1	Q.	Please state your name and business address with PacifiCorp dba Rocky
2		Mountain Power ("the Company").
3	A.	My name is Terrell H. Spackman, and my business address is 1407 West North
4		Temple Street, Suite 330, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116.
5	Quali	fications
6	Q.	What is your present position with the Company and what is your
7		employment history?
8	A.	I am currently employed as Manager of Revenue Requirements for the Company.
9		I have been employed by PacifiCorp and its predecessor, Utah Power and Light
10		Company, since 1984. Prior to my current position I was Manager of Planning
11		and Financial Analysis.
12	Q.	What are your responsibilities as Manager of Revenue Requirements?
13	A.	My responsibilities include managing the calculation of the Company's revenue
14		requirement, the preparation of business plan regulatory results and the
15		preparation of the Company's Renewable Energy Credit ("REC") filings in
16		various states.
17	Q.	What is your education background?
18	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting and a Master of
19		Accountancy degree from Brigham Young University with an emphasis in
20		Management Accounting. I have also attended various educational, professional,
21		and electric-industry related seminars in connection with my employment.

### Page 1 – Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

### 22 **Purpose of Testimony**

23 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

24 Α. The purpose of my testimony is to support and explain the calculation of the 25 balance in the REC Balancing Account ("RBA"). Specifically, I describe the 26 calculation of the \$368 thousand balance the Company is requesting to return to 27 Utah ratepayers representing the true-up of calendar year 2015 REC sales. My 28 testimony also describes the \$7.5 million deferral balance remaining to be 29 collected that was approved by the Commission in Dockets Nos. 14-035-30 30 ("2014 RBA") and 15-035-27 ("2015 RBA"). Together, the deferrals from these 31 three filings result in a total balance of \$7.1 million, to be collected from customers over a one year period beginning June 1, 2016, through May 31, 2017, 32 33 through Schedule 98. My testimony provides the calculation details, including:

- The split of the total \$7.1 million deferral balance between the \$368 thousand request in this filing (the "2016 RBA") and the \$7.5 million that is remaining to be collected related to the 2014 RBA and 2015 RBA.
- The calculation of the \$368 thousand 2016 RBA deferral balance, which is
  being requested for approval in this filing (the "2016 RBA Deferral Balance"),
  including:
- 40 ° The allocation of calendar year 2015 REC revenues;
  41 ° The calculation of the ten percent incentive as provided in the
  42 Stipulation in Docket No. 11-035-200 (the "2012 Stipulation");
  - The revenues associated with the Leaning Juniper contract;

Page 2 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

43

44		• The calculation of the calendar year 2015 REC revenues included in
45		base rates; and,
46		• The calculation of carrying charges that were applied to the 2016 RBA
47		deferral balance.
48		• The calculation of the \$7.5 million remaining of the 2014 RBA deferral
49		balance and the 2015 RBA deferral balance, which was approved in the 2014
50		RBA (the "Remaining 2014 RBA Deferral Balance") and the 2015 RBA (the
51		"Remaining 2015 RBA Deferral Balance"), including:
52		• The determination of the beginning RBA balance at January 1, 2015;
53		° The true-up of the November and December 2014 actual Utah-
54		allocated REC revenue;
55		• The amount of surcharges that were paid by Utah ratepayers; and,
56		• The calculation of carrying charges that were applied to the 2015 RBA
57		deferral balance in accordance with the 2012 Stipulation.
58	Q.	Please provide a brief summary of how the RBA is calculated.
59	A.	On September 13, 2011, a stipulation (the "2011 Stipulation") was approved by
60		the Commission that resolved several dockets including Dockets No. 10-035-14
61		("UAE REC Docket") and No. 10-035-124 ("2011 General Rate Case"). In the
62		2011 Stipulation, the parties established a REC balancing account mechanism to
63		track the difference between REC revenues included in rates and actual REC
64		revenues collected. Under the RBA, the variances are identified and deferred each
65		month for one calendar year (the "Deferral Period"). In this 2016 RBA filing, the
66		deferral period was January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. Annually on

Page 3 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

March 15, an RBA application is filed to present these differences, including
applicable carrying charges, with a true-up of the difference between the amounts
in rates and actual sales occurring through Schedule 98.

70 Q. Please describe the Company's 2016 RBA filing.

71 By employing the methodology described above, the total RBA deferral balance A. 72 related to calendar year 2015 REC sales to be credited to customers through 73 Schedule 98 is approximately \$368 thousand. This amount will be credited over 74 one year beginning June 1, 2016. Also, in the 2014 RBA the Commission 75 approved a deferral balance of approximately \$17.0 million, to be collected over 76 three years beginning June 1, 2014. In the 2015 RBA, the Commission approved a 77 deferral balance of approximately \$5.6 million, to be collected over two years 78 beginning June 1, 2015. Schedule 98 rates were approved in the 2015 RBA, 79 effective June 1, 2015, to continue to collect the 2014 RBA and the 2015 RBA. 80 The Company will continue to collect the 2014 RBA deferral balance and the 81 2015 RBA deferral balance, of which \$7.5 million remains, through May 31, 82 2017. The table below provides a summary of these amounts.

2016 RBA Deferral Balance Calculation:		
2015 Actual REC Revenue	\$	2,612,476
10% retention incentive on incremental REC sales		(261,248)
2015 Leaning Juniper Contract Revenue		2,110
2015 REC Revenues in Base Rates		(2,000,000)
Carrying Charges for Deferral Period (January - December 2015)		7,788
Carrying Charges for Interim Period (January 2016 - May 2016)		7,204
Total 2016 RBA Deferral Balance	\$	368,331
Remaining 2014 & 2015 RBA Deferral Balance Calculation:		
2014 REC Revenue Deferred Balance @ December 31, 2014	\$	(13,566,056)
2015 REC Revenue Deferred Balance @ December 31, 2014		(5,487,134)
True-Up for using actual resource allocations for Nov.14 & Dec.14		35
REC Revenue Deferred Balance @ December 31, 2014 in this RBA filing	\$	(19,053,155)
Carrying Charges for Interim Period (January - May 2015)		(119,922)
Carrying Charges for Collection Period (June 2015 - May 2017)		
2015 Schedule 98 Surcredits/Surcharges		7,498,366
Estimated Schedule 98 Surcharge January 1 - May 31, 2016	_	4,176,551
Total 2014 RBA Remaining Deferral Balance	\$	(7,498,160)
Total Deferral Balance for Collection June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2017	\$	(7.129.829)

Total Deferral Balance for Collection June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2017 \$(7,129,829)

Exhibit RMP\_\_(THS-1) is an electronic version of the table shown above and is linked to Exhibits RMP\_\_(THS-2) and RMP\_\_(THS-3) which include the supporting calculations.

86 Q. Why is it necessary to track the deferral balances related to the 2016, 2015,

87 and 2014 RBA filings separately?

A. Until the 2015 RBA filing, remaining balances related to prior year's RBA filings
were simply rolled into the balance as of January 1, combined with the current
deferral, and walked forward as one deferral balance. In the 2012 Stipulation,
paragraphs 37 and 38 stated:

9237. The parties agree that any difference between base REC revenues93and actual REC revenues as determined by the Commission for calendar94year 2013 should be recovered or returned over a three-year period from95the effective date of the approved rate change to collect or refund such

Page 5 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

- 96 balance, with no carrying charges during such three-year collection or97 refund period.
- 9838. The Parties agree that any difference between base REC revenues99and actual REC revenues as determined by the Commission for calendar100year 2014 should be recovered or returned over a two-year period from the101effective date of the approved rate change to collect or refund such102balance, with no carrying charges during such two-year collection or103refund period.
- 104Therefore, in order to calculate carrying charges correctly, the deferral amounts105related to the 2014 and 2015 RBAs are shown separately from the deferral106amount related to the 2016 RBA in Exhibits RMP\_\_(THS-2) and RMP\_\_(THS-
- 107 3). The calculation of carrying charges that were applied to each deferral balance108 is described later in my testimony.
- 109
   Q.
   Please describe how your Exhibits RMP\_\_(THS-2) and RMP\_\_(THS-3)

   110
   are organized.
- 111 Exhibits RMP\_\_\_(THS-2) and RMP\_\_\_(THS-3) support the Company's proposed A. 112 change to tariff Schedule 98 rates and provide the detailed calculation of the \$7.1 113 million total deferral balance presented in this filing. Exhibit RMP (THS-2) 114 shows the monthly detail for calendar year 2015 for the 2016 RBA Deferral 115 Balance and the Remaining 2014 & 2015 RBA Balances. Exhibit RMP (THS-3) shows the monthly detail for calendar year 2016 for the 2016 RBA Deferral 116 117 Balance and the Remaining 2014 & 2015 RBA Balances. Page 2.1 of the exhibit 118 shows the calculation used to determine the Utah allocated actual 2015 REC 119 revenues, illustrating the reallocation of revenue for renewable portfolio standard 120 ("RPS") eligibility. Page 2.2 provides the calculation of the System Generation 121 ("SG") allocation factor that was used on page 2.1 as the basis to allocate REC

Page 6 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

revenue to Utah. The allocation factors are consistent with those used in theenergy balancing account ("EBA") filing.

#### 124 Calculation of the 2016 RBA Deferral Balance

#### 125 Q. Please describe how the 2016 RBA Deferral Balance was calculated.

A. The \$368 thousand 2016 RBA Deferral Balance represents the difference between
actual REC revenue booked by the Company during calendar 2015, less the ten
percent incentive retained by the Company, and the amount of REC revenue set in
base rates. This balance accrued carrying charges during the deferral period
(calendar year 2015) and the interim period (January through May 2016).

### Q. Please describe how the 2015 Utah allocated booked REC revenue was calculated.

A. During calendar year 2015, the Company booked \$4.3 million from REC sales on a total Company basis. Utah's allocated share of REC revenue is determined using the SG factor, including a reallocation of revenue initially allocated system wide to reflect compliance with state renewable portfolio standards. The resulting Utah allocated amount of REC revenue during 2015 was \$2.6 million, as shown in my exhibit on page 2.1.

### 139 Q. Please describe the ten percent incentive resulting from the 2012 Stipulation.

A. The parties to the 2012 Stipulation agreed that the Company would be allowed to
retain ten percent of the revenues obtained from sales of RECs incremental to the
forecast REC revenue included in that case of \$25 million through May 31, 2013,
and thereafter incremental to the revenues received under contracts entered into
after July 1, 2012. These contracts were memorialized in Confidential Exhibit B

Page 7 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

to the 2012 Stipulation. The contracts that were listed as excludable from the ten
percent incentive calculation all expired during 2012. Thus, all of the REC
revenue booked in calendar year 2015 qualifies for the ten percent incentive
calculation. The Company calculated the incentive by taking ten percent of the
Utah-allocated REC revenue as shown in Exhibit RMP\_\_(THS-2), line 4,
resulting in \$261 thousand.

# Q. Please explain the REC revenue attributable to the Leaning Juniper contract REC revenues shown on Exhibit RMP\_\_(THS-2), line 6.

A. The Leaning Juniper contract revenues shown on line 6 represent the revenue the Company received from the Leaning Juniper contract. The Company booked approximately \$5 thousand during calendar year 2015 related to the REC component of the contract. The Utah-allocated amount of these revenues is approximately \$2 thousand and is included as a credit to Utah customers in this RBA filing.

#### 159 Q. How was the amount of 2015 REC revenue in base rates determined?

160 A. The REC revenue in rates during 2015 was determined in accordance with the 161 amounts set in the Docket No. 13-035-184 ("2014 GRC"). From January 1, 2015, 162 through December 31, 2015, the amount of REC revenue in base rates was set to \$2.0 million Utah-allocated, which equates to approximately \$167 thousand per 163 164 month. These monthly amounts are reflected accordingly in Exhibit RMP\_\_\_(THS-2), and produce a total of \$2 million in Utah-allocated REC 165 166 revenues during calendar year 2015.

### Page 8 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

# 167 Q. Did you apply carrying charges to the 2016 RBA Deferral Balance in this 168 filing? If so, please describe how they were calculated.

- A. Yes. Approximately \$15 thousand in carrying charges were applied to arrive at the \$368 thousand 2016 RBA Deferral Balance. Of this amount, approximately \$8 thousand were accrued during the deferral period (calendar year 2015) and approximately \$7 thousand were accrued during the interim period (January through May 2016). The Company's most recently approved weighted cost of debt was applied to the monthly deferral balance to calculate the monthly carrying charge for calendar year 2015. The weighted cost of debt used from January 1,
- 176 2015 to December 31, 2015, was 5.20 percent as stipulated in the 2014 GRC.
- 177 Q. Please describe how carrying charges were calculated for the January 1, 2016
  178 through May 31, 2016 period.
- A. Carrying charges for January through February 2016 were calculated in the same
  manner as the calendar year 2015 carrying charge. Starting March 1, 2016, the
  carrying charge was changed to 4.45 percent per Docket No. 15-035-69.

182 Calculation of the Remaining 2014 and 2015 RBA Deferral Balances

183 Q. Please clarify what the Remaining 2014 RBA Deferral Balance in this filing
 184 represents and why the Company included it in this filing.

A. In the 2014 RBA, the Company requested a total deferral balance to be collected from customers of approximately \$17 million, related to the deferral of the difference in calendar year 2013 REC revenue and the amount in base rates. Consistent with the 2012 Stipulation, the amount was to be collected from customers over a three year period, June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2017. Thus, the

Page 9 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

\$5.3 million Remaining 2014 RBA Deferral Balance represents the remaining
deferral balance that has not yet been collected from customers. This includes
carrying charges accrued during the deferral period (calendar year 2013) and the
interim period (January through May 2014). Carrying charges are not applied
during the collection period (June 2014 through May 2017).

## 195 Q. Please clarify what the Remaining 2015 RBA Deferral Balance in this filing 196 represents and why the Company included it in this filing.

197 In the 2015 RBA, the Company requested a total deferral balance to be collected Α. 198 from customers of approximately \$5.6 million, related to the deferral of the 199 difference in calendar year 2014 REC revenue and the amount in base rates. 200 Consistent with the 2012 Stipulation, the amount was to be collected from 201 customers over a two year period, June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2017. The \$2.6 202 million Remaining 2015 RBA Deferral Balance represents the remaining deferral 203 balance that has not yet been collected from customers. This balance includes 204 carrying charges accrued during the deferral period (calendar year 2014) and the 205 interim period (January through May 2015). Carrying charges are not applied 206 during the collection period (June 2015 through May 2017).

Q. How was the \$5.3 million Remaining 2014 RBA Deferral Balance and the
\$2.6 million Remaining 2015 RBA Deferral Balance determined?

A. To calculate the \$5.3 million Remaining 2014 RBA Deferral Balance, the
Company started with the \$13.5 million 2014 RBA deferred balance as of
December 31, 2014. From this, actual amounts collected through Schedule 98

Page 10 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

were subtracted and carrying charges were added to arrive at the total amountremaining.

To calculate the \$2.6 million Remaining 2015 RBA Deferral Balance, the Company started with the \$5.4 million 2015 RBA deferred balance as of December 31, 2014, adjusted for the final November and December 2014 resources. From this, actual amounts collected through Schedule 98 were subtracted and carrying charges were added to arrive at the total amount remaining.

Q. How did the Company determine the REC revenue beginning deferred
balance as of January 1, 2015?

A. The REC revenue deferred balance of \$19 million for January 1, 2015, consists of the December 31, 2014, ending deferred balances for the 2014 and 2015 RBAs shown in Exhibit RMP\_\_\_(THS-2), lines 16 and 17 respectively in the 2015 RBA.

226 Q. Are any adjustments to the January 1, 2015 beginning balance necessary?

227 Yes. In the 2015 RBA filing, the resource assignments for the November and A. 228 December 2014 REC revenue were estimated. The total Company REC sales 229 amounts for those months were known at the time of filing; however, the specific 230 assignment of RECs sold by individual resources in November and December 231 2014 was not finalized. The updated resource assignments for November and 232 December 2014 are provided in Mr. Bruce W. Griswold's Confidential Exhibit 233 RMP\_\_\_(BWG-4). The Company committed to flow through the difference in 234 this filing. The update increases the Utah allocated share of November and

Page 11 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

December 2014 actual REC sales by approximately \$35. The January 1, 2015, starting balance was decreased by this amount to reflect the final amounts. A similar true-up was included in the 2015 RBA to true-up November and December 2013 resources and will also be necessary in the 2017 RBA to reflect the update of the November and December 2015 resources that are currently estimated in this filing.

241 Q. What were the total 2014 Schedule 98 surcharges included on customer bills?

A. The 2015 RBA established a \$16.6 million surcharge, which reset the rate on
June 1, 2014. In total, Utah ratepayers paid approximately \$7.4 million in
surcharges through Schedule 98 during calendar year 2015. The monthly amounts
are shown in Exhibit RMP\_\_\_(THS-2), line 15.

# Q. Please describe what the Estimated Schedule 98 January 1, 2016 - May 31, 247 2016 represents.

A. This represents an estimate of the surcharges that will be paid by ratepayers during January through May 2016 as a result of the 2015 RBA filing. With the exception of January 2016, the monthly amounts shown on Exhibit RMP\_\_\_(THS-3), line 5 are estimated as the actual amounts were not known at the time of filing. The Company will update the February through May actual collections as part of the 2017 RBA filing.

# Q. Why are the Estimated Schedule 98 charges for January through May of 255 2016 included in your deferral calculation?

A. As discussed earlier, the deferral balance established in the 2014 RBA and 2015
RBA were to be collected from customers through May 31, 2017. Thus it is

### Page 12 - Direct Testimony of Terrell H. Spackman

necessary to subtract the January through May 2016 surcharges to correctly
represent the May 31, 2016, ending balance.

Q. Please explain why a portion of the Estimated Schedule 98 January 1
through May 31 appears in the June 2016 section of Exhibit RMP\_\_(THS3).

- A. This represents the amount that is related to customer usage prior to June 1, 2016,
  but due to billing cycle lag, will not be included on customer bills until June 2016.
- Q. Did you include carrying charges in this filing related to the Remaining 2015
  RBA Balance? If so, please describe how they were calculated.
- A. Yes. Approximately \$119 thousand in carrying charges during the interim period,
  January through May 2015, were applied to arrive at the total deferral balance.
  The weighted cost of debt rate used was 5.20 percent as approved in the 2014
  GRC. No carrying charges were applied during the collection period, beginning
  June 1, 2015.
- Q. In the 2015 RBA, the Company estimated surcharges for the February
  through June 2015 period. Is the impact on the carrying charges related to
  updating those surcharges included in this filing?
- A. Yes. The \$119 thousand carrying charges described above include the impact of
  updating the February through June 2015 surcharge amounts.
- 277 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 278 A. Yes.