



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Re: Promontory / Rocky Mountain Power Line Relocation

1 message

---

**Shaun Conway** <shaunjconway@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 14, 2016 at 1:03 PM

To: Thad Levar <tleva@utah.gov>

Cc: PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>, Jordan White <jordanwhite@utah.gov>, mpetersen@wasatch.utah.gov, sfarrell@wasatch.utah.gov, dgoode@wasatch.utah.gov, kcrittenden@wasatch.utah.gov, mkohler@wasatch.utah.gov, gmcphie@wasatch.utah.gov, kbangerter@wasatch.utah.gov, Council@wasatch.utah.gov, "Crane, Cindy" <cindy.crane@pacificorp.com>, kevin van tassell <kvantassell@le.utah.gov>, kraigpowell@le.utah.gov, "Finley, Ed" <finley@ncuc.net>, libby.jacobs@iub.iowa.gov, kjones@ncuc.net, "Duffley, Kimberly" <kduffley@ncuc.net>, David Rex Clark <drexclark@utah.gov>

Thad,

I did receive the brief response sent by Gary Widerburg on November 7th. I am aware of the court filings attached to docket number 16-035-09 and they have been reviewed.

It saddens me that the Utah Public Service Commission and others pretend to be doing the public justice under the veil of "cheap energy for everyone in the state". The truth behind this issue proves abundantly clear that the Utah Public Service Commission serves as an instrument in ensuring utility companies such as Rocky Mountain Power are given every subversive opportunity to inflate their profits regardless of the immediate or future impacts of the Commission's decisions on the general public and the communities at large. The fact that no other option out of four possible alternative options for this line relocation was seriously considered by the Utah Public Service Commission proves my point exactly.

Furthermore, everything I have researched and read states there would be room on the current Promontory easement for the transmission line upgrade. But using the existing easement or any other transmission line path other than the one Promontory desired wouldn't have so easily added \$250,000+ to Rocky Mountain Power's profits, correct?

Unfortunately for Rocky Mountain Power the litigation they were so eager to avoid will be seen not from Promontory but from the community they negatively affected. I will do everything in my power to provide information and encourage any Black Rock Ridge resident selling their property to bring litigation against Rocky Mountain Power and Promontory as it will be evident that the property values have been adversely affected by a transmission line relocation that wasn't there when the properties were purchased. A transmission line relocation that should have never been approved. I can assure you I will.

I can also assure you I will continue to voice my disgust regarding this issue and the marionette like efforts of the Utah Public Service Commission to every appropriate government official.

Good Day,

Shaun Conway

On Tue, Dec 6, 2016 at 8:56 AM, Thad Levar <[tleva@utah.gov](mailto:tleva@utah.gov)> wrote:  
Mr. Conway,

Thank you for your email. As you are aware, Public Service Commission Secretary Gary Widerburg responded to your November 7 email the next day and explained that because of the pending appeal he could not comment further. If you are interested in further explanation, the only source I can direct you to is the written order issued by the Utility Facility Review Board.

You can read that order by visiting <http://psc.utah.gov/> and choosing the "Electric" tab. Then select the year "2016" and docket number 16-035-09. The order issued on June 3, 2016 contains the explanation for the decision.

As before, your comments (and this response) will be placed in the docket.

Thad LeVar

On Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 4:23 PM, Shaun Conway <[shaunjconway@gmail.com](mailto:shaunjconway@gmail.com)> wrote:  
To Whom It Should Concern,

Is it time to for someone / anyone to provide an explanation regarding Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 16-035-09 or am I and all the other Black Rock Ridge residents going to continue to be ignored? Rocky Mountain Power will have every transmission pole in place by the end of the day tomorrow! It is evident that the appeal is just a formality and no legitimate opposition to Rocky Mountain Power and Promontory is being considered with this matter. I am still awaiting a logical explanation of why this is happening and my inquiries and comments may continued to be ignored but they are not going to stop.

Sincerely,

Shaun Conway

On Mon, Nov 7, 2016 at 3:15 PM, Shaun Conway <[shaunjconway@gmail.com](mailto:shaunjconway@gmail.com)> wrote:

To Whom It Should Concern,

This complaint is in reference to Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 16-035-09. Rocky Mountain Power is days away from completing this line relocation that was pushed through by the Utah Public Service Commission after Wasatch County had denied this request twice. As a resident of Black Rock Ridge in Wasatch County I would like to relay my disgust with this decision the Utah Public Service Commission has rendered regarding this matter. I want to know why this power line had to be relocated away from multi-million dollar home sites and private golf courses to my front door when it has been in place under an easement for over 100 years? The Utah Public Service Commission is in place to protect the general public from utility companies that would do things solely for maximum profits at the expense of the general public. In this case the Utah Public Service Commission has aided Rocky Mountain Power and Promontory in increasing their profits at the expense of the general public. The Utah Public Service Commission would argue that Promontory paying for this relocation will insure low power rates for customers in this area which is simply a diversionary statement as I am supremely positive not one Rocky Mountain Power customer in this area will ever see lower rates as a result of this line relocation. On the other hand this will most certainly increase profit margins for Rocky Mountain Power and Promontory at the expense of Black Rock Ridge and surrounding Wasatch and Summit County residents. How often does the Utah Public Service Commission say "no" to Rocky Mountain Power? Is there any oversight whatsoever of Utah Public Service Commission decisions other than the option of spending millions of dollars fighting the case and taking it to the Utah Supreme Court? Will we see any members of the Utah Public Service Commission or Rocky Mountain Power Executives playing golf at Promontory in the near future? All good questions which I would love to have answers.

12/14/2016

State of Utah Mail - Re: Promontory / Rocky Mountain Power Line Relocation

Sincerely,

Shaun Conway