# **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH**

Docket No. 20-035-04

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

November 18, 2020

#### **ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS**

801-746-5080 | office@advancedrep.com | advancedrep.com

SALT LAKE | 159 West Broadway, Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

PROVO | 3507 North University Avenue, Suite 350-D | Provo, Utah 84604

ST. GEORGE | 20 North Main Street, Suite 301 | St. George, Utah 84770



### Public Hearing November 18, 2020

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
2	-000-
3	Application of Rocky Mountain ) Power for Authority to )
4	Increase its Retail Electric )
5	Utility Service Rates in Utah ) and for Approval of its )
6	Proposed Electric Service ) Schedules and Electric Service)
7	Regulations )
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	DOCKET 20-035-04
10	
11	PUBLIC HEARING PROCEEDINGS
12	TAKEN VIA GOOGLE MEET
13	THROUGH ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS
14	
15	Taken on Wednesday, November 18, 2020
16	9:01 a.m. to 9:39 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Advanced Reporting Solutions 159 West Broadway
23	Suite 100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
24	Date Hake City, Otali 04101
25	Reported by: Kimberly A. Harmon, RPR, CSR

1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSION PANEL:
3	Commissioner Thad LeVar, Chair
4	Commissioner David R. Clark Commissioner Ron Allen
5	
6	FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:
7	Emily Wegener emily.wegener@pacificorp.com Ajay K. Kumar ajay.kumar@pacificorp.com
8	FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
9	Justin Jetter jetter@agutah.gov Patricia Schmid pschmid@agutah.gov
10	FOR THE OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:
11	Steven Snarr ssnarr@agutah.gov
12	Robert Moore rmoore@agutah.gov
13	FOR THE UTAH ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY USERS; UNIVERSITY OF UTAH; AND US MAGNESIUM, LLC:
14	Phillip Russell prussell@hjdlaw.com
15	FOR UTAH CLEAN ENERGY:
16	Hunter Holman hunter@utahcleanenergy.org
17	FOR WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES:
18	Sophie Hayes sophie.hayes@westernresources.org
19	FOR WALMART, INC.:
20	Vicki M. Baldwin vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com
21	FOR STADION, LLC:
22	Irion Sanger irion@sanger-law.com
23	FOR THE KROGER COMPANY:
24	Kurt Boehm kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
25	

1	INDEX	
2	-000-	
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	AARON KRESSIG Direct Examination By Ms. Hayes	6
5	Direct Examination by Ms. nayes	O
6	DR. DOUGLAS HOWE Direct Examination By Ms. Hayes	14
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Hayes	11
8	RICHARD BAUDINO Direct Examination By Mr. Boehm	21
9	Cross-Examination By Mr. Snarr	28
10	STEVE CHRISS	
11	Direct Examination By Ms. Baldwin	34
12		
13	TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS ADMITTED	
14	-000-	
15	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
16	Aaron Kressig direct testimony with Exhibits AJK-1 and AJK-2	8
17	Dr. Douglas Howe direct, rebuttal, and	16
18	surrebuttal testimony with Exhibits DJH-1 and DJH-2	
19	Richard Baudino direct, rebuttal, and	22
20	surrebuttal testimony with exhibits	
21	Steve Chriss direct testimony and associated exhibits	35
22		
23		
24		
25		

### 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 -000-3 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. We'll be on 4 the record. It is Wednesday, November 18th, 2020, and 5 we're here for the second day of the Cost of Service 6 Phase 2 hearing in Utah Public Service Commission 7 Docket 20-35-04, Rocky Mountain Power's general rate 8 9 case. 10 I'm Thad LeVar, and Commissioners Ron Allen 11 and David Clark are also here. 12 Before we move on to our next witness, I'll 13 just mention that we received a request for 14 clarification regarding our notice on closing 15 statements and -- or legal briefing and closing 16 There was, of course, a typographical arguments. 17 error in our notice. We did not intend to require 18 witnesses to be present at the closing arguments. 19 And we will issue an errata this week, although we do 20 appreciate Rocky Mountain Power educating us on how 21 closing statements work. 22 And with that, I'll go to Ms. Hayes, if 23 you'd like to call your first witness. 24 MS. HAYES: Thank you. Quickly, before I do

that, could I follow up with another question about

25

1	what you just clarified?
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Certainly.
3	MS. HAYES: Is it your intention that all
4	parties provide closing statements or just parties
5	that are filing briefs?
6	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: The intention for both
7	was to allow but not mandate
8	MS. HAYES: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: for both briefs and
10	closing statements. If that was unclear in our
11	notice, we will also clarify that in our errata. But
12	both were intended to be optional.
13	MS. HAYES: Okay.
14	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Although, I think I
15	think we probably would only allow closing statements
16	if someone also filed a brief. I don't know that
17	I don't know that we were intending to allow closing
18	statements without a brief. If anyone feels
19	differently, please let us know by the conclusion of
20	today's hearing so we can be sure to address that in
21	our errata clarification.
22	And with that, you can go ahead with your
23	first witness.
24	MS. HAYES: Thank you. Thank you for
25	clarifying that, and thank you for accommodating

1 Western Resource Advocate's scheduling -- pardon 2 me -- constraints. 3 I would like to call Mr. Aaron Kressig to be 4 sworn. 5 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning, Mr. Kressig. Are you with us? 6 MR. KRESSIG: Good morning, Commissioner. 7 Yes, I am. 8 9 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Okav. Do 10 you swear to tell the truth? 11 MR. KRESSIG: Yes, I do. 12 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Go 13 ahead, Ms. Hayes. 14 MS. HAYES: Thank you. 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. HAYES: Good morning, Mr. Kressig. Just a note up 18 19 front, if you can really try and speak into your 20 microphone. I know that, you know, concentrating on 21 that amidst everything else is difficult, but I'll 22 let you know if we have trouble hearing you. 23 Α. Yes. Thank you. 24 0. Thank you. Would you please state your name and business address for the record. 25

1 My name is Aaron Kressig, spelled Α. Yes. 2 A-A-R-O-N, Kressig, K-R-E-S-S-I-G, and my business 3 address is 2260 Baseline Road, Boulder, Colorado 4 80302. Thank you. What is your position with 5 0. Western Resource Advocates? 6 I am the transportation electrification 7 Α. manager working on electric vehicle policy across our 8 9 six states. 10 Did you prepare and file direct testimony 0. 11 along with two exhibits marked as AJK-1 and AJK-2 and 12 a work paper on September 15th, 2020? 13 Α. Yes, I did. Did you prepare and file surrebuttal 14 0. testimony on November 6th, 2020? 15 16 Α. Yes, I did. 17 Do you have any corrections to make to your Q. testimony? 18 19 No, I do not. Α. 20 If I asked you the same questions today as 0. 21 were set forth in your direct and surrebuttal 22 testimony, would your answers be the same? 23 Α. Yes, they would. 24 0. Thank you. I would now move the admission 25 MS. HAYES:

1 of Aaron Kressig's prefiled testimony and exhibits. 2 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to 3 that motion, please indicate your objection. 4 I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion 5 is granted. Thank you. 6 (Testimony and exhibits admitted.) 7 BY MS. HAYES: Have you prepared a summary of your 8 0. 9 testimony for the Commission? 10 Α. Yes, I have. 11 Q. Please proceed. 12 Α. Thank you. 13 In my direct testimony, I describe the 14 environmental and economic benefits which can result 15 from widespread electric vehicle adoption and 16 highlight the demonstrative interests of the Utah 17 legislature in supporting the robust rollout of 18 public charging stations to support EV adoption. 19 My direct testimony highlights the 20 importance of commercial EV rate design in supporting the proliferation of public and fleet charging 21 22 stations. The challenge is traditional commercial 23 rate design composed of these customers and the 24 importance of low-load-factor charging stations in 25 supporting higher levels of EV adoption.

With these issues in mind, I looked at the impact of the current and proposed optional Schedule 6A rates in the context of their suitability for electric -- commercial electric vehicle charging stations. I recommend the Commission approve the redesigned 6A, but also keep the existing 6A option open for customers.

I also discussed the benefits and best practices of dedicated commercial electric vehicle rates and recommend the Commission require PacifiCorp to bring forth a specific commercial EV rate design by no later than January 1st, 2023.

In my surrebuttal testimony, I maintain my recommendation to keep both 6A rate options open, but, in recognition of potential revenue risks highlighted by the Company in the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Robert Meredith, I suggest that the new 6A could be implemented as a pilot while the Company gathers data about customer response to this new rate design.

Ultimately, however, my core recommendation in this proceeding is for the Commission to create -- I'm sorry -- for the Company to create a dedicated commercial EV charging rate specific for electric charging stations. Rates specifically dedicated to

electric vehicle charging service have been demonstrated across the country to reduce barriers to electric vehicle adoption while still being cost-based and ensuring that these users are being charged for the price of energy that they are consuming.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While allowing for the proposed 6A and the existing 6A to exist together would provide optionality in the short term and offer some cost savings compared to Schedule 6, neither of these rate designs shifts sufficiently away from demand charges or meet the best practices of commercial EV rate design, which I lay out in my direct testimony. leads to rates which penalize low-usage customers while not necessarily ensuring that the flexibility inherent in this technology is being utilized to ensure that load is managed to avoid Company system peaks or periods of system stress. Therefore, I maintain my recommendation that the Company develops an EV charging specific rate by January 1st, 2023. And I believe that the rate design working group proposed by Mr. Robert Meredith, in his rebuttal testimony, would be an excellent form for facilitating this effort.

Thank you.

1	MS. HAYES: Thank you.
2	Mr. Kressig is now available for
3	cross-examination.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Ms. Hayes.
5	I think I'll start with Mr. Sanger.
6	Do you have any questions for Mr. Kressig?
7	MR. SANGER: No, Your Honor, I do not.
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
9	Mr. Boehm, do you have any questions?
10	MR. BOEHM: No questions, Your Honor. Thank
11	you.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
13	Ms. Baldwin?
14	MS. BALDWIN: No questions. Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
16	Mr. Russell, do you have any questions?
17	MR. RUSSELL: No questions. Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
19	Mr. Holman?
20	MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Thank you,
21	Chair.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Snarr?
23	MR. SNARR: No questions on behalf of the
24	Office. Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.

1	
1	Mr. Jetter?
2	MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division.
3	Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
5	Ms. Wegener or Mr. Kumar?
6	MS. WEGENER: No questions from the Company.
7	Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I missed the beginning,
9	but I assume that was a "no questions"; right?
10	MS. WEGENER: Yes, no questions.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
12	Commissioner Allen, do you have any
13	questions for Mr. Kressig?
14	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I think you were
15	talking to me. You were breaking up a little bit.
16	But I have no questions. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
18	We are having a little bit of interference.
19	Hopefully that will improve in a moment. I'll ask
20	anyone who is not participating to mute yourself.
21	Commissioner Clark, do you have any
22	questions for Mr. Kressig?
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank
24	you, Chair LeVar.
25	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.

1	And I don't have any questions either, so
2	thank you for your testimony this morning,
3	Mr. Kressig.
4	MR. KRESSIG: Thank you for having me.
5	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Ms. Hayes, we had
6	talked about going to Kroger's witness next, but I
7	think with our with the schedule we're working on,
8	I think we'll go ahead and go to your next witness at
9	this point.
10	MS. HAYES: Wonderful. And then may I also
11	request that Mr. Kressig be excused so that he may
12	testify in Colorado under their revised schedule?
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone participating
14	in this hearing has any objection to that, please
15	indicate your objection.
16	I'm not seeing anyone who might have
17	follow-up questions for him, so thank you for your
18	testimony this morning.
19	MR. KRESSIG: Thank you.
20	MS. HAYES: WRA's next witness is
21	Dr. Doug Howe.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning, Dr. Howe.
23	Are you with us?
24	DR. HOWE: Good morning. Yes, I am.
25	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Do you

1	swear to tell the truth?
2	DR. HOWE: I do.
3	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
4	Go ahead, Ms. Hayes.
5	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. HAYES:
8	Q. Dr. Howe, would you please state your name
9	and business address for the record.
10	A. Douglas Howe, D-O-U-G-L-A-S, H-O-W-E. And
11	my address is 624 East Alameda Street, Unit 16,
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico.
13	Q. And on whose behalf are you participating in
14	this proceeding?
15	A. For Western Resource associates.
16	Q. Advocates?
17	Did you prepare and file direct testimony
18	along with one exhibit marked as DJH-1 and work
19	papers on September 15th, 2020?
20	A. Yes. Yes, I did.
21	Q. And was there another exhibit to your direct
22	testimony, DJH-2, that was filed with the Commission
23	on November 12th, which the Commission then accepted
24	for prefiling yesterday?
25	A. Yes, there was.

1 Did you prepare and file surrebuttal [sic] Q. 2 testimony on October 16th, 2020? 3 Α. Yes, I did. 4 Did you prepare and file surrebuttal 0. 5 testimony, including one exhibit, on November 6th, 6 2020? Yes, I did. 7 Α. Do you have any corrections to your 8 0. testimony? 9 10 Α. I have a typographical correction to Yes. 11 the rebuttal testimony. The heading block in the 12 upper right of each page indicates that it is the 13 direct testimony when it should indicate that it is 14 the rebuttal testimony. 15 0. Thank you. So with that correction, if I 16 asked you the same questions today, would your 17 answers be the same? 18 Yes, they would. Α. 19 I would now -- pardon me -- move MS. HAYES: 20 the admission of Dr. Howe's exhibits -- testimony and exhibits. 21 22 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. If anyone 23 objects to that motion, please indicate your 24 objection. 25 I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion

1 is granted. 2 (Testimony and exhibits admitted.) 3 BY MS. HAYES: 4 Dr. Howe, have you prepared a summary of 0. your testimony to provide the Commission today? 5 6 Α. Yes, I have. Go ahead. 7 0. Good morning, Chairman LeVar, 8 Α. 9 Commissioner Allen, and Commissioner Clark. 10 In my direct testimony, I supported the 11 Company's proposal to eliminate the third tier of the 12 inclining block rate as a step in the direction 13 toward deploying time-of-use rates. My primary 14 recommendation was that the Commission required the 15 Company to develop and propose a time-of-use rate as the default rate for residential customers and 16 17 establish a flat seasonal rate as an opt-in rate at 18 the time of its next general rate case. 19 However, in recognition of the fact that the 20 AMI project and the customer service system may not 21 be able to accommodate time-of-use rates by the time 22 of the next rate case, I recommend that the Company 23 have a plan in place by the next rate case for 24 implementing a default time-of-use rate for 25 residential customers.

In my direct testimony, I also outlined considerations and best practices for designing time-of-use rates.

In my rebuttal testimony, I generally supported the goals of the Company's Utah AMI project, but agreed with Mr. Nelson of the Office that they have not yet shown a cost benefit analysis favorable to customers. I recommended that the Company expand its consideration of benefits associated with the project by, for example, quantifying the benefits of advanced rate designs. I supported OCS's recommendation to create an advanced rate design road map.

And in my surrebuttal testimony, I supported the Company's recommendation to create a rate design working group to accomplish the objectives of OCS's proposed advanced metering rate design road map. I recommended that the Commission include additional specific topics into the mission and purview of that working group, including developing a timeline and implementation strategy, developing advanced rates and programs, considerations for low-income participation, developing open-data access standards, and integrating AMI advancements into distribution planning processes.

1	That concludes my summary.
2	MS. HAYES: Thank you, Dr. Howe.
3	Dr. Howe is now available for
4	cross-examination.
5	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Ms. Hayes.
6	Mr. Sanger, do you have any questions for
7	Dr. Howe?
8	MR. SANGER: I do not. Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
10	Mr. Boehm, do you have any questions?
11	MR. BOEHM: No questions. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Ms. Baldwin?
13	MS. BALDWIN: No questions. Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Ms. Baldwin.
15	Mr. Russell, do you have any questions?
16	MR. RUSSELL: No questions. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
18	Mr. Holman?
19	MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Snarr?
21	MR. SNARR: No questions on behalf of the
22	Office. Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
24	Mr. Jetter?
25	MR. JETTER: And also no questions from the

1	Division. Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
3	Ms. Wegener or Mr. Kumar?
4	I'm sorry. We didn't hear what you said.
5	MS. WEGENER: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. We're have we
7	are having an audio problem, but I'm assuming that
8	your answer was "No questions." Please let me know
9	if I'm wrong about that.
10	MS. WEGENER: Yes, my answer was "No
11	questions." Can you hear it better when it's from
12	the phone instead of my AirPods?
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Much better. Yeah,
14	there was a delay
15	MS. WEGENER: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: and we were just
17	catching the last part of your statement, not the
18	beginning. So
19	MS. WEGENER: Perfect. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: But this is much
21	better. Thank you.
22	Okay. Commissioner Allen, do you have any
23	questions for Dr. Howe?
24	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you. No
25	questions.

1	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
2	Commissioner Clark?
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have no questions.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I don't either, so
5	thank you for your testimony this morning, Dr. Howe.
6	DR. HOWE: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Ms. Hayes, anything
8	further from Western Resource Advocates?
9	MS. HAYES: Nothing further from Western
10	Resource Advocates. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. We'll go to
12	Mr. Boehm next, then.
13	And you are muted right now, Mr. Boehm.
14	MR. BOEHM: Thank you. Sorry about that.
15	And thank you for accommodating our scheduling issue.
16	Kroger calls Richard Baudino to the stand.
17	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning,
18	Mr. Baudino. Can you hear me?
19	MR. BAUDINO: Yes, I can. Thank you. I
20	hope you can all hear me.
21	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Yes, we're hearing you
22	great. Do you swear to tell the truth?
23	MR. BAUDINO: Yes, I do.
24	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
25	Go ahead, Mr. Boehm.

1 MR. BOEHM: Thank you. 2 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. BOEHM: Good morning, Mr. Baudino. 5 0. Good morning. 6 Α. Can you please state your name and business 7 Q. address for the record. 8 9 My name is Richard A. Baudino, and my Α. 10 business address is Baudino Regulatory Consulting, 11 Inc., 1347 Frye Road, Westfield, North Carolina. 12 Did you submit testimony on behalf of the 0. 13 Kroger Company, direct testimony and exhibits, on 14 September 15th, 2020? 15 T did. Α. 16 MR. BOEHM: And I would just note for the 17 record that Kroger submitted a corrected version of 18 this testimony yesterday in which the only change 19 that we made was to add a page -- or a line numbering 20 to Mr. Baudino's direct testimony, as we overlooked 21 doing that in the original filing. 22 BY MR. BOEHM: 23 Mr. Baudino, did you also submit rebuttal 0. 24 testimony on October 16th, 2020? Yes, I did. 25 Α. Yes. Excuse me.

1	Q. And did you submit surrebuttal testimony on
2	November 6th, 2020?
3	A. Yes, I did.
4	Q. Do you have any corrections to any of the
5	testimony that you filed?
6	A. I do not.
7	Q. And if I asked you the same questions today,
8	would your answers be the same?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. BOEHM: Kroger moves for the admission
11	of Mr. Baudino's prefiled testimony and exhibits.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
13	If anyone objects to that motion, please
14	state your objection.
15	I'm not seeing or hearing any objection, so
16	the motion is granted.
17	(Testimony and exhibits admitted.)
18	BY MR. BOEHM:
19	Q. Mr. Baudino, have you prepared a summary of
20	your testimony?
21	A. Yes, I have.
22	Q. Please proceed.
23	A. Okay. Thank you. And thanks, everyone.
24	I'm here today testifying on behalf of the
25	Kroger Company. And Kroger is one of the largest

grocery retailers in the United States and operates 42 grocery stores in Rocky Mountain Power's service territory under the Smith's banner. Kroger also operates dairy and dough manufacturing facilities in Utah. All together, these facilities purchase more than 146 million kilowatt hours of electricity from Rocky Mountain Power annually, and the retail facilities primarily purchasing under Rate 6 -- Rate Schedule 6, and the manufacturing facilities under Rate Schedule 9.

And the purpose of my testimony in this case is to present revenue allocation and Schedule 6 rate design recommendations to the Commission. And in so doing, as part of that, I evaluated the file testimony of Mr. Robert Meredith, witness for the Company.

To summarize, my recommendations, in general, are as follows: I recommend the Commission move to address the long-standing problem of subsidies being paid by Schedule 6 customers. The subsidy being paid by Schedule 6 customers is over \$38 million a year at the Company's present rates. In the interest of gradualism, however, and reducing the impact on the residential customers, Kroger does not oppose the Company's proposed revenue allocation

in this case even though the Schedule 6 composite customers -- and these are the customers who are going to be remaining on Schedule 6 after the 6A rate redesign -- those customers will be receiving a higher than average increase under the Company's original proposal, although that's been modified somewhat in its rebuttal testimony.

So in condition -- in connection with this recommendation, I recommend the Commission utilize any authorized reductions in the Company's overall revenue increase request to address the subsidy problem for Schedule 6 composite customers. And this could be accomplished by reducing the Schedule 6 composite percentage revenue increase below the lower authorized overall percentage revenue increase that the Commission may authorize in this case.

I presented an example of how this could work, in my direct testimony, with the Commission applying a 50 percent reduction in its overall allowed percentage base revenue increase to Schedule 6 composite customers remaining on Schedule 6. So, for example, if the Commission allowed an overall increase of 2.4 percent to Rocky Mountain Power in this case, these Schedule 6 customers remaining on Schedule 6 would receive an

increase of 1.2 percent.

Now, in terms of rate design, any revenue increase allocated to Schedule 6 composite customers should be assigned to the demand and other fixed charges, the customer charge. The energy charge revenue or the rates should not be increased. And I do agree with the Company's proposed differential for the energy charges, the summer/winter energy charges of 1.13. I do agree with that, but I do recommend the current differential between summer and winter demand charges remains the same.

Also, in my direct testimony, I recommend the Commission require Rocky Mountain to file a multisite commercial rate for eligible Schedule 6 customers in its next rate case. This rate would be applicable to Schedule 6 customers who can aggregate the loads of multiple facilities for purposes of receiving a lower cost base fixed generation charge. I show and discussed in my testimony that such a rate has been approved in Arizona, Michigan, and Washington.

I further recommend that a collaborative be implemented between Rocky Mountain Power and its Schedule 6 customers. I believe that in his testimony, Mr. Meredith also recommended that a

collaborative be -- collaborative working group be 1 implemented after this case, and I would support 3 that.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also submitted in my rebuttal testimony in this proceeding testimony that was responsive to the recommendations of other parties regarding cost of service allocation methodologies. For purposes of this case, however, I've accepted the Company's class cost of service study as a quide to cost and revenue allocation.

And in my surrebuttal testimony, I responded to Mr. Meredith's rebuttal testimony regarding the revenue allocation to Schedule 6 customers and, in particular, to Schedule 6 composite customers who remain on Schedule 6.

I note that Mr. Meredith's revised revenue allocation, while more favorable to Schedule 6, still did not adequately address the impacts on Schedule 6 composite customers. And I offered an alternative revenue allocation method for the Commission to consider, which would make greater progress towards eliminating these significant subsidies being paid by Schedule 6.

And that concludes my summary.

MR. BOEHM: Thank you, Mr. Baudino.

1	Mr. Baudino is available for
2	cross-examination.
3	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Boehm.
4	I'll go to Ms. Baldwin first.
5	Do you have any questions for Mr. Baudino?
6	MS. BALDWIN: No. Walmart has no questions.
7	Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. I'll go to
9	Mr. Russell.
10	Mr. Russell, do you have any questions?
11	MR. RUSSELL: No questions. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
13	Mr. Sanger, any questions?
14	MR. SANGER: No questions. Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
16	Mr. Holman?
17	MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Ms. Hayes, do you have
19	any questions for this witness?
20	MS. HAYES: I do not. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
22	Mr. Snarr?
23	MR. SNARR: Yes, just a few questions, if I
24	might.
25	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Go ahead.

November 18, 2020 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. SNARR: 3 0. Good morning, Mr. Baudino. 4 Α. Good morning. I have just a few questions. 5 0. You did indicate that you undertook a review of the cost of 6 service study that the Company had submitted; is that 7 8 correct? 9 Α. Yes, that's correct. 10 And you indicated that for purposes of your 0. 11 testimony, you were relying upon that study; is that 12 right? 13 Α. That's right. 14 You understand some questions have been 0. raised about that study by other parties in this 15 proceeding? 16 To what extent did you look at some of 17 the issues underlying the cost of service study? 18 Well, I did review the Company's cost of 19 service study in detail as it was filed in 20 Mr. Meredith's direct testimony, and it seemed to 21 follow the, you know, general procedures the Company

costs. After really looking at that study, I felt it

was -- even though I don't endorse it, necessarily, I

has followed in the past with respect to --

especially with respect to allocating generation

22

23

24

25

- 1 think it was close enough to pass Commission practice 2 and pass Company practice that I was willing to 3 accept it. 4 And I also did review some of the other comments that -- that the other witnesses for the 5 other parties made. And I also looked at 6 Mr. Meredith's rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony 7 where he discussed those as well. And I think for 8 9 purposes of this case, I still would accept the 10 Company's -- or not challenge the Company's cost of 11 service method. 12 All right. Just a couple of follow-on 0. 13 questions there. 14 Could you confirm that functionalization, including subfunctionalization, is traditionally 15 16 carried out as the first step of a cost of service 17 study? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And that following that, classification is 0. 20 traditionally the second step? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And allocation, the third step? 0.

  - Α. That's right.

23

24

- 0. All right. You also looked at
- 25 Mr. Meredith's testimony. Do you recall him making a

1 statement that he was making one change to the 2 Company's cost of service study, that being 3 unbundling? 4 Α. Yes, I recall that. And did you review his testimony to 5 0. determine to what extent that effort of unbundling 6 had altered the cost of service study? 7 I didn't see that it significantly altered 8 Α. It was more just sort of functional 9 the results. 10 unbundling and moving towards more unbundling for 11 Anyway, that's how I understood it. rate purposes. 12 All right. Let me move to one other thing. 0. 13 You've indicated in your testimony, 14 particularly page 7, that you say that Schedule 6 is paying the largest dollar subsidy compared to any 15 16 other class; is that correct? Which page is this? 17 Α. 18 Page 7, I believe, in your table. 0. 19 Well, yes, that's true. Α. 20 And at page 11 of your direct testimony, you 0. 21 proposed Schedule 6 customers should pay a lower 22 percentage increase than other classes; right? 23

Α. That's right.

24

25

0. And that would be even lower than Schedule 23 and lighting, who've shown better results

1 in covering their costs than previously assigned to 2 those classes; is that right? 3 Α. Well, now, could you sort of show me where 4 that is? 5 0. I believe it's on page 11. Let me just rephrase the question. 6 Would you accept, subject to check, that 7 Schedule 23 and lighting have shown better results in 8 9 covering the costs that have previously been assigned 10 to those classes? 11 Α. Well, I -- I'm not sure I agree with that. 12 I'd like you to point me towards the numbers there 13 that you're referring to because I -- I do have --14 excuse me -- I do have work papers here, and I can refer to the numbers. 15 16 Let me look at that. Just a minute, please. 0. I'd like to thank the Commission's 17 18 indulgence for just a minute, please. 19 Let me just refer you back to your Table 1 20 on page 7. 21 Α. Okay. 22 Looking at the classes of street and aerial 0. lighting on that table, and -- and also -- well, the 23 24 outdoor lighting, would you agree that those

particular schedules are covering a lot of the

25

1	costs are being subsidized to a great extent?
2	A. Well, now, you're talking about street and
3	aerial lighting. This would be Schedules 7, 11, and
4	12?
5	Q. Yes.
6	A. Well, they're an even higher certainly
7	their relative rate of return is even higher under
8	the Company's study than Schedule 6 is. So, you
9	know, they're paying significant subsidies as well.
10	Q. All right. I just wanted to let you look at
11	that and opine on those schedules with us.
12	MR. SNARR: I have no other questions.
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Snarr.
14	Mr. Jetter, do you have any questions for
15	Mr. Baudino?
16	MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division.
17	Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
19	Ms. Wegener or Mr. Kumar?
20	MR. KUMAR: No questions, Your Honor. Thank
21	you.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
23	Mr. Boehm, do you have any redirect?
24	MR. BOEHM: No redirect, Your Honor. Thank
25	you.

	<u>-</u>
1	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
2	Commissioner Clark, do you have any
3	questions for Mr. Baudino?
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank
5	you.
6	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Allen, do
7	you?
8	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Also no questions.
9	Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
11	And I don't have anything else, so thank you
12	for your testimony this morning.
13	MR. BAUDINO: You're welcome. Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Boehm, anything
15	else from Kroger?
16	MR. BOEHM: No. That concludes our
17	witnesses witness.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. I'll
19	go to Ms. Baldwin, then.
20	MS. BALDWIN: Thank you. Walmart calls
21	Mr. Steve Chriss and asks that he be sworn.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
23	Good morning, Mr. Chriss. Can you hear us?
24	MR. CHRISS: I can.
25	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Do you

1	swear to tell the truth?
2	MR. CHRISS: I do.
3	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
4	Go ahead, Ms. Baldwin.
5	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. BALDWIN:
8	Q. Mr. Chriss, could you please state your name
9	and spell your last name for the record.
10	A. My name is Steve W. Chriss, C-H-R-I-S-S.
11	Q. And what is your position?
12	A. I am director, energy services, for
13	Walmart, Inc.
14	Q. And Mr. Chriss, did you file direct
15	testimony and associated exhibits in this cost of
16	service phase of this docket on September 15th, 2020?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Do you have any corrections to your
19	testimony?
20	A. No.
21	Q. If I were to ask you the same questions
22	contained within your testimony, today, would your
23	answers be the same?
24	A. Yes.
25	MS. BALDWIN: I would like to move at this

1 time to have Mr. Chriss' prefiled direct testimony 2 and associated exhibits admitted to the record. 3 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 4 If anyone objects to that motion, please 5 state your objection. 6 I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion is granted. 7 (Testimony and exhibits admitted.) 8 9 MS. BALDWIN: Thank you. 10 BY MS. BALDWIN: 11 Mr. Chriss, do you have a summary of your Q. 12 testimony that you are ready to present? I do. 13 Α. 14 Please proceed. 0. Good morning, Chairman LeVar and 15 Α. 16 Commissioners. My name is Steve Chriss, and I'm 17 director, energy services, for Walmart, Inc. Walmart makes the following recommendations 18 19 for the cost of service phase of this docket: 20 First, Walmart does not take a position on 21 the Company's proposed cost of service study model at 22 However, to the extent that alternative this time. 23 cost of service methodologies or modifications to the 24 Company's methodology are proposed by other parties, 25 Walmart reserves the right to address any such

changes in accordance with the Commission's procedures in this docket.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second, for the purposes of this docket, at the Company's proposed revenue requirement, Walmart does not oppose the Company's proposed revenue allocation methodology.

Third, if the Commission determines that the appropriate level of revenue requirement is lower than that proposed by the Company, the Commission should take larger steps to address the significant interclass subsidies in Rocky Mountain Power's rates. Specifically, Walmart recommends that the Commission should, A, start with the revenue allocation proposed by the Company at its proposed revenue requirement increase; B, allocate on a revenue basis 50 percent of the reduction in revenue requirement from the Company's proposed revenue increase of 95.7 million to Schedules 6, 8, 23, and 15, traffic and other signal systems. Those schedules are currently paying a subsidy per the Company's cost of service study results, subject to a limit such that no class becomes subsidized as a result. And, finally, allocate the remaining 50 percent of the reduction on an equal percentage basis to all classes.

Finally, our fourth recommendation is that

1	Walmart supports the Company's proposal to			
2	functionally unbundle rates.			
3	This concludes my summary.			
4	MS. BALDWIN: Thank you.			
5	Mr. Chriss is available for			
6	cross-examination.			
7	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. I'll go to			
8	Mr. Boehm first.			
9	Do you have any questions for Mr. Chriss?			
10	MR. BOEHM: No questions, Your Honor. Thank			
11	you.			
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.			
13	Mr. Russell, do you have any questions for			
14	Mr. Chriss?			
15	MR. RUSSELL: Sorry. I do not. Thank you.			
16	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.			
17	Mr. Sanger, do you have any questions for			
18	this witness?			
19	MR. SANGER: I do not have any questions.			
20	Thanks.			
21	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.			
22	Ms. Hayes, do you have any questions for			
23	Mr. Chriss?			
24	MS. HAYES: I do not. Thank you.			
25	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.			

1	Mr. Holman, do you have any questions for
2	this witness?
3	MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Thanks.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Snarr?
5	MR. SNARR: No questions from the Office.
6	Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
8	Mr. Jetter?
9	MR. JETTER: And no questions from the
10	Division. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
12	Ms. Wegener or Mr. Kumar?
13	MR. KUMAR: No questions, Your Honor. Thank
14	you.
15	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
16	Commissioner Allen, do you have any
17	questions for Mr. Chriss?
18	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No questions. Thank
19	you.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Also no questions.
22	Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
24	I do not have any either, so thank you for
25	your testimony this morning, Mr. Chriss.

1	MR. CHRISS: Thank you.				
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Ms. Baldwin, anything				
3	further from Walmart?				
4	MS. BALDWIN: No. That concludes Walmart's				
5	case.				
6	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Anything further from				
7	anyone?				
8	Well, with that, I'll just repeat that we				
9	intend to issue an errata notice with respect to				
10	legal briefing and closing arguments, as we discussed				
11	this morning. And as Ms. Hayes requested, we'll				
12	clarify that neither are required. Both legal briefs				
13	and closing statements are being allowed, but not				
14	required of any party because I recognize we have a				
15	lot of parties in this docket.				
16	I think we will, though, allow closing				
17	arguments only from those who file legal briefs. And				
18	we'll clarify that point. If someone feels				
19	differently about that, please file something with us				
20	to that effect. Otherwise, we'll get that				
21	clarification out this week, and then we will start				
22	doing our part of this docket.				
23	Thank you. We are adjourned.				
24	(Proceedings were adjourned at				
25	9:39 a.m.)				

REPORTER'S	CERTIFICATE

STATE OF UTAH
COUNTY OF UTAH

I, KIMBERLY A. HARMON, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that the witnesses were placed under oath to tell the truth; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter my notes were transcribed through computer-aided transcription; and the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true, and accurate record of such testimony adduced and oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor do I have a financial interest in the action.

I have subscribed my name on this 18th day of November, 2020.

Kimberly A. Harmon, RPR, CSR

Index: \$38..agree

	November 10, 2020	index. ψ30agi
	<b>2260</b> 7:3	
\$	<b>23</b> 30:25 31:8 36:18	A
<b>\$38</b> 23:22		<b>A-A-R-O-N</b> 7:2
	4	<b>Aaron</b> 6:3 7:1 8:1
-	<b>42</b> 23:2	accept 29:3,9 31:7
<b>-00o-</b> 4:2		accepted 14:23 26:8
	5	access 17:23
1	<b>50</b> 24:19 36:15,23	accommodate 16:21
<b>1</b> 31:19		accommodating 5:25
<b>1.13</b> 25:9	6	20:15
<b>1.2</b> 25:1	<b>6</b> 10:10 23:8,9,12,20,21	accomplish 17:16
<b>11</b> 30:20 31:5 32:3	24:1,3,12,13,21,22,24,25 25:3,14,16,24 26:13,14,	accomplished 24:13
<b>12</b> 32:4	15,17,18,23 30:14,21	accordance 36:1
<b>12th</b> 14:23	32:8 36:18	add 21:19
<b>1347</b> 21:11	<b>624</b> 14:11	additional 17:18
<b>146</b> 23:6	<b>6A</b> 9:3,6,14,17 10:7,8 24:3	<b>address</b> 5:20 6:25 7:3 14:9,11 21:8,10 23:19
<b>15</b> 36:18	<b>6th</b> 7:15 15:5 22:2	24:11 26:18 35:25 36:10
<b>15th</b> 7:12 14:19 21:14 34:16		adequately 26:18
16 14:11	7	<b>admission</b> 7:25 15:20 22:10
<b>16th</b> 15:2 21:24	<b>7</b> 30:14,18 31:20 32:3	admitted 8:6 16:2 22:17
<b>18th</b> 4:5	8	35:2,8 <b>adoption</b> 8:15,18,25 10:
lst 9:12 10:20	-	advanced 17:11,12,17,
2	<b>8</b> 36:18	21
	<b>80302</b> 7:4	advancements 17:24
<b>2</b> 4:7	9	Advocate's 6:1
<b>2.4</b> 24:23 <b>20-35-04</b> 4:8	<b>9</b> 23:10	<b>Advocates</b> 7:6 14:16 20:8,10
<b>2020</b> 4:5 7:12,15 14:19	<b>95.7</b> 36:17	aerial 31:22 32:3
15:2,6 21:14,24 22:2		aggregate 25:16
34:16		agree 25:7,9 31:11,24
<b>2023</b> 9:12 10:20		agree 20.7,0 01.11,24

Index: agreed..Chriss

	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>
agreed 17:6	assigned 25:4 31:1,9	20:12,13,14,25 21:1,4,
ahead 5:22 6:13 13:8	associates 14:15	16,22 22:10,18 26:25 27:3 32:23,24 33:14,16
14:4 16:7 20:25 27:25 34:4	assume 12:9	37:8,10
<b>Airpods</b> 19:12	assuming 19:7	Boulder 7:3
AJK-1 7:11	audio 19:7	breaking 12:15
<b>AJK-2</b> 7:11	authorize 24:16	briefing 4:15
Alameda 14:11	authorized 24:10,15	<b>briefs</b> 5:5,9
Allen 4:10 12:12,14 16:9	average 24:5	<b>bring</b> 9:11
19:22,24 33:6,8	<b>avoid</b> 10:17	<b>business</b> 6:25 7:2 14:9
<b>allocate</b> 36:15,23		21:7,10
allocated 25:3	В	
allocating 28:23	<b>back</b> 31:19	
allocation 23:12,25 26:7,	<b>Baldwin</b> 11:13,14 18:12,	<b>C-H-R-I-S-S</b> 34:10
10,13,17,20 29:22 36:6,	13,14 27:4,6 33:19,20	<b>call</b> 4:23 6:3
13	34:4,7,25 35:9,10 37:4	calls 20:16 33:20
allowed 24:20,23	banner 23:3	Carolina 21:11
allowing 10:7	barriers 10:2	carried 29:16
altered 30:7,8	base 24:20 25:18	case 4:9 16:18,22,23
alternative 26:19 35:22	Baseline 7:3	23:11 24:1,16,24 25:15
<b>AMI</b> 16:20 17:5,24	<b>basis</b> 36:15,24	26:2,8 29:9
amidst 6:21	<b>Baudino</b> 20:16,18,19,23 21:5,9,10,23 22:19 26:25	catching 19:17
analysis 17:7	27:1,5 28:3 32:15 33:3,	Chair 11:21 12:24
annually 23:7	13	<b>Chairman</b> 16:8 35:15
answers 7:22 15:17 22:8	Baudino's 21:20 22:11	challenge 8:22 29:10
34:23	<b>beginning</b> 12:8 19:18	change 21:18 30:1
applicable 25:16	behalf 11:23 14:13 18:21	charge 25:5,18
applying 24:19	21:12 22:24	charged 10:5
approve 9:5	benefit 17:7	charges 10:11 25:5,8,11
approved 25:20	benefits 8:14 9:8 17:9,11	<b>charging</b> 8:18,21,24 9:4, 24,25 10:1,20
arguments 4:16,18	<b>bit</b> 12:15,18	check 31:7
Arizona 25:20	block 15:11 16:12	
<b>asks</b> 33:21	<b>Boehm</b> 11:9,10 18:10,11	<b>Chriss</b> 33:21,23,24 34:2,

Index: Chriss'..default

	<b>'</b>	
8,10,14 35:11,16 37:5,9,	22:12 27:3,8,12,15,18,	<b>correct</b> 28:8,9 30:16
14,23	21,25 32:13,18,22 33:1, 2,4,6,8,10,14,18,22,25 34:3 35:3 37:7,12,16,21,	corrected 21:17
<b>Chriss'</b> 35:1		correction 15:10,15
clarification 4:14 5:21	25	corrections 7:17 15:8
clarified 5:1	Commissioners 4:10	22:4 34:18
clarify 5:11	35:16	cost 4:6 10:9 17:7 25:18
clarifying 5:25	<b>Company</b> 9:16,18,23 10:17,19 12:6 16:15,22	26:6,9 28:6,17,18 29:10, 16 30:2,7 34:15 35:19,
Clark 4:11 12:21,23 16:9	17:9 21:13 22:25 23:16	21,23 36:20
20:2,3 33:2,4	28:7,21 29:2 36:9,14	cost-based 10:4
class 26:8 30:16 36:21	Company's 16:11 17:5,	costs 28:24 31:1,9 32:1
<b>classes</b> 30:22 31:2,10,22 36:24	15 23:22,25 24:5,10 25:7 26:8 28:18 29:10 30:2	country 10:2
classification 29:19	32:8 35:21,24 36:4,5,17,	couple 29:12
close 29:1	20 37:1	<b>covering</b> 31:1,9,25
closing 4:14,15,18,21	<b>compared</b> 10:10 30:15	create 9:22,23 17:12,15
5:4,10,15,17	composed 8:23	cross-examination 11:3
collaborative 25:22 26:1	composite 24:1,12,14,21	18:4 27:2 28:1 37:6
Colorado 7:3 13:12	25:3 26:14,19  concentrating 6:20	<b>current</b> 9:2 25:10
comments 29:5		<b>customer</b> 9:19 16:20
commercial 8:20,22 9:4,	<b>concludes</b> 18:1 26:24 33:16 37:3	25:5
9,11,24 10:12 25:14	conclusion 5:19	<b>customers</b> 8:23 9:7 10:14 16:16,25 17:8
<b>Commission</b> 4:7 8:9 9:5,	condition 24:8	23:20,21,24 24:2,4,12,
10,22 14:22,23 16:5,14 17:18 23:13,18 24:9,16,	confirm 29:14	21,25 25:3,15,16,24
18,22 25:13 26:20 29:1	connection 24:8	26:13,14,19 30:21
36:7,9,12	consideration 17:9	
Commission's 31:17		
36:1	considerations 17:2,22	<b>D-O-U-G-L-A-S</b> 14:10
Commissioner 4:3 5:2,6,	constraints 6:2	dairy 23:4
9,14 6:5,7,9,12 8:2 11:4, 8,12,15,18,22,25 12:4,8,	Consulting 21:10	<b>data</b> 9:19
11,12,14,17,21,23,25	consuming 10:6	David 4:11
13:5,13,22,25 14:3 15:22	contained 34:22	day 4:6
16:9 18:5,9,12,14,17,20, 23 19:2,6,13,16,20,22,24	context 9:3	<b>dedicated</b> 9:9,23,25
20:1,2,3,4,7,11,17,21,24	<b>core</b> 9:21	default 16:16,24

Index: delay..flexibility

**delay** 19:14 docket 4:8 34:16 35:19 **EXAMINATION** 6:16 14:6 36:2,3 21:3 34:6 demand 10:11 25:4,11 **dollar** 30:15 excellent 10:23 demonstrated 10:2 **Doug** 13:21 excuse 21:25 31:14 demonstrative 8:16 dough 23:4 excused 13:11 deploying 16:13 Douglas 14:10 **exhibit** 14:18.21 15:5 describe 8:13 **exhibits** 7:11 8:1,6 design 8:20,23 9:11,20 Ε 15:20.21 16:2 21:13 10:13.21 17:13.15.17 22:11,17 34:15 35:2,8 23:13 25:2 East 14:11 **exist** 10:8 designing 17:2 economic 8:14 **existing** 9:6 10:8 designs 10:11 17:11 educating 4:20 expand 17:9 **detail** 28:19 effort 10:24 30:6 extent 28:16 30:6 32:1 determine 30:6 **electric** 7:8 8:15 9:4,9,24 35:22 determines 36:7 10:1,3 develop 16:15 F electricity 23:6 developing 17:20,21,23 electrification 7:7 facilitating 10:24 develops 10:19 eliaible 25:14 facilities 23:4,5,8,9 25:17 differential 25:7,10 eliminate 16:11 **fact** 16:19 differently 5:19 eliminating 26:22 **favorable** 17:8 26:17 difficult 6:21 endorse 28:25 **Fe** 14:12 direct 6:16 7:10,21 8:13, energy 10:5 25:5,8 34:12 **feels** 5:18 19 10:13 14:6,17,21 35:17 15:13 16:10 17:1 21:3. felt 28:24 **ensure** 10:17 13,20 24:18 25:12 28:20 file 7:10,14 14:17 15:1,4 **ensuring** 10:4,15 30:20 34:6,14 35:1 23:14 25:13 34:14 environmental 8:14 direction 16:12 filed 5:16 14:22 22:5 director 34:12 35:17 **equal** 36:24 28:19 errata 4:19 5:11,21 discussed 9:8 25:19 filing 5:5 21:21 29:8 **error** 4:17 finally 36:22,25 distribution 17:24 establish 16:17 fixed 25:4,18 **Division** 12:2 19:1 32:16 **EV** 8:18,20,25 9:11,24 **flat** 16:17 10:12,20 **DJH-1** 14:18 fleet 8:21 evaluated 23:14 **DJH-2** 14:22 flexibility 10:15

follow-on 29:12 follow-up 13:17 form 10:23 fourth 36:25 front 6:19 Frye 21:11 functional 30:9 functionalization 29:14 functionally 37:2  G gathers 9:19 general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21 generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5 Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6 highlighted 9 highlighted 9 highlights 8:7 highlighted 9 highlights 8:7 highli	importance 8:20,24 improve 12:19 inclining 16:12 include 17:18 including 15:5 17:20 29:15 increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20:23 25:1,3 30:22 36:15,17 increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
form 10:23 fourth 36:25 front 6:19 Frye 21:11 functional 30:9 functionalization 29:14 functionally 37:2  G gathers 9:19 general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21 generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5 Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 have 4:22,24 24 6:13,14,17 11:1,4 13:5,1 15:19 16:3 18 27:18,20 37:2 heading 15:1 hear 19:4,11 2 33:23 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6 highlight 8:16 highlights 8:16 highlights 8:16 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 37:10 hope 20:20	inclining 16:12 include 17:18 including 15:5 17:20 29:15 increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20:18,20 increased 25:6 increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 inclining 16:12 include 17:18 including 15:5 17:20 29:15 increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20,23 25:1,3 30:22 36:15,17 increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16
fourth 36:25 front 6:19 Frye 21:11 functional 30:9 functionalization 29:14 functionally 37:2  G gathers 9:19 general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21 generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5 Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23  paraduation 23:23  24 6:13,14,17 11:1,4 13:5,1 15:19 16:3 18 27:18,20 37:2 heading 15:1 hear 19:4,11 2 33:23 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6 highlight 8:16 highlights 8:16 highlights 8:16 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 37:10 hope 20:20	include 17:18 0,20 14:4,7 3:2,5 20:7,9 22,24 including 15:5 17:20 29:15 increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20,23 25:1,3 30:22 36:15,17 increased 25:6 including 15:5 17:20 29:15 increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20,23 25:1,3 30:22 36:15,17 increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
front 6:19  Frye 21:11  functional 30:9  functionalization 29:14  functionally 37:2   G  gathers 9:19  general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21  generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5  Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23  h1:1,4 13:5,1 15:19 16:3 18 27:18,20 37:2  heading 15:1  hear 19:4,11 2 33:23  hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6  highlight 8:16 highlights 8:1 11:1,4 13:5,1 15:19 16:3 18 27:18,20 37:2  hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6  highlight 8:16 highlights 8:1 11:1,4 13:5,1 15:19 16:3 18 27:18,20 37:2  hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6  highlight 8:16 19 27:16,17  Honor 11:7,16 37:10 hope 20:20	0,20 14:4,7 3:2,5 20:7,9 22,24  including 15:5 17:20 29:15  increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20,23 25:1,3 30:22 36:15,17  increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7  integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
front 6:19 Frye 21:11  functional 30:9  functionalization 29:14  functionally 37:2  G  gathers 9:19  general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21  generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5  Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23  functional 30:9 heading 15:1 hear 19:4,11 2 33:23 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6 higher 8:25 2 highlight 8:16 highlights 8:1 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 37:10 hope 20:20	including 15:5 17:20 29:15 increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20:18,20 increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20,23 25:1,3 30:22 36:15,17 increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
functional 30:9 functionalization 29:14 functionally 37:2  G  gathers 9:19 general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21 generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5 Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6 highlight 8:16 highlighted 9 highlights 8:1 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 33:23 35:15 hearing 15:1 hear 19:4,11 2 33:23 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 4 highlight 8:16 highlights 8:1 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 19 27:16,17 hope 20:20	increase 24:5,11,14,15, 20:18,20 20:18,20 36:15,17 increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
functionalization 29:14 functionally 37:2  G  gathers 9:19 general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21 generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5  Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 hear 19:4,11 2 33:23 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6 higher 8:25 2 highlight 8:16 highlighted 9 highlights 8:1 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 33:23 35:15 hope 20:20	20:18,20 20:18,20 36:15,17 increased 25:6 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
G  G  gathers 9:19 general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21 generally 17:4 generation 25:18 28:23 goals 17:5 Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 35:15 hearing 4:7 5 13:14 15:25 2 35:6 highlight 8:16 highlighted 9 highlights 8:7 Holman 11:19 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 37:10 hope 20:20	:20 6:22 8:4 20:21 22:15 indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
G  gathers 9:19  general 4:8 16:18 23:18 28:21  generally 17:4  generation 25:18 28:23  goals 17:5  Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 35:15  highlight 8:16 highlights 8:16 highlight 9:16 highlight 8:16 highlight 9:16 highlight 8:16 highlight 9:16 highlight 8:16 highlight 9:16 highlight 9	indulgence 31:18 inherent 10:16 4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
gathers 9:19 higher 8:25 2 general 4:8 16:18 23:18 highlight 8:16 28:21 highlighted 9 generally 17:4 highlights 8:18 generation 25:18 28:23 Holman 11:19 goals 17:5 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,16 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 37:10 33:23 35:15 hope 20:20	4:5 32:6,7 integrating 17:24 intend 4:17
general 4:8 16:18 23:18 highlight 8:16 highlighted 9 generally 17:4 highlights 8:19 generation 25:18 28:23 Holman 11:19 27:16,17 Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 37:10 hope 20:20 gradualism 23:23	intend 4:17
28:21 highlighted 9 generally 17:4 highlights 8:1 generation 25:18 28:23 Holman 11:19 goals 17:5 19 27:16,17 Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 Honor 11:7,10 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 37:10 33:23 35:15 hope 20:20	
generally 17:4  generation 25:18 28:23  goals 17:5  Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 35:15  highlights 8:1  Holman 11:19 19 27:16,17  Honor 11:7,10 37:10 hope 20:20	:16 intended 5:40
generation 25:18 28:23  goals 17:5  Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 35:15  Holman 11:19 19 27:16,17 Honor 11:7,10 37:10 hope 20:20	:16 intended 5:12
goals 17:5  Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:23 35:15  hope 20:20	intending 5:17
Good 6:5,7,18 13:22,24 Honor 11:7,10 16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 37:10 33:23 35:15 hope 20:20	9,20 18:18, intention 5:3,6
16:8 20:17 21:5,6 28:3,4 37:10 33:23 35:15 <b>hope</b> 20:20	interclass 36:11
33:23 35:15 hope 20:20	interest 23:23
aradualism 22:22	interests 8:16
liulia 23.0	interference 12:18
granted 8:5 16:1 22:16 Howe 13:21,2	
great 20:22 32:1 8,10 16:4 18: 20:5,6	2,3,7 19:23 <b>issues</b> 9:1 28:17
greater 26:21 Howe's 15:20	J
grocery 23:1,2	<b>January</b> 9:12 10:20
group 10:21 17:16,20	<b>Jetter</b> 12:1,2 18:24,25
26:1 impact 9:2 23	32:14,16
guide 26:9 impacts 26:18	K
implementation	on 17:21
implemented 26:2	9:18 25:23 <b>K-R-E-S-S-I-G</b> 7:2

kilowatt 23:6 **load** 10:17 35:23 **Kressig** 6:3,6,7,11,18 **loads** 25:17 methodology 35:24 36:6 7:1,2 11:2,6 12:13,22 long-standing 23:19 **Mexico** 14:12 13:3,4,11,19 looked 9:1 29:6,24 Michigan 25:20 Kressig's 8:1 **lot** 31:25 microphone 6:20 Kroger 20:16 21:13,17 low-income 17:22 million 23:6,22 36:17 22:10,25 23:3,24 33:15 low-load-factor 8:24 **mind** 9:1 Kroger's 13:6 low-usage 10:14 minute 31:16,18 **Kumar** 12:5 19:3 32:19, 20 lower 24:14 25:18 30:21, missed 12:8 24 36:8 **mission** 17:19 L **model** 35:21 М larger 36:10 modifications 35:23 made 21:19 29:6 largest 22:25 30:15 modified 24:6 maintain 9:13 10:19 **lay** 10:13 **moment** 12:19 make 7:17 26:21 **leads** 10:14 morning 6:5,7,18 13:2, 18,22,24 16:8 20:5,17 makes 35:18 **legal** 4:15 21:5,6 28:3,4 33:12,23 making 29:25 30:1 legislature 8:17 35:15 managed 10:17 **Levar** 4:3,10 5:2,6,9,14 motion 8:3,4 15:23,25 6:5,9,12 8:2 11:4,8,12, manager 7:8 22:13,16 35:4,6 15,18,22,25 12:4,8,11, mandate 5:7 Mountain 4:8,20 23:2,7 17,24,25 13:5,13,22,25 24:24 25:13,23 36:11 14:3 15:22 16:8 18:5,9, manufacturing 23:4,9 12,14,17,20,23 19:2,6, move 4:12 7:25 15:19 map 17:13,17 13,16,20 20:1,4,7,11,17, 23:19 30:12 34:25 marked 7:11 14:18 21,24 22:12 27:3,8,12, moves 22:10 15,18,21,25 32:13,18,22 meet 10:12 33:1,6,10,14,18,22,25 **moving** 30:10 mention 4:13 34:3 35:3,15 37:7,12,16, multiple 25:17 21,25 **Meredith** 9:17 10:22 multisite 25:14 23:15 25:25 **level** 36:8 **mute** 12:20 Meredith's 26:12.16 levels 8:25 28:20 29:7,25 **muted** 20:13 **lighting** 30:25 31:8,23,24 metering 17:17 32:3 Ν method 26:20 29:11 limit 36:21 methodologies 26:7 **necessarily** 10:15 28:25

Index: Nelson..project

Nelson 17:6 outlined 17:1 planning 17:25 **North** 21:11 overlooked 21:20 point 13:9 31:12 **note** 6:18 21:16 26:16 policy 7:8 Ρ position 7:5 34:11 35:20 **notice** 4:14,17 5:11 **November** 4:5 7:15 Pacificorp 9:10 potential 9:15 14:23 15:5 22:2 **Power** 4:20 23:7 24:24 paid 23:20,21 26:22 numbering 21:19 25:23 **paper** 7:12 **numbers** 31:12,15 Power's 4:8 23:2 36:11 papers 14:19 31:14 practice 29:1,2 **pardon** 6:1 15:19 0 **practices** 9:9 10:12 17:2 part 19:17 23:14 prefiled 8:1 22:11 35:1 **objection** 8:3 13:14,15 participating 12:20 15:24 22:14,15 35:5 prefiling 14:24 13:13 14:13 objectives 17:16 **prepare** 7:10,14 14:17 participation 17:23 15:1.4 **objects** 8:2 15:23 22:13 parties 5:4 26:6 28:15 35:4 prepared 8:8 16:4 22:19 29:6 35:24 **OCS's** 17:12,16 present 4:18 23:12,22 pass 29:1,2 October 15:2 21:24 35:12 past 28:22 offer 10:9 presented 24:17 pay 30:21 offered 26:19 previously 31:1,9 paying 30:15 32:9 36:19 Office 11:24 17:6 18:22 **price** 10:5 **peaks** 10:18 primarily 23:8 open 9:7,14 penalize 10:14 **primary** 16:13 open-data 17:23 percent 24:19,23 25:1 operates 23:1,4 **problem** 19:7 23:19 36:15,23 24:12 opine 32:11 percentage 24:14,15,20 **procedures** 28:21 36:2 30:22 36:24 **oppose** 23:25 36:5 **proceed** 8:11 22:22 Perfect 19:19 **opt-in** 16:17 35:14 periods 10:18 option 9:6 proceeding 9:22 14:14 **phase** 4:7 34:16 35:19 optional 5:12 9:2 26:5 28:16 **phone** 19:12 optionality 10:9 processes 17:25 **pilot** 9:18 options 9:14 programs 17:22 **place** 16:23 original 21:21 24:6 progress 26:21 **plan** 16:23 outdoor 31:24 **project** 16:20 17:6,10

proliferation 8:21 proposal 16:11 24:6 37:1 propose 16:15 proposed 9:2 10:7,22 17:17 23:25 25:7 30:21 35:21,24 36:4,5,9,13,14, 17 provide 5:4 10:8 16:5

public 4:7 8:18,21 purchase 23:5 purchasing 23:8 purpose 23:11

purposes 25:17 26:7 28:10 29:9 30:11 36:3

purview 17:19

#### Q

quantifying 17:11 question 4:25 31:6

questions 7:20 11:6,9, 10,14,16,17,20,23 12:2, 6,9,10,13,16,22,23 13:1, 17 15:16 18:6,10,11,13, 15,16,19,21,25 19:8,11, 23,25 20:3 22:7 27:5,6, 10,11,13,14,17,19,23 28:5,14 29:13 32:12,14, 16,20 33:3,4,8 34:21 37:9,10,13,17,19,22

Quickly 4:24

### R

raised 28:15

rate 4:8 8:20,23 9:11,14, 19,24 10:10,12,20,21

16:12,15,16,17,18,22,23, 24 17:11,13,15,17 23:8, 10,12 24:3 25:2,14,15,19 30:11 32:7

rates 9:3,10,25 10:14 16:13,21 17:3,21 23:22 25:6 36:11 37:2

**ready** 35:12

**rebuttal** 9:16 10:22 15:11,14 17:4 21:23 24:7 26:4,12 29:7

recall 29:25 30:4

**receive** 24:25

received 4:13

receiving 24:4 25:18

recognition 9:15 16:19

**recommend** 9:5,10 16:22 23:18 24:9 25:9,12,22

recommendation 9:14, 21 10:19 16:14 17:12,15 24:9 36:25

recommendations 23:13,17 26:6 35:18

recommended 17:8,18 25:25

recommends 36:12

record 4:4 6:25 14:9 21:8,17 34:9 35:2

redesign 24:4

redesigned 9:6

redirect 32:23,24

reduce 10:2

reducing 23:23 24:13

reduction 24:19 36:16,23

reductions 24:10

refer 31:15,19

referring 31:13

Regulatory 21:10

relative 32:7

relying 28:11

remain 26:15

remaining 24:3,21,25 36:23

. . . . .

remains 25:11

rephrase 31:6

request 4:13 13:11 24:11

require 4:17 9:10 25:13

required 16:14

requirement 36:4,8,14,

16

reserves 35:25

residential 16:16,25

23:24

**Resource** 6:1 7:6 14:15

20:8,10

respect 28:22,23

responded 26:11

response 9:19

responsive 26:5

result 8:14 36:22

results 30:9,25 31:8

36:21

retail 23:7

retailers 23:1

return 32:7

revenue 9:15 23:12,25 24:11,14,15,20 25:2,6 26:9,13,16,20 36:4,5,8, 13,14,15,16,17

	•	11
review 28:6,18 29:4 30:5	<b>services</b> 34:12 35:17	<b>steps</b> 36:10
revised 13:12 26:16	set 7:21	<b>Steve</b> 33:21 34:10 35:16
<b>Richard</b> 20:16 21:9	<b>shifts</b> 10:11	stores 23:2
<b>risks</b> 9:15	<b>short</b> 10:9	strategy 17:21
road 7:3 17:13,17 21:11	<b>show</b> 25:19 31:3	street 14:11 31:22 32:2
Robert 9:17 10:22 23:15	<b>shown</b> 17:7 30:25 31:8	stress 10:18
robust 8:17	<b>sic</b> 15:1	study 26:9 28:7,11,15,
<b>Rocky</b> 4:8,20 23:2,7 24:24 25:13,23 36:11	<b>signal</b> 36:19	17,19,24 29:17 30:2,7 32:8 35:21 36:20
rollout 8:17	<b>significant</b> 26:22 32:9 36:10	subfunctionalization 29:15
<b>Ron</b> 4:10	significantly 30:8	subject 31:7 36:21
Russell 11:16,17 18:15,	<b>Smith's</b> 23:3	submit 21:12,23 22:1
16 27:9,10,11 37:13,15	<b>Snarr</b> 11:22,23 18:20,21 27:22,23 28:2 32:12,13	submitted 21:17 26:4
S	sort 30:9 31:3	28:7
<b>Sanger</b> 11:5,7 18:6,8	speak 6:19	<b>subsidies</b> 23:20 26:22 32:9 36:11
27:13,14 37:17,19	<b>specific</b> 9:11,24 10:20	<b>subsidized</b> 32:1 36:22
<b>Santa</b> 14:12	17:19	subsidy 23:21 24:11
savings 10:10	specifically 9:25 36:12	30:15 36:20
schedule 9:3 10:10 13:7, 12 23:9,10,12,20,21	spell 34:9	sufficiently 10:11
24:1,3,12,13,21,22,24,25	spelled 7:1	suggest 9:17
25:3,14,16,24 26:13,14,	<b>stand</b> 20:16	suitability 9:3
15,17,18,23 30:14,21,25 31:8 32:8	standards 17:23	summarize 23:17
schedules 31:25 32:3,11	<b>start</b> 11:5 36:13	summary 8:8 16:4 18:1
36:18,19	<b>state</b> 6:24 14:8 21:7 22:14 34:8 35:5	22:19 26:24 35:11 37:3
scheduling 6:1 20:15	statement 19:17 30:1	<b>summer</b> 25:10
seasonal 16:17		summer/winter 25:8
<b>September</b> 7:12 14:19	<b>statements</b> 4:15,21 5:4, 10,15,18	support 8:18 26:2
21:14 34:16	states 7:9 23:1	<b>supported</b> 16:10 17:5, 12,14
<b>service</b> 4:6,7 10:1 16:20 23:2 26:7,9 28:7,17,19	stations 8:18,22,24 9:5,	supporting 8:17,20,25
29:11,16 30:2,7 34:16	25	supports 37:1
35:19,21,23 36:20	<b>step</b> 16:12 29:16,20,22	

	ndex: surrebuttalwr
utilize 2	24:9
utilized	10:16
	V
<b>vehicle</b> 10:1,3	7:8 8:15 9:4,9
version	21:17
	W
	t 27:6 33:20 35:17,18,20,25 2 37:1
wanted	32:10
Washin	gton 25:21
Wednes	sday 4:5
week 4	:19
_	er 12:5,6,10 19:3 5,19 32:19
Westerr 20:8,9	<b>n</b> 6:1 7:6 14:15
Westfie	ld 21:11
who've	30:25
widespr	r <b>ead</b> 8:15
winter	25:10
<b>witness</b> 33:17	es 4:18 29:5
	ful 13:10

surrebuttal 7:14,21 9:13 15:1,4 17:14 22:1 26:11 29:7

**swear** 6:10 14:1 20:22 34:1

sworn 6:4 33:21

system 10:17,18 16:20

**systems** 36:19

Т

**table** 30:18 31:19,23

talked 13:6

talking 12:15 32:2

technology 10:16

**term** 10:9

terms 25:2

territory 23:3

**testify** 13:12

testifying 22:24

testimony 7:10,15,18,22 8:1,6,9,13,19 9:13,16 10:13,23 13:2,18 14:17, 22 15:2,5,9,11,13,14,20 16:2,5,10 17:1,4,14 20:5 21:12,13,18,20,24 22:1, 5,11,17,20 23:11,15 24:7,18 25:12,19,25 26:4,5,11,12 28:11,20 29:7,25 30:5,13,20 33:12 34:15,19,22 35:1,8,12

**Thad** 4:10 **thing** 30:12

tier 16:11

time 16:18,21 35:1,22

time-of-use 16:13,15,21,

24 17:3

timeline 17:20

**today** 7:20 15:16 16:5 22:7,24 34:22

today's 5:20

topics 17:19

traditional 8:22

traditionally 29:15,20

traffic 36:18

transportation 7:7

trouble 6:22

true 30:19

truth 6:10 14:1 20:22

34:1

typographical 4:16

15:10

U

Ultimately 9:21

unbundle 37:2

**unbundling** 30:3,6,10

unclear 5:10

underlying 28:17

understand 28:14

understood 30:11

undertook 28:6

Unit 14:11

United 23:1

**up** 4:25 6:18 12:15

**upper** 15:12

**users** 10:4

**Utah** 4:7 8:16 17:5 23:5

working 7:8 10:21 13:7

17:16,20 26:1

**WRA's** 13:20

**wrong** 19:9

	November 18, 2020	Index: yearyesterday
Υ		
year 23:22		
yesterday 14:24 21:18		