



State of Utah  
Department of Commerce  
Division of Public Utilities

FRANCINE GIANI    CHRIS PARKER  
*Executive Director    Director, Division of Public Utilities*

GARY HERBERT  
*Governor*

SPENCER J. COX  
*Lieutenant Governor*

## Action Request Response

**To:** Utah Public Service Commission

**From:** Utah Division of Public Utilities

Chris Parker, Director

Artie Powell, Manager

Charles E. Peterson, Utility Technical Consultant

Jeff Einfeldt, Utility Analyst

**Date:** November 18, 2019

**Re:** **Docket No. 19-035-37**, Power Purchase Agreement between PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power, and Kennecott Utah Copper LLC, (Refinery)

### Recommendation (Approve)

The Division of Public Utilities (Division) recommends that the Commission approve the Non-Firm Power Purchase Agreement (Agreement, or PPA) between PacifiCorp (Utility) and Kennecott Utah Copper LLC (Kennecott). In addition, the Division recommends that the Company continue to provide reports, at least quarterly, of hourly power purchased so that the Division can continue to monitor this contract.

The Division also requests that the Commission order the Company at the time of future PPA filings, provide to the Division and Office of Consumer Services the GRID outputs and Excel spreadsheets supporting the price calculations along with the spreadsheets showing avoided line loss calculations. All spreadsheets are to be provided with formulas left intact. The Utility complied with this request this year by providing the GRID outputs and supporting spreadsheets.

## **Issue**

Since there are multiple contracts with Kennecott, this contract is informally referred to as the Kennecott “Refinery” QF. On October 10, 2019, PacifiCorp filed an Application for Approval of a Non-Firm Power Purchase Agreement with Kennecott. The effective date of the agreement is January 1, 2020.

This Application was filed at least a month later than the Division has requested in the past. However, for this year only, the Division agreed to work with the Utility to process this Application in a time frame such that the Commission could rule on it before the end of 2019. On October 22, 2019, the Commission issued a Scheduling Order requiring comments from the Division of Public Utilities and any other interested parties by November 20, 2019. This memorandum serves as the Division’s comments and recommendations in this matter.

## **ANALYSIS**

### General

Included with the Application is a copy of the Non-Firm Purchase Power Agreement between PacifiCorp and Kennecott that is dated October 9, 2019. Kennecott owns, operates, and maintains a waste heat-fired steam cogeneration facility for the generation of electric power located at the Magna, Utah refinery.<sup>1</sup> The nameplate capacity rating of the plant is 7.54 megawatts (MW) with an expected average monthly output of approximately 5.4 MW.<sup>2</sup> However, the Division understands that, as configured the actual capacity of the plant is about 6.20 MW.<sup>3</sup> The Division recommends that in future years the Utility make this distinction, preferably in the contract itself, or in the application to avoid potential confusion.

The Kennecott facility is operated as a qualifying facility (QF) as defined by 18 C.F.R Part 292<sup>4</sup> and Kennecott has previously provided its FERC self-certification to PacifiCorp. All

---

<sup>1</sup> PPA, page 1.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> See PPA Exhibit A, page 17.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid., page 5, Section 3.2.6

interconnection requirements have been met and the Kennecott facility is fully integrated with the PacifiCorp system.

Under the terms of the QF contract Kennecott has the option, but not the obligation, to deliver the net output to PacifiCorp at the point of delivery. Kennecott is not permitted to sell any portion of the net output to parties other than PacifiCorp; however, it is allowed to offset its own retail load before selling any excess power. Kennecott estimates that the average net monthly output of the facility will be approximately 3,900 megawatt-hours (MWh), because of the scheduled maintenance.<sup>5</sup> The contract continues to include the specification “that for accounting purposes, any energy sold under this Agreement shall follow the sale of energy under the Parties Non-Firm Qualifying Facility Power Purchase Agreement dated October 9, 2019 relating to Seller’s Smelter (“Smelter QF PPA”).”<sup>6</sup> The Division understands this to mean that any sales to the Utility will be construed to first come from the Smelter and then from the Refinery. This protects ratepayers since the pricing for the Smelter is slightly lower than for the Refinery.<sup>7</sup>

#### QF Pricing

The Division has reviewed the GRID outputs and concludes that the pricing for this current contract reflects the correct facts of this particular facility, i.e. that the practical capacity is 6.2 MW. The Company appears to have correctly complied with Commission orders on the method used to determine pricing for a contract under Schedule 38.

Included with the pricing is an adjustment for avoided line losses. The Division reviewed and checked the avoided line loss calculation, which has been in use since 2010, and it appears to comply with the method developed by the Company and agreed to by the Division.

Additionally, the pricing of this contract is tied to the Electric Service Agreement (ESA) between the Utility and Kennecott, which was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 16-035-33.

---

<sup>5</sup> Ibid., page 1

<sup>6</sup> Ibid., Section 4.2, page 6

<sup>7</sup> See Docket No. 19-035-36.

The pricing is set forth in confidential Exhibit E. “The purchase prices set forth in the Agreement include a ‘lesser of’ provision to account for Kennecott’s (sic) ability to purchase market blocks of power under the Energy Services Agreement approved by the Commission in Docket No. 16-035-33, whereby the Company will pay the lesser of the price for such market blocks or prices that were calculated using the methodology approved by the Commission orders in Docket No. 03-035-14 and Docket No. 12-035-10.”<sup>8</sup> This provision protects ratepayers from Kennecott being able to “game” the pricing between this PPA and the ESA.

### Other Comments

The proposed Agreement will remain in place for a term of 12 months beginning January 1, 2020 and ending December 31, 2020. The general terms and conditions of the Agreement appear to be generic in nature and are similar to the previous contracts. The other non-price related conditions within the Agreement appear to be reasonable and consistent with previous contracts.

The rates, terms, and conditions in this Agreement appear to be in accordance with the rates, terms, and conditions approved by the Commission in Docket No. 03-035-14 and Docket No. 12-035-100 for purchases from qualifying facilities. PacifiCorp represents the cost of this Agreement does not exceed the cost that would have been incurred from acquiring other market resources.<sup>9</sup> The Division accepts this representation based upon its review of the Company’s price calculations for this Agreement and prior analyses of the Company’s avoided cost reports.

### **Conclusion**

The terms of the Kennecott Refinery Power Purchase Agreement appear to comply with the Commission’s guidelines and order in Docket Nos. 03-035- 14 and 12-035- 100. The contractual arrangements and facts in this matter, in particular the method for calculating the avoided energy costs, have been previously found to be just and reasonable and in the public interest.

---

<sup>8</sup> Application, paragraph 5, page 3.

<sup>9</sup> PPA Section 2.1, page 3.

Cc: Michele Beck, Committee of Consumer Services  
Jana Saba PacifiCorp  
Kyle Moore, PacifiCorp  
Jacob McDermott, PacifiCorp  
Chad Baker, Parsons Behle & Latimer, attorney for Kennecott