason one day is not adequate, we don't really have options beyond, beyond that point. 11 12 we're -- just so the parties understand that we would have -- we would be absolutely constrained to that day. 13 14 I guess maybe if we wanted to say, 15 just for sake of that purpose, if we wanted to say May 10th, which is what Rocky Mountain Power had originally 16 proposed, if we just said we start the hearing on May 17 10th and just reserve the 11th as a possible day. But 18 we think we should be able to complete it in one day. 19 20 But having that safety net's a good idea. 21 Okay. Any objection to that from MR. LEVAR: 22 any parties? 23 MR. MOSCON: No. We agree. 24 MR. LEVAR: Any objection to that from any board members? 25

Page 9 1 MS. HOLBROOK: Huh-uh. 2 MR. LEVAR: Okay. I don't think that's an 3 issue that requires a board motion. So we will probably have a board motion on all the dates for getting a 4 5 scheduling order out, but we'll set May 10th and 11th as the hearing date. Next let me ask the parties their 6 thoughts on time and/or place for a public witness 8 hearing. First with the applicant. MR. MOSCON: This is, I have to concede, an 9 10 area we haven't really discussed. The company recognizes that it's probably appropriate to have the 11 12 ability of members of the public to, to address the board. So should there be one? We absolutely think, 13 14 yes, there should be. 15 We haven't addressed actually the location or time or place. In my mind I was thinking it would be 16 17 kind of a continuation of our hearing or proceeding. But I have to admit that's not anything that we 18 specifically addressed, but I don't know that the 19 20 company feels strongly. 21 If the board thinks it's better to, to do that 2.2 up in Wasatch County, I can see why you would want to do 23 that. We just have to find a different date and time that we could do that. 24 25 MR. LEVAR: Okay. And of course the public

Page 10 1 witness hearing doesn't necessarily have to be right 2 around the time as the -- as the substantive hearing 3 either. MR. MOSCON: Agreed. 4 5 MR. LEVAR: So I'll move -- Wasatch County 6 have any thoughts or comments? MR. BERG: I think it would work best just for 8 county residents, if there are any that want to come and want to be to that public hearing, that it would be 9 10 located in Wasatch County. If we were to do that after May 20th, that 11 12 would be outside the 60 days. But I think the statute would allow for that. That would allow the parties to 13 14 have their formal hearing on the 10th and possibly the 15 11th and then allow the board the time to meet, meet with the public at the public hearing. 16 17 MR. LEVAR: So --MR. BERG: Are we --18 19 MR. LEVAR: What about thoughts of having the 20 public witness hearing prior to the -- prior to the substantive hearing? 21 22 MR. BERG: I don't have any objection to doing 23 that at all. I don't -- I don't know that there's an issue with that. I haven't considered that. I think 24 25 that would be something I would need to talk with our

Page 11 1 county counsel about --2 MR. LEVAR: Okay. MR. BERG: -- scheduling-wise as to where, a 3 location as well as making sure we had notice out to the 4 5 But that's something we could definitely do. public. Okay. Well, let me go to 6 MR. LEVAR: BlackRock. Not yet an intervened party -- not a party 8 at this point. That's still an issue to deal with. 9 I still think get your input on this issue. 10 MR. REUTZEL: We think -- we obviously think 11 the public comment's appropriate. We don't have a real 12 opinion on when it should be, other than I think it should be sometime after the company's produced their 13 14 documents and their testimony so those things can be 15 addressed by the public as well. 16 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Let me go to the utility. 17 Any concerns with if there's a public witness hearing in county facilities in Wasatch County? 18 MR. MOSCON: No. I don't think we have an 19 20 objection if the hearing is in Wasatch County or in county facilities. I think we would -- and also we 21 22 don't have any objection if the public hearing is after 23 parties file memos, prefiled testimony, anything like that. 24 25 I think it would be appropriate to have the

Page 12 1 public comment at the same -- at the same time or prior 2 to the formal hearing of this body because if members of 3 the public were to raise questions that actually gave any of the board members questions, I would like my 4 5 witnesses to be able to answer and address those. And if it's held afterwards, I would hate to have there be a 6 question in your mind that wasn't addressed, so I would 8 suggest that it be prior to May 10th. Okay. Well, should we talk about 9 MR. LEVAR: 10 May 9th as a potential public witness hearing in Wasatch 11 County, or were you indicating that you needed to maybe 12 touch bases with, with others in the county if county facilities were going to be used for that? 13 14 MR. BERG: I would like to find out, and I 15 think it's far enough in advance I don't think that the 9th would be an issue. But if I could at least have a 16 17 few days to confirm with the county council and make sure that location of where they would want to do that 18 19 at. 20 The timing, I don't have a schedule of all the 21 different possible buildings that we could have it in or 22 what might be already taking place at those locations. 23 But I would think the 9th as a preliminary date would I could get back to all parties if that date 24 wouldn't work. 25

```
Page 13
 1
               MR. LEVAR:
                           Okay. And one option for timing,
 2
     let's just throw that out, is starting a public witness
     hearing at 5:00 p.m. with the condition that we'll hear
 3
     public comments from anyone who's present by 5:30 or so.
 4
     Does that sound like a reasonable time frame to -- for
 5
     any of the parties in the room?
 6
               MR. BERG: Well, I know with, at least with
 8
     the planning commission, and as well as the board of
     adjustments that have already taken place, at least the
 9
10
     public comment, the planning commission went, I'd say,
     at least an hour, and that was with director of the
11
12
     commission cutting people off and keeping it short and
     stopping people from repeating. So I would think we
13
14
     would probably need more than half hour amount of time.
15
               MR. LEVAR: I was just indicating, saying
     anybody who's there by 5:30 --
16
17
               MR. BERG: Oh, by 5:30.
               MR. LEVAR: -- we'll stay long enough to let
18
19
     the people who were there by that time speak.
20
               MR. BERG:
                          Okay.
21
               MR. LEVAR: Not cutting off the hearing then,
22
     but...
23
               MR. BERG:
                          Okay.
24
               MR. MOSCON: The only -- and I don't mean to
25
     interrupt. But the only thing I'm just thinking is, if
```

Page 14 we have an evening hearing, which I understand makes 1 2 sense so that people can go to their day jobs and then 3 participate, and if that's up in Wasatch County, and then if we are starting early the next morning for the 4 5 formal hearing, I just want -- I'm just noting you will have people up there until midnight the night before and 6 then starting down here eight hours later. 8 I wonder if it might be worth considering 9 having the public comment on the Thursday or Friday 10 right on the other side of the weekend just to avoid I'm not demanding it. I'm just thinking out 11 that. 12 loud. 13 MR. LEVAR: No. That's a really good 14 suggestion, and I think it makes sense considering we 15 don't know how late that, that hearing will go. Well, should we look at days the previous week then? Looking 16 17 at some travel for some board members, it looks like Monday, May 2nd could be a possibility. I'm not sure if 18 Thursday, May 5th is a -- might or might not be. But 19

21 Is there a preference between those three

Friday, May 6th could be also.

- 22 Let me go to the board members first, if we're
- 23 looking at May 2nd, 5th or 6th for a public witness
- 24 hearing.

20

25 MR. CLARK: From my perspective, the 6th would

Page 15

- 1 be preferable. I'll be at a NERC board of trustees
- 2 meeting on the -- on the -- well, on the 4th and 5th.
- 3 And the meeting will end at noon, but I'll be in
- 4 Chicago. So noon on the 5th.
- 5 So it would be -- either I would have to leave
- 6 that board meeting early, which is -- it's conceivable.
- 7 I could do that. Or do it on the 6th. So I'm just
- 8 going to express a preference for the 6th. But if
- 9 people have unavailability on that date, the 5th could
- 10 work for me.
- 11 MR WHITE: I could do the 6th. You're saying
- 12 Monday the 2nd you'll be traveling?
- MR. LEVAR: No. Monday the 2nd is a
- 14 possibility also from at least our schedule. I haven't
- 15 talked to them yet.
- MR. WHITE: I could do either.
- 17 MR. LEVAR: 2nd or the 6th.
- MS. HOLBROOK: Same. I could do either, 2nd
- 19 or the 6th.
- 20 MR. LEVAR: Any preference between those two
- 21 options, or are they both good options?
- MR. BERG: As far as I can tell, they're both
- 23 good options. I'll just need to again be able to check
- 24 and make sure that we have a location and make sure that
- 25 that will work.

Page 16 1 MR. LEVAR: Okay. 2 MR. MOSCON: If Commissioner Clark is available on the 2nd, I think the company would prefer 3 the 2nd over the 6th. But we'll make either day work. 4 5 If he is traveling on the 2nd, then we would make the 6th work. 6 MR. CLARK: I'm fine on the 2nd. 8 MR. MOSCON: That'd be our preference of those 9 two days. 10 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Well, why don't we pencil this down for the 2nd. We will probably have to issue 11 12 an amended scheduling order once we have the location, but we'll plan for 5:00 p.m. on May 2nd. Next issue to 13 14 discuss is -- well, I think I'll come back to that one. 15 Let's talk about an intervention deadline. What would be a -- with the hearing on May 10th or 11th, 16 17 are there thoughts from parties on what would be a good deadline for any petition to intervene? Let me start 18 with the applicant. 19 20 MR. MOSCON: So I -- I assume the come -- the board recognizes the company's position that the only 21 22 other party that would have standing to intervene would 23 be Promontory. But without addressing that, I suppose any decision to intervene needs to be made relatively 24 25 promptly just because this is such an abbreviated

Page 17 schedule. 1 2 And we had, between the parties, discussed some timing of filing papers between us that we would 3 propose to the board. The first of those dates is April 4 5 8th, and the board may or may not accept that. But I quess what I would say is, whoever is or is not going to 6 be a party probably ought to be in the case before 8 papers start being filed officially. So if that helps as far as scheduling a time, 9 10 we have the 8th as being the first due date for filings 11 in our schedule that we were going to kind of jointly 12 propose to the board. 13 MR. LEVAR: And so you're suggesting an 14 intervention deadline prior to April 8th? 15 MR. MOSCON: Yes. MR. LEVAR: Like --16 17 MR. MOSCON: Let's see here. So I would say April -- a petition to have -- if there's a -- if anyone 18 wants to move to intervene by April 4th, and I don't 19 20 know how long the board needs to make a decision, I think we would just say we're going to be -- our 21 22 proposal to the board will be that papers start being 23 filed on April 8th. So that week, whenever the -- that 24 week of April 4th, I guess is what I propose. 25 MR. LEVAR: Okay. And I'll just mention -- I

Page 18

was going to mention in context of another issue, one 1 difference between this board and the Public Service 2 Commission is, for example, making a decision on a 3 petition to intervene has to be done by this board in a 4 5 public deliberation meeting. So once petitions come in, this board will have to meet and make a decision on 6 whether to grant intervention. 8 Board members who aren't Public Service Commission employees obviously could participate by 9 10 telephone, if more convenient. Telephonic participation is appropriate, but I just want to make sure everybody 11 12 is aware of that, that that's part of the process that will have to happen post intervention petition. 13 14 So April 4th, still considering that, does 15 that make sense from your point of view? MR. MOSCON: If the board would be able to, by 16 17 telephone or otherwise, meet sometime between the 4th and the 8th, then that seems to work for me. 18 19 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Let me go to Wasatch 20 Any thoughts on that? County. 21 MR. BERG: I think that would work fine. Му, 22 my thought on it is that the parties who'd be 23 intervening have already filed their petition. There is as a possibility someone else might file a petition, but 24 25 given the location of where the transmission line would

Page 19 go, I'm not sure who else, other than Promontory, would 1 2 be able to even claim any right to intervention. And so I would think that deadline of the 4th would be more 3 than sufficient. 4 5 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Any thoughts from board members on that? We could pencil that down for now. 6 Ι think we probably need a motion at the end of the hearing for all the dates for a scheduling order. 8 So pencil that down. Any objections? No, okay. 9 10 MR. WHITE: Sounds reasonable. MR. LEVAR: The other thing that needs to be 11 12 on the schedule towards the end is a final deliberation meeting posthearing, for the board members to deliberate 13 14 after the hearing on the 10th and the 11th. And it 15 seems to me to make sense, since we're reserving two days, parties are thinking it's probably a one day 16 17 hearing -- I'm thinking through this issue as I'm speaking. We probably should plan to use some time on 18 the 11th for board deliberation. 19 20 We could schedule that for the afternoon of 21 the 11th, assuming that if the hearing runs late on the 22 11th, we could just push it back. If the hearing 23 finishes on the 10th, we would just know that we're going to reconvene in the afternoon on the 11th to 24 25 deliberate. Let me just go to board. I think this is

Page 20 1 an issue just to board members, but... 2 Noticing up a deliberation meeting the afternoon of the 11th with the understanding that it 3 might get pushed back if the hearing is still going on, 4 5 is that acceptable to -- reasonable to the three of you. MS. HOLBROOK: I just had a question. 6 back as in the same day? Pushed at a later time or 8 pushed back at a totally different day? MR. LEVAR: I'm thinking worst case scenario 9 10 is if we noticed it for, you know, early afternoon on 11 the 11th, there's some chance the hearing's still going 12 I think we've heard from the parties that that's not likely. So the if we schedule it for afternoon of 13 14 the 11th, we'll probably start on time. 15 But, but there's a chance we'd have to just push it later into the afternoon rather than starting it 16 -- if we noticed it for 1:00 p.m., there's a possibility 17 we might have to start later. 18 19 MR. WHITE: So are you suggesting it would be 20 noticed up either the conclusion of the actual hearing, or in other words, there would be some fluid nature to 21 22 the date? Or we could move it back or forward? 23 MR. LEVAR: Well, that's what I'm not -- I was thinking we would just schedule it for a definite time. 24 25 So if the hearing concluded on the 10th --

Page 21 1 MR. WHITE: Okay. 2 MR. LEVAR: -- then we would simply plan to come back on the 11th. 3 MR. WHITE: 4 Okay. 5 MR. LEVAR: That way. MR. WHITE: That makes sense. 6 MR. CLARK: I like that, because it will give 8 us some time to digest what we receive during the 9 hearing as well -- likely will give us time, and that 10 would be useful to me. MR. LEVAR: You know, what this board will 11 12 have to do is discuss what's in front of us, make a preliminary decision directing the drafting of an order 13 14 and then some process after that to, to issue the order. 15 The or -- the order issuing deadline is June 6th, I believe. So we have between the hearing on the 10th, 16 the 11th and the 6th to do that. But for an initial 17 deliberation meeting, does that work for you? 18 MS. HOLBROOK: Uh-huh, that would work. 19 20 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Why don't we pencil that for 1:00 p.m. on May the 11th. Okay. A couple other 21 22 issues, motion deadline. We'll go to the applicant. 23 Any suggestions for a motion deadline? 24 MR. MOSCON: No. Other than, one of the 25 things that we had anticipated and as referenced in the

Page 22 company's petition is the company does anticipate filing 1 2 a legal memorandum kind of setting forth its case with, and this is again an issue to be addressed by the board. 3 But assuming the board agrees, prefile testimony on 4 5 April 8th. So to the extent that that's considered a 6 motion, we -- I guess I'll note that for you. I don't anticipate there being a lot of other 8 motions being filed by the parties. So to be candid, I don't know that we really have decided or discussed 9 motion deadlines between us, and I'm not -- I'm -- as I 10 sit here, I'm trying to consider what motions. I mean I 11 12 suppose there could be some dispute that comes up along the way, but I don't anticipate there being a lot of 13 14 motions in this matter. 15 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Well, why don't we kind of look at all the dates then. I don't know if parties 16 17 have talked about -- you mentioned written testimony filed in advance or whether that's going to be done in 18 this case or whether it'll simply be replies and 19 20 responses. Has there been discussion about what, what 21 would be filed between now and the hearing? Let me go 22 to the county. 23 MR. BERG: We discussed, as Rocky Mountain Power is indicating, filing of memorandums, legal 24 25 information and everything that way. We discussed April

Page 23 8th as the deadline for Rocky Mountain Power to file 1 2 their memorandum and any accompanying documents. Then we discussed April 22nd for Wasatch 3 County as well as BlackRock Ridge, if they're allowed to 4 5 intervene, for that to be their filing deadline for memorandum. And then also April 29th as a reply 6 deadline for Rocky Mountain to be able to reply to any 8 issues brought up. I can't -- again, as Rocky Mountain indicated, 9 10 I don't see this as being a lot of motions. I know that I'll have a discovery motion for them later this 11 12 afternoon. Aside from any motions to compel or any discovery issues, I don't really see any other -- any 13 14 other motions glaring out at me right now. But I also 15 don't know all the legal issues that they're going to file in their memorandum on the 8th. 16 17 I would think maybe if the hearing is going to be the 10th and the 11th, if we said that we had a 18 motion cutoff date maybe that week prior, the 3rd, May 19 20 3rd, that would allow at least a few days after they filed their reply memorandum for parties to get any 21 22 additional motions in that we may feel we needed to have 23 addressed. 24 And I would assume that we would probably just address those motions at the hearing on the 10th. 25

Page 24 wouldn't see a real need to intervene. 1 But then again, 2 we don't know exactly if there will even be any motions. 3 We don't anticipate any. But if there are, is that something we would need to hold a public hearing on with 4 5 this board to address any motions? MR. LEVAR: Well, I would think any motion 6 that's filed in the neighborhood of May 3rd, the 8 earliest it could be ruled on would be the first day of 9 the hearing. 10 MR. BERG: Okay. MR. LEVAR: On the 10th. And then we would 11 12 have to work out what we needed from parties before ruling on that. So I guess I am hearing a proposal for 13 14 legal briefing or comments from Rocky Mountain Power on 15 April 8th, legal briefing and/or comments, legal briefing and/or comments from Wasatch or any other 16 17 intervenor April 22nd, replies from Rocky Mountain Power on April 29th and a motion deadline that hasn't been 18 previously discussed but possibly May 3rd. Mr. Moscon. 19 20 MR. MOSCON: A couple of quick things. I had 21 in my notes that the company was going to file its 22 rebuttal on May 2nd rather than the 29th. And I'd have 23 to open my calendar to see even what day of the week that was, but I don't know if that matters, but --24 25 MR. BERG: I apologize. I might have written

Page 25 1 that down wrong as we were talking. The 2nd is a 2 Monday. The 3rd would be the Tuesday. And I would have no objection to having them have that weekend to do a 3 reply. So if we did May 2nd for their reply and then we 4 5 even just moved the motion out, I think if we even moved the motion out to the 5th, Thursday, or the 6th, then if 6 it's something we're going to address at the hearing, we 8 could -- that would be adequate time to do that. 9 VOICE: Yeah. 10 MR. MOSCON: I don't think the company has a lot of concern about a motion deadline being late in the 11 12 process candidly, just because I don't anticipate there being a lot of motions as -- I would agree, as was 13 14 indicated, it's possible someone may along the way think 15 there's some discovery issue or something. But in any event, I don't know that there's 16 17 going to be real substantive motions that are going to be filed. And by that time we're kind of past the --18 you know, everything's been filed. So I don't think 19 20 that you're going to be having discovery issues coming 21 up post May 3rd in any event. 22 One thing that I will note, I think it is the 23 company's preference, and I realize it's not requirement in this meeting, the board has not formally adopted 24

this, but I think the company would propose for the

25

Page 26

board to consider that to the extent that any of the 1 2 parties are going to offer affirmative witness testimony, that the parties file prefiled testimony in 3 the same time frame, when we said legal memoranda or 4 5 other papers or arguments. And I'll explain why. Those of you that are 6 members of the commission will recognize that some of 8 the information, data that the company relies upon is relatively dense, and it may not be something that you 9 10 want to hear someone go through on the stand but is 11 necessary as part of the record. 12 One of the things that my client needs to do in this case is to demonstrate a need, that we need 13 14 this. And someone can just say that in the thing and have a bunch of exhibits. But to put that through live 15 testimony may be a burden that no one wants to go 16 17 So that's just one example of why I think it would make sense to have prefiled testimony. 18 I think that just because the condensed time 19 20 frame and the limited discovery, I'm not saying there won't be any discovery, but the relatively limited 21 22 ability of the parties to get discovery from each other 23 means that we probably ought to know what each other is going to say before we show up to the hearing on the 24 25 10th.

```
Page 27
               I also think that if we did that, it would be
 1
 2
     more conducive to having everything done in one day
 3
     rather than people are trying to do quasi discovery,
     what do you really mean questions and
 4
 5
     cross-examinations, if there hasn't been prefiled
 6
     testimony.
               So the company would propose, and I believe
     that, if I am not mistaken, this has been the practice
 8
     of the Facility Review Board the only other times I'm
 9
10
     aware of it convening, that affirmative testimony be by
11
     prefiled testimony.
12
               MR. LEVAR: Let me go to the county.
13
               MR. BERG: At this point, I don't know exactly
14
     what type of testimony we would have. Until I've seen
15
     their legal briefing, exactly what they -- what they'll
     be arguing on it. And so I don't know that -- I don't
16
17
     know that I can stipulate to say yes, we could have
     everything by April 27th, our deadline for all of our
18
     briefing to be filed. But we would make our best --
19
20
               MR. LEVAR: I thought I heard you say before
     April 22nd. Is it 22nd or 7th that we were looking at
21
22
     for your -- for your deadline?
23
               MR. BERG: I thought we had April 22nd.
               MR. LEVAR: 22nd? Is that right?
24
25
               (Crosstalk.)
```

```
Page 28
               MR. LEVAR:
 1
                           Okay. Yeah, that's what I have.
 2
     Okay.
 3
               MR. BERG: We would obviously make our best
     efforts to do that, but at this point I don't know
 4
 5
     exactly what prefiled testimony we might have. And I
     think the two weeks that we would have to do our reply
 6
     we could probably discover a lot of that. Anything we
 8
     know ahead of time we would be able to do.
               I don't know if we could say we could have all
 9
10
     of our briefing done on the 22nd and then have an
     extension up until, you know, another week or two to
11
12
     have any prefiled testimony that we're just finding out
     we're going to do at that point.
13
               I don't know that -- well, we're not -- we
14
15
     wouldn't be trying to hide the ball. If we have
     prefiled testimony, I agree with Rocky Mountain Power
16
17
     that we want to get that in front of the board as
     quickly as possible. We want to make that hearing truly
18
19
     just a one day hearing. There's no reason to call a
20
     whole bunch of witnesses that we can already have all
     their information on the record.
21
22
               MR. MOSCON: And let me clarify, too, what I
23
     mean by prefiled testimony of affirmative witnesses. I
     don't want the county or any members of the board to
24
25
     think that the company is suggesting that literally any
```

Page 29 word spoken will already be in writing. 1 2 So I believe that Wasatch County, for instance, should be able to cross-examine any of my 3 witnesses live in the courtroom. If they want to say, 4 5 is this what you mean, and didn't you mean that, and let me show you a document, and isn't it true this says 6 So there would still be that opportunity. I'm only talking about affirmative statements. 8 So for instance, if Wasatch County -- and they 9 10 haven't said they were going to do this, but if they 11 were going to say, we've hired an expert that believes 12 you don't really need this transmission line. no need to get more power and bring it out of Wyoming 13 14 and bring it into this territory. If this is going to 15 be a meaningful hearing for the board, and I don't know that until here we are on, you know, May 10th. 16 going to be in a position to rebut that because this is 17 the first time we're hearing that. 18 So if there's going to be an affirmative 19 20 position taken like that, because of the complexity of 21 kind of the utility process, that, that I think just 22 needs to be put in prefiled testimony with any kind of 23 questioning reserved for the hearing. I, I just don't know how to do it any other way in such a consolidated 24

25

manner.

```
Page 30
                           Okay. I mean, it does seem that,
 1
               MR. LEVAR:
 2
     at least in practice, that the Public Service Commission
     filing of written testimony in advance has streamlined
 3
     both discovery and hearings. The question I think we
 4
 5
     have for the board, from what's been presented, is
     whether that become a mandatory part of this scheduling
 6
     order or whether part -- we go with these dates and say
 8
     parties will be able to file any legal briefing, any
 9
     comments.
10
               And if they're going to file any testimony in
     advance of hearing, those are the dates they file them
11
12
        Whether it's mandatory or allowed. So that's an
     issue for the board to discuss. But let me -- any
13
14
     comment -- any further comments? I think you both kind
15
     of said --
                          I would think that if we were -- we
16
               MR. BERG:
     were to do something where it's allowed but not
17
     mandatory, that would work for the county. We would
18
     absolutely make any effort to get Rocky Mountain Power
19
20
     all the information that we're looking at. We agree
     that we want this to be a streamlined process and as
21
22
     straightforward as possible.
23
               It's not going to be a hide the ball and, oh,
24
     the day of the hearing we've got this expert witness
25
     that we're bringing forward. That's not what the
```

Page 31 1 county's anticipating at all. But we would appreciate 2 it if it's not mandatory, but something that was allowed 3 to happen, we would make every effort to have that 4 happen. 5 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Any further comments? MR. MOSCON: The only thing, while I'm just 6 thinking through the practicality of how such a rule 8 would work, if I don't know whether or not they are 9 going to, in my example, have someone show up on the day 10 of the hearing and say, "I'm a utility engineer expert, and they don't need this." That makes me almost 11 12 tomorrow and day one send out a bunch of contention interrog -- you know. I need to know that. 13 14 And I can't just go, well, they used their 15 best efforts. I guess they couldn't get it in time, but now I don't know. And so that's why in our motion we 16 17 tried to limit it to affirmative positions. So if you're just -- you don't have to tell me in advance 18 every cross-examination question or what you're going to 19 20 say in your legal briefing. 21 But if you're going to take an affirmative 22 position as to any of the issues of, you know, 23 reliability, safety, need, efficiency, the kind of the benchmarks that the board is deciding, I still think 24 25 that that has to be mandatory if they're going to have a

Page 32 1 witness that they want to present testimony to the board 2 on that topic. 3 If they don't want to bring a witness, and they just want to make legal arguments, obviously they 4 5 don't need a witness to say everything that they can put in their legal briefs. But I think that in this tight 6 60 day time frame that we have and without an ability to depose people and do all this kind of contention 8 interrogatory thing, I really think it has to be -- or I 9 10 would suggest or move that it be a mandatory rule for any affirmative witnesses to file prefiled testimony so 11 12 that the hearing can function on the 10th and 11th as 13 the parties I think all envision. 14 MR. LEVAR: Sorry. So your motion would be 15 that on these deadlines, any fact witness that a party intends to use at the hearing would have -- would have 16 to have filed written testimony on these dates. 17 you're making a motion to that effect. 18 19 MR. MOSCON: Correct. The party can still 20 file a legal brief without it -- you know, without a, a 21 witness, but if they want to put a witness on the stand 22 on the date of the hearing, that they need to prefile 23 that person's testimony in accordance with the deadline that we've laid out. That is the company's motion. 24 25 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Just -- any final comment?

```
Page 33
     I mean, I know we're doing a lot of back and forth, but
 1
 2
     I think before the board discusses this, is there
 3
     anything else you'll want -- you'd want to add?
               MR. REUTZEL: Can I -- Can I make a comment.
 4
 5
               MR. LEVAR: We can go BlackRock and then,
 6
     yeah, Wasatch.
 7
               MR. REUTZEL: I don't have -- generally I
 8
     don't have an objection to that. I see one of the
 9
     problems being the company has a lot of experts at its
10
     disposal and it's going to be disclosing this
     information, you know, in April. And there will be
11
12
     several weeks for us to respond. We don't know what
     we're going to need to respond with, and my experience
13
     in trying to get experts is, you can't get them to drop
14
15
     everything in two weeks and get something on file.
               Now, that's certainly something, if we're
16
17
     allowed to intervene, we'll try to do. But I wonder if
     we could leave that date a little bit flexible in that
18
     you either file prefiled testimony or you file a motion
19
20
     with the board explaining why you need a few more days
21
     to get the prefiled testimony in place. But it is
22
     complex issues, and it's something that attorneys can't
23
     put together themselves. We're going to need some
24
     expert testimony, I expect.
25
               MR. LEVAR: Okay. Any other thought,
```

Page 34 additional thoughts from Wasatch? 1 2 MR. BERG: I would agree with that. understand Rocky Mountain's position why they need that 3 information. Just like we need that information from 4 5 them, they need that from us to be able to do this in 60 Actually less than that since we're moving the 6 date back almost 10 days, so about 50 days. 8 But again, until we've really seen what they're filing, it's hard to say, yeah, we can 9 10 absolutely have it by that date. Even if we were to say -- well, if we were to do a prefiled -- if we could 11 12 look at it and say, here's our legal brief. Can we have an extra week to get the prefiled in? 13 14 So maybe just by motion is the best way to be 15 able to ask the board, hey, here's everything. We're anticipating this additional witness, but we can't get 16 the information from him until this date. This is what 17 we think we're going to do with him. Maybe like even a 18 summary of this is, you know, quick, one paragraph 19 20 summary. 21 But to get more of a written testimony -- and 22 again, I'm thinking worst case scenario. Do I think 23 that's going to happen? I really don't even know if in this case that's going to happen at all. But I'm just 24 25 not wanting to get locked into a deadline that I don't

Page 35 1 know if I can meet until I've gotten the information 2 from them. MR. LEVAR: And I'll just note, just looking 3 at the schedule, we are talking about between -- well, 4 5 we have -- Rocky Mountain Power's initial deadline is April 8th. The, the, the other party's deadline would 6 be April 22nd. And between that and the final deadline 8 of May 2nd, we're -- we have a week and a Monday. 9 there isn't a lot of wiggle room between that 2nd and 10 3rd date. So I'm just noting. But maybe it's time for board -- for board discussion. 11 12 MR. WHITE: Can I maybe start with a -- share with a maybe a comment, maybe a suggestion. You know, 13 14 I'm taking off my PSC commissioner hat right now and, 15 and acting as a member of the board here. I mean, we're very comfortable with this type of process. 16 17 through the hundred years of our history of PSC beneficent and in terms of moving and evaluating large 18 amounts of the complex evidence. 19 20 But I guess my concern as a board member is, obviously this is probably not your world, and you're 21 22 not -- probably not used to in typical legal settings 23 dealing with what we term as prefiled written testimony, 24 etc. I guess what I'm wondering is, does it make sense at all, you know, for even a potential brief recess to 25

Page 36 1 maybe help walk them through that and help them 2 understand how that might work? 3 Because I kind of get the sense there may be some kind of disconnect with what this would actually 4 5 look like. In term -- I don't want to -- you know, maybe you understand this perfectly well. If that's the 6 case and if that would be helpful to the parties to 8 maybe discuss that, because I do agree that, you know, because we have such a tight statutory deadline, and 9 10 we're not going to have a lot of time for a lot of 11 motion practice or, etc., and we want to make sure it's 12 as efficient as possible, I would prefer this. 13 But with that being said, obviously, I want to 14 make sure that the typical non-PSC parties are 15 comfortable with this. So I don't know if that makes sense to, you know, have that type of discussion off 16 17 record for a few minutes or not. Maybe that's not an option, but... 18 MR. LEVAR: Do parties feel like that would be 19 20 helpful, or do you feel like you kind of understand 21 where --22 MR. MOSCON: We're willing to discuss. 23 MR. BERG: We're willing to discuss with it as 24 well, even for a few minutes. 25 MR. MOSCON: Should we just go off the record

1	Page 37
	for just a couple minutes?
2	MR. LEVAR: Let me just lay out the remaining
3	issues before we do that that we have to
4	MR. MOSCON: Sure.
5	MR. LEVAR: We still have an intervention
6	petition. And maybe while you're discussing this, I
7	just want to lay out our options for how this board
8	deals with that intervention petition. I mean, one
9	option is to take oral argument today and have a board
10	deliberation today on the petition for intervene.
11	Another argument is, obviously, BlackRock has
12	not had a chance to, to, to reply in writing to Rocky
13	Mountain Power's opposition to your motion to intervene.
14	So another option is to let that play out. But then
15	this board comes back together again at some point
16	farther along in the process, and obviously we're
17	talking about a tight time frame.
18	So I think those are our two options to deal
19	with that petition to intervene. I just wanted to
20	mention that as the options if we're going to recess for
21	a few minutes. And I think that's basically the
22	remaining business we have to, to deal with. Anything
23	else that we need to talk about before we recess for a
24	few minutes? Are we thinking five or ten minutes?
25	MR. CLARK: Can I just if I could just

Page 38

- 1 address the prefiled testimony question for a moment so
- 2 that you'll have my thoughts as you work through this.
- 3 First, personally, I think it will be very helpful
- 4 particularly, given the tight time frames we're dealing
- 5 with. Second, again, those time frames I think are
- 6 uncomfortable for all of us. Certainly for this board,
- 7 and, and, and I'm sensing you're feeling them too and
- 8 are wanting some wiggle room if something happens that
- 9 you don't anticipate, and I think that's understandable.
- 10 But it would be helpful, I think, to at least
- 11 have some dates that are reasonably firm and dates with
- 12 regard to which we would expect the parties to be
- thinking in, in advance, be planning in advance, be
- 14 making reasonable judgments about what their opponent is
- 15 likely to say or do, at least.
- And then if there is some real surprise, that
- 17 personally, I would -- I would consider a motion at that
- 18 time to alter the schedule if we need to within the
- 19 constraints that are set out in the statute. So those
- 20 are my thoughts on the matter.
- 21 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Any other thoughts before
- 22 we take a 10 minute recess? Do you have any -- okay.
- 23 Why don't we recess for 10 minutes. Is that good?
- 24 Okay. Come back at 9:55 by that clock.
- 25 (Recess from 9:44 a.m. to 9:55 a.m.)

Page 39 Okay. We're back -- we're back on 1 MR. LEVAR: 2 the record. Let me just go to the parties then. Do you 3 have any thing else to comment on before we --MR. BERG: I think that we'll -- I think that 4 5 we can agree that we'll have any affirmative testimony, any affirmative prefiled testimony with the time that 6 our legal brief is due. I think we've agreed that if we 8 need an extension to get some of that, we can agree and stipulate between the parties and file that with the 9 10 board. So I think that that should work. MR. LEVAR: Okay. Any need for further board 11 12 discussion or discussion from other parties? Seems like we've got that. BlackRock, any other comments from you 13 14 on that? 15 MR. REUTZEL: No. MR. LEVAR: Okay. Then I think our remaining 16 17 -- our remaining issue is dealing with this intervention petition. But I think we probably need a motion from 18 the board for the drafting of a scheduling order. And 19 20 let me just run through what we would have for a scheduling order. This -- we'd have a hearing date of 21 22 May 10th at 9:00 a.m. in this room with the 11th 23 reserved, if necessary, for the hearing. A deliberation hearing scheduled to begin at 24 25 1:00 p.m. on the 11th, with the understanding that if

Page 40

- 1 the hearing is running late, we might have to start late
- 2 on that. A public witness hearing, 5:00 p.m. on May
- 3 2nd, location to be issued later, at some later point.
- 4 But we would set the time -- the time for that.
- 5 And intervention deadline of April 4th. And
- 6 initial deadline for comments, legal briefing and any
- 7 affirmative testimony filed by Rocky Mountain Power by
- 8 April 8th. The same for all other parties by April
- 9 22nd. And then replies by Rocky Mountain Power on May
- 10 2nd with a motion deadline of May 5th.
- 11 Oh, I forgot to ask about discovery turnaround
- 12 time. Do we need a time frame in the scheduling order
- 13 for how quickly discovery requests will be responded to?
- 14 MR. BERG: Well, I would think that even if we
- 15 had discovery at the time -- well, and I guess from your
- 16 standpoint it's going to be a little different because
- any discovery requests you have, you're going to need
- 18 back as soon as possible.
- MR. MOSCON: Yeah, I don't anticipate a lot of
- 20 discovery. But as has been stated on the other side, if
- 21 we were to get on the 22nd something surprise -- and
- 22 I'll just let the board know that at the county level
- 23 when this permit at issue was addressed, there was
- 24 not -- for instance, the need was not -- that was not
- 25 contested. Okay. The fact of need. It was really a

Page 41 location thing. 1 2 So I don't anticipate that there's going to be 3 a lot of discovery on that. But if the parties were to say all of a sudden, "Hey, we don't think you need 4 5 this, " then of course we're going to need to immediately kind of find out what's going on. It may be a lot of it 6 addressed in the prefiled testimony. 8 I guess I'm inclined to say, a five day turnaround just to put it -- just to say -- just to have 9 10 something there. But I, just candidly, I don't anticipate either our side or their side, and I could be 11 12 surprised, that there is going to be a lot discovery in 13 this. 14 I don't anticipate a lot of MR. BERG: 15 discovery either. If we wanted to say, you know, five business days turnaround, I think that that could work. 16 17 And then again, I think we'd be more than willing to make a phone call and say, "Hey, can I have seven 18 business days on this instead?" I think that would be 19 20 something that we could definitely work out. MR. LEVAR: Okay. Well, I would entertain a 21 2.2 motion for all those dates I listed with a five business 23 day discovery turnaround time. If anyone at the board is inclined to so move. 24 25 MR. WHITE: So moved.

Page 42 MR. CLARK: 1 Second. 2 MR. LEVAR: Okay. Any opposed? 3 (Silence.) MR. LEVAR: Okay. That's unanimous. So we'll 4 5 direct a drafting of a scheduling order to that effect. And I think that leaves us with the final issue of the 6 intervention petition from BlackRock. So why don't I go 8 to Mr. Reutzel. 9 MR. REUTZEL: Reutzel. 10 MR. LEVAR: Reutzel. I think I laid out the, the two options I think this board has for, for, for how 11 12 to procedurally deal with your motion. Why don't -- why don't we go to you. Just --13 14 MR. REUTZEL: Well --15 MR. LEVAR: -- speak to your thoughts on this. MR. REUTZEL: I, I don't want to make the 16 17 board reconvene to decide the issue. I would, however, like to provide a written response to Rocky Mountain's 18 opposition to our petition to intervene. I don't know 19 20 if we could hold -- I guess I don't understand whether or not we have to have another hearing just for you to 21 22 issue a ruling on that petition to intervene. 23 what -- what you're telling me? MR. LEVAR: I think we would, and I think 24 25 that, you know, again three of the board members are

Page 43 1 employees that work in this building every day. The 2 other two could participate telephonically or certainly 3 could come in person if they wanted to. But I, but I think that's the way -- we've got to have a board 5 meeting to make a decision on the contested intervention. 6 And so you'd like -- you'd like an opportunity 8 for a -- for a written response to, to the utility's 9 objection. 10 MR. REUTZEL: I would. And I -- I --11 MR. LEVAR: And do you have a sense for when 12 you think you'd want to have that by? 13 MR. REUTZEL: I could have it in by Friday. 14 MR. LEVAR: This Friday? 15 MR. REUTZEL: Yeah. MR. LEVAR: So that is the 1st. 16 17 MR. REUTZEL: Yeah, I believe so. MR. LEVAR: No, wait. This --18 19 MS. HOLBROOK: No. 20 MR. LEVAR: Today's the twenty -- oh, are you 21 talking -- you mean two days from today? 22 MR. REUTZEL: Yeah, two days from now. 23 MR. LEVAR: Oh, the 25th. 24 MR. REUTZEL: Yeah. 25 MR. LEVAR: Well, let me go to the board then.

Page 44 That would be the final response on that intervention 1 2 Should we reconvene as a board again with potential for telephonic participation sometime after 3 the 25th, so, you know, next week, the 28th through the 4 5 1st, to deal with this issue? And would parties have an interest in, in, in 6 any additional verbal presentations, or at that point 8 are we just going to have -- we'll have the parties' positions in front of us, and if we're reconvening, it 9 10 probably makes sense to have the option for verbal 11 presentations or questions if necessary. Any objection 12 to that? 13 MR. REUTZEL: No. 14 MR. MOSCON: That'd be our preference as well. 15 Again, we may not need to, but having not seen it, we would like the option of addressing it. We're also --16 17 and I don't know if the board is considering this, the company is also comfortable if, for instance, if Wasatch 18 County doesn't want to drive back down. I mean I'm 19 20 comfortable if any of the parties want to participate telephonically. 21 22 The board -- it's up to the board. But just 23 for the record, if that makes it easier for such a thing, the company doesn't insist, for instance, that 24 25 they show up to make their argument if they want to say

Page 45 something. We're fine if they want to do that by 1 2 telephone if it helps. 3 MR. LEVAR: At this point, I don't know if 4 Wasatch County hasn't weighed in on the intervention 5 motion. MR. BERG: We don't anticipate weighing in on 6 the intervention motion. So we could participate by 8 phone. 9 MR. LEVAR: Okay. So if you want to 10 participate by phone, that would certainly be 11 appropriate. 12 MR. BERG: Okay. MR. WHITE: Just, just so I'm clear, 13 Mr. Levar, are you suggesting a meeting the week of the 14 15 28th? And I only ask that because I will actually be --MR. LEVAR: Oh, I had not noticed. You'll be 16 17 out of the office that week. MR. WHITE: I mean, certainly if you have a, 18 19 you know, a quorum, I mean, to deliberate on that, or 20 you know publicly is fine. I just want to kind of note that I will -- I may or may not be able to get on a 21 22 call. But I certainly will not be available to meet in 23 person during that time. I don't want to --In terms with -- and in terms of 24 MR. LEVAR: 25 dealing with this intervention motion, we really can't

Page 46 1 push it out too much farther since discovery and filing 2 of comments is going to be moving forward. And you 3 don't know if you would even be available for a 4 telephonic -- for a telephonic meeting during that week, 5 right? MR. WHITE: Yeah, I don't -- I will -- yeah, I 6 would certainly endeavor to try to make it. But I'll be 8 -- well, I'll just disclose, I'll be out of the country. 9 Oh, okay. MR. LEVAR: 10 MR. WHITE: So I'm not sure what the availability will be, but --11 12 MR. LEVAR: Okay. 13 But I will -- I would certainly MR WHITE: 14 make an effort to do so. 15 MR. MOSCON: If it helps the parties, and I certainly will invite BlackRock to address this, if it 16 17 helps the board, we have this other deadline. If the board wanted to defer ruling on this, see if anyone else 18 moves to intervene, and then just schedule one time to 19 20 address those sometime the week of April -- between April 4th and April 8th, then you may solve the problem 21 22 of one board member being gone. And potentially, I 23 don't think you will, but potentially avoid having to do this two times. 24 25 But I recognize in saying that, BlackRock may

Page 47 feel like, well, if we're not going to be in, I don't 1 2 want to be spending time doing this. I want to know sooner rather than later, so they probably ought to 3 4 speak to that, but I'm saying we won't object if that's 5 what's better for the board. MR. LEVAR: And I'll note Ms. Holbrook had 6 indicated unavailability the week of the 4th through the 8 8th; is that correct? 9 MS. HOLBROOK: That's correct. I'll be in 10 St. George for conference. I mean if we could schedule 11 something, I, I might -- I would have to know fairly 12 quickly so I can --13 MR. LEVAR: The specific time --14 MS. HOLBROOK: A specific time and date. 15 MR. LEVAR: -- to be on the -- to be on the 16 telephone? 17 MS. HOLBROOK: Correct. MR. LEVAR: Okay. And so since we have an 18 intervention deadline of April 4th, we could schedule a 19 20 meeting to address all intervention issues within a couple of days after that deadline. Does that -- is 21 22 that soon enough? That's still, you know, before the 23 initial comments and testimony filed by Rocky Mountain So anybody would know if they have been granted 24 Power. 25 intervention before that, and then the next deadline

Page 48 1 comes a couple weeks later. 2 So we're looking at maybe Wednesday the 6th. Again, does that work for you, if you were participating 3 4 telephonically from your conference. 5 MS. HOLBROOK: The 6th should work. The only challenge would be after 4:30 p.m. I would not be 6 available after that. 8 MR. LEVAR: After 4:30? 9 MS. HOLBROOK: Uh-huh. 10 MR. LEVAR: I am just noticing something on our calendar. I don't have all the details on. 11 12 should have grabbed this. This is a 10:00 a.m. meeting here involving Questar. I'm not sure. I didn't bring 13 14 my electronic calendar. Do you have a phone? 15 MR. WHITE: Yep. What date is this? Wednesday the 6th. Or maybe we 16 MR. LEVAR: should just look to the 5th. If we have intervention 17 petitions by the 4th, is there any reason not to just do 18 19 it the next day? 20 MR. CLARK: Well, I think Mr. Reutzel's got something on his mind that he wants to share with us. 21 22 MR. REUTZEL: We would like all the time we 23 can get to prepare, especially in light of having to pri -- prefile our testimony. And I think if we're waiting 24 25 until April to decide whether or not we need to retain

Page 49 1 experts or who we're going to talk with, that makes it 2 difficult for us. We would rather have a decision sooner than later on that. 3 Well, that probably puts us 4 MR. LEVAR: Okay. 5 back to the previous week then, and we would -- to accommodate that request, I think we could certainly 6 have a quorum but might be missing one member if you're 8 not able to participate by telephone. MR. WHITE: I mean, it sounds like that's -- I 9 10 mean, we'll -- it sounds like our, our only path 11 forward. So do we have a time? Or I'm just 12 wondering --MR. LEVAR: Well, it seems like maybe we could 13 look at Tuesday the 29th, March 29th then for a meeting 14 15 to deliberate on the intervention motion. MR. REUTZEL: Yeah, that's fine with us. 16 17 MR. WHITE: Would it be possible at all to --18 the 28th, is that an option at all? 19 MR. LEVAR: Certainly. 20 MR. WHITE: Because I think I would actually still be able to get on a call on that day if it was 21 22 early enough in the day. 23 MR. LEVAR: Okay. So that's this coming 24 Monday. 9:00 a.m., is that what you're proposing? 25 MR. WHITE: I'm seeing Mr. Moscon is not sure

Page 50

- 1 he can do that.
- 2 MR. MOSCON: I'm, I'm sorry this is turning
- 3 into such a scheduling issue for the board. So this is
- 4 -- the parties would be addressing this, I take it, at
- 5 that time?
- 6 MR. LEVAR: Well, yeah, we would have received
- 7 BlackRock's written reply on the 5th, and I think party
- 8 participation probably depends on if there's board
- 9 questions for the -- for the parties as we're discussing
- 10 the issue.
- MR. MOSCON: So I will be traveling on the
- 12 28th, and like I said, even if I did it telephonically,
- 13 but I wonder if I could -- if the board -- if the board
- 14 would -- I mean if nothing else, I could try to do a
- 15 telephonic thing as well. I mean, I'm happy to do
- 16 whatever. I don't know. But for part of the day, I
- 17 will be in a -- in traveling.
- But let's just schedule what the board can
- 19 schedule. I think having Mr. White available is more
- 20 important because I'm more fungible, and we can get
- 21 another me to show up. You can't get another Mr. White.
- 22 So I would -- I would -- my suggestion is schedule it
- 23 when Mr. White can phone in. And if I can't, someone
- 24 else will.
- 25 MR. WHITE: Is there a time of day,

Page 51 Mr. Moscon, which is more convenient on the 28th? 1 2 MR. MOSCON: Let's see here. So I am just 3 trying to think. Early. It would be still by phone, 4 but earlier. Early, I would be doing it by telephone, 5 but... MR. WHITE: That's fine. Yeah, I can do it as 6 7 early... 8 MR. MOSCON: But if I'm going to be doing it by phone, my client -- I mean, my poor client is sitting 9 10 here. They may be sending someone else anyway. So do the time that works for you, because we'll probably just 11 12 send someone else. 13 MR. LEVAR: Is there any reason to consider 14 earlier than 9:00 a.m.? 15 MR. MOSCON: Just set the time that works for the board, and we'll figure out what to do from here. 16 17 MR. LEVAR: Okay. So we'll look at 9:00 a.m. this coming Monday then. We'll get a response from 18 BlackRock by this Friday, and then we'll schedule a 19 20 meeting, a hearing for 9:00 a.m. Monday morning. 21 MR. MOSCON: And can we just for -- if we're 22 getting their response literally on Friday, and then 23 we're -- it's 9:00 a.m. Monday hearing. Could we maybe get that by, say, noon on Friday just so that the 24 25 company would have a few business hours to look at it

1	Page 52 before we're expected to address it? Does that work?
2	MR. REUTZEL: We have no problem with that.
3	MR. MOSCON: Thank you.
4	MR. LEVAR: I think that completes our
5	business for this hearing then. Any party have any
6	other business that needs to come before us before we
7	move forward and, and issue a scheduling order?
8	MR. BERG: Nothing from Wasatch County.
9	MR. LEVAR: Anything else from any board
10	MR. MOSCON: No, nothing else.
11	MR. LEVAR: From BlackRock?
12	MR. REUTZEL: No.
13	MR. LEVAR: From any board members?
14	MR. WHITE: None here, thank you.
15	MR. LEVAR: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks.
16	MR. MOSCON: Thank you.
17	
18	(The hearing concluded at 10:10 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 53 CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH)
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
4	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings
5	were taken before me, Teri Hansen Cronenwett, Certified
6	Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
7	Public in and for the State of Utah.
8	That the proceedings were reported by me in
9	Stenotype, and thereafter transcribed by computer under
10	my supervision, and that a full, true, and correct
11	transcription is set forth in the foregoing pages,
12	numbered 3 through 52 inclusive.
13	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
14	associated with any of the parties to said cause of
15	action, and that I am not interested in the event
16	thereof.
17	WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake
18	City, Utah, this 1st day of April, 2016.
19	J. 1/ N. H
20	Teri Hansen Cronenwett, CRR, RMR
21	License No. 91-109812-7801
22	My commission expires: January 19, 2019
23	Candary 19, 2019
24	
25	

Index: 10..all

	27th 27:18	48:2,5,16	accommodate	advisement
1	28th 44:4 45:15		49:6	4:23
10 34:7 38:22,	49:18 50:12	7	accompanying	affirmative
23	51:1	7th 27:21	23:2	26:2 27:10
10:00 48:12	29th 23:6	/ UI 2/.2	accordance	28:23 29:8,19
	24:18,22 49:14		32:23	31:17,21 32:11
10:10 52:18	2nd 14:18,23	8	acting 35:15	39:5,6 40:7
10th 8:16,18	15:12,13,17,18	8th 17:5,10,14,	active 3:23	after 10:11
9:5 10:14 12:8	16:3,4,5,7,11,	23 18:18 22:5	acts 5:10	11:13,22 19:14
16:16 19:14,23	13 24:22 25:1,	23:1,16 24:15	actual 20:20	21:14 23:20
20:25 21:16	4 35:8,9 40:3,	35:6 40:8	actually 9:15	44:3 47:21
23:18,25 24:11	10	46:21 47:8	12:3 34:6 36:4	48:6,7,8
26:25 29:16	. •		45:15 49:20	afternoon
32:12 39:22	3	9		19:20,24 20:3,
11th 7:20,25			add 7:13 33:3	10,13,16 23:12
8:3,18 9:5	3rd 23:19,20	9:00 3:1 39:22	additional 3:10	afterwards
10:15 16:16	24:7,19 25:2,	49:24 51:14,	23:22 34:1,16	12:6
19:14,19,21,	21 35:10	17,20,23	44:7	again 4:25
22,24 20:3,11,		9:44 38:25	address 4:22	15:23 22:3
14 21:3,17,21	4	9:55 38:24,25	9:12 12:5	23:9 24:1 34:8,
23:18 32:12	4:30 48:6,8	9th 6:20 12:10,	23:25 24:5	22 37:15 38:5
39:22,25	4th 6:20 15:2	16,23	25:7 38:1	41:17 42:25
12th 6:14 7:21	17:19,24		46:16,20 47:20	44:2,15 48:3
13th 6:23	18:14,17 19:3	A	52:1	ago 3:15
14th 6:23	40:5 46:21	a.m. 3:1 38:25	addressed	•
17th 6:9,14	47:7,19 48:18		9:15,19 11:15	agree 5:1 7:11 8:23 25:13
7:22	47.7,19 40.10	39:22 48:12	12:7 22:3	
18th 6:9		49:24 51:14,	23:23 40:23	28:16 30:20
19th 6:9	5	17,20,23 52:18	41:7	34:2 36:8 39:5,
1:00 20:17	50 34:7	abbreviated	addressing	8
21:21 39:25	5:00 13:3 16:13	16:25	16:23 44:16	agreed 10:4
1st 43:16 44:5	40:2	ability 9:12	50:4	39:7
100 10.10 11.0	5:30 13:4,16,17	26:22 32:7	adequate 8:10	agreement
2	5th 14:19,23	able 3:15,18	25:8	7:19 8:2
	15:2,4,9 25:6	8:19 12:5	adjourned	agrees 7:17
2016 3:1	40:10 48:17	15:23 18:16	52:15	22:4
20th 6:6,9,17	50:7	19:2 23:7 28:8	adjudication	ahead 28:8
7:22 10:11		29:3 30:8 34:5,	5:24	all 5:1,23 7:23
22nd 23:3	6	15 45:21 49:8,	adjustments	9:4 10:23
24:17 27:21,		21	13:9	12:20,24 19:8
23,24 28:10	60 10:12 32:7	absolutely	admit 9:18	22:16 23:15
35:7 40:9,21	34:5	8:13 9:13	adopted 25:24	27:18 28:9,20
23 3:1	6th 14:20,23,25	30:19 34:10	advance 12:15	30:20 31:1
25 4:12	15:7,8,11,17,	accept 17:5	22:18 30:3,11	32:8,13 34:24
25th 43:23 44:4	19 16:4,6	acceptable	31:18 38:13	35:25 38:6
	21:15,17 25:6	20:5		40:8 41:4,22
<u> </u>				•

Index: allow..bring

47:20 48:11,22	anything 9:18	attorney's 3:16	22:7,8,13	Blackrock's
49:17,18	11:23 28:7	attorneys	23:10 25:11,13	50:7
allow 10:13,15	33:3 37:22	33:22	33:9 36:13	blocked 7:23
23:20	52:9	Authority 6:10	46:22	board 3:5,6,7,
allowed 23:4	anyway 51:10	_	believe 7:15	17 5:10,11,13,
30:12,17 31:2	apologize	availability 46:11	8:1 21:16 27:7	25 6:13 7:11,
33:17	24:25	_	29:2 43:17	12,19 8:5,25
		available 3:18	believes 29:11	9:3,4,13,21
almost 31:11 34:7	appearance	6:11,17 16:3	benchmarks	10:15 12:4
- · · · ·	4:4	45:22 46:3		
along 22:12	appearances	48:7 50:19	31:24	13:8 14:17,22
25:14 37:16	4:3	avoid 14:10	beneficent	15:1,6 16:21
already 12:22	applicant 4:23	46:23	35:18	17:4,5,12,20,
13:9 18:23	7:7 9:8 16:19	aware 18:12	Berg 4:9 5:4	22 18:2,4,6,8,
28:20 29:1	21:22	27:10	7:17,25 8:14	16 19:5,13,19,
also 7:8,18	applicants 4:5	aye 5:24,25	10:7,18,22	25 20:1 21:11
11:21 14:20	appointed 3:14		11:3 12:14	22:3,4 24:5
15:14 23:6,14	appreciate	В	13:7,17,20,23	25:24 26:1
27:1 44:16,18	31:1	back 5:12 6:12	15:22 18:21	27:9 28:17,24
alter 38:18	appropriate	12:24 16:14	22:23 24:10,25	29:15 30:5,13 31:24 32:1
amended	5:17 9:11	19:22 20:4,7,8,	27:13,23 28:3	
16:12	11:11,25 18:11	22 21:3 33:1	30:16 34:2	33:2,20 34:15
amount 13:14	45:11	34:7 37:15	36:23 39:4	35:11,15,20
amounts 35:19	April 6:19,24,	38:24 39:1	40:14 41:14	37:7,9,15 38:6 39:10,11,19
and/or 9:7	25 17:4,14,18,	40:18 44:19	45:6,12 52:8	40:22 41:23
24:15,16	19,23,24 18:14	49:5	best 10:7 27:19	42:11,17,25
announcement	22:5,25 23:3,6	ball 28:15	28:3 31:15	43:4,25 44:2,
3:20,22	24:15,17,18	30:23	34:14	17,22 46:17,
another 18:1	27:18,21,23	based 5:16	Beth 3:10	18,22 47:5
28:11 37:11,14	33:11 35:6,7	7:19	better 9:21	50:3,8,13,18
42:21 50:21	40:5,8 46:20,	bases 12:12	47:5	51:16 52:9,13
anticipate	21 47:19 48:25	basically 37:21	between 14:21	body 12:2
22:1,7,13 24:3	area 9:10	become 30:6	15:20 17:2,3	both 15:21,22
25:12 38:9	arguing 27:16	before 4:22,25	18:2,17 21:16	30:4,14
40:19 41:2,11,	argument 37:9,	6:16 7:21 14:6	22:10,21 35:4,	Bountiful 3:12
14 45:6	11 44:25	17:7 24:12	7,9 39:9 46:20	
anticipated	arguments	26:24 27:20	beyond 8:11	brief 32:20
21:25	26:5 32:4		bit 5:10 6:22	34:12 35:25
anticipating	around 10:2	33:2 37:3,23 38:21 39:3	33:18	39:7
31:1 34:16	Aside 23:12	47:22,25 52:1,	Blackrock 4:11	briefing 24:14,
anybody 13:16	Association	6	5:5 11:7 23:4	15,16 27:15,19
47:24	4:12	begin 39:24	33:5 37:11	28:10 30:8
anyone 13:4	assume 16:20	_	39:13 42:7	31:20 40:6
17:18 41:23	23:24	behalf 4:10	46:16,25 51:19	briefs 32:6
46:18	assuming	being 3:25	52:11	bring 29:13,14
	19:21 22:4	17:8,10,22		32:3 48:13
	•		•	

Index: bringing..day

				bringingday
bringing 30:25	clarify 28:22	company 6:23	51:13	47:21 48:1
brought 23:8	Clark 3:8 5:21	9:10,20 16:3	considered	course 9:25
building 3:24	8:9 14:25 16:2,	22:1 24:21	10:24 22:5	41:5
43:1	7 21:7 37:25	25:10,25 26:8	considering	courtroom
buildings	42:1 48:20	27:7 28:25	14:8,14 18:14	29:4
12:21	clear 45:13	33:9 44:18,24	44:17	cross-
bunch 26:15	client 26:12	51:25	consisted 3:7	examination
28:20 31:12	51:9	company's	consolidated	31:19
burden 26:16	clock 38:24	7:16 11:13	29:24	cross-
business 6:4	come 5:12 10:8	16:21 22:1	constrained	examinations
37:22 41:16,	16:14,20 18:5	25:23 32:24	8:13	27:5
19,22 51:25	21:3 38:24	compel 23:12	constraints	cross-examine
52:5,6	43:3 52:6	complete 8:19	38:19	29:3
	comes 22:12	completes	contention	Crosstalk
	37:15 48:1	52:4	31:12 32:8	27:25
	comfortable	complex 33:22	contested	cutoff 23:19
calendar 24:23	35:16 36:15	35:19	40:25 43:5	cutting 13:12,
48:11,14	44:18,20	complexity	context 18:1	21
call 28:19	coming 25:20	29:20	continuation	21
41:18 45:22	49:23 51:18	concede 9:9	9:17	D
49:21	commencemen	conceivable	convenient	
can't 23:9	t 5:1	15:6	18:10 51:1	data 26:8
31:14 33:14,22	comment 7:13	concern 8:9		date 6:4 8:3
34:16 45:25	12:1 13:10	25:11 35:20	convening 27:10	9:6,23 12:23,
50:21,23	14:9 30:14	concerns 8:5	_	24 15:9 17:10
candid 22:8	32:25 33:4	11:17	correct 3:13	20:22 23:19
candidly 25:12	35:13 39:3	concluded	32:19 47:8,9,	32:22 33:18
41:10	comment's	20:25 52:18	17	34:7,10,17
case 17:7 20:9	11:11	conclusion	council 3:12	35:10 39:21
22:2,19 26:13	comments	20:20	12:17	47:14 48:15
34:22,24 36:7	4:17 5:19 10:6	condensed	counsel 11:1	dates 3:17
certainly 33:16	13:4 24:14,15,		country 46:8	6:11,15,17
38:6 43:2		26:19	county 3:16	7:10,18 9:4
45:10,18,22	16 30:9,14	condition 13:3	4:9 5:4 7:17	14:22 17:4
46:7,13,16	31:5 39:13 40:6 46:2	conducive	9:22 10:5,8,10	19:8 22:16
49:6,19	47:23	27:2	11:1,18,20,21	30:7,11 32:17
challenge 48:6	commission	conducted	12:11,12,17	38:11 41:22
chance 20:11,		3:25	14:3 18:20	David 3:8,16
15 37:12	3:8 5:9 6:8	conference	22:22 23:4	day 6:6 7:12,
check 15:23	13:8,10,12 18:3,9 26:7	6:19 47:10	27:12 28:24	14,16,21 8:10,
Chicago 15:4	30:2	48:4	29:2,9 30:18	13,18,19 14:2
Cities 3:11		confirm 12:17	40:22 44:19	16:4 19:16
City 3:12	commissioner	consensus	45:4 52:8	20:7,8 24:8,23
claim 19:2	4:25 16:2	5:16	county's 31:1	27:2 28:19
Jiann 15.2	35:14	consider 22:11	couple 21:21	30:24 31:9,12
		26:1 38:17	24:20 37:1	32:7 41:8,23

Index: days..few

43:1 48:19	deliberation	discussing	eight 14:7	example 18:3
49:21,22	18:5 19:12,19	37:6 50:9	either 10:3	26:17 31:9
50:16,25	20:2 21:18	discussion	15:5,16,18	exhibits 26:15
days 3:15	37:10 39:24	5:13 22:20	16:4 20:20	expect 33:24
10:12 12:17	demanding	35:11 36:16	33:19 41:11,15	38:12
14:16 16:9	14:11	39:12	electronic	expected 52:1
19:16 23:20	demonstrate	disposal 33:10	48:14	experience
33:20 34:6,7	26:13	dispute 22:12	employees	33:13
41:16,19	dense 26:9	document 29:6	18:9 43:1	
43:21,22 47:21	depends 50:8	documents	end 3:9 15:3	expert 29:11 30:24 31:10
deadline	depose 32:8	11:14 23:2	19:7,12	33:24
16:15,18 17:14	details 48:11		endeavor 46:7	
19:3 21:15,22,		done 18:4		experts 33:9, 14 49:1
23 23:1,5,7	difference 18:2	22:18 27:2	engineer 31:10	
24:18 25:11	different 5:6	28:10	enough 12:15	explain 26:6
27:18,22 32:23	9:23 12:21	down 14:7	13:18 47:22	explaining
34:25 35:5,6,7	20:8 40:16	16:11 19:6,9	49:22	33:20
36:9 40:5,6,10	differently 5:10	25:1 44:19	entertain 5:20	express 15:8
46:17 47:19,	difficult 49:2	drafting 21:13	41:21	extension
21,25	digest 21:8	39:19 42:5	envision 32:13	28:11 39:8
deadlines	direct 42:5	drive 44:19	especially	extent 22:5
22:10 32:15	directing 21:13	drop 33:14	48:23	26:1
deal 11:8	director 13:11	due 6:13 17:10	evaluating	extra 34:13
1	disclose 46:8	39:7	35:18	
37:18,22 42:12 44:5	disclosing	during 21:8	even 19:2 24:2,	F
	33:10	45:23 46:4	23 25:5 34:10,	facilities 11:18,
dealing 35:23	disconnect		18,23 35:25	21 12:13
38:4 39:17	36:4	E	36:24 40:14	
45:25	discover 28:7	each 26:22,23	46:3 50:12	Facility 3:5
deals 37:8	discovery	· ·	evening 14:1	27:9
decide 5:12	23:11,13	earlier 51:4,14	event 6:14	fact 4:24 32:15
42:17 48:25	25:15,20	earliest 24:8	25:16,21	40:25
decided 22:9	26:20,21,22	early 14:4 15:6	every 31:3,19	fairly 47:11
deciding 31:24	27:3 30:4	20:10 49:22	43:1	family 6:13
decision 16:24	40:11,13,15,	51:3,4,7	everybody 3:4,	far 12:15 15:22
17:20 18:3,6	17,20 41:3,12,	easier 44:23	21 6:7 18:11	17:9
21:13 43:5	15,23 46:1	effect 32:18	everyone's 8:2	farther 37:16
49:2	discuss 16:14	42:5	everything	46:1
defer 46:18	21:12 30:13	efficiency	22:25 27:2,18	favor 5:23
definite 20:24	36:8,22,23	31:23	32:5 33:15	feel 23:22
definitely 11:5	discussed	efficient 36:12	34:15	36:19,20 47:1
41:20	7:10,18 9:10	effort 30:19	everything's	feeling 38:7
deliberate 5:12	17:2 22:9,23,	31:3 46:14	25:19	feelings 5:6
19:13,25 45:19	25 23:3 24:19	efforts 28:4	evidence 35:19	feels 9:20
49:15		31:15	exactly 24:2	few 4:13,17 6:7
	discusses 33:2		27:13,15 28:5	12:17 23:20
			21.13,13 20.3	
1	1	l	I	1

Index: fifth..intervene

22.20 26.47 24	formally 05:04	25:20 24 40:45		
33:20 36:17,24	formally 25:24	35:20,24 40:15	hearing's	
37:21,24 51:25	forward 5:17	41:8 42:20	20:11	
fifth 3:14,17	20:22 30:25		hearings 3:18	idea 8:20
6:13	46:2 49:11	H	6:8 30:4	immediately
figure 51:16	52:7	half 13:14	Heidi 4:6	41:5
file 11:23 18:24	frame 13:5	happen 18:13	held 6:6 12:6	important
23:1,16 24:21	26:4,20 32:7	31:3,4 34:23,	help 36:1	50:20
26:3 30:8,10,	37:17 40:12	24	helpful 36:7,20	inclined 41:8,
11 32:11,20	frames 38:4,5	happens 38:8	38:3,10	24
33:15,19 39:9	Friday 14:9,20		helps 7:8 17:9	
filed 17:8,23	43:13,14	happy 50:15	45:2 46:15,17	include 7:13
18:23 22:8,18,	51:19,22,24	hard 34:9	here 3:3,4,15	indicated 23:9
21 23:21 24:7	front 21:12	hat 35:14	4:4 5:10 14:7	25:14 47:7
25:18,19 27:19	28:17 44:9	hate 12:6	17:17 22:11	indicating
32:17 40:7	function 32:12	having 8:20	29:16 35:15	12:11 13:15
47:23	fungible 50:20	10:19 14:9	48:13 51:2,10,	22:24
filing 17:3	further 30:14	25:3,20 27:2	16 52:14	informal 4:16,
22:1,24 23:5	31:5 39:11	44:15 46:23	here's 34:12,	19 5:14
30:3 34:9 46:1	01.0 00.11	48:23 50:19	15	information
filings 17:10	G	hear 7:16 13:3	hey 34:15 41:4,	22:25 26:8
final 19:12		26:10	18	28:21 30:20
32:25 35:7	gave 12:3	heard 6:12	hide 28:15	33:11 34:4,17
42:6 44:1	generally 33:7	20:12 27:20	30:23	35:1
find 9:23 12:14	George 6:18	hearing 3:4		informed 3:22
41:6	47:10	4:14,15 6:4,5,	highway 3:23	initial 3:4 4:14
finding 28:12	getting 9:4	6,11 7:5,6,9,12	4:1	6:5 21:17 35:5
fine 7:1 16:7	51:22	8:17 9:6,8,17	hired 29:11	40:6 47:23
1	give 21:7,9	10:1,2,9,14,16,	history 35:17	input 11:9
18:21 45:1,20 49:16 51:6	given 3:17	20,21 11:17,	Holbrook 3:10,	insist 44:24
	18:25 38:4	20,22 12:2,10	13 5:22 6:18,	instance 29:3,
finishes 19:23	glaring 23:14	13:3,21 14:1,5,	22 7:1 9:1	9 40:24 44:18,
firm 38:11	gone 6:23	15,24 16:16	15:18 20:6	24
first 3:3 4:15	46:22	19:8,14,17,21,	21:19 43:19	instead 41:19
9:8 14:22 17:4,	good 3:3 8:20	22 20:4,20,25	47:6,9,14,17	intends 32:16
10 24:8 29:18	14:13 15:21,23	21:9,16 22:21	48:5,9	interest 44:6
38:3	16:17 38:23	23:17,25 24:4,	hold 24:4	interrog 31:13
five 37:24 41:8,		9,13 25:7	42:20	_
15,22	Gordon 4:6	26:24 28:18,19	Home 4:11	interrogatory 32:9
flexible 33:18	gotten 35:1	29:15,18,23	Honor 5:7	
fluid 20:21	grabbed 48:12	30:11,24 31:10	hour 13:11,14	interrupt 13:25
forgot 40:11	grant 18:7	32:12,16,22	hours 14:7	intervene 4:11
formal 4:16,18	granted 47:24	39:21,23,24	51:25	16:18,22,24
5:1,14,18,24	guess 5:15,19	40:1,2 42:21	however 42:17	17:19 18:4
10:14 12:2	7:21 8:9,14	51:20,23 52:5,	Huh-uh 9:1	23:5 24:1
14:5	17:6,24 22:6	18	hundred 35:17	33:17 37:10,
	24:13 31:15			13,19 42:19,22
	1	1	1	•

Index: intervened..may

10.40		100100 4010		itervenedmay
46:19		leaves 42:6	48:8,10,16	looks 14:17
intervened	J	legal 22:2,24	49:4,13,19,23	lot 22:7,13
11:7	Jeremy 4:10	23:15 24:14,15	50:6 51:13,17	23:10 25:11,13
intervening	jobs 14:2	26:4 27:15	52:4,9,11,13,	28:7 33:1,9
18:23	jointly 17:11	30:8 31:20	15	35:9 36:10
intervenor	Jordan 3:9	32:4,6,20	level 40:22	40:19 41:3,6,
24:17	judgments	34:12 35:22	light 48:23	12,14
intervention	38:14	39:7 40:6	like 11:23 12:4,	loud 14:12
16:15 17:14	June 21:15	less 34:6	14 13:5 14:17	
18:7,13 19:2	Julie 21.13	let 3:21 6:7,16	17:16 21:7	M
37:5,8 39:17		7:3 9:6 11:6,16	29:20 34:4,18	made 16:24
40:5 42:7 43:6	K	13:18 14:22	36:5,19,20	make 4:4 12:17
44:1 45:4,7,25	keeping 13:12	16:18 18:19	39:12 42:18	15:24 16:4,5
47:19,20,25	kind 9:17 17:11	19:25 22:21	43:7 44:16	17:20 18:6,11,
48:17 49:15	22:2,15 25:18	27:12 28:22	47:1 48:22	15 19:15 21:12
into 20:16	29:21,22 30:14	29:5 30:13	49:9,10,13	26:18 27:19
29:14 34:25	31:23 32:8	37:2,14 39:2,	50:12	28:3,18 30:19
50:3	36:3,4,20 41:6	20 40:22 43:25	likely 20:13	31:3 32:4 33:4
introduction	45:20	Levar 3:3,14	21:9 38:15	35:24 36:11,14
3:6	knowledge	4:8,13 5:3,5,8,	limit 31:17	41:18 42:16
invite 46:16	4:18	19,23 6:1,3,21,	limited 26:20,	43:5 44:25
involved 7:20		25 7:3,24 8:2,	21	46:7,14
involving 6:10	L	5,8,21,24 9:2,	line 18:25	makes 5:2
48:13		25 10:5,17,19	29:12	14:1,14 21:6
issue 4:15,22	laid 32:24	11:2,6,16 12:9	listed 41:22	31:11 36:15
5:11,13 9:3	42:10	13:1,15,18,21	literally 28:25	44:10,23 49:1
10:24 11:8,9	large 35:18	14:13 15:13,	51:22	making 11:4
12:16 16:11,13	last 6:6	17,20 16:1,10	little 5:10 6:22	18:3 32:18
18:1 19:17	late 14:15	17:13,16,25	33:18 40:16	38:14
20:1 21:14	19:21 25:11	18:19 19:5,11	live 26:15 29:4	
22:3 25:15	40:1	20:9,23 21:2,5,	located 10:10	mandatory
30:13 39:17	later 14:7 20:7,	11,20 22:15	location 9:15	30:6,12,18
40:23 42:6,17,	16,18 23:11	24:6,11 27:12,	11:4 12:18	31:2,25 32:10
22 44:5 50:3,	40:3 47:3 48:1	20,24 28:1	15:24 16:12	manner 29:25
10 52:7	49:3	30:1 31:5	18:25 40:3	March 3:1
issued 40:3	lay 37:2,7	32:14,25 33:5,	41:1	49:14
issues 3:19	League 3:11	25 35:3 36:19	locations	Mark 4:12
4:14 6:8 7:2,16	6:19	37:2,5 38:21	12:22	Matt 4:6
21:22 23:8,13,	least 12:16	39:1,11,16	locked 34:25	matter 6:9 7:6
15 25:20 31:22	13:7,9,11	41:21 42:2,4,	long 7:5 13:18	22:14 38:20
33:22 37:3	15:14 23:20	10,15,24	17:20	matters 24:24
47:20	30:2 38:10,15	43:11,14,16,	looking 14:16,	may 3:24 6:6,9,
issuing 21:15	leave 15:5	18,20,23,25		14,16 7:1,2,10,
item 6:4	33:18	45:3,9,14,16,	23 27:21 30:20	12,14,20 8:3,
10111 0.4		24 46:9,12	35:3 48:2	15,17 9:5
		47:6,13,15,18		

Index: maybe..office

			Index:	maybeoiilce
10:11 12:8,10	49:7	morning 3:4	17:19 20:22	48:19
14:18,19,20,23	members 3:7,	14:4 51:20	32:10 41:24	night 14:6
16:13,16 17:5	10 5:25 8:6,25	Moscon 4:6,24	52:7	noise 3:24
21:21 23:19,22	9:12 12:2,4	7:8 8:4,23 9:9	moved 5:21	noises 4:1
24:7,19,22	14:17,22 18:8	10:4 11:19	25:5 41:25	non-psc 36:14
25:4,14,21	19:6,13 20:1	13:24 16:2,8,	moves 46:19	None 52:14
26:9,16 29:16	26:7 28:24	20 17:15,17	moving 34:6	noon 15:3,4
35:8 36:3	42:25 52:13	18:16 21:24	35:18 46:2	51:24
39:22 40:2,9,	memoranda	24:19,20 25:10	much 7:5 46:1	note 22:6
10 41:6 44:15	26:4	28:22 31:6		25:22 35:3
45:21 46:21,25	memorandum	32:19 36:22,25	N	45:20 47:6
51:10	22:2 23:2,6,16,	37:4 40:19		notes 24:21
maybe 6:15	21	44:14 46:15	nature 20:21	
8:14 12:11	memorandums	49:25 50:2,11	Navajo 6:10	nothing 50:14
23:17,19	22:24	51:1,2,8,15,21	necessarily	52:8,10
34:14,18	memos 11:23	52:3,10,16	10:1	notice 11:4
35:10,12,13	mention 17:25	most 5:2	necessary	noticed 20:10,
36:1,6,8,17	18:1 37:20	motion 5:20	26:11 39:23	17,20 45:16
37:6 48:2,16	mentioned	9:3,4 19:7	44:11	noticing 20:2
49:13 51:23		21:22,23 22:6,	need 7:5,15	48:10
mean 13:24	22:17	10 23:11,19	10:25 13:14	noting 14:5
22:11 27:4	midnight 14:6	24:6,18 25:5,6,	15:23 19:7	35:10
28:23 29:5	might 12:22	11 31:16	24:1,4 26:13	
30:1 33:1	14:8,19 18:24	32:14,18,24	29:12,13	0
35:15 37:8	20:4,18 24:25	33:19 34:14	31:11,13,23	abiast 47:4
43:21 44:19	28:5 36:2 40:1	36:11 37:13	32:5,22 33:13,	object 47:4
45:18,19 47:10	47:11 49:7	38:17 39:18	20,23 34:3,4,5	objection 8:21,
49:9,10 50:14,	mind 9:16 12:7	40:10 41:22	37:23 38:18	24 10:22
15 51:9	48:21	42:12 44:2	39:8,11,18	11:20,22 25:3
	minute 38:22		40:12,17,24,25	33:8 43:9
meaningful 29:15	minutes 36:17,	45:5,7,25 49:15	41:4,5 44:15	44:11
	24 37:1,21,24		48:25	objections
means 26:23	38:23	motions 22:8,	needed 12:11	19:9
meet 3:6 10:15	missing 49:7	11,14 23:10,	23:22 24:12	obviously
18:6,17 35:1	mistaken 27:8	12,14,22,25	needs 16:24	11:10 18:9
45:22	moment 38:1	24:2,5 25:13,	17:20 19:11	28:3 32:4
meeting 15:2,	Monday 14:18	17	26:12 29:22	35:21 36:13
3,6 18:5 19:13	15:12,13 25:2	Mountain 4:7	52:6	37:11,16
20:2 21:18	35:8 49:24	8:16 22:23		odd 3:21
25:24 43:5	51:18,20,23	23:1,7,9 24:14,	neighborhood	off 6:15 13:12,
45:14 46:4	more 7:15	17 28:16 30:19	24:7	21 35:14
47:20 48:12	13:14 18:10	35:5 37:13	NERC 15:1	36:16,25
49:14 51:20	19:3 27:2	40:7,9 47:23	net's 8:20	offer 26:2
member 3:11,	29:13 33:20	Mountain's	next 4:3 6:4 9:6	office 3:16
14,17 6:13	34:21 41:17	34:3 42:18	14:4 16:13	45:17
35:15,20 46:22	50:19,20 51:1	move 10:5	44:4 47:25	
	50.15,20 51.1			

Index: officially..process

				allyprocess
officially 17:8	outside 10:12	party 11:7	40:3 44:7 45:3	prefiled 11:23
often 3:6	over 16:4	16:22 17:7	poor 51:9	26:3,18 27:5,
once 16:12	Owners 4:12	32:15,19 50:7	position 7:16	11 28:5,12,16,
18:5		52:5	16:21 29:17,20	23 29:22 32:11
one 7:12,15	P	party's 35:6	31:22 34:3	33:19,21
8:10,19 9:13		past 25:18	positions	34:11,13 35:23
13:1 16:14	p.m. 13:3 16:13	path 49:10	31:17 44:9	38:1 39:6 41:7
18:1 19:16	20:17 21:21	patrol 3:23 4:1	possibility	preliminary
21:24 25:22	39:25 40:2	pencil 16:10	14:18 15:14	12:23 21:13
26:12,16,17	48:6	19:6,9 21:20	18:24 20:17	prepare 48:23
27:2 28:19	papers 17:3,8,	people 13:12,	possible 7:10,	present 13:4
31:12 33:8	22 26:5	13,19 14:2,6	18 8:18 12:21	32:1
34:19 37:8	paragraph	15:9 27:3 32:8	25:14 28:18	presentations
46:19,22 49:7	34:19	perfectly 36:6	30:22 36:12	44:7,11
only 8:9 13:24,	part 18:12	period 7:14	40:18 49:17	presented 30:5
25 16:21 27:9	26:11 30:6,7	permit 40:23	possibly 10:14	previous 14:16
29:8 31:6	50:16	person 43:3	24:19	49:5
45:15 48:5	participate	45:23	post 18:13	previously
49:10	14:3 18:9 43:2	person's 32:23	25:21	24:19
open 24:23	44:20 45:7,10	-	posthearing	pri 48:23
opinion 11:12	49:8	personally 38:3,17	19:13	prior 7:9 10:20
opponent	participating	· ·	potential 12:10	12:1,8 17:14
38:14	5:9 48:3	perspective	35:25 44:3	23:19
opportunity	participation	14:25	potentially	probably 9:3,
29:7 43:7	18:10 44:3	petition 16:18	46:22,23	11 13:14 16:11
opposed 6:1	50:8	17:18 18:4,13,	power 4:7 8:16	17:7 19:7,16,
42:2	particularly	23,24 22:1	22:24 23:1	18 20:14 23:24
opposition	38:4	37:6,8,10,19 39:18 42:7,19,	24:14,17 28:16	26:23 28:7
37:13 42:19	parties 4:11,	22	29:13 30:19	35:21,22 39:18
option 13:1	17,21 5:1,17	petitions 18:5	40:7,9 47:24	44:10 47:3
36:18 37:9,14	7:3,15,20 8:1,	48:18	Power's 35:5	49:4 50:8
44:10,16 49:18	12,22 9:6		37:13	51:11
options 8:11	10:13 11:23	phone 41:18 45:8,10 48:14	practicality	problem 46:21
15:21,23 37:7,	12:24 13:6	50:23 51:3,9	31:7	52:2
18,20 42:11	16:17 17:2	•	practice 27:8	problems 33:9
oral 37:9	18:22 19:16	place 9:7,16 12:22 13:9	30:2 36:11	procedurally
order 9:5 16:12	20:12 22:8,16		prefer 16:3	42:12
19:8 21:13,14,	23:21 24:12	33:21	36:12	proceed 5:17
15 30:7 39:19,	26:2,3,22 30:8	plan 16:13	preferable 15:1	proceeding
21 40:12 42:5	32:13 36:7,14,	19:18 21:2	preference	4:16,18,20
52:7	19 38:12 39:2,	planning 13:8,	14:21 15:8,20	5:14,18,23
originally 8:16	9,12 40:8 41:3	10 38:13	16:8 25:23	9:17
others 12:12	44:6,20 46:15	play 37:14	44:14	process 18:12
otherwise	50:4,9	point 8:11 11:8	prefile 22:4	21:14 25:12
18:17	parties' 44:8	18:15 27:13	32:22 48:24	29:21 30:21
10.17		28:4,13 37:15	32.22 40.24	20.21 00.21

Index: produced..scheduling

25.46.27.46	aucotiono		-	eascneauling
35:16 37:16	questions	recognizing	residents 10:8	
produced	12:3,4 27:4	7:10 	respond 33:12,	S
11:13	44:11 50:9	recommending	13	safety 8:20
Promontory	quick 24:20	4:17	responded	31:23
16:23 19:1	34:19	reconvene	40:13	said 7:21 8:17
promptly 16:25	quickly 28:18	19:24 42:17	response	23:18 26:4
proposal 17:22	40:13 47:12	44:2	42:18 43:8	29:10 30:15
24:13	quorum 45:19	reconvening	44:1 51:18,22	36:13 50:12
propose 17:4,	49:7	44:9	responses	sake 8:15
12,24 25:25		record 26:11	22:20	same 7:14 12:1
27:7	R	28:21 36:17,25	retain 48:25	15:18 20:7
proposed 8:17	raise 12:3	39:2 44:23	Reutzel 4:10	26:4 40:8
proposing	rather 20:16	referenced	5:7 11:10 33:4,	say 5:24 8:14,
49:24	24:22 27:3	21:25	7 39:15 42:8,9,	15 13:10 17:6,
provide 42:18	24:22 27:3 47:3 49:2	regard 38:12	10,14,16	17,21 26:14,24
PSC 35:14,17		regarding 7:16	43:10,13,15,	27:17,20 28:9
public 3:7 5:9,	real 11:11 24:1	relatively	17,22,24 44:13	29:4,11 30:7
11 6:8 7:13	25:17 38:16	16:24 26:9,21	48:22 49:16	31:10,20 32:5
9:7,12,25 10:9,	realize 25:23	reliability	52:2,12	34:9,11,12
16,20 11:5,11,	really 8:11 9:10	31:23	Reutzel's	38:15 41:4,8,9,
15,17,22 12:1,	14:13 22:9	relies 26:8	48:20	15,18 44:25
3,10 13:2,4,10	23:13 27:4	remaining	Review 3:5	51:24
14:9,23 18:2,5,	29:12 32:9	37:2,22 39:16,	27:9	saying 13:15
8 24:4 30:2	34:8,23 40:25	17	Ridge 4:11	15:11 26:20
40:2	45:25	repeating	23:4	46:25 47:4
publicly 45:20	reason 8:10	13:13	Rocky 4:7 8:16	says 29:6
purpose 8:15	28:19 48:18	replies 22:19	22:23 23:1,7,9	says 29.6 scenario 20:9
push 19:22	51:13	24:17 40:9	24:14,17 28:16	34:22
20:16 46:1	reasonable	reply 23:6,7,21	30:19 34:3	
pushed 20:4,6,	13:5 19:10	25:4 28:6	35:5 37:12	schedule
7,8	20:5 38:14	37:12 50:7	40:7,9 42:18	12:20 15:14
put 26:15	reasonably	represent 7:9	47:23	17:1,11 19:12,
29:22 32:5,21	38:11	request 4:19	room 3:21 4:21	20 20:13,24 35:4 38:18
33:23 41:9	rebut 29:17	49:6	6:7 13:6 35:9	46:19 47:10,19
puts 49:4	rebuttal 24:22	requests	38:8 39:22	50:18,19,22
puis To.T	receive 21:8	40:13,17	rule 31:7 32:10	51:19
	received 4:16,	requirement	ruled 24:8	
Q	19 50:6	requirement 25:23	ruling 24:13	scheduled 39:24
quasi 27:3	recess 35:25		42:22 46:18	
Questar 48:13	37:20,23	requires 9:3	run 39:20	scheduling
question 12:7	38:22,23,25	reserve 7:6	running 40:1	3:19 9:5 16:12
20:6 30:4	recognize 7:12	8:18	runs 19:21	17:9 19:8 30:6
31:19 38:1	26:7 46:25	reserved 29:23	10113 13.21	39:19,21 40:12
questioning	recognizes	39:23		42:5 50:3 52:7
29:23	9:11 16:21	reserving		
		19:15		

Index: scheduling-wise..thing

	Index: scheduling-wisething							
scheduling-	side 14:10	spending 47:2	sudden 41:4	46:4 50:15				
wise 11:3	40:20 41:11	spoke 4:25	sufficient 19:4	telephonically				
Second 5:22	Silence 6:2 8:7	spoken 29:1	suggest 12:8	43:2 44:21				
38:5 42:1	42:3	St 6:18 47:10	32:10	48:4 50:12				
seeking 4:11	simply 21:2	stand 26:10	suggesting	telling 42:23				
seem 30:1	22:19	32:21	17:13 20:19	ten 37:24				
seems 6:3	since 19:15	standing 16:22	28:25 45:14	term 35:23				
18:18 19:15	34:6 46:1	standpoint	suggestion	36:5				
39:12 49:13	47:18	40:16	14:14 35:13	terms 7:5				
seen 27:14	sit 22:11	start 4:4 5:15	50:22	35:18 45:24				
34:8 44:15	sitting 51:9	7:6 8:17 16:18	suggestions	territory 29:14				
send 31:12	solve 46:21	17:8,22 20:14,	21:23	testimony				
51:12	someone	18 35:12 40:1	summary	11:14,23 22:4,				
sending 51:10	18:24 25:14	starting 4:23	34:19,20	17 26:3,16,18				
sense 5:2 7:4	26:10,14 31:9	13:2 14:4,7	suppose 16:23	27:6,10,11,14				
14:2,14 18:15	50:23 51:10,12	20:16	22:12	28:5,12,16,23				
19:15 21:6	something	state 6:14	surprise 38:16	29:22 30:3,10				
26:18 35:24	3:25 7:9 10:25	stated 40:20	40:21	32:1,11,17,23				
36:3,16 43:11	11:5 24:4 25:7,	statements	surprised	33:19,21,24				
44:10	15 26:9 30:17	29:8	41:12	34:21 35:23				
sensing 38:7	31:2 33:15,16,	statute 6:5		38:1 39:5,6				
separate 7:13	22 38:8 40:21	10:12 38:19	T	40:7 41:7				
Service 3:8 5:9	41:10,20 45:1	statutory 36:9	table 6:15	47:23 48:24				
6:8 18:2,8 30:2	47:11 48:10,21	stay 13:18	take 4:22 31:21	than 7:15				
set 9:5 38:19	sometime	still 11:8,9	37:9 38:22	11:12 13:14				
40:4 51:15	11:13 18:17	18:14 20:4,11	50:4	19:1,4 20:16				
setting 22:2	44:3 46:20	29:7 31:24	taken 13:9	21:24 24:22				
settings 35:22	soon 40:18	32:19 37:5	29:20	27:3 34:6				
seven 41:18	47:22	47:22 49:21	taking 12:22	41:17 47:3				
several 33:12	sooner 47:3	51:3	35:14	49:3 51:14				
share 35:12	49:3	stipulate 27:17	talk 10:25 12:9	That'd 16:8				
48:21	sorry 32:14	39:9	16:15 37:23	44:14				
shooter 3:23	50:2	stopping 13:13	49:1	their 9:6 10:14				
short 13:12	sound 13:5	straightforward	talked 15:15	11:13,14 14:2				
should 3:18	sounds 19:10	30:22	22:17	18:23 23:2,5,				
5:14 8:19 9:13,	49:9,10	streamlined	talking 25:1	16,21 25:4				
14 11:12,13	speak 13:19	30:3,21	29:8 35:4	27:15 28:21 31:14 32:6				
12:9 14:16	42:15 47:4	strongly 9:20	37:17 43:21					
19:18 29:3	speaking	substantive	telephone	38:14 41:11 44:25 51:22				
36:25 39:10	19:18	10:2,21 25:17	18:10,17 45:2	themselves				
44:2 48:5,12,	specific 47:13,	such 16:25	47:16 49:8	33:23				
17	14	29:24 31:7	51:4					
show 26:24	specifically	36:9 44:23	telephonic	thing 13:25 19:11 25:22				
29:6 31:9	9:19	50:3	18:10 44:3	26:14 31:6				
44:25 50:21			100 10	20.14 31.0				

Index: things..White

32:9 39:3 41:1	41:23 45:23	turning 50:2	useful 21:10	33:6 34:1	
44:24 50:15	46:19 47:2,13,	twenty 43:20	Utah 3:5,11,23	44:18 45:4	
things 11:14	14 48:22 49:11	two 3:10,15	6:19	52:8	
21:25 24:20	50:5,25 51:11,	15:20 16:9	utility 3:5 6:10	way 3:5,19	
26:12	15	19:15 28:6,11	11:16 29:21	21:5 22:13,25	
thinking 7:4	times 27:9	33:15 37:18	31:10	25:14 29:24	
9:16 13:25	46:24	42:11 43:2,21,	utility's 43:8	34:14 43:4	
14:11 19:16,17	timing 12:20	22 46:24	dinity 3 +0.0	Weber 3:16	
20:9,24 31:7	13:1 17:3	Tyler 4:9	V	Wednesday	
34:22 37:24	today 3:15,19,	type 27:14		7:25 48:2,16	
38:13	24 4:15 6:5	35:16 36:16	verbal 44:7,10	week 14:16	
thinks 9:21	37:9,10 43:21	typical 35:22	versus 4:16	17:23,24 23:19	
thought 18:22	Today's 43:20	36:14	view 18:15	24:23 28:11	
27:20,23 33:25	together 33:23	30.14	VOICE 25:9	34:13 35:8	
thoughts 9:7	37:15	U	votes 5:11	44:4 45:14,17	
10:6,19 16:17	tomorrow 3:24			46:4,20 47:7	
18:20 19:5	31:12	UAPA 5:24	W	49:5	
34:1 38:2,20,	topic 32:2	Uh-huh 21:19		weekend 14:10	
21 42:15	totally 20:8	48:9	wait 43:18	25:3	
three 3:7 14:21	touch 12:12	unanimous 6:4	waiting 48:24	weeks 6:16	
20:5 42:25		42:4	walk 36:1	28:6 33:12,15	
through 4:14	towards 19:12	unavailability	want 3:20 7:12	48:1	
6:14,20 7:22	Towns 3:11	15:9 47:7	9:22 10:8,9	weighed 45:4	
19:17 26:10,	training 3:23	unavailable	12:18 14:5	weighing 45:6	
15,17 20:10,	transmission	6:13	18:11 26:10	went 13:10	
35:17 36:1	18:25 29:12	uncomfortable	28:17,18,24		
38:2 39:20	travel 14:17	38:6	29:4 30:21	whatever 50:16	
44:4 47:7	traveling 6:23	under 4:22	32:1,3,4,21		
throw 13:2	15:12 16:5	5:24 6:5	33:3 36:5,11,	whenever	
Thursday 14:9,	50:11,17	understand	13 37:7 42:16	17:23	
19 25:6	Tribal 6:10	8:12 14:1 34:3	43:12 44:19,	whether 4:15	
tight 32:6 36:9	tried 31:17	36:2,6,20	20,25 45:1,9,	5:13 18:7	
37:17 38:4	true 29:6	42:20	20,23 47:2	22:18,19 30:6,	
time 7:5,13 9:7,	truly 28:18	understandabl	wanted 8:14,15	7,12 31:8 42:20 48:25	
16,23 10:2,15	trustees 15:1	e 38:9	37:19 41:15		
12:1 13:5,14,	try 33:17 46:7	understanding	43:3 46:18	while 31:6 37:6	
19 17:9 19:18	50:14	20:3 39:25	wanting 34:25	White 3:9 5:15,	
20:7,14,24	trying 22:11	until 14:6	38:8	16 15:11,16	
21:8,9 25:8,18	27:3 28:15	27:14 28:11	wants 17:19	19:10 20:19	
26:4,19 28:8	33:14 51:3	29:16 34:8,17	26:16 48:21	21:1,4,6 35:12	
29:18 31:15	Tuesday 25:2	35:1 48:25	Wasatch 4:9	41:25 45:13,18	
32:7 35:10	49:14	use 19:18	5:4 9:22 10:5,	46:6,10,13	
36:10 37:17	turnaround	32:16	10 11:18,20	48:15 49:9,17,	
38:4,5,18 39:6	40:11 41:9,16,	used 5:9 12:13	12:10 14:3	20,25 50:19,	
40:4,12,15	23	31:14 35:22	18:19 23:3	21,23,25 51:6 52:14	
10.7,12,10			24:16 29:2,9	JZ. 14	

Index: who'd..yet

			LIIUEX.	wno'aye
who'd 18:22	15:10,25 16:4,	1		
whoever 17:6	6 18:18,21			
whole 28:20	21:18,19 24:12			
wiggle 35:9	30:18 31:8			
38:8	36:2 38:2			
will 4:15 6:18	39:10 41:16,20			
9:3 14:5,15	43:1 48:3,5			
15:3,25 16:11	52:1			
17:22 18:6,13	works 51:11,15			
21:7,9,11 24:2	world 35:21			
25:22 26:7	worst 20:9			
29:1 30:8	34:22			
33:11 38:3	worth 14:8			
40:13 45:15,	writing 29:1			
21,22 46:6,11,	37:12			
13,16,23	written 22:17			
50:11,17,24	24:25 30:3			
willing 36:22,	32:17 34:21			
23 41:17	35:23 42:18			
Wilson 3:16	43:8 50:7			
6:12	wrong 25:1			
wish 4:21	Wyoming			
within 38:18	29:13			
47:20				
without 16:23	Υ Υ			
32:7,20				
witness 9:7	years 35:17			
10:1,20 11:17	yesterday 3:22			
12:10 13:2	yet 11:7 15:15			
14:23 26:2				
30:24 32:1,3,5,				
15,21 34:16				
40:2				
witnesses 12:5				
28:20,23 29:4				
32:11				
wonder 14:8				
33:17 50:13				
wondering				
35:24 49:12				
word 29:1				
words 20:21				
work 3:19 7:11,				
19,20 8:1 10:7				
12:24,25				
	I	1		