

### Docket 14-035-114 16-035-T14

1 message

Victor Pezzola <vhpezzolla@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Victor Pezzola <vhpezzolla@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 6:03 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I live in the Salt Lake valley and ask you not only to reject RMP's solar rate increase proposal but make it clear we support renewable energy sources. We live in a valley that has long had a pollution issue and need to actively promote renewable clean energy. I have several friends in the valley that obtained solar and installed enough panels to power their electric cars. This is a good direction for us. I believe those willing to make a financial commitment for a cleaner environment should be supported. RMP has responsibility to its shareholders but fortunately we have an oversight body that represents the public good. Please make it clear to current/future investors in clean renewable energies that they are our future.

Thank you for your time, Victor Pezzolla



### **Solar Power Grab**

1 message

cjsmith sisna.com <cjsmith@sisna.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 10:38 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I support the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power Advice No. 1613", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to go forward with tarif and allow it to take effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

If people want to install solar panels let them pay the full price and not be subsidized by other power ratepayers and/or taxpayers.

You cannot shift cost burdens of solar power onto all power users without good reason. Sadtylar power despite strong advocacy cannot supply that kind of guidance. While solar may be cleans it of very useful in that it only supplies power about 20% of the time during an average yearntrast that with utility-grade power facilities, easily operating above 60 to 70% and many time much more, and you'll discover it's a very small contribution, and it has a negative test on the power grid by disrupting normal power supply planning.

In efect, what the environmental community is asking us to do is to build two power plants instead of one. One, that is scattered around wealthy communities and only produces power under ideal conditions and the other likely fossil fueled, that provides power around-the-clock throughout the year When you take into account all the economic resources required to build and install solar power sites the tiny contribution they make is zeroed out or perhaps in the negative.

We cannot save the planet with "clean energy" just because people say we can. Those sources of energy, wind and solar will not help us on a cold winter night when the wind does not blowThis should be self-evident.

C.J. Smith Millcreek, Utah



### Feedback Net Metering Dockets 16-035-T14 and 14-035-1 14

1 message

chris.j.raemer@l-3com.com <chris.j.raemer@l-3com.com>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 10:55 AM

To: psc@utah.gov Cc: flappy1@gmail.com

Hello, I would like to submit comment pertaining to the Rocky Mountain Power petition for impact to solar users.

Rocky Mountain Power is asserting the infrastructure cost is unfairly being funded by traditional customers not using solar due to solar users receiving credit for generating power and in turn lower power bills, which the petition appears to target new customers for additional fees who want to implement solar post Dec 2016 and change the credit rate when solar over-production gets shared to the grid.

- 1) Solar is already a very costly investment with 15+ yrs payback period using current credit rates. The technology of cells and deterioration of cells with age drives replacement at or not far from this payback period. The proposed changes will not only extend the payback period well past 20 yrs, it will deter consumers from implementing environmentally helpful technologies due to entry cost plus the lack of payback.
- 2) Rocky Mountain Power asserts the following:
  - a. They claim net metering customers underpay to cover the infrastructure costs by \$400 annually. My system saves me approximately \$1000 annually so they are stating 40% is infrastructure maintenance. Wow, that's a pretty high figure. Would be nice to know what this infrastructure cost is as I never see the power company in the 5 yrs I've been in my home. They also claim they provide the same level of customer support to net metering and traditional customers. Funny, I don't know what customer service they've provided as I haven't spoken to anyone or had face to face contact for 5 yrs in my home so what value is this service they speak of.
  - b. They claim to require a \$15 fixed charge for customer service and meters. Odd, I recall paying extra for my net meter when it was installed. Gotta wonder what the \$15 is really for.
  - c. They propose a reduction in net metering credit from existing levels of 8.85-14.5 cents per kwh to 3.81 cents per kwh. This is a 57-74% reduction in net credit per kwh. This is a pretty big pill to swallow and also difficult to believe loss of revenue to this extent and infrastructure need.
  - d. The comparable bill they propose pre and post net metering rate change is \$55 current to \$74 proposed which is a 35% increase. They claim traditional user would be \$114/mo.
    - i. In rebuttal to this, I would like to note they are attacking a single technology (solar). They have completely overlooked the revenue impact for other technologies like bulbs. Simply changing from incandescent to CFL results in 75% savings and changing to LED's is 90% savings relative to incandescent. So they are holding solar hostage simply because they have control of the metering and want a 35% increase due to lost revenue yet the hardware within a home has a much bigger impact. Why not go after homes which have LED's as they are not paying as big of bills either and in a much more significant way . Or what if someone opts to go with candles, what then. Have to ask why stop at solar if going to blame technology for causing revenue reduction.
      - 1. The post service didn't get higher rates due to emails, they had to adjust their model and adapt

- 2. I have solar hot water yet the gas company isn't chasing after me since I am using less gas due to solar assist
- 3. I've wanted to install wind power, would they then go after that and tax based on average wind speed in the area?
- 4. What about home wall insulation or sun tunnels so a user doesn't have to power excessive lights or heating/AC systems. Will they tax a user if their insulation R value is above a certain number since they'd be taking away revenue?
- 5. What about someone who has off grid system with ability to switch over? They wouldn't have net metering yet still have a traditional meter. Tax the batteries?
- 6. Where does it stop?

Technology and living in general is changing yet RMP does not want to adapt their model for what is driving the future. Those residences and businesses trying to do good and reduce pollution and use "free" energy are being punished. If this proposal by RMP goes through it will be another mark for politics and big business making claim they do not have the best interest of the society in mind. The sun and wind is free yet the monopolistic energy groups are taxing. Please help prevent taxing on free energy sources, particularly when the cost to implement these technologies is already very costly.

Thank you and I will keep in mind the public hearing 8/9/17 2PM and will watch docket status at psc.utah.gov.

Chris Raemer



### **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

Forrest Cuch <forrestc@rainutah.org>
Reply-To: forrestc@rainutah.org
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:46 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Forrest Cuch

Roosevelt, UT 84066



### Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Graydon Stoner <gstoner@outlook.con>
Reply-To: gstoner@outlook.con
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:52 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Graydon Stoner 8808 Suffolk Ln Eagle Mountain, UT 84005



### Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Emily Smith <emily.smith@hci.utah.edu>
Reply-To: emily.smith@hci.utah.edu
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:05 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme. They serve only to discourage the transition to renewable energy which is desperately needed to be able to survive the coming changes in climate and government. People will not be able to aford energy when Trump and his cronies get through with raping this country.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Emily Smith 1225 S 400 E Salt Lake City, UT 84111



### Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan bryner <jordanbryner@lgcypower.com>
Reply-To: jordanbryner@lgcypower.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission c@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:07 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Jordan bryner 9162 Wasatch Peak Cir West Jordan, UT 84088



### Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Emily Smith <emily.smith@hci.utah.edu>
Reply-To: emily.smith@hci.utah.edu
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:12 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Emily Smith 1225 S 400 E Salt Lake City, UT 84111



### Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Courtney Marden <elfman\_danny@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: elfman\_danny@hotmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission c@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:15 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards,
Courtney Marden
946 Murdock Dr
American Fork, UT 84003



### Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Emily Smith <emily.smith@hci.utah.edu>
Reply-To: emily.smith@hci.utah.edu
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:26 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Emily Smith 1225 S 400 E Salt Lake City, UT 84111



#### Gary Widerburg <gwiderburg@utah.gov>

### **Public Service Commission**

96 messages

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>
To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 1:38 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Brown, Camila

**Constituent Address:** 

Camila Brown

UT

Constituent Email: camilamberb@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>
To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 1:39 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Atkatz, Reuben

Constituent Address:

Reuben Atkatz

UT

Constituent Email: ratkatz888@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 1:40 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Wikstrom, Anne

**Constituent Address:** 

Anne Wikstrom

UT

Constituent Email: rewikstrom@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. (A pretty sneaky date for them to use while the country is distracted by national politics, I might add). The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 1:41 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Roller, Barbara

#### **Constituent Address:**

Barbara Roller

UT

Constituent Email: barbaradisco@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

# constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 1:42 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Knudson, Vicki

**Constituent Address:** 

Vicki Knudson

UT

Constituent Email: Knudsonvj@icloud.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>
To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:12 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Weight, Elizabeth

**Constituent Address:** 

Elizabeth Weight

West Valley City UT 84128

Constituent Email: eweight@msn.com	
Mobile #:	
Home #:	
Office #:	
Subject: Public Service Commission	
Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. Not only is the discussion and apsubverted, the fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on roobvious that such a rate structure would effectively end the growth of rooftop solar and de Utah. It is also apparent that due consideration of information to and from the public is posolar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in of these jobs as well as the economic impact are the future of my students. Those will be fees are put into place. I am aware of the consequences to the Nevada industry when the outrageous fees on owners. Overnight, hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah pri development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth. If these fees are imp growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical consideration.	poroval process being portop solar customers. It's evastate the solar industry in pointedly being avoided. The economic activity. A number enegatively affected if these eir commission imposed des itself as a economic osed, one of the fastest
Thank you.	
Constituent Services.	
constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov</constituentservices@utah.gov>	Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:13 PM
December 1, 2016	
Wall, Tiffeni	
You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:	
Constituent: Burnett, Judith	
Constituent Address: Judith Burnett	
UT	
Constituent Email: stevefromutah@gmail.com	
Mobile #:	
Home #:	
Office #:	
Subject: Public Service Commission	
[Quoted text hidden]	

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:14 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Levine, Jacob

Constituent Address:

Jacob Levine

UT

Constituent Email: Jacob@teamutah.org

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Harden, Michelle

#### **Constituent Address:**

Michelle Harden

UT

Constituent Email: chellebelle26@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Van Amerongen, Jon

### Constituent Address:

Jon Van Amerongen

UT

Constituent Email: john@silverstarconstructionutah.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:16 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:15 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:17 PM

Constituent: Ruthizer, Brenda

Constituent Address:

Brenda Ruthizer 4848 Pinnacle Pl Holladay UT 84124

Constituent Email: skirollfly@comcast.net

Mobile #:

Home #: (801) 273-1879

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:18 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Fullmer, Melissa

**Constituent Address:** 

Melissa Fullmer

UT

Constituent Email: Melissa.fullmer1@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Leonard, Brenda

**Constituent Address:** 

Brenda Leonard

UT

Constituent Email: bribendiba@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:21 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:20 PM

Office #:

/2/2016	State of Utah Mail - Public Service Commis	ssion
You have been assigned to reply to the follow	owing issue:	
Constituent: Coats, Mitt		
Constituent Address: Mitt Coats		
UT		
Constituent Email: mittcoats@gmail.com		
Mobile #:		
Home #:		
Office #:		
Subject: Public Service Commission		
Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, Service Commission to raise rates on roofte the nation on rooftop solar customers. If im devastate the solar industry in Utah. The so of dollars in economic activity. All of which look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides its cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these greatly harmed. Utah also depends on a vib must put the environment as a top priority t many more jobs and dollars lost if the skling of pollution. Your leadership on this issue is	op systems. The fees are among the posed, the fees would effectively en plar industry provides almost 4000 jo will be negatively affected if these fees commission imposed outrageous feeself as a economic development state fees are imposed one of the fastest orant outdoor community and tourists o maintain its preeminent status as a gindustry dries up and we do not least	e highest proposed by any utility around of the growth of the rooftop solar and obs in the state and hundreds of millions ees are put into place. You only have to ees on owners and overnight hundreds of te. Your office has worked hard to t growing industries in the state will be so for a bulk of its economy. Our state a top adventure destination. There are
Thank you.		
Constituent Services.		
constituentservices@utah.gov <constituent gwiderburg@utah.gov<="" td="" to:=""><td>services@utah.gov&gt;</td><td>Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:22 PM</td></constituent>	services@utah.gov>	Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:22 PM
December 1, 2016		
Wall, Tiffeni		
You have been assigned to reply to the follow	owing issue:	
Constituent: Bryant, Donna		
Constituent Address: Donna Bryant		
UT		
Constituent Email: mthrhn@comcast.net		
Mobile #:		
Home #:		

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:24 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Wallace, Kent

#### **Constituent Address:**

Kent Wallace

UT

Constituent Email: Kentster58@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:26 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Rich, Graham

### Constituent Address:

Graham Rich

UT

Constituent Email: artbykaiju@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:27 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

/2016	State of Utah Mail - Public Service Commissi	ion
You have been assign	ed to reply to the following issue:	
Constituent: Warner,	Judith	
Constituent Address: Judith Warner		
UT		
Constituent Email: ju	dith.warner@hsc.utah.edu	
Mobile #:		
Home #:		
Office #:		
Service Commission to the nation on rooftop's devastate the solar independent of the months I use No emillions of dollars in each ave to look toward New hundreds of solar industrial to cultivate growth will be greatly harmed.	ar Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power or raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the holar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively endustry in Utah. After a very substantial investment in solar plectricity from the grid. The solar industry provides almost a conomic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if the evada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrage stry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic develop hand it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the figure of the solar also provides power during peak use summer power. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for the solar power is critical.	nighest proposed by any utility around the growth of the rooftop solar and power, I already pay \$26 per month in 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of hese fees are put into place. You only cous fees on owners and overnight opment state. Your office has worked astest growing industries in the state er hours, reducing the need for pollution
constituentservices@u fo: gwiderburg@utah.go	tah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov></constituentservices@utah.gov>	Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:28 PM
December 1, 2016		
Wall, Tiffeni		
You have been assign	ed to reply to the following issue:	
Constituent: De Masi	, Davide	
Constituent Address Davide De Masi	:	
UT		
Constituent Email: d	demasi@gmail.com	
Mobile #:		

Subject: Public Service Commission

Home #:

Office #:

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:30 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Jameson, Kenneth

### **Constituent Address:**

Kenneth Jameson

UT

Constituent Email: kpjameson@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:31 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Mahar, Kristina

#### Constituent Address:

Kristina Mahar

UΤ

Constituent Email: kristina.mahar@harman.com

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:32 PM

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Pike, Marlynne

Constituent Address:

Marlynne Pike 4668 Ledgemont Drive Salt Lake City UT 84124

Constituent Email: pikeski@mac.com

Mobile #:

Home #: (801) 930-9035

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:33 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Jones, Al

#### Constituent Address:

Al Jones

UT

Constituent Email: Goldenhandz77@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:34 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:41 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Castro, Camila

### Constituent Address:

Camila Castro

UT

Constituent Email: Camila.castro78@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7b5065f9e7&view=pt&search=inbox&th=158bc1c15e539c8d&simI=158bc1c15e539c9406d&simI=158bc1c15e539c9406d&simI=158bc1c15e539c9406d&simI=15

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Blair, Rob

**Constituent Address:** 

Rob Blair

UT

Constituent Email: 1utahman@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:42 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Ban, Joel

#### **Constituent Address:**

Joel Ban 1399 S. 700 E, Suite 3 Salt Lake City UT 84105

Constituent Email: joelban@hotmail.com

Mobile #: (801) 532-2447

[Quoted text hidden]

#### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:44 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:45 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Miller, Krystal

#### **Constituent Address:**

Krystal Miller

UT

Constituent Email: pretty.in.pink6118@gmail.com

Mobile #:

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Jespersen, Berlin

**Constituent Address:** 

Berlin Jespersen

UT

Constituent Email: berlin.jespersen@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:46 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Hart, Elizabeth

**Constituent Address:** 

Elizabeth Hart

UT

Constituent Email: Liveslaughsloves@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>
To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:47 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:49 PM

• • • •

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Donaldson, Lisa

**Constituent Address:** 

Lisa Donaldson 185 E. Beryl Ave

Salt Lake City UT 84115

Constituent Email: licatsunflower@comcast.net

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Packer, Sam

**Constituent Address:** 

Sam Packer

374 South 1230 West

Spanish Fork UT 84660

Constituent Email: sam.j.packer@gmail.com

Mobile #: (801) 602-2599

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:51 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Banuelos, Steven

**Constituent Address:** 

Steven Banuelos

UT

Constituent Email: stigirish@gmail.com

Mobile #:

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:52 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:53 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Rodgers, Jason

**Constituent Address:** 

Jason Rodgers

UT

Constituent Email: rodgeja@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Cox, Shannon

**Constituent Address:** 

Shannon Cox

UT

Constituent Email: Shannon@darkpenguin.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu. Dec 1, 2016 at 2:57 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:58 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Kelsch, Emily

**Constituent Address:** 

Emily Kelsch

UT

Constituent Email: mekelsch@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Magruder, JJ

**Constituent Address:** 

JJ Magruder

UT

Constituent Email: jmack-67@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Peterson, David

**Constituent Address:** 

David Peterson

UΤ

Constituent Email: silverlode22@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:00 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:59 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Jacobsen, Preston

### **Constituent Address:**

Preston Jacobsen

UT

Constituent Email: Pjacobsen1313@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Wybrow, Jenny

#### Constituent Address:

Jenny Wybrow

UT

Constituent Email: Micahjenny@msn.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Harnsberger, Ric

### **Constituent Address:**

Ric Harnsberger

UT

Constituent Email: h,harnsberger@hsc.utah.edu

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:04 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:02 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:05 PM

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Gray, Sylvia Ruth

Constituent Address:

Sylvia Ruth Gray

Salt Lake Cty UT 84103-2609

Constituent Email: sylviaemail@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:06 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Sloan, Jeane

**Constituent Address:** 

Jeane Sloan

UT

Constituent Email: sloan6997@msn.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:08 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:09 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Hawkins, Michael

**Constituent Address:** 

Michael Hawkins

UT

Constituent Email: Mandm1516@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Fronk, Devan

### Constituent Address:

Devan Fronk

UT

Constituent Email: devanfronk@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:10 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Fitzgerald, Gene

**Constituent Address:** 

Gene Fitzgerald 1385 E Butler Ave Salt Lake city UT 84102

Constituent Email: genefitzgerald37@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov >

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: O'Malley, Daniel

**Constituent Address:** 

Daniel O'Malley

UT

Constituent Email: danielwolf.om@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: George, Ashley

**Constituent Address:** 

Ashley George

UT

Constituent Email: Ashleygeo@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7b5065f9e7&view=pt&search=inbox&th=158bc1c15e539c8d&simI=158bc1c15e5

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:14 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:16 PM

18/36

12/2/2016

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:17 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Horman, Aimee

**Constituent Address:** 

Aimee Horman 2785 South 800 East Salt Lake City UT 84106

Constituent Email: aimeehorman@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #: (801) 481-4842

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:18 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Millington, Devon

Constituent Address:

**Devon Millington** 

UT

Constituent Email: devon.millington@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:20 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: York, Susan

Constituent Address:

Susan York

UT

Constituent Email: stmyork@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:21 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Burge, Michael

**Constituent Address:** 

Michael Burge

UT

Constituent Email: mikeburge@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:22 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Reginato, Virginia

**Constituent Address:** 

Virginia Reginato

UT

Constituent Email: virreginato@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Springer, John

**Constituent Address:** 

John Springer

UT

Constituent Email: spacehog61@comcast.net

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:29 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:28 PM

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7b5065f9e7&view=pt&search=inbox&th=158bc1c15e539c8d&simI=158bc1c15e539c8d&simI=158bc1d219035c77...

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Searles, Raymond

Constituent Address: Raymond Searles 304 W Dupont Ave Salt Lake City UT 84116

Constituent Email: 3searles@live.com

Mobile #:

Home #: (801) 364-6121

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: McAfee, Colette

**Constituent Address:** 

Colette McAfee

UT

Constituent Email: colettemcafee@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Evans, Leslie

**Constituent Address:** 

Leslie Evans

UT

Constituent Email: Leslieevans801@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:32 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:31 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:30 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Andalora, Lauren

#### Constituent Address:

Lauren Andalora

UT

Constituent Email: laora2010@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Harris, Roger

#### **Constituent Address:**

Roger Harris

UT

Constituent Email: Rogthedude@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Marden, Courtney

#### **Constituent Address:**

Courtney Marden

American Fork UT 84003

Constituent Email: elfman danny@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

#### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:37 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:35 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:38 PM

Constituent: Devereaux, Rhonda Constituent Address: Rhonda Devereaux UT Constituent Email: L.devereaux@comcast.net Mobile #: Home #: Office #: Subject: Public Service Commission Request (Closed): ear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time. Thank you. Constituent Services. constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov> Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:40 PM To: gwiderburg@utah.gov December 1, 2016 Cain, Tyler You have been assigned to reply to the following issue: Constituent: Lopez, Lawrence Constituent Address: Lawrence Lopez UT Constituent Email: Iflandjrl@gmail.com Mobile #: Home #: Office #: Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and

devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:41 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Secrist, Craig

#### **Constituent Address:**

Craig Secrist

UT

Constituent Email: mrwoodthrush@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:42 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:43 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Daily, Stephanie

#### **Constituent Address:**

Stephanie Daily

UT

Constituent Email: Stephanie.m.daily@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

### constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Caughey, William

### **Constituent Address:**

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7b5065f9e7&view=pt&search=inbox&th=158bc1c15e539c8d&siml=158bc1c15e539c8d&siml=158bc1c15e539c8d&siml=158bc1d219035c77...

William Caughey

UT

Constituent Email: william.g.caughey.1984@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

## constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:44 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Woods, Erin

Constituent Address:

Erin Woods

UT

Constituent Email: woodsytheowl@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:46 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Thorley, John

**Constituent Address:** 

John Thorley

Salt Lake City UT 84103-3073

Constituent Email: lbjt2@msn.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Open): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you	Th	ıank	VO	u.
-----------	----	------	----	----

Constituent Services.

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:47 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: McBroon, Mary

**Constituent Address:** 

Mary McBroon

UT

Constituent Email: thespooklife@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah. The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the effect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost. Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed. Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Fueston, Bryce

**Constituent Address:** 

**Bryce Fueston** 

UT

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:50 PM

Constituent Email: bryce.fueston@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:52 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Stewart, Susan

**Constituent Address:** 

Susan Stewart

UT

Constituent Email: susan.ryonen@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #: (801) 908-5173

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:53 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Jacobs, Hilary

**Constituent Address:** 

Hilary Jacobs

Constituent Email: hilary.jacobs@comcast.net

Mobile #:

Home #:

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:05 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Brichford, Patricia

**Constituent Address:** 

Patricia Brichford

Sandy UT 84092-3449

Constituent Email: pb44287@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:07 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Schloesser, Margie

**Constituent Address:** 

Margie Schloesser

UT

Constituent Email: margieschloesser@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Terry, Lucy

**Constituent Address:** 

Lucy Terry

UΤ

Constituent Email: irene.terry@utah.edu

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Barney, Kyle

**Constituent Address:** 

Kyle Barney

UT

Constituent Email: kylebcusol@icloud.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:08 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:10 PM

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Henstrom, Morgan

Constituent Address:

Morgan Henstrom

UT

Constituent Email: Morganbhenstrom@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Barneh, Kerry

**Constituent Address:** 

Kerry Barneh

UT

Constituent Email: Kerrybarney@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Monaghan, Heather

**Constituent Address:** 

Heather Monaghan

UT

Constituent Email: ballardcountrygirl@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:11 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:12 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:13 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:14 PM

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: West, David

**Constituent Address:** 

**David West** 

UT

Constituent Email: davidwilfordwest@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

## constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:15 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Waterman, Ian

#### **Constituent Address:**

Ian Waterman

UT

Constituent Email: ianflux1@hotmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

## constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:17 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:18 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Morgan, Jensen

## Constituent Address:

Jensen Morgan

UT

Constituent Email: quixoticminstrel@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

#### constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Watkins, Hillary

#### **Constituent Address:**

Hillary Watkins

ŲT

Constituent Email: hwatkins92@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>
To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:20 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Legare, Roger

**Constituent Address:** 

Roger Legare

UT

Constituent Email: Roger1941@comcast.net

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:21 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:22 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Thomas, Andra

**Constituent Address:** 

Andra Thomas

UT

Constituent Email: Andra.l.thomas@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Smith, Ryan

Constituent Address:

Ryan Smith

UT

Constituent Email: ryandeesmith@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Christensen, Jill

**Constituent Address:** 

Jill Christensen

Salt Lake City UT 84105-2738

Constituent Email: jillgeeceetoo@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Rishe, Harvey

**Constituent Address:** 

Harvey Rishe

UT

Constituent Email: risheutah@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Gaskill, Jennifer

**Constituent Address:** 

Jennifer Gaskill

UT

Constituent Email: jgaskillrealtor@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:23 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:24 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:26 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:27 PM

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Arnold, Jean

Constituent Address:

Jean Arnold

UT

Constituent Email: arnoldjean123@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:28 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Von Allmen, Cornelia

**Constituent Address:** 

Comelia Von Allmen 2871 South 2870 East Salt Lake City UT 84109

Constituent Email: Nvonallmen@comcast.net

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov> To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:30 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Canfield, Brittney

**Constituent Address:** 

Brittney Canfield

ŲΤ

Constituent Email: Canbrittney@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:31 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Ferrin, Carly

#### 12/2/2016

#### **Constituent Address:**

Carly Ferrin

UT

Constituent Email: carlyferrin@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:35 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Viney, James

#### **Constituent Address:**

James Viney

UT

Constituent Email: jamesviney@comcast.com

[Quoted text hidden]

# constituentservices@utah.gov < constituentservices@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:37 PM

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:39 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Laws, Mary

# **Constituent Address:**

Mary Laws

UT

Constituent Email: adema8510@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

## constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Ward, Chris

#### **Constituent Address:**

Chris Ward 858 Montague Ave Salt Lake City UT 84104

Constituent Email: zwiebelspaetzle@gmail.com

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7b5065f9e7&view=pt&search=inbox&th=158bc1c15e539c8d&simI=158bc1c15e5

[Quoted text hidden]

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>
To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:40 PM

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler.

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: DeTar, Carleton

Constituent Address:

Carleton DeTar

Constituent Email: detar@physics.utah.edu

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Dear Governor Herbert, As a Utah residents and homeowners with an installed photovoltaic solar array, we are writing to urge you go on record opposing PacificCorp's request to cancel immediately net-metering and to require new PV customers to a pay according to a prohibitively high rate. We also urge you to work with the Utah legislature to modify the Utah statutes that set rules for the PSC cost/benefit analysis so it takes into proper account external economies. 1. The company has chosen an expedited path to setting new rates without going through the more conventional public comment period including expert testimony. The issues here are too important and controversial to allow such an end run. On this ground alone, the request for expedited review and approval should be denied, 2. The company's avoided-cost calculations are based on expensive proprietery software models that are not available for public scrutiny and critique. The calculations must be reviewed by an independent body of experts. At the very least, the PSC should put off a decision until such an independent review can be made. 3. I strongly suspect that external economies have not been included in the cost/benefit accounting, but they must be, even if based only on well-founded estimates. Burning of fossil fuels degrades our air quality, and it is wreaking havoc around the world on wildlife, plants, and humans. \*Not to include these external costs is fraudulent accounting\*. We list some more external economic costs in 4 and 5 below. 4. The proposed change would make it too expensive to justify new installations. It would effectively shut down Utah's rapidly growing solar industry, resulting in the loss of several thousand Utah jobs. One has only to look at what happened to Nevada's solar industry when net metering was attacked there. 5. A warming climate is already hurting Utah's winter sports industry and will very likely kill it in the coming decades if we don't break world dependence on fossil fuels. The impact on Utah's economy will be devastating. Of all places, Utah should take the lead in this transformation of energy production. 6. We understand that our own net metering plan will be unaffected by the proposed change, but changing the rate structure for new customers as proposed is likely to set a precedent that will encourage PacificCorp to come after existing customers in the near future. "Divide and conquer" is a nefarious tactic. 7. Although this proposal is framed as a way to make the rate structure fairer to non-solar customers, I assert that the real driver of this proposal is that PacificCorp's profits are tied to power sales, and with reduced sales, company profits are decreasing. The whole industry has taken a position opposing residential solar power generation. This proposal is consistent with that position. 8. Home-generated solar power is a disruptive technology that is creating drastic changes in the power industry. The correct regulatory response to dealing with disruptive change is a disinterested and complete analysis of costs and benefits, coupled with an informed revision of regulatory legislation. The industry response should be not to stifle innovation, but to play along with it -- for example, by improving load balancing by replacing burners in coal-fired plants with new high-efficiency energy-storage technology and by modernizing our dangerously antiquated power grid. We would support rate changes that provide for a reduction in fossil-fuel burning. Thank you for considering our remarks. Sincerely, Carleton DeTar Laurel Casjens

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

constitue	entservi	ices@utah.gov	<pre><constituentservices@utah.gov< pre=""></constituentservices@utah.gov<></pre>	>
		4 1		

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 4:51 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

December 1, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Stevenson, K.

**Constituent Address:** 

K. Stevenson

UT

Constituent Email: kstevenson1106@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

[Quoted text hidden]



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

John Massman <massmjw55@att.net>
Reply-To: massmjw55@att.net
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:41 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, John Massman 42861 N Janette St Antioch, IL 60002



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Terry Huff <thuff47@comcast.net>
Reply-To: thuff47@comcast.net
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:42 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Terry Huff 3954 S Olympic W ay Salt Lake City, UT 84124



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Laurie Sevy <a href="mailto:lauriesevy@gmail.com">Lauriesevy@gmail.com</a>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <a href="mailto:psc@utah.gov">psc@utah.gov</a>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:43 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Laurie Sevy 1025 W 1200 N Salt Lake City, UT 84116



## **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

Alex Mortensen <alexymortensen@gmail.con>
Reply-To: alexymortensen@gmail.con
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:44 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Alex Mortensen

Farmington, UT 84025 ...



## **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

Lori vuksinick <bohunks6@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: bohunks6@hotmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:45 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Lori vuksinick 6013 S 1705 E Murray, UT 84121 a



## **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

Mike Limon <mli>mon@ubtanet.com>
Reply-To: mlimon@ubtanet.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:47 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Mike Limon 630 N Poco Dr Roosevelt, UT 84066



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Travis green <thgreen80@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: thgreen80@hotmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:49 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Travis green 1580 N 8000 W Mendon, UT 84325



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

Korlin Gillette <kory.gillette@gmail.com>
Reply-To: kory.gillette@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:50 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Korlin Gillette 1842 Remington Ln Park City, UT 84098



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

 Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:51 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Doug Duncan RR 2 Box 7137 Roosevelt, UT 84066



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

**Sundra Allen** <sunathome@comcast.net>
Reply-To: sunathome@comcast.net
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:53 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Sundra Allen 4729 W 5905 S Kearns, UT 84118 a



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Marty Moreno <info@bathdocs.com>
Reply-To: info@bathdocs.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:54 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards,
Marty Moreno
140 W Angelo Ave
South Salt Lake, UT 84115



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jay Bryner <jayupsman6@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: jayupsman6@hotmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:55 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Jay Bryner 1650 Madsen Ln Sandy, UT 84093 a



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jessy Johansen <jessyjohansen@live.com>
Reply-To: jessyjohansen@live.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:56 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Jessy Johansen 48513 US-26 Sandy, OR 97055



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

**Dustin Hannold** <a href="mailto:dustinhannold@gmail.com">dustinhannold@gmail.com</a>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:57 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards,
Dustin Hannold
111A Cypress Run
Anderson, SC 29625



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

**Denim wallace** <denimwallace1@gmail.com>
Reply-To: denimwallace1@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission c@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:58 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards,
Denim wallace
113 Caley Ct
Lexington, SC 29072



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

Victoria Hill <vikiden@gmail.com>
Reply-To: vikiden@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 2:59 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Victoria Hill

Lynnwood, WA 98036



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mary Dehart <micheledehart@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: micheledehart@hotmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission c@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:00 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Mary Dehart 3921 OK-92 Chickasha, OK 73018



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Casey Cook <ccook801@gmail.com>
Reply-To: ccook801@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:01 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Casey Cook 2777 2475 E Salt Lake City, UT 84109



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

**Lisa Donaldson** licatsunflower@comcast.net>
Reply-To: licatsunflower@comcast.net
To: Utah Public Service Commission psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:02 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Lisa Donaldson

Salt Lake City, UT 84109 a



## **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

**Erin clavell** <ilove2petition@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: ilove2petition@hotmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission c@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:03 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme.

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Erin clavell 8375 Old Bingham Hwy West Jordan, UT 84088



# **Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment**

1 message

Joshua Malan <mtech801@gmail.com>
Reply-To: mtech801@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:04 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement demand charges on solar customers. Demand charges are confusing and extreme. They already steal power by issuing credits instead of cash for the annual overages don't let them take it all away!

Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Solar customers and businesses need certainty. RMP's proposal puts local solar jobs across the state at risk. Please reject RMP's demand charges proposal and keep existing rates for rooftop solar customers.

Regards, Joshua Malan 87 E 2200 N Lehi, UT 84043



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rebekah Bryner <br/>beki.bryner@msn.com><br/>Reply-To: beki.bryner@msn.com<br/>To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:06 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Rebekah Bryner 11442 Jordan Bend Rd South Jordan, UT 84095



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

**Brady Hoggan** <a href="mailto:bradybhoggan@gmail.com">bradybhoggan@gmail.com</a>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <a href="mailto:psc@utah.gov">psc@utah.gov</a>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:09 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Brady Hoggan 1434 Garden View Ct Saratoga Springs, UT 84045



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

**Sandy Cornell** <moonwolf42@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: moonwolf42@hotmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:10 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Sandy Cornell 168 N 100 E Moab, UT 84532



## Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Fredrick Durfee <fredrickdee78@gmail.com>
Reply-To: fredrickdee78@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:11 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Fredrick Durfee 2588 S 900 E Salt Lake City, UT 84106



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

chris Beck <cbeck@graniteschools.org>
Reply-To: cbeck@graniteschools.org
To: Utah Public Service Commission c@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:13 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, chris Beck 4250 W 5855 S Kearns, UT 84118 a



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kevin Quapp <k.quapp@gmail.com>
Reply-To: k.quapp@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:14 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Kevin Quapp

Park City, UT 84098



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Patty Dunn <dunnfire@comcast.net>
Reply-To: dunnfire@comcast.net
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:16 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards,
Patty Dunn
4018 Contadora Ct
West Valley City, UT 84128



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nancy Jarvis <nj57@comcast.net>
Reply-To: nj57@comcast.net
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:17 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Nancy Jarvis 1336 E 5935 S Murray, UT 84121



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

**Tim Komlos** <info@northernelectriccompany.com>
Reply-To: info@northernelectriccompany.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:18 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Tim Komlos 1664 E Emerson Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84105 a



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

**Dolly Peach** <Dolly.peach@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Dolly.peach@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:19 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Dolly Peach

Salt Lake City, UT 84103 a



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jason Anderson <siros.work@gmail.com>
Reply-To: siros.work@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:20 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Jason Anderson 2898 N Morgan Valley Dr Morgan, UT 84050



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

**GREG MERRILL** < G.S.M@aggiemail.usu.edu>
Reply-To: G.S.M@aggiemail.usu.edu
To: Utah Public Service Commission < psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:21 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, GREG MERRILL 7014 S 2400 W Wellsville, UT 84339



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christel Pledger <cpledger@macu.com>
Reply-To: cpledger@macu.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:23 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Christel Pledger 5906 Discovery Dr West Jordan, UT 84081



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wyatt Barrett <wyatt.barrett@gmail.com>
Reply-To: wyatt.barrett@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:24 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Wyatt Barrett

American Fork, UT 84003 ...



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jose Fuentes <a href="mailto:sharmonickeysjjc@gmail.com">harmonickeysjjc@gmail.com</a>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <a href="mailto:spsc@utah.gov">psc@utah.gov</a>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:25 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Jose Fuentes 1094 Navajo St Salt Lake City, UT 84104



# Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dave Robinson <dsrutah@gmail.com>
Reply-To: dsrutah@gmail.com
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 3:27 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

As a ratepayer in Utah, I urge you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's solar proposal, which will endanger the growth of the solar industry and threaten local jobs across the state. RMP's extreme demand charge proposal will make it difficult for me and my family to control our energy bills.

Another Berkshire Hathaway subsidiary, NV Energy, proposed similar demand charges on solar customers last year, and the Nevada Public Utilities Commission rejected the implementation of those charges. Across twelve states, all investor owned utility proposals to implement demand charges on residential or solar customers have either been rejected by the state's regulatory body or withdrawn entirely.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to implement these unprecedented and unpredictable charges on solar customers. I urge you to support balanced policies that will spur market competition and local economic growth, and bolster consumer choice.

Regards, Dave Robinson

American Fork, UT 84003 ...