ACTION REQUEST

Date: June 30, 2016

FROM:	Public Service Commission	Due:	August 16, 2016 *
SUBJECT:	RMP 2015 Year End Cost of Service Study, Docket No. 16-035-15		
	(Company Name, Case Number, etc.)		
6/15/2016			
16-035-15 (11) RMP AN	NUAL COST OF SERVICE STUDY – 2015 In the	Matter	of PacifiCorp's
Financial Reports 2016			
This is a request for the Division to provide analysis, evaluation results, and the basis for conclusions and recommendations regarding the following:			
	Review for Compliance and Make Recommendation	ons	
	Review Application and Make Recommendations		
	Analyze the Complaint		
	Review Notice and Make Recommendations		
	Review Request for Agency Action and Make Reco	ommen	dations
	Respond in Accordance with the Notice of Filing at	nd Requ	uest for Comments
X	Investigate		
X	Other – Explanation and Statement of Issues to be	Address	sed (See Below):
EXPLANATION AND STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED:			

- The Division shall respond consistent with the order or notice;
- The order or notice, including any deadlines, shall supersede and replace this action request; and
- This action request shall be deemed withdrawn.

^{*}In the event the Commission issues an order or notice providing dates for comments and/or testimony in this docket:

RE: Docket No. 16-035-15, Cost of Service Issues

PacifiCorp's cost-of-service study model filed on June 16, 2016 in Docket No. 16-035-15 (2015 COS model) contains a number of changes from the cost-of-service study model filed on January 12, 2016 in Docket No. 15-035-51 (2014 COS model).

- 1) Please compare the 2015 COS model with the 2014 COS model and identify:
 - a. the added tabs, including the rationale therefor;
 - b. the deleted tabs, including the rationale therefor; and
 - c. any other changes to the 2015 COS model, including the rationale therefor.
- 2) In the 2014 COS model one could utilize the "Hot Sheet" tab to make changes to the model. The 2015 COS model no longer includes a "Hot Sheet" tab. Please explain how the 2015 COS model can be manipulated in the absence of the "Hot Sheet" tab. Similarly, please identify if there are any limitations in the 2015 COS model resulting from the absence of the "Hot Sheet" tab.
- 3) In the 2015 COS model, please explain how the hard-coded factors in the FUNC Factor tab are developed and how they can be validated based on data available in the 2015 COS model.