### **APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER**

Docket No. 19-035-42

## PUBLIC HEARING

March 24, 2020

#### ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS

801-746-5080 | office@advancedrep.com | advancedrep.com SALT LAKE | 159 West Broadway, Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 PROVO | 3507 North University Avenue, Suite 350-D | Provo, Utah 84604 ST. GEORGE | 20 North Main Street, Suite 301 | St. George, Utah 84770



#### Public Hearing March 24, 2020

1	
2	
3	
4	PUBLIC HEARING DOCKET NO. 19-035-42
5	STATE CONTRACT #MA2908
6	APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR APPROVAL OF THE
7	2020 INTER-JURISDICTIONAL COST ALLOCATION AGREEMENT
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Taken on March 24, 2020
13	At 9:00 a.m.
14	
15	Via teleconference
16	At ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS 159 West Broadway
17	Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Deirdre Rand, RPR, CSR, CCR

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Public Service Commission:
4	CHAIR THAD LEVAR COMMISSIONER DAVID CLARK
5	COMMISSIONER DAVID CLARK COMMISSIONER JORDAN WHITE
6	
7	Rocky Mountain Power: EMILY L. WEGENER
8	EMILI L. WEGENER
9	Division of Public Utilities: PATRICIA SCHMID
10	PAIRICIA SCHMID
11	Office of Consumer Services: ROBERT MOORE
12	ROBERT MOORE
13	Western Resource Advocates: SOPHIE HAYES
14	
15	Nuror Steel-Utah: ERIC J. LACEY
16	Extre 6. Extent
17	Utah Association of Energy Users: PHILLIP J. RUSSELL
18	THIBBIT O. RODDED
19	Utah Clean Energy: HUNTER HOLMAN
20	
21	-00000-
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	JOELLE R. STEWARD	
4	Examination by Emily L. Wegener	7
5	STEVEN R. McDOUGAL	
6	Examination by Emily L. Wegener	16
7	MICHAEL G. WILDING	
8	Examination by Emily L. Wegener	20
9	WILLIAM ARTIE POWELL, PH.D.	
10	Examination by Patricia Schmid	25
11	CHERYL MURRAY	
12	Examination by Robert Moore	29
13	NANCY L. KELLY	
14	Examination by Sophie Hayes	32
15		
16	-00000-	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	CHAIR LEVAR: Good morning.
4	We are here for a Public Service Commission
5	hearing in docket 19-035-42, application of Rocky
6	Mountain Power for approval of the 2020
7	Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Agreement.
8	Today is March 23rd, 2020. [Verbatim.]
9	And we have all three members of the Public
10	Service Commission on the line. And let me just go
11	through other participants.
12	So for Rocky Mountain Power, Ms. Wegener, are
13	you on the line?
14	MS. WEGENER: Yes, I'm here.
15	CHAIR LEVAR: Did I pronounce your last name
16	right?
17	MS. WEGENER: Wegener? Yeah.
18	CHAIR LEVAR: Wegener. Okay.
19	And then Ms. Steward, Mr. McDougal, and
20	Mr. Wilding, are you three all on the line?
21	MS. STEWARD: I am. This is Ms. Steward.
22	MR. McDOUGAL: I'm Mr. McDougal, yes.
23	MR. WILDING: And this is Mr. Wilding.
24	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
25	And then Ms. Schmid, did I hear you on the

1	phone, representing the Division?
2	MS. SCHMID: Yes, you did. I am here. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. And Dr. Powell, are you
5	on phone on the line?
6	MR. POWELL: Yes, this is Artie Powell.
7	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Then who do we have
8	representing the Office of Consumer Services?
9	MR. MOORE: This is Robert Moore. I'm
10	representing the Office of Consumer Services. Cheryl
11	Murray will be our witness.
12	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. And she's on the line
13	also now?
14	MS. MURRAY: Yes, I am.
15	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
16	Do we have someone representing Western
17	Resource Advocates on the phone?
18	MS. HAYES: Yes. Good morning, Chair. This
19	is Sophie Hayes representing Western Resource Advocates.
20	And Nancy Kelly can pipe up, but she should be
21	on the phone, as well as our witness. Thanks.
22	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
23	MS. KELLY: This is Nancy Kelly. I'm
24	THE REPORTER: Just a moment. I do not know
25	the main speaker. You have not identified yourself.

1	CHAIR LEVAR: Oh, this is Thad LeVar. Sorry.
2	I identified myself when I first started.
3	THE REPORTER: Thank you. And you're not one
4	of the names that I was given, so I appreciate that.
5	CHAIR LEVAR: Oh, this is the court reporter?
6	THE REPORTER: Correct.
7	CHAIR LEVAR: I'm sorry. Yeah, I didn't on
8	the piece of paper we sent you, I forgot to put the
9	names of the three commissioners. I apologize.
10	THE REPORTER: Got it. We're good.
11	CHAIR LEVAR: We'll get that to you
12	immediately.
13	Do we have anyone from Nucor Steel on the
14	line?
15	MR. LACEY: Yeah, Eric Lacey is on,
16	representing Nucor Steel.
17	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. And you don't have a
18	witness, correct?
19	MR. LACEY: No, we do not.
20	CHAIR LEVAR: And do we have anyone on the
21	line from Utah Association of Energy Users?
22	MR. RUSSELL: Yes, Phillip Russell with UAE.
23	We also do not have a witness.
24	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Do we have anyone from
25	Utah Clean Energy?

Yes, this is Hunter Holman. 1 And MR. HOLMAN: 2 we also do not have a witness. 3 CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 4 Any other preliminary matters before we move to Rocky Mountain Power's first witness? 5 6 Ms. Wegener, you may call your first Okay. witness. 7 Rocky Mountain Power's first 8 MS. WEGENER: 9 witness is Joelle Steward. 10 CHAIR LEVAR: Ms. Steward, do you swear to 11 tell the truth? 12 Yes, I do. MS. STEWARD: 13 CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. 14 And then I'll just give one last reminder. Ιf 15 you're not speaking, please put your phone on mute, and 16 that will help the audio quality significantly. 17 you. 18 Okay. Go ahead. 19 **EXAMINATION** 20 BY MS. WEGENER: 21 Please state your name and business address. Q. 22 My name is Joelle Steward. My business Α. 23 address is 1407 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah 24 84116. Did you submit testimony in conjunction with 25 Q.

#### the company's application in this matter? 1 2 Yes, I did. Α. 3 MS. WEGENER: And can we move to admit the 4 testimony? BY MS. WEGENER: 5 6 Oh, do you have any corrections to make to 0. that testimony? 7 Yes, I have one correction. 8 It's on line 488, 9 which is on page 23. It's the question that currently 10 reads, "In the event that each state with exit orders 11 accepts the company's recommendation, "instead, "with" 12 should be replaced by "without." 13 So it should read, "In the event that each state without exit orders accepts the company's 14 15 recommendations." 16 Thank you. Any other corrections? 0. 17 Α. No. 18 Okay. 0. MS. WEGENER: Move to admit Ms. Steward's 19 20 testimony. 21 CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. This is Thad LeVar. Τf 22 anyone objects to that motion, please say so in the next 23 few seconds. And I'm not hearing any objections, so the 24 25 motion is granted. Thank you.

BY MS. WEGENER:

# Q. Can you please provide a summary of your testimony?

A. Yes. Thank you.

Good morning. I am pleased to present the 2020 protocol to the Commission for approval. The 2020 protocol is the culmination of three years of collaboration and analysis undertaken by participants in a multistate process for MFP.

The MFP group is comprised of approximately 35 parties, including a wide array of key stakeholders across PacifiCorp's six-state service area, including regulatory agency staff, consumer advocates, customers, and multiple industrial and environmental interest groups.

The 2020 protocol is the latest iteration in the Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation to be used among the majority of PacifiCorp states. The Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation methods have been used for PacifiCorp for over 30 years.

This 2020 protocol, like past allocation methods, describes the way all components of PacifiCorp's regulated service for generation, transmission, and distribution costs and benefits are assigned and allocated among the states for regulatory

filing and setting rates.

While the 2020 protocol remains -- retains many of the allocation policies and practices used in the most recently approved methodology, which was the 2017 protocol, the 2020 protocol represents a fundamental shift in how the company proposes to address Inter-Jurisdictional cross allocation, with an ultimate goal of moving away from the dynamic allocation factors and a common generation resource portfolio, to an allocation protocol with six allocation factors for generation resources and state-specific resource portfolios.

To achieve this goal, the 2020 protocol reflects a transition approach that relies on continuation of the 2017 protocol, with certain minor modifications during an interim period, while parties continue to meet to resolve the remaining cost allocation issues.

This transition away from the common generation portfolio is necessitated by the divergence of policies in the PacifiCorp states. The bedrock of the use of dynamic allocation factors has been that all states were served from a common portfolio of assets which enabled PacifiCorp to cost-effectively plan for and operate as an integrated whole. However, state

policies such as the requirement to remove coal from rates in certain states, challenge the long-standing practice of planning for the integrated system.

The 2020 protocol responds to the diverging state policies by providing a process of transitioning California, Oregon, and Washington from coal-fired resources without limiting the availability of those same resources to other states.

Specifically, the 2020 protocol, which is attached to my testimony as Exhibit JRS-1, reflects the following:

First, it establishes the allocation and assignment policies, procedures, and methods to be used during the interim period for all components of PacifiCorp's regulated service in the company's six states. The interim period is defined as January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2023, unless agreement on framework issues is reached earlier.

For Utah, as well as other states, with the exception of Washington, the 2020 protocol simply extends the use of the 2017 protocol through 2023.

The exceptions to the 2017 protocol are the elimination of the equalization adjustments, changes to the embedded cost differential adjustments, treatment of qualifying facilities, and changes to general

governance.

The ECD will be addressed by Mr. McDougal, and Mr. Wilding discusses QF.

Overall, retaining the majority of provisions in the 2017 protocol means that costs will continue to be dynamically allocated through the interim period unless they are state specific, such as distribution assets. New resources that come into service before the end of the interim period will be treated as system resources.

Second, it contains an agreement on certain issues that are intended to be implemented during the interim period, identified as implemented issues. These include procedures for states to access coal-fueled resources, a process for reassignment of those resources, provisions on the allocation of the commission and cost and treatment of QF.

Specific to the reassignment process is an agreement with Utah parties that provides additional detail on the process and filing requirements for the resource reassignment. This is included as Attachment 3 to my testimony.

The 2020 protocol establishes a process for states to diverge from the use of common resources over time, but in no way affects PacifiCorp's responsibility

to prudently make decisions about the operation of its assets.

Third, it contains a conditional agreement on certain issues intended to be implemented following the interim period, subject to final resolution of all outstanding issues. These are known as resolved issues, the most significant of which is the agreement to fixed assignment of generation resources after the interim period.

Fourth, it establishes a process and timeframe to address and attempt to resolve all outstanding issues that the parties intend to resolve during the interim period, which are known as framework issues. The framework issues include the implementation or resolution of issues associated with a nodal pricing model, resource planning and new resource assignment, limited realignment, special contracts, and post-interim period capital additions on coalfield resources.

The future resolution of framework issues, combined with the implemented issues and the resolved issues, would result in a new allocation methodology for PacifiCorp's six states, the post-interim period method.

The 2020 protocol establishes new governance provisions for MFP. It creates a framework issues work group, which is made up of the signatory to the 2020

protocol, and who will work to resolve the framework 1 2 It also continues the MFP work group, which may 3 be convened to resolve an allocation issue. 4 Lastly, we appreciate the diligence and hard work by all of the stakeholders to reach the point of 5 6 obtaining overwhelming agreement and support for the 2020 protocol. While it represents a significant amount 7 of collaboration to date, much of the hard work remains 8 9 to create a long-term, durable approach that provides 10 maximum value to all customers while reflecting the 11 policy decisions and goals of each of the states. 12 We believe the 2020 protocol has created a

strong framework to enable transition and support for these ongoing discussions. Therefore, I recommend that the Commission approve the company's application for the 2020 protocol as filed.

Thank you. That concludes my summary.

I have no further questions. MS. SCHMID:

CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. This is Thad

20 LeVar.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Let me first go to Ms. Schmid. Do you have any questions for Ms. Steward?

Ms. Schmid, you may not have taken your phone off mute. Do you have any questions for Ms. Steward?

25 MS. SCHMID: No.

1	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
2	Mr. Moore, do you have any questions for
3	Ms. Steward?
4	MR. MOORE: No questions. Thank you.
5	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you.
6	Ms. Hayes, do you have any questions?
7	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
8	CHAIR LEVAR: Mr. Lacey, do you have any
9	questions?
10	MR. LACEY: No, we do not. Thank you.
11	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
12	Mr. Russell, do you?
13	MR. RUSSELL: No. Thank you, Chair.
14	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Holman?
15	MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Thank you, Chair.
16	CHAIR LEVAR: Commissioner White, do you have
17	any questions?
18	MR. WHITE: No questions. Thank you, Chair.
19	CHAIR LEVAR: Mr. Clark?
20	MR. CLARK: No questions. Thank you.
21	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
22	I don't have any either. So thank you for
23	your testimony this morning, Ms. Steward.
24	MS. STEWARD: Yes.
25	CHAIR LEVAR: Ms. Weneger, you can go with

1 your next witness. 2 MS. WEGENER: Rocky Mountain Power calls Steve 3 McDougal. 4 CHAIR LEVAR: Mr. McDougal, do you swear to tell the truth? 5 6 MR. McDOUGAL: Yes. Okay. Go ahead. 7 CHAIR LEVAR: 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MS. WEGENER: 10 Mr. McDougal, can you please state your name 0. and business address? 11 12 My name is Steven R. McDougal, and my Α. Yes. 13 business address is 1407 West North Temple Street, Salt 14 Lake City, Utah 84116. 15 Did you submit testimony with the company's 16 application in this matter? 17 Α. Yes, I did. Do you have any corrections to make of that 18 19 testimony? 20 Α. I do have one correction on line 97 of my 21 testimony. On that line, I state that we will be 22 finishing the decommissioning study for coal strip by 23 March 31st. The commitment was March 15th. 24 0. Thank you. Any more corrections? 25 Α. No.

1 MS. WEGENER: I move to admit Mr. McDougal's 2 testimony. 3 CHAIR LEVAR: If anyone objects to that --4 this is Thad LeVar. If anyone objects to that motion, please speak up in the next few seconds. 5 6 I'm not hearing any objections, so the motion is granted. Thank you. 7 BY MS. WEGENER: 8 9 Mr. McDougal, can you please provide a summary 10 of your testimony? 11 Α. Yes. 12 My testimony supports the company's 13 application for approval of the 2020 protocol and the 14 overall agreement that's addressed by Ms. Steward. 15 will briefly describe some of the specific issues in my 16 testimony. 17 The first section of my testimony discusses 18 the differences between the 2020 protocol and the 2017 19 protocol. Specifically, I discuss the changes to the 20 embedded cost differential, or ECD. 21 The second section of my testimony discusses 22 implementation of the 2020 protocol during the interim 23 I specifically refer to updated decommissioning 24 study, including an updated study from most of our coal

plants, that was filed in the depreciation docket on

25

January 17th, and also an update for the coal strip plant that was filed last week.

My testimony also discusses the allocation of decommissioning costs to states exiting coal plants as described in Section 4.3.1.4 of the agreement.

The next section of my testimony discusses issues resolved by the 2020 protocol that will not be implemented until after the interim period. These issues include that all resources will be assigned to states on a fixed, rather than dynamic, basis, using assigned production factors. After the interim period, transition costs will continue to be dynamically allocated using a system transmission factor, which is the same calculation as the current system generation factor used for transmission.

I describe changes to the calculation of the system overhead, or SO factor, and I also discuss other miscellaneous items, most of which are unchanged from the 2017 protocol.

The last section of my testimony describes the following 2020 protocol appendices: Appendix A, which are the defined terms included in the 2020 protocol; Appendix B, the allocation factors by FERC account; Appendix C, the definition and algebraic calculation of allocation factors; Appendix E, which is the depreciable

1	lives on filed depreciation studies; and Appendix G,
2	which is the treatment of special contract.
3	In conclusion, I represent that, based upon
4	the filed testimony, the Commission approve the 2020
5	protocol as filed. Thank you.
6	MS. WEGENER: I have no further questions.
7	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
8	This is Thad LeVar. If any party's attorney
9	has questions for this witness, please jump on and
10	indicate that you do in the next few seconds.
11	Okay, I'm not hearing anyone with questions.
12	Do either of the other two commissioners have questions
13	for Mr. McDougal?
14	MR. WHITE: This is Jordan White. No
15	questions.
16	MR. CLARK: This is Commissioner Clark. No
17	questions.
18	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. No questions from either
19	commissioners. And I didn't hear any questions from any
20	of the parties.
21	So thank you for your testimony this morning,
22	Mr. McDougal. We appreciate it.
23	MR. McDOUGAL: Thank you.
24	CHAIR LEVAR: Ms. Wegener?
25	MS. WEGENER: Rocky Mountain Power calls Mike

1	Wilding.
2	CHAIR LEVAR: Mr. Wilding, do you swear to
3	tell the truth?
4	MR. WILDING: Yes.
5	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Go ahead.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. WEGENER:
8	Q. Will you please state your name and business
9	address?
10	A. Yes. My name is Michael G. Wilding. My
11	business address is 825 Northeast Multnomah Street, in
12	Portland, Oregon 97232.
13	Q. Did you submit testimony with the company's
14	application in this matter?
15	A. Yes, I did.
16	MS. WEGENER: I move to admit that testimony.
17	CHAIR LEVAR: This is Thad LeVar. If any
18	party objects to that motion, please jump on to indicate
19	your objection.
20	I'm not hearing any objections, so the motion
21	is granted. Thank you.
22	BY MS. WEGENER:
23	Q. Mr. Wilding, can you please provide a summary
24	of your testimony?
25	A. Yes. Thank you.

Good morning, Commissioners. I also appreciate this opportunity to present the 2020 protocol.

Specifically, my testimony addresses the treatment of the power cost in the 2020 protocol, the development of the nodal pricing model to track net power cost in the post-interim period, and the agreement between the company and Washington parties resulting in the Washington Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation Methodology which we referred to as the WIJAM.

During the interim period, all costs associated with new qualifying facilities with a contract executed after December 31, 2019, will be situs assigned to the state that approved the QF, or the state of origin. This includes any existing QF contracts that renewed after this date. And during the interim period, any QF costs above the reasonable energy price will be the responsibility of the state of origin.

The reasonable energy price is based on a single blended market price derived from the company's official forward price curve that was used to set the QF price.

Notably, this treatment is agreed to during the interim period. In the post-interim period, new QF costs will be -- will continue to be situs assigned to

the state of origin, but it is intended that the cost will be tracked as part of the nodal pricing model.

Additionally, as part of the results issue, it is agreed that all QF costs, new and existing, will be situs assigned beginning in 2030.

The 2020 protocol provides a path for state-specific resource portfolios, but it will still be important to maintain the benefits of a system dispatch and optimization as much as possible.

To allow for both unique resource portfolios and a system dispatch, the company has begun developing the nodal pricing model. The nodal pricing model is a framework issue, and will track net power costs by state and fairly compensate states for inter-jurisdictional energy transfers using a locational marginal price.

During the interim period net power costs, however, will continue to be allocated dynamically.

As part of the 2020 protocol, parties agree to the nodal pricing model Memo of Understanding, which is included as Index F -- or, excuse me, Appendix B. In this Memo of Understanding, parties agree that the investment in and the development of the nodal pricing model is a prudent decision by the company, however, the dollars are still subject to review. Additionally, this Memo of Understanding outlines the cost and benefits of

the nodal pricing model, including the primary benefit of being able to track net power costs by state while being able to maintain a single systems dispatch.

The WIJAM, or the Washington

Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation Methodology, is a separate agreement between the company and Washington parties on the inter-jurisdictional cost allocation methods that will be used in Washington, and is included in the 2020 protocol as Appendix F. The WIJAM modifies the currently used Western Control Area cost allocation methodology, or WCA, and moves Washington closer to the same cost allocation methodology as all other states.

Additionally, Washington parties are signatures -- signatories to the 2020 protocol, and are expected to participate in the framework issue work group.

As previously stated by Ms. Steward, the 2020 protocol has been the result of a long process and a lot of hard work and negotiation by all parties involved. The company appreciates the Utah parties' participation in the multistate process. And I believe the 2020 protocol is in the public interest, and recommend that the Commission approve it as filed.

Thank you. This concludes my summary.

MS. WEGENER: Thank you. I have no further

1	questions.
2	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you.
3	This is Thad LeVar. If any party has
4	questions for Mr. Wilding, please jump on and indicate
5	that you do.
6	Okay. I'm not hearing any questions.
7	Commissioner Clark, do you have any questions
8	for Mr. Wilding?
9	MR. CLARK: Thank you. No questions, Chair
10	LeVar.
11	CHAIR LEVAR: Commissioner White?
12	MR. WHITE: Thank you. No questions.
13	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. And I do not either.
14	So thank you for your testimony here today,
15	Mr. Wilding.
16	And Ms. Wegener, do you have anything else?
17	MS. WEGENER: No.
18	CHAIR LEVAR: I keep I'm sorry, I keep
19	mispronouncing your name. I apologize.
20	MS. WEGENER: It's okay. There's an E between
21	every letter as you spell it out. Wegener.
22	CHAIR LEVAR: Wegener. Okay.
23	MS. WEGENER: Rocky Mountain Power has nothing
24	further.
25	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Schmid, we'll go to you next for the 1 2 Division of Public Utilities. 3 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would 4 like to call Mr. -- or sorry, Dr. William Powell as its 5 witness. 6 CHAIR LEVAR: Dr. Powell, do you swear to tell 7 the truth? 8 DR. POWELL: Yes. 9 CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you. 10 Okay. Go ahead. 11 **EXAMINATION** 12 BY MS. SCHMID: 13 Please state your name, title, and business Q. 14 address for the record. 15 My name is Artie Powell. I'm the manager of 16 the Division of Public Utilities. And our business 17 address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 18 84114. 19 Are you also known more formally as 20 Dr. Powell? 21 Α. By some, yes. 22 Thank you. 0. 23 Have you participated in this docket on behalf 24 of the Division? I have. 25 Α.

1	Q. Did you prepare and cause to be filed what's
2	been titled The Direct Testimony of William Artie
3	Powell, Ph.D., for the Division on February 25, 2020?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Do you have any changes to or corrections
6	to that pre-filed testimony?
7	A. No, I don't.
8	Q. Do you adopt that pre-filed testimony as your
9	testimony today?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. With that, the Division would like to move for
12	the admission of The Direct Testimony of William Artie
13	Powell, Ph.D.
14	CHAIR LEVAR: This is Thad LeVar. If anyone
15	objects to that motion, please jump on and indicate your
16	objection.
17	I'm not hearing any objections, so the motion
18	is granted. Thank you.
19	Go ahead.
20	BY MS. SCHMID:
21	Q. Thank you.
22	Dr. Powell, do you have a brief summary today?
23	A. Yes. Actually, very brief.
24	I appreciate the company's summary of the 2020
25	protocol, so I won't go into any great details. I would

like to mention just a couple of things.

Ms. Steward did indicate that the 2020 protocol extends the 2017 protocol, which is a dynamic allocation of the company's costs among the jurisdictions, with a few modifications through the interim period, which is January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2023.

In that period of time, the work group will continue to work on what is known as the framework issues that have to be resolved. These framework issues include several things, including, as Mr. Wilding pointed out, the implementation and development of a nodal pricing model. And resolution of the framework issues and a post-interim period method may also trigger the need within the state to look at some other issues.

For example, we may want to look at resource planning and resource assignment, which is part of the framework issues in Section 6.1 of the 2020 protocol. It also may -- the development and use of the nodal pricing model may also warrant reviewing avoided cost methods within the state.

I would also like to point out that resolution of the framework issues is necessary for a new post-interim period method, or allocation method, to be developed and implemented. If the framework issues

1	cannot be resolved, then we're not bound by the 2020
2	protocol, and the company will file and the parties
3	will probably come in and have their own ideas about
4	what allocation methods should be used in that
5	post-interim period with that.
6	But given the work that's been done and the
7	outcome on the 2020 protocol, the Division supports and
8	recommends that the Commission adopt the 2020 protocol
9	as being in the public interest. And with that, that
10	concludes my summary.
11	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
12	Dr. Powell is now available for
13	cross-examination questions and questions from the
14	Commission.
15	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you.
16	This is Thad LeVar. If any party has
17	questions for this witness, please get on the phone and
18	indicate so.
19	Okay, I'm not hearing any questions from any
20	parties.
21	Commissioner White, do you have any questions
22	for this witness?
23	MR. WHITE: No questions, Chair. Thank you.
24	CHAIR LEVAR: Commission Clark, do you have
25	any questions?

1	MR. CLARK: No questions. Thank you.
2	CHAIR LEVAR: And I don't either.
3	Dr. Powell, thank you for your testimony this
4	morning.
5	DR. POWELL: You're welcome.
6	CHAIR LEVAR: Ms. Schmid, anything else from
7	the Division of Public Utilities?
8	MS. SCHMID: Nothing further from the
9	Division. Thank you.
10	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
11	Mr. Moore?
12	MR. MOORE: Yes, the Office of Consumer
13	Services calls Cheryl Murray, and asks that she be
14	sworn.
15	CHAIR LEVAR: Ms. Murray, do you swear to tell
16	the truth?
17	MS. MURRAY: Yes.
18	CHAIR LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
19	Go ahead.
20	EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. MOORE:
22	Q. Please state your name and occupation for the
23	record.
24	A. My name is Cheryl Murray. I'm a utility
25	analyst for the Office of Consumer Services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In your capacity as a utility analyst for the 0. Office, did you prepare and cause to be filed written testimony in this docket of March 19, 2020? Α. Actually, I filed -- I caused -- I prepared and caused to be filed testimony on February 25, 2020 in this docket. 0. I'm sorry. Do you have any changes to this testimony you would like to note now? Α. No, I do not. If I asked you the same questions today, would Q. your answers be the same? Α. Yes. MR. MOORE: The Office moves to admit the testimony. CHAIR LEVAR: If anyone objects to that motion, please indicate your objection. I'm not hearing any objections, so the motion is granted. Thank you. BY MR. MOORE: In your capacity as a utility analyst for the Q. Office, did you participate in negotiations that led to a settlement set for this hearing? Α. Yes, I did. Q. Have you viewed the settlement documents in

#### 1 detail? 2 Yes, I have. Α. 3 0. In your opinion, is the settlement in the 4 public interest, and just and reasonable in result? Yes, it is my opinion that the settlement is 5 in the public interest, and is just and reasonable in 6 Therefore, I recommend that the Commission 7 result. 8 approve the 2020 protocol. 9 MR. MOORE: Ms. Murray is now available for 10 cross and questions from the Commission. 11 CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you. 12 If anyone -- if any party has questions for 13 Ms. Murray, please indicate that to me. 14 I'm not hearing any questions from parties. 15 Commissioner White, do you have any questions 16 for Ms. Murray? 17 MR. WHITE: No questions. Thank you. 18 Commissioner Clark? CHAIR LEVAR: 19 MR. CLARK: No questions. Thank you. 20 CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you. 21 I don't either. So thank you for your 22 testimony, Ms. Murray. 23 MS. MURRAY: You're welcome. 24 CHAIR LEVAR: Mr. Moore? Mr. Moore, anything 25 else?

1	MR. MOORE: The Office
2	CHAIR LEVAR: Yeah, I'm sorry, I believe we
3	were talking over each other. Can you repeat yourself?
4	MR. MOORE: The Office has no more to offer.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
7	Ms. Hayes?
8	MS. HAYES: Thank you.
9	Good morning. This is Sophie Hayes on behalf
10	of Western Resource Advocates. And we would like to
11	call Nancy Kelly as our witness today.
12	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you.
13	Ms. Kelly, do you swear to tell the truth?
14	MS. KELLY: I do.
15	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you. Go ahead.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. HAYES:
18	Q. Thank you.
19	Ms. Kelly, will you please state your name and
20	business address for the record?
21	A. Nancy Kelly. 9463 North Swallow Road,
22	Pocatello, Idaho 83201.
23	Q. Did you file direct testimony in this docket
24	on February 25, 2020?
25	A. Yes, I did.

1	Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to that
2	testimony?
3	A. No, I do not.
4	MS. HAYES: Right now, WRA would move to admit
5	that testimony to the record.
6	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you.
7	If any party objects to that motion, please
8	indicate your objection.
9	I'm not hearing any objections, so the motion
.0	is granted. Thank you.
1	BY MS. HAYES:
_2	Q. Ms. Kelly, do you have a brief summary for the
_3	Commission today?
4	A. Yes, I do. Thank you.
_5	I recommend
_6	Q. Please proceed.
L7	A. Oh, excuse me?
_8	Q. Oh, I was just saying go ahead. Pardon me.
_9	A. Thank you.
20	I recommend the Commission approve the 2020
21	protocol as just and reasonable in results. In the near
22	term, the 2020 protocol allocates resources consistent
23	with rolled-in, while providing a transition from
24	dynamic allocation of system generation, fixed
25	allocation of state-specific generation which

accommodates differing state energy policies.

This is needed at this time, because once a state exits from resources that continue to serve customers in other PacifiCorp jurisdictions, PacifiCorp will no longer be served by common generating facilities as they are today. As a result, the dynamic method of cost allocation that has been used with various modifications since the 1989 merger will be left viable at least over some period of time.

However, I can envision the day when we could return to dynamic allocation. In my view, the need to fundamentally change cost allocation is a result of PacifiCorp's six-state jurisdiction undertaking at differing speeds the energy transition that the electric industry is undergoing. As the multiple factors driving the energy transition continue to play out, I anticipate that the day will arrive when PacifiCorp could once again serve customers with common generating resources, and costs could again be dynamically allocated.

In the meantime, this 2020 protocol provides an opportunity for Utah and this commission to make intentional, risk-aware decisions about its energy future, and it provides a reasonable path to a new cost allocation paradigm in which states are no longer served by a common resource portfolio.

1	Thank you. This concludes my summary.
2	MS. HAYES: Ms. Kelly is now available for
3	questioning.
4	CHAIR LEVAR: Thank you.
5	If any party has questions for Ms. Kelly,
6	please indicate that you have a question.
7	I'm not hearing anything from parties.
8	Commissioner Clark, do you have any questions
9	for Ms. Kelly?
10	MR. CLARK: No questions. Thank you.
11	CHAIR LEVAR: Commissioner White?
12	MR. WHITE: No questions. Thank you.
13	CHAIR LEVAR: And I don't have any questions.
14	Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Kelly.
15	MS. KELLY: Thank you.
16	CHAIR LEVAR: Ms. Hayes, anything else from
17	Western Resource Advocates?
18	MS. HAYES: Nothing further from us. Thank
19	you.
20	CHAIR LEVAR: Anything else from any party
21	before we adjourn the hearing?
22	I'm not hearing anything from anyone, so we
23	will consider the testimony. Considering that there has
24	been no opposition filed with us or stated in the
25	hearing today to the 2020 protocol, we will indicate

```
our intention to issue a written order, in a short
 1
 2
     period of time, approving the 2020 protocol as it was
 3
     filed with us.
                And with that, we're adjourned. Thank you.
 4
            (Hearing adjourned at 9:38 a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH )
3	COUNTY OF UTAH )
4	
5	I, DEIRDRE RAND, a Certified Court Reporter and
6	Registered Professional Reporter, hereby certify:
7	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken via
8	teleconference, at the time and place therein set forth,
9	with the parties appearing from their respective
10	locations, at which time the witnesses were placed under
11	oath by the Chair to tell the truth, the whole truth,
12	and nothing but the truth; that the proceedings were
13	taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter my notes
14	were transcribed through computer-aided transcription;
15	and the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true,
16	and accurate record of such testimony adduced and oral
17	proceedings had, and of the whole thereof, which were
18	audible to me via teleconference.
19	I further certify that I am not a relative or
20	employee of any of the parties, nor do I have a
21	financial interest in the action.
22	I have subscribed my name on this 30th day of
23	March, 2020.
24	Tallen
25	DEIRDRE RAND, RPR, CSR, CCR

	<b>300</b> 25:17	23:13
1	<b>31</b> 11:17 21:13 27:7	additions 13:18
<b>1</b> 11:16 27:6	<b>31st</b> 16:23	address 7:21,23 10:6
<b>1407</b> 7:23 16:13	<b>35</b> 9:10	13:11 16:11,13 20:9,11 25:14,17 32:20
<b>15th</b> 16:23	4	addressed 12:2 17:14
<b>160</b> 25:17	·	addresses 21:4
<b>17th</b> 18:1	<b>4.3.1.4</b> 18:5	adjourn 35:21
<b>19</b> 30:3	<b>488</b> 8:8	adjustments 11:23,24
<b>19-035-42</b> 4:5		admission 26:12
<b>1989</b> 34:8	6	<b>admit</b> 8:3,19 17:1 20:16
	<b>6.1</b> 27:18	30:14 33:4
2		- adopt 26:8 28:8
<b>2017</b> 10:5,15 11:21,22 12:5 17:18 18:19 27:3	8	<b>advocates</b> 5:17,19 9:13 32:10 35:17
<b>2019</b> 21:13	<b>825</b> 20:11	
	<b>83201</b> 32:22	affects 12:25
<b>2020</b> 4:6,8 9:6,16,21 10:2,5,13 11:4,9,17,20	<b>84114</b> 25:18	agency 9:13
12:23 13:23,25 14:7,12,	<b>84116</b> 7:24 16:14	agree 22:18,21
16 17:13,18,22 18:7,21,		agreed 21:23 22:4
22 19:4 21:2,5 22:6,18 23:9,14,17,21 26:3,24	9	agreement 4:7 11:17 12:11,19 13:3,7 14:6
27:2,6,18 28:1,7,8 30:3,5	<b>9463</b> 32:21	17:14 18:5 21:7 23:6
31:8 32:24 33:20,22 34:20 35:25	<b>97</b> 16:20	ahead 7:18 16:7 20:5
<b>2023</b> 11:17,21 27:7	<b>97232</b> 20:12	25:10 26:19 29:19 32:15 33:18
<b>2030</b> 22:5	Α	algebraic 18:24
<b>23</b> 8:9		allocated 9:25 12:6
<b>23rd</b> 4:8	accepts 8:11,14	18:13 22:17 34:19
<b>25</b> 26:3 30:5 32:24	access 12:14	allocates 33:22
	accommodates 34:1	allocation 4:7 9:17,19,21
3	account 18:23	10:3,7,8,10,18,22 11:12 12:16 13:21 14:3 18:3,
<b>3</b> 12:21	achieve 10:13	23,25 21:9 23:5,7,10,12
<b>30</b> 9:20	additional 12:19	27:4,24 28:4 33:24,25
	Additionally 22:3,24	34:7,11,12,24

amount 14:7 attorney 19:8 15,16,18,19,21,25 16:4,7 17:3 19:7,18,24 20:2,5, analysis 9:8 **audio** 7:16 17 24:2,9,11,13,18,22,25 analyst 29:25 30:1,21 availability 11:7 25:6.9 26:14 28:15,23,24 29:2,6,10,15,18 30:16 answers 30:12 avoided 27:20 31:11,18,20,24 32:2,6, anticipate 34:16 12,15 33:6 35:4,11,13, В 16.20 **apologize** 6:9 24:19 challenge 11:2 appendices 18:21 **based** 19:3 21:19 **change** 34:12 **Appendix** 18:21,23,24,25 **basis** 18:10 19:1 22:20 23:9 **Cheryl** 5:10 29:13,24 bedrock 10:21 **application** 4:5 8:1 14:15 **City** 7:23 16:14 25:17 beginning 22:5 16:16 17:13 20:14 **Clark** 15:19,20 19:16 **begun** 22:11 appreciates 23:20 24:7,9 28:24 29:1 31:18, behalf 25:23 32:9 **approach** 10:14 14:9 19 35:8,10 benefit 23:1 **Clean** 6:25 **approval** 4:6 9:6 17:13 **benefits** 9:24 22:8,25 **closer** 23:11 **approve** 14:15 19:4 blended 21:20 23:23 31:8 33:20 **coal** 11:1 16:22 17:24 **bound** 28:1 approved 10:4 21:14 18:1,4 **briefly** 17:15 coal-fired 11:6 approximately 9:10 business 7:21,22 16:11, coal-fueled 12:14 area 9:12 23:10 13 20:8,11 25:13,16 coalfield 13:18 **array** 9:11 32:20 collaboration 9:8 14:8 arrive 34:17 combined 13:20 **Artie** 5:6 25:15 26:2,12 C **commission** 4:4,10 9:6 asks 29:13 calculation 18:14,16,24 12:17 14:15 19:4 23:23 assets 10:23 12:8 13:2 California 11:6 28:8,14,24 31:7,10 assigned 9:25 18:9,11 33:13,20 34:21 call 7:6 25:4 32:11 21:14,25 22:5 Commissioner 15:16 calls 16:2 19:25 29:13 assignment 11:13 13:8, 19:16 24:7,11 28:21 16 27:17 **capacity** 30:1,21 31:15.18 35:8.11 **Association** 6:21 capital 13:18 commissioners 6:9 19:12,19 21:1 attached 11:10 **caused** 30:4,5 commitment 16:23 Attachment 12:21 **Chair** 4:3,15,18,24 5:4,7, 12,15,18,22 6:1,5,7,11, **common** 10:9,19,23 attempt 13:11 17,20,24 7:3,10,13 8:21 12:24 34:5,18,25 14:19 15:1,5,8,11,13,14,

Index: companydynamically
<b>describes</b> 9:22 18:20
detail 12:20 31:1
details 26:25
developed 27:25
developing 22:11
development 21:6 22:22 27:12,19
differences 17:18
differential 11:24 17:20
differing 34:1,14
diligence 14:4
direct 26:2,12 32:23
discuss 17:19 18:17
<b>discusses</b> 12:3 17:17,21 18:3,6
discussions 14:14
dispatch 22:8,11 23:3
distribution 9:24 12:7
diverge 12:24
divergence 10:20
diverging 11:4
<b>Division</b> 5:1 25:2,3,16,24 26:3,11 28:7 29:7,9
<b>docket</b> 4:5 17:25 25:23 30:3,6 32:23
documents 30:25
dollars 22:24
driving 34:15
durable 14:9
<b>dynamic</b> 10:8,22 18:10 27:3 33:24 34:6,11

company 10:6 21:8 22:11,23 23:6,20 28:2 company's 8:1,11,14 11:15 14:15 16:15 17:12 20:13 21:20 26:24 27:4 compensate 22:14 components 9:22 11:14 comprised 9:10 concludes 14:17 23:24 28:10 35:1 conclusion 19:3 conditional 13:3 conjunction 7:25 consistent 33:22 consumer 5:8,10 9:13 29:12,25 continuation 10:15 continue 10:17 12:5 18:12 21:25 22:17 27:9 34:3,16 continues 14:2 **contract** 19:2 21:13

contracts 13:17 21:15 **Control** 23:10 convened 14:3 **correct** 6:6,18 **correction** 8:8 16:20 corrections 8:6,16 16:18.24 26:5 33:1 cost 4:7 10:17 11:24 12:17 17:20 21:5,7 22:1,

25 23:7,10,12 27:20

cost-effectively 10:24

34:7,12,23

costs 9:24 12:5 18:4.12 21:11,17,25 22:4,13,16 23:2 27:4 34:19 couple 27:1 court 6:5 create 14:9 created 14:12 creates 13:24 cross 10:7 31:10 cross-examination 28:13 culmination 9:7

D date 14:8 21:16 day 34:10,17

**customers** 9:13 14:10

current 18:14

**curve** 21:21

34:4,18

**December** 11:17 21:13 27:6 decision 22:23 decisions 13:1 14:11 34:22 decommissioning 16:22 17:23 18:4 defined 11:16 18:22 definition 18:24 depreciable 18:25 depreciation 17:25 19:1 derived 21:20 **describe** 17:15 18:16

	<b>extends</b> 11:21 27:3	generating 34:5,18
E	F	generation 9:23 10:9,11,
earlier 11:18		20 13:8 18:14 33:24,25
<b>East</b> 25:17	facilities 11:25 21:12	give 7:14
<b>ECD</b> 12:2 17:20	34:5	<b>goal</b> 10:8,13
electric 34:14	factor 18:13,15,17	goals 14:11
elimination 11:23	<b>factors</b> 10:8,10,22 18:11, 23,25 34:15	<b>good</b> 4:3 5:18 6:10 9:5 21:1 32:9
<b>embedded</b> 11:24 17:20	fairly 22:14	governance 12:1 13:23
enable 14:13	February 26:3 30:5 32:24	granted 8:25 17:7 20:21
enabled 10:24	<b>FERC</b> 18:23	26:18 30:19 33:10
<b>end</b> 12:9	file 28:2 32:23	great 26:25
<b>energy</b> 6:21,25 21:17,19 22:15 34:1,14,16,22	<b>filed</b> 14:16 17:25 18:2 19:1,4,5 23:23 26:1 30:2,	<b>group</b> 9:10 13:25 14:2 23:16 27:8
environmental 9:14	4,5 35:24	groups 9:15
envision 34:10	filing 10:1 12:20	
equalization 11:23	<b>final</b> 13:5	Н
<b>Eric</b> 6:15	finishing 16:22	hard 14:4,8 23:19
<b>establishes</b> 11:12 12:23	fixed 13:7 18:10 33:24	<b>Hayes</b> 5:18,19 15:6,7
13:10,23	forgot 6:8	32:7,8,9,17 33:4,11 35:2, 16,18
event 8:10,13	formally 25:19	hear 4:25 19:19
<b>EXAMINATION</b> 7:19 16:8 20:6 25:11 29:20 32:16	forward 21:21	
exception 11:20	Fourth 13:10	hearing 4:5 8:24 17:6 19:11 20:20 24:6 26:17
exceptions 11:22	framework 11:18 13:13,	28:19 30:18,23 31:14
excuse 22:20 33:17	14,19,24 14:1,13 22:13	33:9 35:7,21,22,25
executed 21:13	23:15 27:9,10,13,18,23, 25	Holman 7:1 15:14,15
Exhibit 11:10	fundamental 10:6	Hunter 7:1
existing 21:15 22:4	fundamentally 34:12	
exit 8:10,14	future 13:19 34:23	
exiting 18:4		Idaho 32:22
exits 34:3	G	ideas 28:3
expected 23:15	general 11:25	identified 5:25 6:2 12:13

immediately 6:12 17:15 18:7,9 27:10,14, 16,19,21,25 16:4,7 17:3. 15,18,23,25 4 19:7,8,18,24 20:2,5,17 implementation 13:14 24:2,3,10,11,13,18,22,25 17:22 27:12 **items** 18:18 25:6.9 26:14 28:15.16.24 implemented 12:12,13 iteration 9:16 29:2,6,10,15,18 30:16 13:4,20 18:8 27:25 31:11,18,20,24 32:2,6, 12,15 33:6 35:4,11,13, J important 22:8 16.20 include 12:14 13:14 18:9 **January** 11:16 18:1 27:6 **limited** 13:17 27:11 Joelle 7:9,22 limiting 11:7 included 12:21 18:22 **Jordan** 19:14 22:20 23:8 **lives** 19:1 **JRS-1** 11:10 includes 21:15 locational 22:15 including 9:11,12 17:24 jump 19:9 20:18 24:4 **long** 23:18 26:15 23:1 27:11 long-standing 11:2 jurisdiction 34:13 Index 22:20 long-term 14:9 jurisdictions 27:5 34:4 industrial 9:14 longer 34:5,24 industry 34:15 **lot** 23:18 K integrated 10:25 11:3 **intend** 13:12 **Kelly** 5:20,23 32:11,13, М 14,19,21 33:12 35:2,5,9, intended 12:12 13:4 22:1 14,15 **made** 13:25 intentional 34:22 **key** 9:11 main 5:25 inter-jurisdictional 4:7 maintain 22:8 23:3 9:17,18 10:7 21:9 22:14 L 23:5,7 majority 9:18 12:4 interest 9:14 23:22 28:9 **Lacey** 6:15,19 15:8,10 **make** 8:6 13:1 16:18 31:4.6 34:21 **Lake** 7:23 16:14 25:17 **interim** 10:16 11:14,16 manager 25:15 Lastly 14:4 12:6,9,13 13:5,8,12 March 4:8 16:23 30:3 latest 9:16 17:22 18:8,11 21:11,16, marginal 22:15 24 22:16 27:6 **led** 30:22 **market** 21:20 investment 22:22 **left** 34:8 matter 8:1 16:16 20:14 involved 23:19 **letter** 24:21 matters 7:4 issue 14:3 22:3,13 23:15 **Levar** 4:3,15,18,24 5:4,7, 12,15,22 6:1,5,7,11,17, maximum 14:10 issues 10:18 11:18 20,24 7:3,10,13 8:21 12:12,13 13:4,6,11,13, Mcdougal 4:19,22 12:2 14:19,20 15:1,5,8,11,14, 14,15,19,20,21,24 14:2 16:3,4,6,10,12 17:9

19:13,22,23	20:18,20 26:15,17 30:17,	
Mcdougal's 17:1	18 33:7,9	0
means 12:5 meantime 34:20	<b>Mountain</b> 4:6,12 7:5,8 16:2 19:25 24:23	<b>objection</b> 20:19 26:16 30:17 33:8
meet 10:17	<b>move</b> 7:4 8:3,19 17:1 20:16 26:11 33:4	<b>objections</b> 8:24 17:6 20:20 26:17 30:18 33:9
members 4:9	moves 23:11 30:14	objects 8:22 17:3,4
Memo 22:19,21,25	moving 10:8	20:18 26:15 30:16 33:7
mention 27:1	multiple 9:14 34:15	obtaining 14:6
merger 34:8	multistate 9:9 23:21	occupation 29:22
method 13:22 27:14,24	Multnomah 20:11	offer 32:4
34:6 methodology 10:4 13:21	<b>Murray</b> 5:11,14 29:13,15, 17,24 31:9,13,16,22,23	<b>Office</b> 5:8,10 29:12,25 30:2,14,22 32:1,4
21:10 23:5,11,12	mute 7:15 14:24	official 21:21
methods 9:19,22 11:13 23:8 27:21 28:4		ongoing 14:14
<b>MFP</b> 9:9,10 13:24 14:2	N	operate 10:25
Michael 20:10	names 6:4,9	operation 13:1
Mike 19:25	Nancy 5:20,23 32:11,21	opinion 31:3,5
minor 10:15	necessitated 10:20	opportunity 21:2 34:21
miscellaneous 18:18	needed 34:2	opposition 35:24
mispronouncing 24:19	negotiation 23:19	optimization 22:9
model 13:16 21:6 22:2,	negotiations 30:22	orders 8:10,14
12,19,23 23:1 27:13,20	net 21:6 22:13,16 23:2	<b>Oregon</b> 11:6 20:12
modifications 10:16 27:5	nodal 13:15 21:6 22:2,	origin 21:15,18 22:1
34:8	12,19,22 23:1 27:13,19	outcome 28:7
modifies 23:9	North 7:23 16:13 32:21	outlines 22:25
moment 5:24	Northeast 20:11	outstanding 13:6,11
<b>Moore</b> 5:9 15:2,4 29:11, 12,21 30:14,20 31:9,24	Notably 21:23	overhead 18:17
32:1,4,6	note 30:9	overwhelming 14:6
morning 4:3 5:18 9:5 15:23 19:21 21:1 29:4	<b>Nucor</b> 6:13,16	Р
32:9 motion 8:22,25 17:4,6		Pacificorp 9:18,19 10:21, 24 34:4,17

Index: Pacificorp's..question

Pacificorp's 9:12,23	plant 18:2	pricing 13:15 21:6 22:2,
11:15 12:25 13:22 34:13	plants 17:25 18:4	12,19,22 23:1 27:13,20
paper 6:8	<b>play</b> 34:16	primary 23:1
paradigm 34:24	pleased 9:5	procedures 11:13 12:14
Pardon 33:18	Pocatello 32:22	proceed 33:16
part 22:2,3,18 27:17	point 14:5 27:22	process 9:9 11:5 12:15,
participants 4:11 9:8	pointed 27:12	18,20,23 13:10 23:18,21
participate 23:15 30:22	policies 10:3,21 11:1,5,	production 18:11
participated 25:23	13 34:1	pronounce 4:15
participation 23:20	policy 14:11	proposes 10:6
parties 9:11 10:16 12:19	portfolio 10:9,20,23	<b>protocol</b> 9:6,7,16,21 10:2,5,10,13,15 11:4,9,
13:12 19:20 21:8 22:18,	34:25	20,21,22 12:5,23 13:23
21 23:7,13,19 28:2,20 31:14 35:7	portfolios 10:12 22:7,10	14:1,7,12,16 17:13,18,
parties' 23:20	Portland 20:12	19,22 18:7,19,21,22 19:5 21:3,5 22:6,18 23:9,14,
party 20:18 24:3 28:16	post-interim 13:17,22	18,22 26:25 27:3,18
31:12 33:7 35:5,20	21:7,24 27:14,24 28:5	28:2,7,8 31:8 33:21,22 34:20 35:25
<b>party's</b> 19:8	<b>Powell</b> 5:4,6 25:4,6,8,15, 20 26:3,13,22 28:12	provide 9:2 17:9 20:23
past 9:21	29:3,5	•
path 22:6 34:23	power 4:6,12 16:2 19:25	providing 11:5 33:23
period 10:16 11:14,16	21:5,7 22:13,16 23:2 24:23	provisions 12:4,16 13:24
12:6,9,13 13:5,9,13,18,		prudent 22:23
22 17:23 18:8,11 21:7, 11,16,24 22:16 27:6,8,	Power's 7:5,8	prudently 13:1
14,24 28:5 34:9	practice 11:3	public 4:4,9 23:22 25:2,
<b>Ph.d.</b> 26:3,13	practices 10:3	16 28:9 29:7 31:4,6
Phillip 6:22	pre-filed 26:6,8	put 6:8 7:15
phone 5:1,5,17,21 7:15	preliminary 7:4	
14:23 28:17	prepare 26:1 30:2	
piece 6:8	prepared 30:4	<b>QF</b> 12:3,17 21:14,15,17, 21,24 22:4
<b>pipe</b> 5:20	present 9:5 21:2	·
<b>plan</b> 10:24	previously 23:17	qualifying 11:25 21:12
planning 11:3 13:16	price 21:17,19,20,21,22	quality 7:16
27:17	22:15	question 8:9 35:6

Index: questioning..shift

	maron 2 1, 2020	maon quodidinignom
questioning 35:3	relies 10:14	results 22:3 33:21
questions 14:18,22,24	remaining 10:17	retaining 12:4
15:2,4,6,7,9,15,17,18,20 19:6,9,11,12,15,17,18,19	remains 10:2 14:8	retains 10:2
24:1,4,6,7,9,12 28:13,17,	reminder 7:14	return 34:11
19,21,23,25 29:1 30:11	remove 11:1	review 22:24
31:10,12,14,15,17,19 35:5,8,10,12,13	renewed 21:16	reviewing 27:20
	repeat 32:3	risk-aware 34:22
R	replaced 8:12	Road 32:21
rates 10:1 11:2	reporter 5:24 6:3,5,6,10	Robert 5:9
reach 14:5	represent 19:3	Rocky 4:5,12 7:5,8 16:2
reached 11:18	representing 5:1,8,10,	19:25 24:23
read 8:13	16,19 6:16	rolled-in 33:23
reads 8:10	represents 10:5 14:7	Russell 6:22 15:12,13
	requirement 11:1	
realignment 13:17	requirements 12:20	<b>S</b>
reasonable 21:17,19 31:4,6 33:21 34:23	resolution 13:5,15,19	<b>Salt</b> 7:23 16:13 25:17
reassignment 12:15,18,	27:13,22 resolve 10:17 13:11,12	<b>Schmid</b> 4:25 5:2 14:18,
21	14:1,3	21,23,25 25:1,3,12 26:20 28:11 29:6,8
recently 10:4	resolved 13:6,20 18:7	seconds 8:23 17:5 19:10
recommend 14:14 23:22 31:7 33:15,20	27:10 28:1	section 17:17,21 18:5,6,
recommendation 8:11	resource 5:17,19 10:9,11 12:21 13:16 22:7,10 27:16,17 32:10 34:25	20 27:18
		separate 23:6
recommends 28:8	35:17	<b>serve</b> 34:3,18
record 25:14 29:23 32:20	resources 10:11 11:7,8	served 10:23 34:5,24
33:5	12:8,10,15,16,24 13:8,18 18:9 33:22 34:3,18	<b>service</b> 4:4,10 9:12,23 11:15 12:8
refer 17:23	responds 11:4	<b>Services</b> 5:8,10 29:13,25
referred 21:10 reflecting 14:10 reflects 10:14 11:10	responsibility 12:25 21:18	set 21:21 30:23
		setting 10:1
	result 13:21 23:18 31:4,7 34:6,12	settlement 30:23,25
regulated 9:23 11:15		31:3,5
regulatory 9:13,25	resulting 21:8	<b>shift</b> 10:6

Index: signatoriestruth
9:3 11:10 12:22 15:23 16:15,19,21 17:2,10,12, 16,17,21 18:3,6,20 19:4, 21 20:13,16,24 21:4 24:14 26:2,6,8,9,12 29:3 30:3,5,8,15 31:22 32:23 33:2,5 35:14,23
Thad 6:1 8:21 14:19 17:4 19:8 20:17 24:3 26:14 28:16
things 27:1,11
time 12:25 27:8 34:2,9
timeframe 13:10
title 25:13
titled 26:2
today 4:8 24:14 26:9,22 30:11 32:11 33:13 34:6 35:25
track 21:6 22:13 23:2

track 21.0 22.13 23.2 tracked 22:2 transfers 22:15 transition 10:14,19 14:13 18:12 33:23 34:14,16 transitioning 11:5 transmission 9:24 18:13,

treated 12:9

treatment 11:24 12:17 19:2 21:5,23

trigger 27:14

**truth** 7:11 16:5 20:3 25:7 29:16 32:13

signatories 23:14

signatory 13:25 signatures 23:14

significant 13:7 14:7

significantly 7:16

**simply** 11:20

single 21:20 23:3

situs 21:13,25 22:5

six-state 9:12 34:13

**Sophie** 5:19 32:9

**South** 25:17

**speak** 17:5

speaker 5:25

speaking 7:15

**special** 13:17 19:2

**specific** 12:7,18 17:15

**specifically** 11:9 17:19, 23 21:4

**speeds** 34:14

spell 24:21

**staff** 9:13

stakeholders 9:11 14:5

started 6:2

state 7:21 8:10,14 10:25 11:5 12:7 16:10,21 20:8 21:14,18 22:1,13 23:2 25:13 27:15,21 29:22 32:19 34:1,3

**state-specific** 10:11 22:7 33:25

**stated** 23:17 35:24

**states** 9:18,25 10:21,23 11:2,8,16,19 12:14,24 13:22 14:11 18:4,10

22:14 23:12 34:24

**Steel** 6:13,16

**Steve** 16:2

**Steven** 16:12

**Steward** 4:19,21 7:9,10, 12,22 14:22,24 15:3,23, 24 17:14 23:17 27:2

Steward's 8:19

Street 16:13 20:11

strip 16:22 18:1

**strong** 14:13

studies 19:1

study 16:22 17:24

subject 13:5 22:24

submit 7:25 16:15 20:13

summary 9:2 14:17 17:9 20:23 23:24 26:22,24 28:10 33:12 35:1

**support** 14:6,13

**supports** 17:12 28:7

**Swallow** 32:21

**swear** 7:10 16:4 20:2 25:6 29:15 32:13

**sworn** 29:14

**system** 11:3 12:9 18:13, 14,17 22:8,11 33:24

systems 23:3

Т

talking 32:3

**Temple** 7:23 16:13

term 33:22

terms 18:22

Index: UAE..years

week 18:2 U Wegener 4:12,14,17,18 7:6,8,20 8:3,5,19 9:1 **UAE** 6:22 16:2,9 17:1,8 19:6,24,25 ultimate 10:7 20:7,16,22 23:25 24:16, 17,20,21,22,23 unchanged 18:18 Weneger 15:25 undergoing 34:15 West 7:23 16:13 Understanding 22:19,21, 25 Western 5:16,19 23:10 32:10 35:17 undertaken 9:8 White 15:16,18 19:14 undertaking 34:13 24:11,12 28:21,23 31:15, **unique** 22:10 17 35:11,12 **up** 5:20 13:25 17:5 wide 9:11 update 18:1 **WIJAM** 21:10 23:4,9 updated 17:23,24 Wilding 4:20,23 12:3 20:1,2,4,10,23 24:4,8,15 **Users** 6:21 27:11 **Utah** 6:21,25 7:23 11:19 12:19 16:14 23:20 25:17 William 25:4 26:2,12 34:21 work 13:24 14:1,2,5,8 23:15,19 27:8,9 28:6 **Utilities** 25:2,16 29:7 **WRA** 33:4 utility 29:24 30:1,21 written 30:2 V Υ Verbatim 4:8 years 9:7,20 viable 34:8 view 34:11 **viewed** 30:25 W warrant 27:20 Washington 11:6,20 21:8,9 23:4,6,8,11,13 WCA 23:11