PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

Docket No. 20-035-04 and Docket No. 18-035036

HEARING

November 03, 2020

ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS

801-746-5080 | office@advancedrep.com | advancedrep.com SALT LAKE | 159 West Broadway, Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 PROVO | 3507 North University Avenue, Suite 350-D | Provo, Utah 84604 ST. GEORGE | 20 North Main Street, Suite 301 | St. George, Utah 84770



Hearing November 03, 2020

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
2	00000
3	Application of Rocky)
4	Mountain Power for the)Docket No. 20-035-04 and Authority to Increase its)Docket No. 18-035-36
5	Retail Electric Utility) Service Rates in Utah and)
6	for Approval of its Proposed) Electric Service Schedules)
7	and Electric Service) Regulations;)
8	Application of Rocky)
9	Mountain Power for Authority) to Change its Depreciation)
10	Rates Effective January 1,) 2021.)
11	
12	
13	VIDEO CONFERENCED HEARING
14	malana an marandara Marandara 2 2020
15	Taken on Tuesday, November 3, 2020
16	at 9:00 A.M.
17	
18	Taken through Public Service Commission of Utah
19	Via Google Meet
20	160 East 300 South
21	4th Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Kellie Peterson, RPR, CSR
25	

1	A I	PPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:	Chair Thad Levar
3		Commissioner David Clark Commissioner Ron Allen
4	FOR THE UTAH DIVISION	Justin Jetter, Esq.
5	OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:	Patricia Schmid, Esq. UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
6		DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES 160 East 300 South
7		Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114
8		Telephone: (801)366-0380 jjetter@agutah.gov
9		pschmid@agutah.gov
10	FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:	D. Matthew Moscon, Esq. Lauren Shurman, Esq. STOEL RIVES
11		201 South Main Street
12		Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111
13		Telephone: (801)328-3131 matt.moscon@stoel.com
14		lauren.shurman@stoel.com
15		Richard Garlish, Esq. Emily Wegener, Esq.
16		Cameron Sabin, Esq. ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
17		1407 West North Temple Suite 320
18		Salt Lake City, Utah 84116 Telephone: (801)220-4050
19		emily.wegener@pacificorp.com
		Dahasit T. Massa Bas
20	FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:	Steven Snarr, Esq.
21		UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES
22		160 East 300 South Fifth Floor
23		Salt Lake City, UT 84114 Telephone: (801)366-0380
24		moore@agutah.gov snarr@agutah.gov
25		

1	FOR UTAH CLEAN ENERGY:	Hunter Holman, Esq. UTAH CLEAN ENERGY
2		1014 Second Avenue Salt Lake City, UT 84103
3		Telephone: (801)363-4046 hunter@utahcleanenergy.org
4	UTAH ASSOCIATION OF	Phillip J. Russell, Esq.
5	ENERGY USERS:	JAMES DODGE RUSSELL & STEPHENS 10 Broadway
6		Suite 400 Salt Lake City, UT 84101
7		Telephone: (801)363-6363 prussell@jdrlaw.com
8	STADION, LLC:	Irion A. Sanger, Esq.
9		Joni Sliger, Esq. SANGER LAW
10		1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215
11		Telephone: (503)688-0675 irion@sanger-law.com
12		
13	THE KROGER COMPANY:	Kurt J. Boehm, Esq. BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY
14		36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510
15		Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Telephone: (513)421-2255
16		kboehm@bkllawfirm.com
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY	PAGE NO.
3	JOELLE STEWARD	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon Cross-Examination by Mr. Snarr	19 36
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Sharr Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell	39
6	RICHARD VAIL	
7		4.4
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell	44 49
9	JAMES OWEN	
10		52
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell	57
12	TIMOTHY HEMSTREET	
13		C 1
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Shurman Cross-Examination by Ms. Schmid	61 65
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell	67
16	ROBERT VAN ENGELENHOVEN	
17	Direct Examination by Ms. Shurman Cross-Examination by Ms. Schmid	71 81
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Snarr Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell	83 95
19	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shurman Examination by Commissioner Clark	105 110
20	Examination by Commissioner Clark	110
21	DAVID WEBB	
22	Direct Examination by Mr. Sabin Cross-Examination by Mr. Jetter	112 117
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. detter Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell Redirect Examination by Mr. Sabin	123 127
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Sabin Recross Examination by Mr. Jetter	133 134
25	RECTOSS EXAMITMACTOM Dy MI. DECCEI	134

		J
1	(Index cont.)	
2	DANA RALSTON	
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Sabin Cross-Examination by Mr. Jetter	137 150
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jetter Cross-Examination by Mr. Snarr Redirect Examination by Mr. Sabin	153 156
5	Recross Examination by Mr. Sabin Recross Examination by Mr. Snarr Examination by Commissioner Clark	165 169
б	Examination by commissioner crank	100
7	MELISSA NOTTINGHAM	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Sabin	171
9	JULIE LEWIS	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Sabin	174
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell Redirect Examination by Mr. Sabin	180 184
12	Recross Examination by Mr. Russell Examination by Commissioner Clark	185 186
13	Examination by Commissioner Allen	188
14	CURTIS MANSFIELD	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon	190
16	Cross-Examination by Jetter Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon	193 195
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jetter Redirect Examination by Mr. Moscon	198 204
18		
19	NIKKI KOBLIHA	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Sabin Cross-Examination by Mr. Moore	206 217
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell Redirect Examination by Mr. Sabin	218 224
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	EXHIBITS	
2	Description	Page No.
3	Pre-filed Testimony of Ms. Steward	20
4	Testimony of Mr. Vail, with Attachments	42
5	Direct Testimony of Mr. Owen	54
6	Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Hemstreet with Attachments	, 62
7	Depreciation Testimony of Mr. Van Engelenhoven	, 73
8	with Attachments	, /3
9	Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Van Engelenhoven, with Attachments	76
10	Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Webb, with Attachments	n 113
12	Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Ralston, with Attachments	139
13 14	Direct Testimony of Ms. Nottingham, with Attachments	172
15	Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Lewis	176
16	Direct, Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Testimony of Mr. Mansfield, with Attachments	192
17	Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Kobliha,	208
18	with Attachments	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 November 3, 2020 9:00 A.M. PROCEEDINGS 2 3 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning. It is Tuesday, November 3, 2020, and we are here for the 4 revenue requirement hearing in two Public Service 5 Commission dockets. We have Dockets 20-35-4 and 6 7 18-35-36. I will read the names of those dockets, even 8 though they are long. Application of Rocky Mountain Power for 9 10 authority to increase its retail electric utility service 11 rates in Utah and for approval of its proposed electric 12 service schedules and electric service regulations. 13 And the second docket: Application of Rocky Mountain Power for authority to change its depreciation 14 15 rates effective January 1, 2021. My name is Thad LeVar, and we also have two 16 other commissioners, Commissioner Ron Allen and 17 Commissioner David Clark here with us on this video 18 conference. 19 20 We took appearances in the first phase of 21 this hearing, the cost of capital, but I think we have 22 enough change in participants that we should go ahead and 23 do appearances again for this phase of the rate case. 2.4 So why don't we start with the utility, if 25 anyone is here to make an appearance for Rocky Mountain

1	Power.
2	And, Mr. Moscon, you are still muted.
3	MR. MOSCON: Can you hear me now?
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Yes, but there is a
5	strong echo.
6	MR. MOSCON: Hopefully, we don't have a
7	repeat of last week. Can you hear me now?
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Yes, and the echo has
9	stopped.
10	MR. MOSCON: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: So the sound quality is
12	very good right now.
13	MR. MOSCON: All right. Thank you. So, just
14	so we don't attempt fate by muting and un-muting too many
15	computers, I will indicate that I will be appearing in
16	this phase again, together with my colleague, Cameron
17	Sabin, that was joined last week as well. Also my
18	colleague, Lauren Shurman, will be both directing and
19	cross-examining some witnesses during this phase of the
20	proceeding.
21	I don't recall in the cast of last week if we
22	noted that both Mr. Dick Garlish and Emily Wegener,
23	in-house counsel of Rocky Mountain Power, are present and
24	have been participating as well, though they won't be
25	directing any witnesses in this phase of the hearing.

```
1
    Thank you.
 2
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay.
                                            Thank you,
 3
    Mr. Moscon.
                We will go next to the Division of Public
 4
    Utilities.
 5
 6
                MR. JETTER:
                             Good morning. This is Justin
    Jetter, with the Utah Attorney General's Office.
 7
    Patricia Schmid and I, together, will be representing the
 8
    Division of Public Utilities in this phase of the
 9
10
    hearing.
11
                And I would like to give a little bit of
12
    notice to other parties. The Division, I believe, has
13
    seven witnesses to present in this order, and we've
14
    pre-filed testimony in consecutive numerical order with
15
    our witnesses.
16
                So, for example, Brenda Salter was Witness 3
17
    for the Division, and I'd just like to let parties know
18
    that we are going to call them a little bit out of order.
19
    We intend to move Joni Zenger's testimony to be the final
20
    witness for the Division, which will be not in the
21
    numerical order that they had been filed in previously.
22
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Jetter.
23
                We will go to the Office of Consumer
24
    Services.
25
                MR. SNARR: Good morning, this is Steve
```

```
1
            I will be appearing on behalf of the office, as
    Snarr.
    will Robert Moore. We are both assistant attorney
 3
    generals for the State of Utah.
 4
                Also appearing on behalf of the Office in
    this phase of the proceeding are witnesses Alyson
 5
 6
    Anderson, Donna Ramas and Phil Hayet.
                                     Thank you, Mr. Snarr.
 7
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                Utah Association of Energy Users?
 8
 9
                MR. RUSSELL: Yes. Good morning, Phillip
10
    Russell, appearing on behalf of the Utah Association of
11
    Energy Users.
                   I'm also representing the University of
12
    Utah and U.S. Magnesium in this proceeding.
13
                In this phase of the proceeding, only one of
14
    those parties has a witness to present, and that is Kevin
15
    Higgins, on behalf of UAE.
16
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Russell.
17
                From Utah Clean Energy?
                             Good morning, Chair LeVar.
18
                MR. HOLMAN:
19
    Hunter Holman, on behalf of Utah Clean Energy, and Sarah
20
    Wright will be appearing for us in this proceeding.
21
    She's prepared a summary of her statement -- or
22
    testimony, excuse me.
23
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay.
                                            Thank you,
24
    Mr. Holman.
25
                Stadion, LLC?
```

1	MD CANGED: Wheels were Chaire Whice is Traign
1	MR. SANGER: Thank you, Chair. This is Irion
2	Sanger, appearing for Stadion, LLC. And Joni Sliger, my
3	associate, may also appear.
4	We have one witness, Brian Dickman, who we
5	will be calling and will be presenting a short statement
6	and available for cross-examination.
7	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
8	Mr. Zenger.
9	I will go through the remaining interveners
10	who did not file testimony in this phase, but just to see
11	if you are here and intending to participate in the
12	hearing.
13	Salt Lake City Corporation, is there someone
14	here from Salt Lake City Corporation? Okay. I'm not
15	seeing or hearing a response.
16	ChargePoint, Inc.?
17	The Kroger Company?
18	MR. BOEHM: Good morning, Your Honor. Kurt
19	Boehm, appearing on behalf of the Kroger Company.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
21	Mr. Boehm.
22	Western Resource Advocates?
23	Nucor Steel Utah?
24	Walmart, Inc.?
25	Okay. To my knowledge, that completes all of

1 | the interveners in this docket.

So with that, we will go to Rocky Mountain Power for your first witness.

MR. MOSCON: Sorry. If I might, I have a couple of other preliminary matters, if it's all right, Mr. Commissioner, that I could -- like to address, if possible.

COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Please go ahead.

MR. MOSCON: So the first thing I thought would help in addressing -- well, actually, for the benefit of the court reporter and the Commission, one thing that we are going to try today that may be slightly different is we have a designated witness computer that we are going to be using, rather than having 20 floating heads or people constantly joining and leaving the session.

Now when I say, "a designated witness computer," that's for those witnesses that are live in Salt Lake City. That, of course, would not apply to any witnesses that are appearing from out of state. And so there may be a little disruption while people go to that computer, but we thought, on balance, that may be preferable to having -- there are more than 20 witnesses in this phase of the case and to have that many separate appearances...

1 So if you see the thing that says, "PacifiCorp, Salt Lake City," that is the designated 3 witness station. And, of course, at the beginning, they 4 will state their identity, but I thought I would let the parties and Commission know that that's how we intend to proceed technologically.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One thing as far as the witnesses go, after reviewing the surrebuttal testimony and the testimony of all the parties filed, Rocky Mountain Power has decided that it is going to withdraw its application regarding subscriber solar in this proceeding.

The proposed treatment of that will be addressed more by Ms. Steward in her summary, when she is presented, but I thought I would let the parties know, because that means that as far as the Company goes, the testimony of Mr. Comeau and Mr. Moore will not need to be presented, and there are, at least, three intervention witnesses that filed testimony related solely to subscriber solar.

And so that may change the dynamic or timing of the case a little bit, and we just wanted to make sure that the parties and the Commission were aware of that. And, again, I will leave to Ms. Steward to describe that in more detail or if the Commission has any questions on that.

As to the next point, that we wanted to give both the Commission and parties chance to consider something, and rather than springing this on everyone at the conclusion of the last witness, on the last day of the last phase, we wanted to address a proposal that we had.

As the Commission, I'm sure has seen, the parties have seen in this case, there is a lot of testimony that is really in the form of legal argument, and it spans many topics. It spans what is used and useful, whether there's requirements to get pre-approval, if there is a difference in standard of prudence, depending on whether you are under the general rate case statute or whether you are under a pre-approval statute and so on.

And we've all seen the questions that attorneys try and politely ask witnesses before they begin, "We know you are not an attorney, but," and then they go in to ask legal questions. Because there is so much of that, we have thought about whether it would be useful to the Commission to have either post-hearing briefs or oral argument or summation at the end.

Only because I assume the Commission does not want to get 15 briefs of 50 pages of each on this, I'll recommend that, if it's all the same to the parties, that

the Commission allow the parties a specified amount of time. And, of course, this would be volunteer, not be required, but an amount of time for closing argument or statements.

Because we think that there is enough information that should be presented to the Commission that is largely legal, and it is not appropriate for any of the individual witnesses that we don't want to be deprived of making to the Commission. If the Commission prefers briefs, that is fine. We are happy to do that as well.

A question comes up as to timing, as to when we would do this, and we realize that parties are going to need time to prepare, whether it's an oral argument or brief. So, again, that's one of the reasons for raising it now. We don't expect, again, on the last day of the hearing, to go straight to it, and we won't presume to know what the Commission's schedule is.

But we would suggest that, perhaps, the last week of November or first week of December, that the Court -- or excuse me, the Commission can either identify a deadline for a brief, or if there is going to be a day of oral argument, that the Commission say that whoever wants to appear on December 3rd, or whatever date works for the Commission, that each party gets 60 minutes or

less, or whatever the Commission thinks is appropriate. 1 2 We just think that there is enough 3 information that could be both summarized and legal 4 argument that could be made that that would be, I know, helpful for my client and, we believe, helpful to the 5 6 Commission. So that's something that we wanted to get on the record now so that it wasn't, you know, something 7 that catches people off quard on the last day. 8 The last thing that's a preliminary matter 9 10 that I was going to cover, just to try and help people 11 roadmap this phase of the hearing, is to identify the 12 sequence that the Company intends to call witnesses in. 13 Before I give that, I'm going to note that, at least, one 14 of the witnesses is in the middle of kind of a family emergency that we're hopeful resolves without any 15 16 problem, and so we may ask for accommodation if we vary 17 from this schedule slightly. 18 And I will note that some of the Company 19 witnesses, we anticipate, will be short because they may 20 address only one issue and there was no opposition of 21 that issue, and so they could be moved just, kind of, for 22 a timing, you know, to fill in a spot. 23 But that said, the general order that we 24 intend to proceed would be as follows: We will begin 25 today with Ms. Joelle Steward, then move to Rick --

- 1 Mr. Rick Vail, James Owen, Tim Hemstreet, Bob Van
- 2 | Engelenhoven, Dave Webb, Dana Ralston, we will go to
- 3 | Ms. Notting [sic], Ms. Lewis, Mr. Mansfield, Mr. Link,
- 4 Ms. Kobliha, and finally Mr. McDougal.
- 5 So, hopefully, that is helpful to the parties
- 6 to just have a general sense of when a particular witness
- 7 | may come along in terms of the hearing, of course,
- 8 | subject to any change.
- 9 So with that, I'll ask the Commission if it
- 10 has any questions of all the things I put forward before
- 11 | I would turn to our first witness.
- 12 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Moscon.
- 13 | I will address a couple of those, but first, can I ask
- 14 you to read the list again? I lost track of you right
- 15 | around Mr. Ralston, and I assume others may have also.
- 16 | So I'm trying to make sure I have the order.
- 17 | Would you just --
- 18 MR. MOSCON: Okay.
- 19 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Would you read it one
- 20 | more time?
- 21 | MR. MOSCON: Sure. Steward, Vail, Owen,
- 22 | Hemstreet, Van Engelenhoven, Webb, Ralston,
- 23 | Notting -- Nottingham, excuse me, Lewis, Mansfield, Link
- 24 | Kobliha, and McDougal.
- 25 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Moscon. I will comment on your proposal for either post-hearing briefs or oral argument. As you note, the time frame following this hearing for an order is fairly short. So with -- either option would probably need to be within the time frame you suggested.

I'll suggest that this is probably an issue we should try to wrap up by the conclusion of this phase of the hearing, rather than waiting for Phase II in two weeks. So I will ask parties to try to be prepared to discuss your thoughts, your preferences on this issue as we approach the end of the hearing this week, if there is no opposition to proceeding that way. I don't think we should try to wrap this up today, but this week might be reasonable to try and get some direction on what we plan to do.

And then with respect to subscriber solar, I will just ask if any parties have any comment at this point regarding Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to withdraw this portion of their request to this docket.

If anyone -- if any other party wants to speak to that, please unmute yourself and indicate your statement.

And I'm not seeing or hearing anyone else wanting to weigh in, and I haven't heard any other comments regarding post-hearing briefs or oral arguments, so I think we will just plan to try to get some direction

1	on that before	ore the end of this week.
2		And with that, Mr. Moscon, if you want to
3	move forward	d with your first witness.
4		MR. MOSCON: Okay. Thank you.
5		The Company's first witness is Ms. Joelle
6	Steward.	
7		COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning,
8	Ms. Steward	, do you swear to tell the truth?
9		MS. STEWARD: Yes.
10		COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
11		DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. MOSCON:	
13	Q.	Ms. Steward, would you please state your full
14	name for the	e record?
15	Α.	My name is Joelle Steward.
15 16	A. Q.	My name is Joelle Steward. And what is your position at the Company?
16	Q.	And what is your position at the Company? I am the vice president of regulation for
16 17	Q. A.	And what is your position at the Company? I am the vice president of regulation for
16 17 18	Q. A. Rocky Mounta	And what is your position at the Company? I am the vice president of regulation for ain Power.
16 17 18 19	Q. A. Rocky Mounta Q. this proceed	And what is your position at the Company? I am the vice president of regulation for ain Power. Okay. And have you caused to be filed in
16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Rocky Mounta Q. this proceed	And what is your position at the Company? I am the vice president of regulation for ain Power. Okay. And have you caused to be filed in ding any testimony?
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Rocky Mounta Q. this proceed	And what is your position at the Company? I am the vice president of regulation for ain Power. Okay. And have you caused to be filed in ding any testimony? Yes, I have.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Rocky Mounta Q. this proceed A. Q.	And what is your position at the Company? I am the vice president of regulation for ain Power. Okay. And have you caused to be filed in ding any testimony? Yes, I have.

1 And are you aware of any inaccuracies Q. Okay. 2 that need to be corrected in your testimony? 3 Α. I say -- I correct that. I filed rebuttal 4 testimony, not surrebuttal testimony. 5 0. And do you have any corrections that need to be made to that testimony? 6 7 Α. No. Okay. So if I were to ask you the same 8 0. questions that are set forth in your pre-filed testimony, 9 10 your answers would be the same today as they are in the recorded materials? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. And you did not have any exhibits to Q. 14 your testimony, I don't believe; is that correct? That is correct. 15 Α. 16 MR. MOSCON: Okay. I would move for the 17 admission of the pre-filed testimony of Ms. Joelle Steward, to which there were no exhibits attached. 18 19 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If any party objects to 20 that motion, please unmute yourself and state your 21 objection. 22 I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion 23 is granted. Thank you. 24 (The pre-filed testimony of 25 Ms. Steward was admitted.)

BY MR. MOSCON:

- Q. Okay. Ms. Steward, have you had an opportunity to prepare a summary of your testimony?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. Would you please present that for us?
- A. Yes. Good morning, Chairman LeVar,
 Commissioner Clark and Commissioner Allen, and all of the
 parties participating in this phase of the case.

My testimony provides an overview of Rocky
Mountain Power's request in this revenue requirement
phase of the general rate case. As our president and
CEO, Gary Hoogeveen, testified last week, Rocky Mountain
Power is proud to provide safe, reliable and low-cost
energy to customers in Utah.

Our request in this case reflects and enhances that ongoing commitment to our customers. We have tried to be responsive to the parties by modifying a number of items in our case through the course of this proceeding. Ultimately, our goal is to have rates that fairly look like are cost, allow us to earn our authorized rate of return, and minimize bill impact on customers.

This is our first rate case in six years.

The timing for this case was largely driven by two
things: New resource investment for wind and

transmission, which are all going into service soon or 1 2 are already in service now; and new depreciation rates 3 that were approved by the Commission earlier this year 4 and go into effect on January 1st. Our -- as modified in our rebuttal testimony, 5 6 the Company is requesting an increase in revenue requirement of \$72 million, which is a 3.6 percent 7 increase in these rates. The Company is requesting to 8 implement the base rate increase through two rate changes 9 10 in 2021; one on January 1st and another on July 1, which 11 I will discuss in a moment. 12 The Company has reflected several rate 13 mitigation proposals in the filings, which have 14 effectively cut in half the amount of the increase needed 15 in base rates. The specific mitigation efforts reflected 16 or proposed in the filing are: One, the use of the 17 regulatory liability account created by the sustainable 18 transportation and energy plan or step to buy down 19 un-depreciated plant balance of certain coal-fired units. 20 This buy-down was agreed to by parties in 21 Docket 17-035-69, which was the proceeding the Commission 22 held on the tax cuts and job act, or TCJA. 23 Second, we use a portion of the TCJA deferred 24 tax benefits to pay off certain regulatory assets and 25 further depreciate the Dave Johnston Plant balance. This

1 | reduces expense levels in this case.

Third, we defer depreciation expense to a regulatory asset to extend the recovery for Jim Bridger Units 1 and 2, to reduce depreciation expense until new step funds are accumulated, which will enable the full buy-down of the -- when those units are retired. All together, these mitigation efforts reduce the Company's request by \$71 million and help provide longer term rate stability for our customers.

We are also proposing to refund the remaining portion of the TCJA savings, which is approximately \$62.7 million, to customers through a rate credit over the next two years, which will offset the base rate increase, in part, and allow us to effectively phase in the increase over three years.

Under the Company's proposal filed in rebuttal, this would result in a 1.1 percent increase in 2021, another 1.1 percent increase in 2022, and a 1.1 percent increase in 2023, when the credit has been fully refunded.

As I previously noted, the Company is proposing to implement the base rate increase in 2021 through two rate changes. An increase of \$49.5 million on January 1st and an increase of 22.5 million on July 1st. The second increase proposed for July 1st is to

1 recover the cost of the TB Flats and Pryor Mountain wind 2 resources.

Portions of these projects are projected to be delayed as a result of supplier and contractor claims that the global supply chain has been disrupted by the current COVID-19 pandemic. Tim Hamstreet and Bob Van Engelenhoven will discuss these projects in more detail.

The Company will provide notification to the Commission when these resources are in service before the second separate change would go into effect. The Company also proposes to align the TCJA credit with the two-step base rate change in 2021, such that the credit would be increased in the latter half of the year, concurrent with the second base rate change in order to fully offset it. So customers would effectively see only one modest bill increase in 2021.

The second step rate change will allow us to better match the cost and benefits in rates on an ongoing basis. UAE opposes the Company's annualized revenue requirement treatment that would provide full cost recovery for the Company to align with the full pass-through of benefits to customers in the energy balances account or EBA.

The Company disagrees with UAE's position on this because absent approval of the second step rate

change as we've proposed, the Company would file a major
plant addition case immediately following this case in
order to obtain recovery of those costs.

UAE's proposal is effectively just creating administrative inefficiency, adding additional cost and unnecessary workload on the Commission and parties to arrive, essentially, at the same place we are right now, since no party actually opposes getting the Company's cost recovery for TB Flats. Therefore, UAE's position on the revenue requirement treatment for the second step rate change should be rejected.

As we all know, a general rate case includes a number of elements, and I'm going to briefly walk through our key requests in this case -- in this phase of the case, actually.

First, the Company asks the Commission to approve the rates to recover an overall Utah revenue requirement of \$2.073 billion, which as I've already noted is a \$72 million increase.

The Company's revised increase reflect a number of updates and compromises in response to interveners' positions in the direct testimony, including the Company's reduction to the requested return on equity, or ROE, from 10.2 percent to 9.8 percent, our current authorized ROE. It also reflects the rate

mitigation proposals I discussed previously, most of
which have not been contested by parties. Steve McDougal
will provide more detail about revenue requirement.

Second, the Company requests that the Commission approve a refund of \$62.7 million in remaining TCJA deferred tax savings through Schedule 197, the Federal Tax Act Adjustments. The Company recommends that the refund be applied in a manner that mitigates the bill impact from revenue requirement increase and effectively phases in the base rate increase.

Third, approve as prudent the Company's request to include the incremental additions to the Company's rate base of approximately \$7.7 billion since the last rate case. These include the re-powered and new wind projects and transmission investments that were included in our Energy Vision 2020 project and are not opposed in the filing, the re-powering of Leaning Juniper and Foote Creek I, which no party opposes in this filing; the Pryor Mountain wind project, which is opposed in this filing and which I will return to momentarily; the installation of selected catalatic reduction retrofits on our units at Craig and Hayden power plants, cost recovery of which is not opposed.

However, UAE recommends a reduction in the return on rate base for the Craig investment. The

Company's opposition to this adjustment is discussed by 1 2 Steve McDougal. The conversion of Naughton Unit 3 to 3 natural gas, which no party opposes; and lastly, the Utah Advanced Meter Infrastructure project, or AMI, as updated 4 in our rebuttal filing. 5 6 Certain parties oppose including the AMI project costs and rates in this case, in part, on the 7 basis that the benefits won't be fully realized 8 9 until -- that some of the benefits won't be fully 10 realized until the project is completed in 2023. 11 However, as Curtis Mansfield will discuss, the Company 12 has properly reflected costs that are used and useful 13 during the test period and beyond which provide customer 14 service benefits to customers prior to the full project 15 completion. 16 Now returning to Pryor Mountain, several 17 parties argue that the cost of the project should be disallowed or, in the case of UAE, treated as a 18 19 qualifying facility and effectively disallow a portion of 20 the cost. Bob Van Engelenhoven and Rick Link will 21 respond to many criticisms of the project. However, I 22 respond to the accusation that the Company was improper 23 in not pursuing pre-approval in the regulatory arena. The office goes to great lengths and 24 25 testimony to criticize the Company for this fact and

implies a different standard for prudence should
therefore apply, although it is not articulated what that
standard should be or the legal basis for applying a
different standard for prudence. The fact of the matter
is that pre-approval for renewable resources under 300
megawatts is voluntary.

While the Company has sought voluntary pre-approval for other resource decisions in the past and expects to do so again in the future, the fact that the Company did not seek pre-approval in this instance has no implications on the standard for prudence of this resource decision. Prudence requires that the Commission consider the reasonable known facts and circumstances at the time of the decision.

As the Company has demonstrated in this application, the decision to build Pryor Mountain was a time limited but economic decision that will provide net benefits to customers, both as a zero fuel cost resource and by qualifying for the full 100 percent rate for production tax credits, as well as through the revenue from the renewable energy credits sales agreement.

Although not in my pre-filed testimony, I would also like to briefly respond to the DPU's new conditions proposed for Pryor Mountain, which were raised in their surrebuttal after the Company filed their

1 testimony.

In her surrebuttal, Dr. Zenger argues that if the Commission finds that Pryor Mountain is a prudently incurred investment, the Commission, nevertheless, should order that; one, project costs should be capped at the Company's original filed cost projection; that the Company -- and two, that the Company must provide a guarantee that the project qualifies for and receives 100 percent of the PTCs; and three, the Company guarantee the projected net capacity factor. The Company opposes these conditions.

Dr. Zenger's proposal begins with the premise that the guarantees be put in place after a finding of prudence. If the Commission finds the Pryor Mountain is a prudent resource, which it should, then Pryor Mountain should be treated no differently than any other generation resource.

The Commission should rightfully consider whether the decision was prudent at the time the decision was made and based on the information that was available to the Company at that time. But if the Commission makes the conclusion -- but if the Commission makes that conclusion, it would be improper, unprecedented and without statutory support to require the Company to, nevertheless, guarantee any particular result, even when

results could be influenced by factors outside of the 1 2 Company's control. 3 The Company strongly believes that each of 4 the factors outlined by Dr. Zenger will resolve favorably for customers, but the Company rejects the notion that it 5 must treat this generation resource different than any 6 other resource that is prudently required. 7 Now moving back to my list of our requests in 8 this case, the Company requests that the Commission 9 10 approve the base energy balancing account, or EBA, cost 11 of 1.075 billion on a total Company basis and 467.3 12 million on the Utah allocated basis, which is reflected 13 in our overall revenue requirement. We also request a true-up of PTCs in the EBA. 14 Including PTCs in the EBA will properly match 15 16 output -- the energy output of those resources with the 17 actual PTCs that are incurred. This is discussed in the testimony of Dave Webb. 18 19 The Company is seeking approval of the 20 creation of a regulatory asset for recovery of 21 unrecovered costs of -- after closure of Cholla Unit 4, 22 which the Company is retiring at the end of this year. 23 Mr. McDougal responds to the adjustments proposed on 24 this -- to this request. 25 The Company has proposed the wildland fire

- mitigation balancing account, the creation of which is supported by the wildland fire planning and cost recovery bill, or House Bill 66, which was passed by the Legislature earlier this year. The balancing account will track the cost incurred to implement the wildland fire mitigation plans that the Commission will approve, or as approved by the Commission. The balancing account mechanism and the baseline cost included in this case are not opposed by parties.
 - Next in the case includes an update to certain customer service charges on Schedule 300 and a proposal for a 50 cent bill credit for customers who opt out of receiving a paper bill. None of these charges are opposed for a credit are opposed by parties.

Lastly, the Company's application sought approval of a new program structure for the subscriber solar program. This voluntary program allows customers to cover parts or all of electric energy use from a solar resource procured specifically for that program.

This program proved to be very popular with customers and that have been fully subscribed since 2017. In light of popularity, the Company has been eager to expand the program and opted to include it in this case in order to align a new program structure with the rate design that we were contemplating, including in this case

since that affects the overall program design.

2.1

With the new program structure, if it was known, the Company was hopeful it would then be able to require a new resource to expand the program and then seek recovery of those specific rates on a more timelier basis after the case.

However, in response to the concerns raised by parties in their direct and surrebuttal testimonies, and their apparent support that the program redesign can be addressed outside of the general rate case, the Company is withdrawing its request for approval of this new structure in this case.

The Company will schedule a meeting with parties to informally discuss the program design after this case, and soon, thereafter, are hopeful to re-file the program for Commission approval. Because we are eager to move forward with the expansion of the program, the Company is hopeful that the Commission will consider a schedule that enables resolution in the first half of 2021 for the separate proceeding.

Now, in addition to myself, there are 12 witnesses in this phase of the proceeding for Rocky Mountain Power. Rick Vail, vice president of transmission services, testifies on the major new transmission projects put into service since the last

1 rate case.

James Owens, director environmental, supports the installation of selected catalatic reduction retrofit projects of the Company's jointly owned Craig and Hayden plants.

Tim Hemstreet, managing director of renewable energy development, covers the wind re-powering and Energy Vision 2020 new wind projects.

Bob Van Engelenhoven, resource development director, responds to intervener testimony regarding the Pryor Mountain wind projects. Mr. Van Engelenhoven will also provide a more recent late-breaking update on the status of Pryor Mountain.

Rick Link, vice president of resource planning and acquisition, provides the Company's economic analysis in support of the Pryor Mountain wind project, along with the economic analysis for the re-powering of Foote Creek 1, Leaning Juniper and the closure of Cholla Unit 4.

Dave Webb, manager of net power cost, supports the net power cost calculation and the inclusion of PTCs in the EBA.

Dana Ralston, senior vice president of thermal generation and mining, addresses intervener testimony regarding the outages at Lakeside Unit 2 and

1 | Blundell.

Melissa Nottingham, manager of customer advocacy, supports the updates to customer service fees and the bill credits for electronic billing.

Julie Lewis, vice president of people, responds to intervener adjustments to the Company's wage and labor expenses.

Curtis Mansfield, vice president of transmission and distribution operations, testifies to the Company's wildland fire mitigation costs and responds to intervener testimony regarding the Company's AMI project in Utah. Mr. Mansfield will also be appearing at the hearing on the pricing and cost of service phase in two weeks. His AMI was also addressed in that phase.

Nikki Kobliha, vice president and CFO and treasurer, responds to intervener testimony regarding pension settlement losses and net prepaid pension and other post-retirement assets.

Lastly, Steve McDougal, our director of revenue requirement, presents the revenue requirement and responds to various adjustments by parties. He will also offer up or discuss a ratemaking proposal to hold customers harmless for any delays in the new wind projects that are included in Schedule 1 -- or included in the January 1 base rate change, since we are dealing

1	with a rather dynamic situation in light of the current
2	circumstances we are all living under.
3	And so in summary, the Company requests that
4	the Commission approve rates reflecting the updated
5	revenue requirement as proposed and set forth in the
6	Company's rebuttal case, with the two-step base rate
7	change in 2021, along with the credits to customers for
8	the TCJA deferred tax benefits to offset and phase in the
9	base rate change over the next two years.
10	And that concludes my summary.
11	Q. Thank you, Ms. Steward.
12	MR. MOSCON: Chairman, Ms. Steward is
13	available for any questions or cross-examination.
14	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
15	I'll go to the Division of Public Utilities.
16	Mr. Jetter or Ms. Schmid, do you have questions for
17	Ms. Steward? Mr. Jetter or Ms. Schmid, do either of you
18	have questions for Ms. Steward?
19	MR. JETTER: I believe the Division has no
20	questions for Ms. Steward. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
22	Mr. Jetter.
23	Mr. Snarr or Mr. Moore, do either of you have
24	questions for Ms. Steward?
25	MR. SNARR: Yes. This is Mr. Snarr, and I

1 have just a few questions. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. SNARR: 4 0. Good morning, Ms. Steward. How are you this 5 morning? Good morning. I'm good. 6 Α. I have just a few questions. They relate to 7 Q. 8 the Pryor Mountain wind project. 9 In your rebuttal testimony, you provided the 10 Commission -- or the Company's rationale for not seeking 11 pre-approval or the Pryor Mountain wind project; isn't 12 that correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. Now as you seek recovery of the costs Okay. 15 associated with the Pryor Mountain wind project in this rate case proceeding, you agree that the Company bears 16 17 the burden of proof to demonstrate that the costs associated with that project were prudently incurred; 18 19 isn't that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And just to be clear, the cost associated Q. 22 with that wind project would include any cost increases 23 or budget overruns from the costs that were originally 24 used to justify the Company's decision to move forward;

25

isn't that correct?

A. Yes. What we were seeking are the costs we reflected in our rebuttal testimony. To the extent there are further costs incurred that go beyond that, that is not reflected in this case, and those would be subject to a later determination and probably the next general rate case.

Q. Well, let's just focus on that. I'm focusing on, I guess, with your help, three different points in time where we can look at the costs of Pryor Mountain. One point in time is before you commenced the project, at the time you made a decision to move forward with the project, and there is a certain cost level associated with that decision.

Do you agree with me on that?

- A. Yes, we had an estimated cost at that time.
 Yes.
- Q. Right. And then as you filed this rate case, you have a certain amount of reality that has occurred, including some overruns to the original assumptions; isn't that right?
- A. I don't -- I don't actually know if the costs are different from the time of the decision last year to the filed case. Mr. Van Engelenhoven is much more familiar with the -- any changes in the costs.
 - Q. Well, let me just pose it a different way.

If there are any cost overruns, as you are now seeking recovery of some level of costs in this rate case, then you certainly want to show that any of those additional costs are prudent so that you can get rate recovery of them now in this rate case.

Would you agree with me with that?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. All right. And you pose another question that, perhaps, before it is all over and completed and done, there might be additional costs, but you recognize that they might be pushed off to another rate case for recovery; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

You -- let's see, the project also involved underlying transaction with affiliate, Berkshire Hathaway Energy. You understand that there is a presumption that regulated utility must affirmatively show that it acted prudently in seeking recovery of costs in a rate proceeding when an affiliate is involved; isn't that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And isn't it also true that one of the principles that regulators sometimes rely on in scrutinizing the level of cost that they might authorize

1 for recovery in rates when dealing with an affiliate is to look to see what the amount of the costs are based on 2 3 a lower of book costs or market pricing for equipment and 4 services. 5 You are familiar with that concept, are you 6 not? 7 Α. Yes. I have no other questions. Thank you. 8 0. Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Snarr. 10 I will go to Mr. Russell next. Mr. Russell, 11 do you have any questions for Ms. Steward? 12 MR. RUSSEL: Thank you, Chairman. Yes, I do. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 By MR. RUSSEL: 15 0. Ms. Steward, in your summary, you spoke a bit about the TB Flats project and the delays associated with 16 17 that project and the Company's revenue requirement 18 requests associated with that delayed portion of the TB 19 Flats project. 20 You recall that, I assume? 21 Yeah. Α. 22 I want to talk with you just a bit about the 0. 23 Company's position there. You've indicated that the 24 Company seeks in its Step 2 rate increase that it seeks 25 to impose in the middle portion of 2021. \$22.5 million,

- I believe, associated with the delayed portion of TB Flats and Pryor Mountain. Right?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And that increase corresponds with a full year's worth of recovery for the delayed portions of those two projects. Right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the -- in the Company, in its rebuttal testimony on the revenue requirement side of this case throughout several witnesses, none of whom are you, I don't think, removed from the revenue requirement testimony, some of the PTC and REC benefits and NPC benefits associated with those projects because they would not be in service until the middle of the year; is that correct?
- A. I believe so, yes. Mr. McDougal would be the witness to address that, and that is because for PTCs in particular, we have also proposed to flow those through the EBA. So there would be -- customers are fully held harmless by that.
- Q. Okay. And so the revenue requirement associated -- and let's just focus for a second on the TB Flats project because that one that you focused some of your comments on.
 - You have indicated that you are seeking a

- full year cost recovery for that project, despite the fact that it come into service sometime around the middle of the test period. Right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the Company is also seeking cost recovery of various other projects that will come into service during the year in 2021. Right?
- A. Yes, we are. Mr. McDougal is much more familiar with those and the revenue requirement calculations. But yes, it is a forecast test period.
- Q. Yes. And I'm not going to ask you specific questions about any of those others. I'm just wondering if you can tell me what type of rate treatments the Company is expecting or is requesting with respect to those, in terms of whether you're requesting a full year's worth of revenue requirements for other projects, other than TB Flats and Pryor Mountain, that come into service during the course of the test year.
- A. Yes, for those other projects, we are requesting the traditional 13-month average calculation for rate base. For these two projects in particular, because they are very sizable, because the circumstances of this delay is outside of our control due to the

1 pandemic, we are seeking a different treatment because 2 absent this, we would be in for a major plan addition. 3 It would qualify for major plan addition case next year. 4 0. And can you tell me -- do you know, sitting 5 here today, when the TB Flats project is expected to go 6 into service? 7 Α. The end of second quarter. So I believe, and Mr. Van Engelenhoven can give you the exact day or 8 correct me, but I believe it's June 30th. 9 10 Okay. And so to get to a full year's worth 0. 11 of inservice for that project, you have to reach out into 12 the middle of 2022. Right? 13 Α. It's the full annualized revenue requirement, 14 yes. And that is outside of the 20 months 15 0. Yes. from the date that the Company filed its application in 16 this docket. Right? 17 2022 is, yes. 18 Α. 19 That's all I had. Thank you. 0. 20 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Russell. 21 Mr. Holman, do you have any questions for 22 Ms. Steward? 23 MR. HOLMAN: I have no questions. Thank you, 24 Chair LeVar. 25 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Holman.

1	Mr. Sanger?
2	MR. SANGER: I have no questions, Chairman.
3	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Sanger.
4	Mr. Boehm, do you have any questions for
5	Ms. Steward? Mr. Boehm, it looks like you are still
6	muted.
7	Okay. I'm not hearing any response, so I
8	will move back to any redirect.
9	Mr. Moscon, do you have any redirect
10	questions for Ms. Steward?
11	MR. MOSCON: No questions. Thank you very
12	much.
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Moscon.
14	Commissioner Clark, do you have any questions
15	for Ms. Steward?
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have no questions,
17	thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Allen?
19	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No questions, thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I don't have any more
21	either. So thank you for your testimony, Ms. Steward.
22	And we will go back to Rocky Mountain Power
23	for your next witness.
24	MR. MOSCON: Thank you. The Company's second
25	witness will be Mr. Richard Vail.

1	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning, Mr. Vail.
2	Do you swear to tell the truth?
3	MR. VAIL: Yes, I do.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Go ahead.
5	MR. MOSCON: Thank you.
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. MOSCON:
8	Q. Mr. Vail, will you please state your name and
9	position for the record?
10	A. Yes. It's Rick Vail, and I'm vice president
11	of transmission for PacifiCorp.
12	Q. Okay. And did you cause to be filed any
13	testimony in this phase of the proceeding?
14	A. Yes, I filed direct testimony.
15	Q. Okay. And if I'm not mistaken, that had five
16	exhibits labeled RAV-1 through RAV-5; is that correct?
17	A. That is correct. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any inaccuracies or
19	mistakes that would need to be corrected in that
20	testimony?
21	A. No, I'm not.
22	Q. Meaning, if I were to ask you the same
23	questions as listed in the testimony, your answers would
24	be the same as has been filed?
25	A. Yes, that's correct.

```
1
                MR. MOSCON:
                             Rocky Mountain Power moves for
 2
    the admission of the testimony of Mr. Rick Vail, together
 3
    with Exhibits RAV-1 through RAV-5.
 4
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to
    that motion, please indicate your objection.
 5
                I'm not seeing or hearing any objection to,
 6
    so the motion is granted.
 7
                (Testimony of Mr. Vail, with
 8
 9
                 attachments, were admitted.)
10
                MR. MOSCON:
                              Thank you.
11
    BY MR. MOSCON:
12
                Mr. Vail, do you have a summary of your
           0.
13
    testimony that you could share?
14
           Α.
                Yes, I do.
15
           Q.
                Please proceed.
16
                       Thank you, Chairman LeVar and
           Α.
                Okay.
17
    Commissioner Clark and Commissioner Allen, for the
    opportunity to testify this morning.
18
19
                I am here today to provide a summary of the
20
    testimony that I filed in the Utah general rate case.
21
    The testimony describes PacifiCorp's transmission system
22
    and the benefits that it provides to Utah customers.
23
                PacifiCorp owns and operates approximately
24
    16,500 miles of transmission lines that range in voltages
25
    from 46 kV to 500 kV across multiple western states.
```

PacifiCorp's transmission system is designed 1 2 to reliably transfer electric energy from a broad array 3 of generation resources to PacifiCorp's load. 4 PacifiCorp's interconnection to other balancing authority 5 areas and its participation in the energy and balance 6 market provide access to markets and promote affordable and reliable service to PacifiCorp's customers. 7 Further, all transmission system 8 9 generation -- excuse me, all transmission system capacity 10 increases provide benefits to customers by increasing 11 reliability and allowing more generation to interconnect 12 to serve customer load, as well as allowing PacifiCorp 13 flexibility in designating generation resources for 14 reserve capacity to comply with mandatory reliable 15 standards. There are several drivers that do inform 16 17 PacifiCorp on how to build and when to build new transmission facilities, including mandated reliability 18 19 standards, PacifiCorp's open access transmission tariff, 20 or OATT, increased demand for transmission capacity, 21 request for transmission service, and the age and the 22 condition of existing transmission facilities. 23 PacifiCorp's obligated under its OATT to plan 24 its transmission system for the open access of all transmission customers. In addition, to these more 25

general requirements, PacifiCorp must also comply with
specific requirements of the NERC mandated NERC
reliability standards.

The transmission projects outlined in my testimony were necessary to insure that the Company maintains compliance with required reliability standards to serve increased load and will provide benefits to the Company's customers.

I would like to provide a few details on some of the major projects that were included in my testimony. First off, I describe the status of PacifiCorp's construction of the Aeolus-to-Bridger/Anticline 500 kV line, and the additional 230 kV network upgrades that are associated with interconnection projects of the Energy Vision 2020 wind projects.

Specifically, I also address the current timeline and cost estimates of these projects, and I will note, a pretty exciting time right now. As of today, we have the 345 kV line from Jim Bridger over to Anticline is energized and in service, and we are actively working on getting the 500 kV line in service in the next day or so as well. All of the projects, including the 230 kV network upgrades will be included by the end of this week.

In addition to the Energy Vision 2020

1 transmission projects, I also have a number of other 2 major capital investment projects that are included in Specifically, it's the Wallula to McNary 3 this rate case. 230 kV transmission line; the Snow Goose 500/230 kV 4 substation, which is located in Oregon; the 5 6 Vantage/Pomona transmission line. That's a 230 kV line in Washington. 7 Then we have two different projects in the 8 9 Goshen, Idaho area. We have the Goshen-Sugarmill-Rigby 161 kV transmission line. And then we also have a large 10 transformer at the Goshen substation that is included in 11 12 this rate case as well. 13 Throughout my testimony, the testimony 14 demonstrates that the Company's made prudent decisions 15 related to these projects, and that these investments 16 result in immediate benefit to PacifiCorp's customers and to our customers in Utah. 17 I recommend that the Utah Public Service 18 19 Commission find these investments prudent and in the 20 public interest. Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Moscon, we are not 22 hearing you. 23 MR. MOSCON: Sorry, I think -- yes, I 24 apologize for that. 25 Mr. Vail is available for any

1 cross-examination or question to parties. 2 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 3 Mr. Moscon. 4 Mr. Jetter or Ms. Schmid? MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division. 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 7 Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Snarr or Mr. Moore? 8 9 MR. SNARR: This is Mr. Snarr. We have no 10 questions of Mr. Vail. 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 12 Mr. Russell? 13 MR. RUSSEL: Just a couple of quick 14 questions. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. RUSSELL: 17 Q. Mr. Vail, can you turn to Table 1 of your direct testimony? 18 19 Yes. Do you know which page that is on? Α. 20 Page 9. Q. 2.1 I'm there. Α. Thank you. 22 So I have a couple of questions about the 0. 23 transmission investments in that table associated with 24 the TB Flats I and Cedar Springs I projects. And let's 25 start with the TB Flats I.

Can you tell me what the nature of those
transmission investments are associated with that
project?
A. Yes. So for the TB Flats, it's a 230 kV
transmission line, I believe, that goes from Shirley
Basin to Aeolus.
Q. Is that what is wrapped up in that cost
figure in that column in the middle of this table?
A. Yes. And give me just a sec. I'm trying to
see if I have the actual exhibit for that one, and I
don't. Bear with me for one second here.
Q. Sure.
A. I just want to make sure I'm getting the
network upgrades appropriately associated with each of
the projects.
So yes, that is correct. It is the Shirley
Basin to Aeolus transmission line for that project.
Q. Okay. And are those costs associated with
interconnecting that project, or is it is it
interconnection service or is it some other service
that
A. This is
Q. Go ahead.
A. Yes, it is interconnection service. When you
have a generation interconnect, usually you have two sets

of costs: One is the direct assigned costs, which are 1 the costs that go straight to the generator; and then we 3 even we have what we call our point of interconnection. 4 And then from the point of interconnection on would be 5 what we call a network upgrade cost. These are network upgrade costs associated with interconnection agreement 6 of that facility. 7 Okay. Thank you very much. And I'm going to 8 0. ask, essentially, the same set of questions related to 9 10 Cedar Springs wind 1. 11 Is that answer basically the same, other then 12 the specific transmission line associated? 13 Α. The's correct. It is the Aeolus to Freezeout 14 transmission line that is associated with the Cedar 15 Springs line. And you are correct, that that is the 16 network upgrade associated with interconnecting that 17 facility to the system. 18 That's all I had. Thank you. 0. 19 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Russell. 20 Mr. Holman, do you have any questions? 21 No questions, Mr. Chair. MR. HOLMAN: 22 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 23 Mr. Sanger? 24 MR. SANGER: No questions, Chair. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: 25 Thank you.

1	Mr. Boehm?
2	Okay. I will go back to Mr. Moscon. Do you
3	have any redirect for this witness?
4	MR. MOSCON: No redirect. Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Allen, do
6	you have any questions?
7	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No questions. Thank
8	you.
9	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I also have no
11	questions. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And I don't either, so
13	thank you for your testimony this morning, Mr. Vail.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And we will go back to
16	Rocky Mountain Power for your next witness.
17	MR. MOSCON: Thank you. Our next witness is
18	going to be Mr. James Owen. All right.
19	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning, Mr. Owen.
20	Do you swear to tell the truth?
21	MR. OWEN: Good morning. I do.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Go ahead.
23	MR. MOSCON: Thank you.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. MOSCON:

1	Q. Mr. Owen, can you please state your name and
2	position on the record?
3	A. My name is James C. Owen. I currently serve
4	as the director of environmental for Rocky Mountain
5	Power.
6	Q. Okay. And would you please identify any
7	testimony that you filed in this phase of the proceeding?
8	A. I filed direct testimony in this phase of the
9	proceeding.
10	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any inaccuracies in
11	that testimony that would need to be corrected today?
12	A. No, I'm not.
13	Q. So if we were to ask you the same questions
14	that are listed on the in your testimony, your answers
15	would be the same?
16	A. Yes, that is correct.
17	Q. Okay. And you had no exhibits to your
18	testimony; is that correct?
19	A. I did not.
20	MR. MOSCON: Rocky Mountain Power moves for
21	the admission of the direct testimony of James Owen.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to
23	that motion, please state your objection.
24	I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion
25	is granted.

1 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. 2 (Direct testimony of Mr. Owen 3 was admitted.) BY MR. MOSCON: 4 Mr. Owen, do you have a summary of your 5 0. 6 testimony that you can share? T do. 7 Α. Please proceed. 8 0. 9 Α. Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 10 members of the Commission, my testimony in this case 11 supports the prudence of certain selective catalytic 12 reduction, or SCR, retrofit project installed since the 13 Company's last general rate case. 14 Specifically, my testimony supports the SCR projects at Craig Unit 2 and Hayden Unit 2, which have 15 16 been in service since December 2017 and August 2016, 17 respectfully. The SCRs at both facilities were installed 18 in accordance with state and federal environmental 19 compliance requirements for the individual unit, as well 20 as for continued, safe, reliable and cost-effective 21 operation of the facilities. No parties in this case 22 have contested the SCR retrofit project. 23 The SCR retrofit project for Craig Unit 2, 24 which costs \$37.8 million on a total Company basis, and 25 Hayden Unit 2, which costs \$9.7 million on a total

Company basis, were prudent and in the public interest. 1 2 The projects were required to comply with 3 environmental law; namely, the Regional Haze rule, 4 established pursuant to the Clean Air Act by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, and administered 5 6 by respective state agencies in which the units are located. In this case, the state of Colorado. 7 The Craiq Unit 2 SCR was required to be 8 installed by January 30, 2018, under the Regional Haze 9 10 rule and the associated Colorado Regional Haze state 11 implementation plan, or SIP. PacifiCorp is a joint owner 12 in Craig Unit 2. 13 The terms and conditions of joint ownership 14 in the unit are governed by a participation agreement 15 called the Craig Participation Agreement. The Craig 16 Participation Agreement mandates the installation of 17 capital improvements that are required by applicable law. 18 The Craig Participation Agreement also places an 19 independent obligation on Tri-State Generation and 20 Transmission Association, Inc., as operating agents to 21 operate Craig Unit 2 in accordance with applicable law. 22 Although the Company voted against the Craig 23 Unit 2 SCR project in favor of accelerated closure, as a 24 minority owner, the Company recognized that its vote 25 alone would not change the outcome. The other joint

owners voted yes on the project, and the Company remained obligated to pay its share of the Craig Unit 2 SCR.

The Hayden Unit 2 SCR, also required by Colorado's Regional Haze SIP, to be installed no later than December 31, 2016. The EPA published its approval of the Colorado Regional Haze SIP in the federal register on December 31, 2012. EPA's final approval made these emission reduction compliance requirements at Hayden Unit 2 federally enforceable, in addition to being enforceable under state law.

As with the Craig Participation Agreement, the terms and conditions of joint ownership in Hayden
Unit 2 are governed by a participation agreement called the Hayden Participation Agreement, which, likewise, mandates the installation of capital improvements that are required by applicable law. The Hayden Participation Agreement also places an independent obligation on the Public Service Company of Colorado as operating agent to operate Hayden Unit 2 in accordance with applicable law.

The emissions control retrofit projects included in this proceeding were required to continue operations in Colorado, in accordance with state and federal and environmental compliance requirements. These environmental compliance projects have allowed the retrofitted facilities to continue to operate as low-cost

1	generation resources for the benefit of the Company's
2	customers.
3	Therefore, I recommend that the Commission
4	approve each of these projects described in my testimony
5	for inclusion in rates.
6	This concludes my summary. Thank you.
7	Q. Thank you, Mr. Owen.
8	MR. MOSCON: Mr. Owen is available for any
9	questions or cross-examination.
10	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Jetter or
11	Ms. Schmid, any questions for Mr. Owen?
12	MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division.
13	Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
15	Mr. Snarr or Mr. Moore?
16	MR. SNARR: We have no questions on behalf of
17	the Office.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Russell?
19	MR. RUSSEL: Just a couple.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. RUSSEL:
22	Q. And if I can direct your attention, Mr. Owen,
23	to line 89 of your direct testimony.
24	A. I'm on 89.
25	Q. Great. This is the portion of your direct

1 testimony that you refer to in your summary, related to 2 the Company's independent assessment of the Craiq 2 Unit 3 around the decision to install the SCR. 4 Α. That's correct. Okay. You indicate in this portion of your 5 0. testimony that the Company independently assessed the 6 benefits associated with the Craig Unit 2 SCR project 7 against the hypothetical scenario that assumed the 8 Company could unilaterally effectuate an accelerated 9 10 shutdown of the unit. 11 Can you tell me what assume -- what year the 12 Company assumed in that assessment, that the unit would 13 shut down? 14 Α. I would have to defer to Mr. Link for 15 verification, but I think that, typically, when these 16 analyses are completed, you would evaluate a comparison 17 of a shutdown in this hypothetical scenario against the timeline that you would be required to install SCR. 18 19 So it would be the same -- rather than Okay. 0. 20 install it in whatever year X, you would just shut it 21 down in that year? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 Q. Okay. All right. That's helpful.

> Advanced Reporting Solutions 801-746-5080

was done in July of 2013. When was the SIP ruling

You indicate that that independent assessment

24

associated with the Regional Haze SIP ruling
associated with that project that you assert and
necessitated the SCR? When was that done?
A. So that was published in the federal register
by the EPA on December 31st of 2012, and that became
effective on January 30th of 2013.
Q. Do you know whether PacifiCorp involved
itself or participated in the decision that was issued by
the EPA on that SIP related to the Craig Unit 2?
A. Can you clarify what you mean by
"participated in the decision"?
Q. Yes. I am just wondering if any of this type
of economic assessment associated with the early closure
of Craig Unit 2 was presented to the EPA in the context
of its decision-making process associated with that SIP.
A. I do not believe so, but that is subject to
check.
Q. Okay. Thank you. That is all I have.
COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Russell.
Mr. Holman, do you have any questions?
MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Thank you, Chair.
COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
Mr. Sanger?
MR. SANGER: No questions, Chair. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Sanger.

1	Mr. Boehm? I'm not hearing any desire for
2	questions.
3	So Mr. Moscon, do you have any redirect?
4	MR. MOSCON: No redirect questions.
5	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
6	Commissioner Allen, do you have any
7	questions?
8	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No questions. Thank
9	you.
10	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
11	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank
12	you.
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I don't either, so thank
14	you for your testimony this morning, Mr. Owen.
15	And we will go back to Rocky Mountain Power
16	for your next witness.
17	MR. MOSCON: Thank you. I'm going to turn
18	the steering wheel over to my colleague, Ms. Lauren
19	Shurman, who is going to lead Mr. Hemstreet in the
20	presentation of his testimony and summary.
21	MS. SHURMAN: Okay. Good morning,
22	Commissioners, Mr. Chairman, and it look like
23	Mr. Hemstreet is with us as our next witness.
24	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning,
25	Ms. Shurman and Mr. Hemstreet.

1	Mr. Hemstreet, do you swear to tell the
2	truth?
3	MR. HEMSTREET: I do.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Go ahead.
5	MS. SHURMAN: Okay. Thank you.
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. SHURMAN:
8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hemstreet. Would you
9	please state and spell your name for the record?
10	A. Timothy Hemstreet, T-I-M-O-T-H-Y, Hemstreet,
11	H-E-M-S-T-R-E-E-T.
12	Q. Okay. And will you please state your
13	employer and your position with the Company?
14	A. My position is managing director of renewable
15	energy development.
16	Q. Did you cause to be filed direct testimony in
17	this proceeding?
18	A. Yes, I did, as well as rebuttal testimony.
19	Q. Okay. And your direct testimony included
20	Exhibits TJH-1 through 4; is that correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. And your rebuttal testimony, that
23	included TJH-1 to your rebuttal; is that correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Okay. Do you have any changes to that

Τ	pre-filed testimony that you would like to make?
2	A. No, I do not.
3	Q. Okay. So if I were to ask you the same
4	questions set forth in your pre-filed testimony, your
5	answers today would be the same as set forth therein?
6	A. Yes, they would.
7	MS. SHURMAN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I would
8	move to admit the direct and rebuttal testimony of
9	Mr. Hemstreet, including Exhibits Direct Exhibits TJH
10	1 through 4, and Rebuttal Exhibit TJH-1.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Does anyone object to
12	that motion please state your objection?
13	I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion
14	is granted. Thank you.
15	(Direct and rebuttal testimony of
16	Mr. Hemstreet, with attachments,
17	were admitted.)
18	BY MS. SHURMAN:
19	Q. Okay. Mr. Hemstreet, have you prepared a
20	summary of your testimony?
21	A. Yes, I have.
22	Q. Okay. Please proceed.
23	A. Good morning, my testimony demonstrates that
24	the Company had prudentially managed the development and
25	construction of the Energy Vision 2020 wind re-powering

1	and new wind projects, which will provide significant
2	customer benefits to Utah customers over the next several
3	decades.
4	Re-powering the existing Company-owned wind
5	fleet was approved by the Public Service Commission of
6	Utah, in Docket No. 17-035-39, and the new wind projects
7	were approved by the Commission in Docket No. 17-035-40.
8	As noted in my testimony, all the wind
9	re-powering projects that were preapproved by the
LO	Commission are now in service and are benefiting
L1	customers, and the total cost of these projects is less
L2	than the amount that was preapproved by the Commission.
L3	In addition to these preapproved projects,
L4	the Company also re-powered the Leaning Juniper project
L5	after improving its cost and performance so the project
L6	delivered customer benefits on par with the re-powering
L7	projects that were preapproved.
L8	Additionally, the Company moves forward with
L9	re-powering the Foote Creek 1 facility, its oldest wind
20	facility, and this project is anticipated to deliver
21	benefits that are greater than 9 of 12 of the re-powering
22	projects that were preapproved.
23	Because the Leaning Juniper and Foote Creek 1
24	re-powering projects provide benefits to customers that

match or exceed the benefits of the re-powering projects

that were preapproved by the Commission, the Company
should be allowed to recover the costs of these
additional beneficial re-powering projects.

With respect to the new wind projects that were preapproved in Docket No. 17-035-40 and the Foote Creek 1 re-powering project that is now in construction, the Company has prudently managed the implementation of the projects in the face of extraordinarily challenging conditions resulting from COVID-19 pandemic. The Company had worked diligently with its suppliers and contractors to mitigate the impacts of equipment delivery delays and to keep workers and the public safe.

These efforts are aimed at bringing these beneficial projects online as soon as practicable, while managing cost impacts associated with an extended construction schedule. Given the one-year extension and the deadline for bringing the projects online to qualify for the full value of the federal production tax credit, there is no threat to the ability of the projects to deliver the full PTC benefits.

But given the construction delays, the Company will bring the TB Flats project online in a phased manner. This will allow customers to begin receiving the benefits of a portion of the project as soon as possible in 2020, with the entire project

1 completing construction in 2021. 2 I respectfully request that the Commission 3 allow the Company to recover its cost for these 4 beneficial wind re-powering and new wind projects requested in this proceeding to assist the Company in 5 meeting the future energy needs of our Utah customers. 6 7 Thank you. And Mr. Hemstreet is available 8 MS. SHURMAN: 9 for cross-examination or questions. 10 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 11 Mr. Jetter or Ms. Schmid, do you have any 12 questions for Mr. Hemstreet? 13 MS. SCHMID: The Division has a few questions 14 for Mr. Hemstreet. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MS. SCHMID: Good morning. 17 Q. How are you? 18 Good morning. I'm fine. Α. 19 In your testimony, you gave some status 0. 20 updates with regard to particular projects. Your 2.1 rebuttal testimony was filed on October 6th, and today is 22 November 3rd, so I would like to ask you for some 23 additional updates. 24 Could you please talk about the status and 25 expenses associated with TB Flats 1 and 2?

1	A. Sure. The project, the TB Flats, we have
2	about all the equipment delivered that's planned to be
3	installed this year, so that equipment is now on-site and
4	being erected. We're about, I think, 40 percent
5	complete, in terms of mechanical completion of the
6	project of the ones that will be in service this year.
7	And at this time, we have no further updates
8	on the cost of the project. We anticipate those are
9	still in line with my rebuttal testimony.
10	Q. When will all of the project elements be in
11	commercial operation for TB Flats 1 and 2?
12	A. For both phases, by the end of June 2021, is
13	what we've projected at this time.
14	Q. Okay. And I had the same questions with
15	regard to Ekola Flats?
16	A. Yes, Ekola Flats, that project is still
17	anticipated to be fully online by the end of this year.
18	And also no new updates in terms of cost on that project.
19	Q. For Cedar Springs?
20	A. That project also expects to be online in
21	December of this year, and no changes to the cost as
22	well.
23	Q. For Dunlap if I heard you correctly, I
24	think you said Dunlap was in service?
25	A. Correct. Dunlap achieved commercial

1 operation on September 7th. 2 And for Foote Creek? 0. Okay. 3 Foote Creek also anticipated to be online at Α. the end of this year. 4 Those are all my questions. 5 0. Thank you very much. 6 7 Α. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Schmid. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: 8 9 Mr. Snarr, do you have any questions for 10 Mr. Hemstreet? 11 No questions from the Office. MR. SNARR: 12 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 13 Mr. Russell? 14 MR. RUSSEL: Yes, I have a few questions. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. RUSSEL: 17 0. Good morning, Mr. Hemstreet. 18 Α. Good morning. 19 So I've got questions that relate to the 0. 20 re-powering projects. Can you just give me a general 21 timeline of when -- for the re-powering projects, when 22 the assets associated with the previous iteration of 23 these projects were retired? 24 Α. Well, for each project, you know, the 25 projects that went into service last year, I mean,

- 1 construction started at various times. I mean,
- 2 generally, the duration of those projects was about three
- 3 | months, so we started taking off the first term in a
- 4 | particular project, typically, about three months before
- 5 | it achieved commercial operation.
- And then, you know, turbines were retired
- 7 over the course of construction period. Some were
- 8 | retired, you know, and then just replaced the new
- 9 turbine, you know, say 11 days or so after that turbine
- 10 | was removed from service.
- 11 Q. And can you give me, sort of, a time frame on
- 12 | a calendar when that started in -- you know, through what
- 13 dates it has been continuing?
- A. Well, I mean, our first project we started
- 15 | was the Glenrock site. You know, I think -- I think, if
- 16 | I recall, I think about April 1st or so was the first
- 17 | turbine down there.
- 18 Q. Was that this year? My apologies.
- 19 A. That was last year, no.
- 20 Q. Last year? Thank you.
- 21 A. Yes. And the Dunlap project, I'm more
- 22 | familiar with this year. We took the first turbine down
- 23 on June 1st, and that project was in commercial operation
- 24 | September 7th.

Q. Okay. Thank you. I want to switch gears a

- little bit to ask a couple questions about the production tax credits. You mentioned in your summary that the -- that congress's extension of the PTCs to allow recovery for five years after the start allows you to recover the full PTCs for those projects that are being delayed into 2021; is that right?
 - A. Correct.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not trying to put words in your mouth.
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. I'm just trying to set up the question.
- I'm curious whether the Company has done any assessment of when it expects the PTCs associated with those two projects, TB Flats 2 and Pryor Mountain, when it expects the PTCs to run out. When -- does the delay associated with those projects extend the PTC period at all?
- A. Well, once a turbine is commissioned -- so the PTCs are awarded, essentially, on a turbine-by-turbine basis, and so you get ten years after a turbine is commissioned for that to claim tax benefits for that turbine.
- Q. Okay. So it's not on a project-by-project basis. It is based on each turbine?
 - A. I mean, it can go either way. The IRS

```
1
    definition can be as precise as a particular turbine.
 2
    So, you know, the extension -- the delay of the
    construction of these projects doesn't mean that, oh,
 3
 4
    gosh, we're only going to get nine and a half years of
    PTCs. Because we claim the PTCs from the commercial
 5
    operation of that turbine or that circuit or whenever we
 6
 7
    put it in commercial operation, we get ten years of PTCs
 8
    after.
 9
                       That's what I had.
                                            Thank you.
           0.
                Okay.
10
           Α.
                Sure.
11
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Russell.
12
                Mr. Holman, do you have any questions for
13
    this witness?
14
                MR. HOLMAN:
                             No questions.
                                             Thank you, Chair.
15
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay.
                                             Thank you.
16
                Mr. Sanger?
17
                MR. SANGER:
                             No questions, Chair. Thank you.
18
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                      Thank you.
19
                Mr. Boehm?
20
                Ms. Shurman, any redirect?
2.1
                MS. SHURMAN: No redirect.
22
                                             Commissioner
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                      Okay.
23
    Allen, do you have any questions?
24
                COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No questions.
                                                     Thank
25
    you.
```

1	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank you
3	very much.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I also do not have any
5	questions. So Mr. Hemstreet, thank you for your
6	testimony this morning.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And we will take a short
9	recess right now. Why don't we take a 15-minute recess,
10	and then we will return for Rocky Mountain Power's next
11	witness.
12	(Whereupon, a break was taken.)
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: We will move to Rocky
14	Mountain Power for your next witness.
15	MS. SHURMAN: Thank you. Rocky Mountain
16	Power calls Robert Van Engelenhoven. Will you please
17	swear the witness?
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
19	Mr. Van Engelenhoven, do you swear to tell
20	the truth?
21	MR. VAN ENGELENHOVEN: I do.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Go
23	ahead.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MS. SHURMAN:

1	Q. Good morning, Mr. Van Engelenhoven.
2	MS. SHURMAN: Go ahead. Thank you.
3	BY MS. SHURMAN:
4	Q. Mr. Van Engelenhoven, will you please state
5	and spell your name for the record?
6	A. My name is Robert Van Engelenhoven, spelled
7	R-O-B-E-R-T, space, capital V A-N, space, capital E
8	N-G-E-L-E-N-H-O-V-E-N.
9	Q. Thank you. And would you please state your
10	employer and your position with the Company?
11	A. I am with PacifiCorp, and I am the resource
12	development director.
13	Q. And would you please briefly describe your
14	job responsibilities as the resource director?
15	A. I've worked for PacifiCorp for 27 years
16	managing large capital projects and PacifiCorp's
17	generation business, including numerous large retrofits
18	of existing coal units and the development and the
19	construction of each of its four gas-fired generating
20	facilities and also the decommissioning and demolition of
21	carbon plant.
22	I have also worked in the transmission and
23	distribution business unit on the development and
24	construction of their large capital projects as well.
25	Q. Okay. And Mr. Van Engelenhoven, did you

1	cause direct testimony to be filed in the depreciation
2	docket in this matter, which is Docket 18-35-36?
3	A. I did.
4	Q. And that included Exhibit RV-1; is that
5	correct?
6	A. Yes. That's correct.
7	Q. Okay. And do you have any changes to that
8	testimony?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Okay. If I were to ask you the same
11	questions in your depreciation testimony, today your
12	answers would be the same as those set forth in your
13	rebuttal testimony?
14	A. They would.
15	Q. Okay.
16	MS. SHURMAN: Mr. Chairman, I would move to
17	admit the depreciation testimony from Mr. Van
18	Engelenhoven and Exhibit RV-1, thereto.
19	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to
20	that motion, please state your objection.
21	I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion
22	is granted. Thank you.
23	(Depreciation testimony of Mr. Van
24	Engelenhoven, with attachments,
25	were admitted.)

MS. SHURMAN: 1 Thank you. 2 BY MS. SHURMAN: 3 Q. And Mr. Van Engelenhoven, did you also cause 4 direct testimony to be filed in this proceeding, including Exhibit RV-1, thereto? 5 Α. Yes, I did. 6 Okay. And did you also file rebuttal 7 Q. testimony? 8 I did file rebuttal testimony, yes. 9 Α. 10 0. And do you have any updates or Okay. 11 corrections to your pre-filed testimony? 12 Yes, I have one correction and one update. Α. 13 have one correction to my direct testimony. On page 6 14 line 20, the capacity factor in my testimony was shown 15 incorrectly and was corrected in an errata that was 16 issued on November 2nd. The corrected capacity factor 17 was only incorrect in my testimony, and the correct value has been used for all calculations and assessments of 18 19 this project, that being the Pryor Mountain project. 20 In addition, I have a project update to my 21 rebuttal testimony, referencing -- referencing page 2, 22 The Company has updated our forecasted lines 41 to 43. 23 circuit inservice dates. Instead of forecasting circuits 24 1 through 8 in service in 2020 and the remainder 25 inservice by the end of second quarter of 2021, we now

forecast circuits 1 through 4, 80 megawatts, in service in 2020, circuits 5 through 8, 80 megawatts in service early in 2021, and circuits 9 through 12, 80 megawatts remaining in service by the end of the second -- sorry, remain in service by the end of second quarter 2021.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This updated forecast is the result of recent developments over the past two weeks, specifically we have experienced a delay in energizing our substation due to late equipment deliveries, modification required to our main power transformer, and we are working through commissioning and -- issues.

We are continuing to assess these situations and are working to increase the number of wind turbines placed in service in 2020.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Van Engelenhoven. Other than those two edits that you mentioned, if I were to ask you the same questions that are in your pre-filed testimony, would your answers be the same sitting here today?
 - A. Yes, they would be the same.
- MS. SHURMAN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I move to admit the direct testimony of Mr. Van Engelenhoven and Exhibit RV-1, and the rebuttal testimony, including the errata that was filed yesterday and the correction or the edit that Mr. Van Engelenhoven just made.

COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to

```
1
    that motion, please indicate your objection?
 2
                I'm not seeing or hearing any, so the motion
 3
    is granted.
 4
                (Direct and rebuttal testimony of
 5
                 Mr. Van Engelenhoven, with attachments,
 6
                 were admitted.)
 7
                MS. SHURMAN: Thank you.
    BY MS. SHURMAN:
 8
                Mr. Van Engelenhoven, have you prepared a
 9
           0.
10
    summary of your testimony?
11
                Yes, I have a summary.
           Α.
12
                Okay. Please proceed with that.
           0.
13
           Α.
                Good morning, Chairman LeVar, Commissioner
14
    Clark and Commissioner Allen.
                In this rate case proceeding, I have provided
15
16
    testimony regarding the Pryor Mountain wind project and
17
    Naughton Unit 3 conversion to natural gas.
                                                 In the
    depreciation proceeding, I provided testimony supporting
18
19
    the decommissioning studies filed in January and March
20
    2020.
21
                The Pryor Mountain wind project will be
22
    located on a site in Carbon County, Montana, and will
23
    have a nameplate capacity of 240 megawatts. The project
24
    is comprised of 114 wind turbine generators and required
25
    support facilities. The opportunity to capture customer
```

1 benefits resulting from the acquisition, development and 2 implementation of the project began in October 2018, and 3 the final terms of all material agreements were completed 4 by September 2019. In parallel negotiations of an Oregon Schedule 272 REC purchase agreement with Vitesse, LLC, 5 6 for the sale of renewable energy credits, or RECs, associated with the output of the project was finalized 7 in June 2019. 8 The original inservice date for the Pryor 9 10 Mountain wind project was December 2020, which made it 11 eligible for the full rate or 100 percent of the 12 production tax credits, or PTCs. Under prior Internal 13 Revenue Service guidance, the qualifying -- to qualify 14 for eligible PTCs, the Pryor Mountain project will deploy safe harbor wind turbine equipment. However, 15 16 construction has been impacted by vendor notification of 17 the disruption of the worldwide supply chain due to the COVID-19 pandemic. 18 19 Following notice of delayed deliveries of all 20 wind turbine components from our supplier, Vestas 21 American Wind Technology, Inc., the Company negotiated a 22 change order with Vestas to complete deliveries by November 7, 2020. 23 In order to place as many turbines in 24 service as possible by the end of 2020, the Company 25 developed a plan that includes utilizing wind turbine

pre-commissioning by the wind turbine supplier and placing the project's 12 collector circuits in service circuit-by-circuit instead of all at one time.

Through this effort, the Company, as I previously indicated, has updated our forecast. While we expect to complete construction on circuits 1 through 8 by the end of December 2020, as previously forecasted, we planned to place circuits 1 through 4, or 80 megawatts, in service in 2020, circuits 5 through 8, an additionally 80 megawatts, in service early in 2021, and circuits 9 through 12, 80 megawatts in service, as previously planned by the end of the second quarter of 2021.

The entirety of the project continues to be eligible for 100 percent PTCs. In May 2020, the Internal Revenue Service issued revised guidance. Specifically, the continuity safe harbor was extended to five calendar years for projects that began construction in 2016 and 2017.

Pryor Mountain wind project has a 2016 start of construction date. The continuity requirement will be met if the project is placed in service by December 31, 2021, allowing it to qualify for 100 percent PTCs. Thus, the OCS's concern that any delay in the project affects the ability to qualify for the full value of the PTCs is misplaced. The OCS incorrectly asserts that the

- Company's acquisition and use of wind turbine generators
 acquired from Berkshire Hathaway Energy Renewable, or
 BHER, for the Pryor Mountain wind project was a way to
 allow BHER to use such inventory before the PTCs started
 phasing out and the inventory losing value.

 The purchase of this equipment was
 - The purchase of this equipment was contemplated due to the limited availability and pricing volatility of the wind turbine equipment that could be installed in 2020 and the late-stage development and time-limited nature of the project.

The purchase of these components provided the Company the opportunity to require components already manufactured and in storage from BHER at their cost, which was the competitive market price at the time of their purchase in 2016. The transaction ensured qualification of the entire project for the full value of the available federal wind energy production tax credits.

With respect to the Naughton Unit 3 -- I'm sorry, with respect to Naughton Unit 3, the Company was required to cease coal-fueled operation at Unit 3 on January 30, 2019, to maintain compliance with certain environmental regulations.

The Company converted Naughton Unit 3 to natural gas fuel, which increased the unit's generating capacity when fueled by natural gas from 35 megawatts to

1 247 megawatts. No intervener has contested the Company's
2 investment in the conversion of Naughton Unit 3 to
3 natural gas.

Finally, the decommissioning studies were prepared by Kiewit Engineering Group, Inc., an independent third-party contractor, with input from independent specialized subcontractors, and contain the cost estimates to decommission, decontaminate, demolish and reclaim certain coal-fired generating units. The decommissioning studies provided an association for the advancement of cost engineers, or AACE, Class 3 estimate for demolition, salvage and scrap cost for the facilities studied, which has an expected accuracy of minus 20 percent to plus 30 percent.

This is an improvement over the previous decommissioning cost estimates, which were extrapolated from an AACE Class 5 estimate for the demolition of a limited subset of PacifiCorp's owned and operated coal-fueled facilities. The cost contained in the decommissioning study are reasonable and uncontested.

In conclusion, the Company respectfully requests the its prudently incurred investments in Pryor Mountain wind project and the Naughton Unit 3 natural gas conversion be approved. And also requests the Commission approve the estimated costs contained in the

1	decommissioning study. Thank you.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	MS. SHURMAN: Mr. Van Engelenhoven is
4	available for cross and questions.
5	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
6	Ms. Shurman, do you have any questions for
7	Mr. Van Engelenhoven?
8	MS. SCHMID: Did you ask for Ms. Schmid? I'm
9	sorry. I couldn't hear.
10	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I'm sorry if I was
11	MS. SCHMID: You cut out, and I don't know if
12	it's my connection or something else.
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Ms. Peterson, is my
14	volume coming through to you? I am seeing a nod. Okay.
15	Ms. Schmid, can you hear me?
16	MS. SCHMID: Yes, I can now. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Do you have any
18	questions for Mr. Van Engelenhoven?
19	MS. SCHMID: Just a few. Thank you.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. SCHMID:
22	Q. Good morning, Mr. Van Engelenhoven.
23	A. Good morning.
24	Q. I have some questions about how the Company
25	is going to place groups of circuits in service.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With regard to circuits 1 -- 1 through 4, will those circuits be connected and then disconnected before the next group, 5 through 8, is connected? Α. I'm sorry, are you talking -- connected, are you talking about energized? Q. To meet the PTC inservice requirement. Right. Okay. Yes, they will be -- circuits Α. 1 through 4 will be placed in service. We will do that circuit by circuit. We will commission each wind turbine separately, but we will place it in circuit -- or I'm sorry, in service circuit by circuit. 1 through 4 by the end of 2020, and then 5 through 8 -- 1 through 4, if you are asking me -- it will remain in service, and then we will place an additional 80 megawatts. The original planned 160 megawatts then will be placed in service in early -- in January of 2021. Did that -- did that respond to your question? 0. It did. Thank you. That was very helpful. Did the application costs include the cost of doing the circuits in groups? Can you say the question again? The -- you Α. said the application costs? 0. Yes. I believe that the Company filed an application for approval of Pryor Mountain; is that

1	right?
2	A. I'm not sure what you're exactly what
3	you're referring to. Can you reference me a document
4	or
5	Q. No. Are the costs let me start again and
6	see if I can do better.
7	Are the costs of putting the circuits in, 1
8	through 4 and then 5 through 8 and 9 through 12, the same
9	as putting the costs for putting all the circuits, 1
10	through 12, in service at the same time?
11	A. In the situation we currently are dealing
12	with, that is the delays due to COVID-19, yes, the costs
13	would be the same.
14	Q. Thank you. Those are all my questions.
15	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Ms. Schmid.
16	Mr. Snarr or Mr. Moore? Mr. Snarr, do you have questions
17	for Mr. Van Engelenhoven?
18	MR. SNARR: Yes, just a few questions, if I
19	might.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Go ahead.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. SNARR:
23	Q. Good morning.
24	A. Good morning.
25	Q. I would like to ask you just a few questions

1	concerning the Pryor Mountain wind project that you have
2	addressed.
3	Turning to your direct testimony at pages 4
4	and 5, you describe sources for the wind turbine
5	generators to be incorporate into the Pryor Mountain wind
6	project; isn't that correct?
7	A. Can you give me just a minute to get there?
8	Page 4 and 5?
9	Q. Yes.
10	A. Okay. I'm at page 4 and 5 of my direct
11	testimony. Right?
12	Q. Yes, I believe that's right.
13	Is it accurate to say that the number of
14	Vestas wind turbine generators were acquired from
15	Berkshire Hathaway?
16	A. That a number of them were?
17	Q. Yes. How many were acquired?
18	A. 73.
19	Q. Okay. And those generators have been
20	purchased prior to the end of 2016; is that right?
21	A. Yes. They were purchased by Berkshire
22	Hathaway Energy Renewable, BHER, in 2016. That's
23	correct.
24	Q. Now, also in your direct testimony, I think
25	this is at lines 98 through 100, you indicated and I

will read this. You can check me if I'm right or wrong. 1 2 "The Company completed a competitive market solicitation 3 for the follow-on WTG equipment required to complete the 4 nominal 240 megawatt Pryor Mountain wind project." Did I read that correctly? 5 Yes, that's correct. 6 Α. So these additional pieces of WTG follow-on 7 Q. equipment were acquired from nonaffiliated competitive 8 9 sources; is that correct? 10 Was the -- yes, that's correct. Α. 11 Were there other wind turbines acquired in Q. 12 addition to ones you purchased from Berkshire Hathaway? 13 Α. Let me try and more fully explain that. Yes. 14 So we acquired -- we did not acquire complete wind turbines from BHER. We acquired only the nacelles and 15 16 These are -- I'm going to use some component hubs. 17 names, jargon here, if you will, to try to keep that to a 18 minimum. 19 What we did then, because that contract included all of the additional accessories and including 20 2.1 delivery, we rolled that into a single contract with 22 Vestas that included the competitively solicit additional 23 number of wind turbines required to build out the full 24 114 at the site.

> Advanced Reporting Solutions 801-746-5080

And it also included then -- for the 73

1	nacelles and hubs that we purchased from BHER, it
2	included towers and blades, as well as, again, switch
3	gears and other accessories.
4	So that contract actually comprised the total
5	of 110 wind turbines.
6	Q. And when was that contract executed?
7	A. Subject to check, I believe it was either
8	August or September of 2019. I don't have the exact date
9	on the top of my head.
LO	Q. Now, much has been made of the safe harbor
L1	aspect of this purchase of the WTGs that were apparently
L2	owned or being warehoused by Berkshire Hathaway.
L3	Is it true that the other Vestas wind
L4	turbines acquired directly from Vestas did not have any
L5	safe harbor attributes?
L6	A. That's correct. They are they have no
L7	safe harbor attribute.
L8	Q. And
L9	A. I'm sorry, as neither do the blades and
20	towers that we acquired. The additional equipment that
21	was acquired, that is anything that was not purchased in
22	2016, did not have a safe harbor attribute.
23	Q. So what is the significance of the safe
24	harbor attribute if we've got just a major segment of the

wind turbines being purchased prior to 2016 and not

necessarily all the equipment?

- A. Maybe -- could you say your question again?

 I'm not sure I'm tracking with you on that.
 - Q. Let me try to rephrase it. Thank you.

What's the PTC status of those aspects of the Pryor Mountain project that are not associated with the turbines being purchased from Berkshire Hathaway that have that safe harbor attribute?

- A. Okay. So the safe harbor continuity requires essentially 5 percent of the project. If you put up 5 percent of the total project value, based on the 2016 attribute, safe harbor attribute, then the entire project is essentially eligible then -- through that action is eligible for 100 percent PTCs.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Did they respond, sir?
- Q. That provides an appropriate clarification.

 Thank you.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Now, with respect to the wind turbines purchased from Berkshire Hathaway, have you examined the total cost of the purchase made from Berkshire Hathaway and considered how much more or less than 5 percent that represents in the anticipated completed project for Pryor Mountain?

- A. Let me try -- let me try and answer that. So
 to -- of the 73 nacelles and hubs that we purchased from
 BHER, 21 of those are required to safe harbor the entire
 project. And if my mental math is correct here, 52 then
 are additional turbines that we acquired from them to
 reduce the risk of the project.
 - Q. Okay. Let me ask some questions, and I'm not trying to dig deep into confidential information, but I think you can help me conceptually on this.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Of the 52 wind turbines, or parts as you have described it, that you acquired from Berkshire

 Hathaway -- and you acquired that and booked it through at a book cost; is that right?
- A. That's correct. It was acquired at their cost.
- Q. And have you compared that to the similar components of what you were acquiring from Vestas directly for the other non-safe harbored wind turbines? What did you pay Vestas as compared to what you paid to Berkshire Hathaway?
- A. When we assembled that all into a single contract, we got a per unit, essentially, a per wind turbine price. It is actually listed in the contract, which, I believe, we provided copies of. And that price

was market comparable at the time. That is, at the time
in 2019 when we were out in the market with the
competitive solicitation and with other suppliers.

2.1

- Q. And with the -- again, keeping it kind of on a high level, was the market price with those wind turbines you are acquiring directly from Vestas more or less than the price, the book price, you were paying Berkshire Hathaway?
- A. Well, they were -- the difference is, is those were complete wind turbines. We purchased those as complete wind turbines, and we purchased components from BHER. So when we put the contract together with Vestas, we had to purchase the additional towers and blades for the 73 nacelles and hubs that we had acquired from BHER.
- So on a -- there's not a cost breakdown of component by component. That is, comparing one nacelle to another nacelle, but on a per wind turbine basis, again, looking at the entire contract for 110 wind turbines, the per turbine price was comparable to what we were seeing in the market, given the volatility at the time.
- Q. Okay. So all the completed turbines, or the part and pieces that go into a completed turbine, were available when you were out in the marketplace in 2019; is that right?

A. There were -- there were limited availability of components. There was limited availability of certain sizes of wind turbines. So maybe -- can you help me with what exactly you are looking for there -- or sorry.

- Q. Sure. Did you start with a given assumption that you were going to use the 73 Vestas wind turbines from Berkshire Hathaway, and then just went out to kind of complete the rest of the pieces that were necessary, including additional wind turbines?
- A. No. When we started the project -- I actually came into the project in January of 2019. I had some limited involvement in last quarter of 2018. But we started, essentially, with a blank sheet of paper. We started, as we always do, in the planning process. How are we going to acquire -- how are we going to -- first of all, how are we going to safe harbor? What is available to us to do that? What makes the most sense? What's the most economical?
- We put together -- I don't -- I don't recall the number we put together, though, a number of different scenarios to be able to try and figure out the least cost to move forward with that, the least cost and the lowest risk.
- Q. You indicated that it would take, at least,
 21 of those purchased wind turbines or components from

Berkshire Hathaway to ensure the safe harbor of the Pryor Mountain project; is that right?

- A. That's correct. 21 of the -- 21 of the BHER nacelles and hubs were required to safe harbor the project.
- Q. And I guess I'm asking about the ones beyond that, the other 52. Were those parts and pieces, as you acquired them from Berkshire Hathaway, did they cost more or cost less than the ones that you were acquiring in the marketplace at that time?
- A. Well, again, the components on a stand-alone basis, you can't just use a nacelle or a hub. You have to have a tower and you have to have blades. So we put that -- again, we assembled all 70 -- or I'm sorry, all 110 into a single contract. And, again, on a per wind turbine basis, the cost per wind turbine was comparable to what we were seeing in the market at that time.
- Q. But in that -- so one contract for all the parts and pieces you're going to need for all the wind turbines that were Vestas made; is that right?
 - A. They were -- the -- okay. Sorry.

If you are asking me if they were already manufactured, the 73 nacelles and hubs were all manufactured and in storage, actually, in Colorado, fairly close proximity to the wind project, which reduces

1 | the transportation risk as well.

The remainder of the components, effectively, all of the blades, all 110 blades, 110 towers and then the -- again, trying to do the quick mental math here, 37 nacelles and hubs had to be manufactured.

- Q. And then were you able to get a discount from Vestas for the fact that you were providing 73 nacelles and hubs as part of the project?
- A. Well, the entire contract was a negotiated contract, as is typical in buying major equipment.

 It's -- we go through a number of iterations with the supplier, trying to get the scope of work right, trying, again, to reduce the risk, get the right terms in the contract.

And so it was -- and the price is negotiated along with that. It's not all just about price, actually. Terms -- terms are often significant issues. We include liquidated damages, for example, to try and ensure, incentivize the supplier to look to what he has committed to. Those are often heavily negotiated. The caps on those are also heavily negotiated, along with the price.

Q. I believe one of the other witnesses in this proceeding suggested that the wind turbine components that you got from Berkshire Hathaway were, basically,

1 worth zero unless you pulled them together and made them 2 part of your project. 3 Were you able to get a better deal from 4 Vestas and, basically, account for those pieces acquired 5 from Berkshire Hathaway as zero? Α. Well, first of all, the nacelles and hubs 6 that we acquired from Berkshire Hathaway did not have 7 zero value. I'm not sure what that -- or what you're 8 9 trying to get at there with -- by stating that. 10 That's -- I don't see that as correct. 11 And we -- you know, again, we package this up 12 into a single contract to get the best deal we could, and 13 we -- I mean, it was -- again, we didn't just sign a 14 contract. I mean, we worked for months on this, back and forth negotiations, as we typically do with large 15 equipment purchases like this. 16 But I'm a little unclear as to what you are 17 18 referring to when you state that it has -- they have zero 19 value. 20 Let me take just a minute and see if I can 0. 21 get some clarity on that. Hang on. Let me ask the 22 question a different way. 23 You went out into the marketplace in 2019 and 24 were able to find some wind turbines and equipment that

were necessary for completion of your project that went

well beyond what you acquired from Berkshire Hathaway.

You have testified to that; is that right?

- A. Yes, we acquired additional wind turbines and wind turbine components from Vestas to fill out the 114 wind turbines for the project.
- Q. And with respect to the additional equipment that you were acquiring, those pieces of equipment not from Berkshire Hathaway, none of that equipment came to you with any kind of safe harbor attribute; is that right?
- A. None of -- none of the equipment that we purchased -- the only -- the only -- the only equipment that we purchased -- I'm sorry, the only equipment, the only Vestas equipment that we have that has a safe harbor attribute, we acquired from Berkshire Hathaway Energy Renewables.
 - Q. Okay. That helps me clarify.
 - A. Does that -- is that your question? Okay.
 - Q. Yes, you have answered that.

And so at the time you were out getting the equipment, and you had to acquire a significant amount of equipment outside or from Vestas, there was a market for wind turbines out there in the competitive marketplace; isn't that correct?

A. Yes, there's -- yes, there's a -- yes, we did

1 that through a competitive solicitation through our 2 standard procurement process. 3 Q. Okay. And in the event that you had not had 4 a project ready to use the equipment that had already 5 been purchased by Berkshire Hathaway, isn't it true that Berkshire Hathaway could enter the marketplace and sell 6 that equipment at some price in the marketplace, even 7 without a safe harbor attribute in the hands of another? 8 It had value. So it is a wind turbine 9 Α. 10 nacelle and it is a wind turbine hub, and there would, 11 likely -- it would have some value, yes, I believe. 12 That's all the questions I have. 0. Okay. 13 Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Snarr. 15 I'll go to Mr. Russell next. Do you have any 16 questions for Mr. Van Engelenhoven? 17 MR. RUSSEL: I do. Thank you. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. RUSSEL: 20 Q. Good morning, sir. 21 Good morning. Α. 22 I have a couple of follow-up questions to 0. 23 some of the cross-examination questions that you've 24 received thus far. In your conversation with Ms. Schmid from the 25

Division of Public Utilities, she had been asking you 1 2 some questions related to energizing each of the 3 circuits. And you made a statement to the effect that 4 the Company would commission each turbine separately; do 5 you recall that? 6 Α. Yes. Can you just tell me what that means? 7 Q. Okay. What's the significance of that statement? 8 9 Well, I was responding to Ms. Schmid's Α. 10 question, but, in general, the wind turbines are 11 commissioned individually. 12 And what does --0. 13 Α. And you --14 Go ahead, sorry. I didn't mean to cut you 0. 15 off. I thought you were done. 16 You assemble the wind turbine, you complete Α. 17 the wiring, you align the generator, and then a crew comes in and does the commissioning work. They check out 18 19 They prove out the controls, the the wind turbine. 20 electronics all work, all of the telemetry going back and 21 forth in the control center. 22 And then at some point, it is declared 23 capable of producing energy, and it is placed in service 24 at that point.

25

Q.

Okay.

A. That was actually --

- Q. Yes, I just wasn't sure what the term "commission" meant in that context, so thank you for that.
 - A. Yes. Sure.
- Q. In your rebuttal testimony, I will ask you to turn to page 3 of that testimony. I will note, while you are getting there, that this page contains some confidential information. I'm not going to ask you to place that on the record, although I'm going to ask you general question about it.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Starting at line 53, you identify -- running through line 55, I guess, you identify the overall cost of the Pryor Mountain project as initially stated and then the updated or current projected costs in that line; do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And that was based on the updated costs as of the date of the rebuttal testimony was consistent with your understanding that you would be placing, I gather, 8 of the circuits in service by the end of 2020; is that right?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And you stated in testimony today that

there will be a three-phase, rather than a two-phase
construction, where you will place 4 circuits in service
before the end of 2020, the second 4 in service sometime
in the first half of -- or the first part of 2021, and
then the third phase before the end of the second quarter
of 2021.

And my question to you is whether that change in the construction schedule changes the number that we've just looked at for the updated revenue requirement number in your rebuttal testimony.

A. It does not change that number.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. I also had some questions related to some of the history of this project. In your direct testimony, you identify this project as a late-stage development or renewable project. I can point you to the line, if you need it. Can you tell me what you mean?
- A. I am generally familiar with that phrase, yes.
 - Q. Yes. What do you mean when you say "late-stage development"?
- A. We came into the project in October of '19, so it was compressed. The development of the project was compressed. We were actually completing the development of the project and negotiating the contracts, the major

- 1 contracts, at the same time. So the schedule -- it was a 2 compressed schedule. 3 0. Yes, I think my -- I think my question was 4 slightly different, but I want to see if I can, perhaps, correct something you just said. 5 You said you came into the project in October 6 I think you meant '18, didn't you? 7 of '19. I'm sorry, yes, October of '18. 8
 - So the question I was asking was 0. Okay. related to some of your direct testimony, indicating that you had come into this project in October of 2018. at that time, it was a late -- late-stage renewables development project. And I just wondered what meant by that. And it is line 18 of your direct testimony if you need it.
 - Let me just look at it so I don't get --Α.
 - Q. Sure.

Α.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- off on the wrong subject here. Yes, if we were starting with our own development, the development of a wind project takes a considerable amount of time. would even say years from the time you conceptually find a location, transmission. There are a number of things to be considered.

Late-stage, so the development that was partially already done, so it was later in that -- it was

- 1 later in -- it was later in the development stage. So it
 2 was -- then the phrase "late-stage development," if I'm
 3 making sense there, in the development process.
 - So instead of years to go to do the full development, we had a late stage -- it was just a few things to finish up to complete the development.

- Q. Okay. Perhaps we can come at this a slightly different way. And that does respond to my question, so thank you.
- The Company, you indicate, began its involvement with this project in October of 2018. Can you tell me what stage or, kind of, what had been done with this project by that point?
- A. There had been some due diligence previously done with the EverPower project, and there's kind of a whole substantial history to that. It was -- the project was acquired from EverPower from Innogy, and we actually acquired the project from Innogy and, you know, was going on at that time. I was -- I believe in '18 -- I don't know if they had started talking about a REC deal at that point. It was a little bit before I got heavily engaged in the project.
- Q. Okay. So as of October of 2018, this project had already gone through some stages of development. It was being developed as a wind project on the same

1 footprint that the Pryor Mountain project will exist. 2 Right? 3 Α. It was in the same general area. The 4 footprint has changed a little bit. It was developed as -- isn't it true 5 0. 6 that it had been developed as three separate 80 megawatt qualifying facility projects? 7 That was the -- that was the EverPower -- I 8 Α. believe that was the EverPower plan. I have -- I have 9 10 seen maps. I don't have detailed knowledge of what 11 EverPower -- the EverPower development. I didn't -- was 12 not engaged with the project at that time, but I'm aware 13 of general -- general items regarding the EverPower 14 project. 15 Q. Okay. Bear with me for just a moment. 16 Α. Sure. 17 So you came into the project in October of Q. 2018, and my understanding is that the Company engaged or 18 19 acquired the development rights for this project in May 20 of 2019. Is that consistent with your understanding? 21 Again, we acquired it then from Innogy. Α. Yes. 22 And can you tell me what sort of 0. Sure. 23 economic analysis the Company had done as of May of 2019 24 to acquire the development rights, or is that a better

25

question for Mr. Link?

A. I would actually refer you to Rick Link, or if you can help me understand what you're, maybe, trying to get to, I can -- I can see if I can respond.

- Q. Well, I guess one question is whether the development rights that were acquired were contingent on the Company, ultimately, developing a project, and if you know that, I would be interested.
- A. There are -- can you ask me the question again? I want to be sure I'm clear what you are asking, so I --
- Q. Sure. I think we have established that the Company -- and I think this is consistent with Mr. Link's testimony, that the Company acquired the development rights associated with this project from Innogy in May of 2019. And the question I'm trying to ask right now is whether those development rights that the Company acquired were contingent on the Company, ultimately, building the project?
- A. We acquired the rights outright, and we own them.
- Q. Okay. So you paid money to Innogy in May of 2019, and that money was paid over, and Innogy -- Innogy wasn't at risk of the project not being not built at that point?
 - A. They -- I just would say we are going to get

1 into some confidential contractual information. If I can 2 have just a minute to try and think of a way to say that.

We purchased the development rights for a specific P value, dollar value, and there is another component of the project but it does not -- it does not require us to proceed with the project if it is not economical.

O. Understood.

- A. Did that -- did that address what you are trying to get at, sir?
- Q. Yes, it did. And I appreciate your efforts to try to skirt around some of the confidential information. And I may need to ask some of that stuff, and we may need to get into that.

Ultimately, what I'm trying to get at is:
When did the Company make the decision to build this
project? Was it when it acquired the development rights
in May of 2019?

A. From my perspective, at least, excuse me, the project is actually approved and authorized to proceed when the appropriation requests are approved. The date on that document was June 21, 2019. That is the date it was prepared. I don't have the date that it was actually approved here in front of me, but it would have been at some point thereafter.

- And I don't have that document in front of me 1 0. 2 either. Did that include the dollars associated with the 3 acquisition of the development rights? 4 Α. Absolutely. It included the -- that number 5 that you directed me to earlier, the smaller of those two numbers, that is where that number comes from. 6 7 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Bear with me for just a moment. 9 Α. Sure. 10 Your testimony -- I want to switch gears a 0. 11 little bit away from the history of the project to talk 12 about the renewable energy credits associated with this 13 project. 14 You state in your rebuttal testimony that the -- and this is at line 65, if you need it. You state 15 16 that the full value of the PTCs, RECs and customer benefits have been preserved. And I want to explore that 17 18 statement just a bit. 19 I spoke with Mr. Hemstreet a little earlier 20 about the PTCs, and so we will set that aside. When you 21 say that the full value of the PTCs, RECs and customer 22 benefits have been preserved, what time frame are you 23 talking about? Are you talking about over the life of
 - A. I would need to defer questions about the

25

the project?

1	REC the REC contract was prepared by and managed by
2	Mr. Rick Link. He would probably be in a better position
3	to respond to questions about that than I would, as far
4	as the values or the time frames and if that's where
5	the questions are going.
6	Q. It is, and so I will reserve those for
7	Mr. Link.
8	I don't think I have any other questions for
9	you, sir. Thank you for you time.
10	A. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Russell.
12	I will go to Mr. Holman next. Do you have
13	any questions for this witness?
14	MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Thank you, Chair.
15	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
16	Mr. Sanger?
17	MR. SANGER: No questions, Chairman. Thank
18	you.
19	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
20	Mr. Boehm?
21	Okay. Ms. Shurman, any redirect?
22	MS. SHURMAN: Very briefly, Mr. Chairman.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. SHURMAN:
25	Q. Mr. Van Engelenhoven, I would like to just

clarify a portion of your direct -- your testimony
regarding the nacelles and hubs acquired from Berkshire
Hathaway.

You mentioned that 21 were required to ensure that that project would receive safe harbor protection.

And then you mentioned that 52 additional turbines that were acquired were intended to reduce the risk of the project; do you recall that testimony?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Can you explain what you meant by reducing the risk of the project? What risks were you talking about?
- A. The manufacturing risk. We were and the Company was aware at the time there was a fair amount of market pressure, as we stated repeatedly in the testimony, situation of fairly high demand and limited supply due to the expiration at that time, the expiration of the PTCs at the end of 2020.

And to be able to have a 73 -- the nacelles are actually, if you will, the heart of a wind turbine. They contain the generator. They contain all the controls. It is really kind of the major part of it to have those already manufactured, in storage. And not only in storage, but they were in storage in the U.S., in the western U.S., in Colorado.

So, within, you know, just a couple day transportation time, we felt that significantly reduced the risk of -- or the situation in the market.

- Q. Okay. And you also mentioned in your testimony that you were experiencing pricing volatility in the market around this time. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Can you just briefly describe that pricing volatility?
- A. Yes. We were working with, essentially, three suppliers: Vestas, General Electric and Siemens Gamesa. They are probably the three leading companies as far as wind turbine manufacturers.

And so we were -- at that time, we were providing them layouts and, you know, requesting performance information. We would request proposals, not in -- just in an informal sense, so this is prior to any procurement solicitation. We were asking them for pricing, what we would get, would be -- they would provide us a price.

It would have, like, a two week -- we would have two weeks to decide whether to exercise or not, and then it was kind of a start all over again. So we saw prices changing on -- every couple weeks or whenever we

1 would go back for solicitation. We saw that moving 2 around. We had one of the suppliers actually indicate 3 that at one point, they were going to start requesting 4 customers place -- it's actually a real estate term, but, 5 like, earnest money on -- or put down a deposit, I quess, is a better way to say it. They would put down -- they 6 would require a deposit to hold the position, 7 essentially, a nonrefundable deposit just to be able to 8 9 get them to give us a price and hold that price for a 10 period of time. 11 So we saw things like that. We saw limited 12 availability of certain sizes of machines, of wind 13 turbines, just -- clearly, that, you know, they just were 14 not available in the market at that point. So there were a number of things that -- and 15 16 most of this transacted in email or phone conversations 17 with these three suppliers that I mentioned earlier. Okay. And so did the acquisition of the 52 18 0. 19 additional turbines from Berkshire Hathaway, in fact, 20 help you to mitigate that pricing volatility risk that 21 you just described? 22 Yes, I believe it did. That's absolutely why Α. 23 we, you know, acquired the full 73, the additional 52. 24 0. Okay. I want to turn really briefly to the

questions that you received about the commissioning of

	November 03, 2020 Page 10
1	each turbine.
2	You mentioned that those are the turbines
3	will be commissioned individually. Is that the regular
4	procedure for commissioning turbines?
5	A. That is the industry standard. They they
6	are I don't know of any way so a commissioning crew
7	is, generally, two people. And they go into a tower,
8	they actually climb the tower, go up into the nacelle and
9	they do all of that work that I described previously
LO	regarding checking out the electronics, controls, making
L1	sure the alignments are done and everything like that.
L2	And that's actually the only way that can be
L3	done. There isn't a way to do it, and it has to be done
L4	for each turbine in that in that way, with those two
L5	individuals climbing the tower and going into the
L6	nacelle.
L7	Did that respond?
L8	Q. Yes, thank you. I have no further rebuttal
L9	questions.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Ms. Shurman.
21	I'll ask the other attorneys participating in
22	this hearing to indicate to me if you have any recross
23	questions. Please unmute vourself and tell me if vou

I'm not seeing or hearing any

24

25

intend to ask recross.

1 indication from anyone. So I will go to Commissioner 2 Clark next. 3 Commissioner Clark, do you have any questions 4 for this witness? 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I do. Thank you. Just a couple. 6 7 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER CLARK: 8 I'm going to refer you to page 7 of your 9 0. 10 rebuttal testimony, about line 140 through 143. 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 Thank you. And you just tell me if there is 0. 13 another witness who is more on this topic than you, 14 Mr. Hemstreet might be one. But what I'm most interested 15 in is the representation that the cost that BHER paid for 16 the nacelles and hubs, I think that was in 2016. Right? 17 That's the date of their --18 Yes, that's correct. They were purchased in Α. 19 2016. 20 Right. That was the -- that cost was the Q. 21 competitive market price at that time for this -- for 22 these equipment elements. 23 And can you summarize for me the testimony or 24 evidence that you are presenting that supports that 25 statement?

I have not provided information regarding 1 Α. 2 that specific statement, and I don't -- I haven't looked 3 at all of the testimony to be able to say if someone else 4 had provided it or not, Commissioner. I'm sorry. 5 0. And have you -- have you provided any evidence with respect to the price of that equipment in 6 2019, nacelles and hubs? 7 Α. 8 We know --9 0. By "price," I mean the market, the market 10 price. 11 The price we paid for it? Α. 12 No, the market, the market price for that 0. 13 type of equipment. Oh, I'm not aware of that. But, again, I'm 14 15 not -- I don't know if someone else may have discussed 16 that in their testimony. 17 Q. Okay. Thank you. That concludes my 18 questions. 19 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 20 Commissioner Allen, do you have any 21 questions? 22 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No questions. Thank 23 you. 24 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And I don't have any 25 others, so thank you for your testimony this morning,

1 Mr. Engelenhove -- Mr. Van Engelenhoven, sorry. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I will go to Rocky 4 Mountain Power for your next witness. Mr. Chairman, Rocky Mountain 5 MR. SABIN: Power calls David Webb as its next witness. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning, Mr. Webb. Do you swear to tell the truth? 8 9 MR. WEBB: I do. 10 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Go ahead. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. SABIN: 13 0. Mr. Webb, could you state your full name for 14 the record, please? 15 Α. David Webb. 16 Mr. Webb, what is your position with 0. 17 PacifiCorp? 18 I am the manager of net power costs. Α. 19 Did you cause to be filed or did you 0. Okay. file in this case both direct and rebuttal testimony? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 And I show that your direct testimony was 0. 23 submitted with one Exhibit, DGW-1, and that your rebuttal 24 testimony was also accompanied by one Exhibit, DGW -- or 25 DGWR -- well, DGW-1 I have as well, but is that correct?

1	A. DGW-1R. Correct.
2	Q. 1R, thank you. Yes, that is where I left the
3	R off. Is that correct for both direct and rebuttal
4	testimony?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Do you have any changes to either your
7	direct or your rebuttal testimony or any of the exhibits?
8	A. No.
9	Q. If we were to ask you the same questions in
10	the direct testimony and the rebuttal testimony you
11	submitted, would you provide the same answers today?
12	A. Yes.
13	MR. SABIN: Mr. Chairman, I move for the
14	admission of Mr. Webb's direct and rebuttal testimony,
15	with two Exhibit DGW-1 and DGW-1R.
16	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to
17	that motion, please state your objection.
18	I am not seeing or hearing any objection, so
19	the motion is granted. Thank you.
20	(Direct and rebuttal testimony of
21	Mr. Webb, with attachments, were
22	admitted.)
23	MR. SABIN: Thank you.
24	BY MR. SABIN:
25	Q. Mr. Webb, did you prepare the summary of your

direct and rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes.

- Q. Would you go ahead and share that with us now?
- A. Yes, thank you. Good morning, Chair LeVar, Commissioner Clark and Commissioner Allen.

My testimony in this case supports the reasonableness of the Company's net power cost forecast for the 2021 test period. It includes describing modeling changes made since the last general rate case as well.

I also discussed the reduction in net power costs from the Company's participation in the western energy and balance market and proposed changes to the energy balancing account mechanism, or EBA, to include production tax credit or PTCs.

Finally, while a number of net power costs, or NPC, issues have been discussed in this case. Most of those issues have either been resolved or withdrawn. As such, I respond to just three remaining issues raised by parties in this case.

The proposed net power costs for the test period are 1.431 billion, which is 622.6 million on a Utah allocated basis. That figure represents a reduction of 5.4 million when compared to the base net power cost

of 628 million in the previous general rate case.

This decrease is driven by lower coal fuel expense, lower purchase power expense, lower wheeling expense and increased zero fuel costs renewable generation. It is partially offset by a reduction in wholesale sales revenue and a small increase in natural gas fuel expense.

Since its last rate case, the Company has made modeling changes to its production cost model grid which have improved its forecast. Specifically, the Company updated the scaler method for the official forward price curve, updated the regulating reserve requirement based on the flexible reserve study in the 2019 integrated resource plan, including actual capacity factors for owned and purchased wind powered plants, and developed a solar hourly profile consistent with the wind hourly profile. Parties have not opposed these changes.

The Company also implemented a day-ahead realtime adjustment to reflect system balancing costs that are not fully reflected in the Company's official forward price curve or modeled in grid. While Mr. Hayet raises some general concerns with the day-ahead realtime adjustment process, he proposed no adjustments based on his concerns.

Further, his concerns are not well taken.

The day-ahead realtime modeling adjustment is necessary 1 2 to account for the fact that the Company has historically 3 bought more power during higher-than-average prices and 4 sold more power during lower-than-average price periods. I recommend the Commission accept the Company's day-ahead 5 realtime modeling adjustment. 6 Second, the Company has also proposed 7 including PTCs from its eligible wind resources into the 8 9 The net power cost forecast produced by the grid 10 model in this case forecasts the wind generation volumes 11 for 2021. The PTC dollar estimate in this case is 12 calculated directly from that model. The benefits 13 associated with PTCs are directly tied to the associated 14 wind generation forecast. 15 Therefore, I believe that PTCs in the EBA is 16 a better fit with the related variable net power costs 17 instead of including PTCs in base revenues. Specifically, including the PTCs in the EBA allows 18 19 customers to receive the full benefits from these new 20 wind resources in the annual EBA, and it better matches 21 the costs with the benefits by trueing up costs and 22 benefits each year. 23 Finally, my testimony explains the net power 24 cost revision to align with the rebuttal adjustments for 25 the wind project changes explained by other Company

1 That concludes my summary. witnesses. 2 Thank you, Mr. Webb. 0. 3 MR. SABIN: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Webb is 4 available to the for questions or cross-examination. 5 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 6 Ms. Schmid or Mr. Jetter, do you have any questions for Mr. Webb? 7 8 MR. JETTER: We do have a few questions. 9 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. JETTER: 12 Good morning -- or good afternoon, Mr. Webb. 0. 13 Α. Good afternoon. 14 I guess I would like to just start out by Q. 15 asking you a few questions about the proposal to include 16 the production tax credits in the energy balancing 17 account as compared to base rates. Just as a little bit of foundation, would you 18 19 agree with me that it -- it would be -- it would be 20 possible for the Commission -- and the Company could have 21 requested that the include those PTCs and base rates; are 22 you aware of any reason that that is not a viable 23 alternative? 24 Α. That's correct. 25 Q. Okay. And do you have knowledge of how the

production tax credit calculations were done, as far as
the estimation? I believe that's in your testimony, in
your direct testimony. And I don't need to necessarily
direct you to that, if it's available. I think you
covered it around page 15.

But is it accurate that you forecast the wind generation and then calculated production tax credits as a result of that?

A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And are you familiar with how those calculations are performed or what goes into the modeling of the forecasting of the wind generation in future periods?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so in those forecasts, are there included factors for unexpected outages? So non-weather or wind-related outages?
- A. The forecasts are based on a capacity factor that is for the new wind projects based on a manufacturer's forecast.
- Q. Okay. So do you know if those would include any sort of a factor for an earthquake outage or a turbine blade failure like MidAmerican saw at the Beaver Creek wind farm recently?
 - A. I'm not aware of anything specific like that.

- Q. Okay. And so is it fair to say that those types of risks are asymmetrical in the sense that they will take wind turbines offline, but there is no corresponding unknown risk that will cause generation to double, for example, during a period of time?
- A. I am not sure I understand your question. Could you repeat that?
- Q. The risk of things like earthquakes or turbine transmission failures or blade delamination, those risks only affect on the downside. They only -- they only stop generation. There is not a comparable category of risks that increases generation output, is there?
- A. What I would say is not necessarily. The percentage of time that the wind plant is in operation, the capacity factor is based on information we received from the manufacturer. There are times when the wind generation is higher than what is forecasted. There are times when it is lower. It's just dependent upon factors such as weather and, as you stated, unexpected maintenance.
- Q. And so are you testifying that they have built in those unexpected events into their modeling?
- A. I am saying the capacity factor that we receive from the manufacturers is what we use.

- Q. Okay. And do you know what is in those capacity factor calculations?
 - A. I don't know specifically.
 - Q. Okay. So you don't know if those risks would be included in that or not?
 - A. I am unaware.

- Q. Okay. And so let me ask you, I guess, a hypothetical. If those type of risks are not included in those calculations, that would potentially be an asymmetrical risk to whoever is bearing the risk of the production tax credits, in the sense that those events occur, whoever is bearing the risk of the production tax credit meeting the forecast would be responsible for the affects of that event, in terms of either shareholders or customers; is that correct?
- A. Well, what I would say is the -- it's not necessarily about the risk of customer or the Company because the actual costs and the actual benefits are trued up in the EBA for the generation of the wind. That is how I would characterize that.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask it maybe a different way. If there is an earthquake that knocks one or more of these wind turbine facilities offline for six months during -- during the year 2021, and the production tax credit is included in base rates, in that instance,

shareholders would recover less than if that production tax credit were included in the energy balancing account; is that accurate?

- A. In that hypothetical situation, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that's a risk that is different from the risk of, for example, wind being higher during that year versus lower than -- during that year; is that accurate?
- A. I am not sure I would characterize it as a risk, but it is different, yes.
- Q. Okay. And PacifiCorp -- is it accurate to say that PacifiCorp as a company, the shareholders would prefer not to assume the risk of the production tax credits?
- A. No, I would say that the reason that PacifiCorp is coming to the Commission to present this proposal of including production tax credits in the EBA is so that customers will receive the full benefit and the full cost and those are matched.

That's what this proposal is about. It's not necessarily about transferring risk. Because everything in the net power cost forecast, that forecast -- the wind generation is trued up. So the wind generation is trued up. And so truing up the production tax credits would align those two, the benefits and the costs. That's how

I would characterize that.

- Q. Okay. And so would you then testify, would it be your testimony that customers cannot receive the full benefits of the production tax credits if they are in base rates?
- A. If they are -- that is correct. If the production tax credits are in base rates and they do not fluctuate, if they are tied to the generation, then they receive the full benefit for that year with the full cost.
- Q. If the forecasts are accurate and the production tax credits are in base rates, that -- those would all be the same, wouldn't it?
- A. Well, I would say our forecasts are a forecast. The forecasts are never going to be completely accurate. But if our forecasts are accurate, they are not going to be exactly what happens, I guess, is the best way to state that. So --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- if the forecasts -- we do our best to forecast, and we have -- I think we have an accurate forecast. But we are constantly improving our forecast. But if the base rates include the production tax credits and the generation from the wind is either higher or lower, then that is not aligned with the net power cost

benefits. 1 2 If they are in the EBA, if the production tax credits are in the EBA, then it would be aligned. And 3 4 customers would receive no more, no less than what they are entitled to in the rates. 5 Okay. I think that is the end of my 6 0. cross-examination questions. Thank you for your time. 7 8 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 9 Mr. Jetter. 10 Mr. Snarr or Mr. Moore, do you have any 11 questions for this witness? 12 MR. SNARR: We have no questions for this 13 witness. 14 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 15 Mr. Russell? 16 MR. RUSSEL: Just a couple of brief 17 questions. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. RUSSEL: 20 Q. Good morning, Mr. Webb. 21 Good morning. Α. 22 I will refer you to your rebuttal testimony 0. 23 at line 34. In that portion of your testimony, you 24 explain the changes to the total company and Utah 25 allocated NPC. Right? And by "changes," I mean the

1	changes from the those numbers that were included in
2	the application in the direct testimony; is that correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And you indicate that those changes were to
5	account for the delays associated with the Pryor Mountain
6	and TB Flats to wind projects. Right?
7	A. Yes, due to the new inservice dates.
8	Correct.
9	Q. Okay. And we've learned this morning that
10	the Pryor Mountain project has inservice dates that are
11	slightly different than what was discussed in the
12	rebuttal testimony.
13	Has the Company updated its NPC numbers to
14	account for those new inservice dates?
15	A. From the new wind and service dates that were
16	discussed this morning?
17	Q. Yes. It is my understanding that the Pryor
18	Mountain project will have 80 megawatts that are
19	installed by the end of 2020, and that an additional 80
20	megawatts will come online sometime in the first part of
21	2021, and that a third tranche of 80 megawatts will come
22	online by the end of June 2021.
23	That schedule is slightly different than what
24	was stated in the rebuttal testimony, and I'm just
25	wondering whether you or someone else at the Company has

1 updated the NPC numbers to account for that change in the 2 schedule. 3 Α. What we have for Pryor Mountain is No. 4 approximately 160 megawatts will be online by the end of 5 December 2020 and approximately 80 megawatts by June of 6 2021. That is what's built into your NPC numbers? 7 Q. That is what's built into our NPC numbers Α. 8 that I filed with rebuttal testimony approximately a 9 10 month ago. 11 0. And to the extent that the inservice dates 12 for Pryor Mountain change, then your NPC numbers would 13 need to be adjusted as well; is that right? 14 To the extent that they have changed 15 then -- I'm sorry, can you repeat that? 16 To the extent that the inservice dates 0. Sure. 17 for Pryor Mountain have changed, your NPC numbers would also need to change to be accurate; is that correct? 18 19 For the -- for the rate case, if we update Α. 20 them -- well, because -- because the EBA has 100 percent 21 true-up, the -- whatever dates end up with the wind 22 projects actually in service, the customers will get the 23 benefit of that based on that date, if that's -- if I

Q. Well, then the base NPC numbers are going to

understand your question properly.

24

1 be off, won't they? 2 The base -- the base numbers are based on the Α. 3 information that we had approximately a month ago. 4 That's what --Okay. And that information was based off of 5 0. inservice dates for all of the plant, and if some of 6 those inservice dates have changed, then those numbers 7 are no longer accurate; is that right? 8 9 They are no longer using the most current Α. 10 information. That's correct. 11 Fair enough. That's all I had. Q. Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 13 Mr. Russell. 14 Mr. Holman, do you have any questions for 15 Mr. Webb? 16 Thank you, Chair. MR. HOLMAN: No questions. Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 18 Mr. Sanger? 19 No questions. MR. SANGER: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 21 Mr. Boehm? 22 MR. BOEHM: No questions. Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. I think then this 24 is probably a good time for a recess before we go back to 25 Rocky Mountain Power for redirect. So why don't we

1 recess for one hour, and we will return at 1 P.M. 2 (Whereupon, a break was taken.) 3 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: At this point, we are 4 ready to return to Ms. Shurman, if you have any redirect 5 for Mr. Webb. MR. SABIN: We do have some redirect for 6 7 Mr. Webb. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I apologize, Mr. Sabin, 9 that I mixed up who was doing this witness. I'm sorry 10 for that error. 11 MR. SABIN: No apologies necessary at all. 12 Don't worry about it. It is hard to keep track of this 13 many people. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SABIN: 16 0. Mr. Webb, are you -- there you are. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Mr. Webb, you were asked some questions by 0. both Mr. Russell and others, and in particular, 19 20 Mr. Jetter, about what -- how this PTC would be handled under the Company's proposal. 21 22 Can you tell me what is the relationship 23 between PTCs and generation, and how does that -- how 24 does that relationship work? 25 Α. So the PTCs, the production tax credits, are calculated based on the wind generation that is produced out of our grid forecasting model. So the production tax credits, the PTCs, are a function of the wind generation which is set in the rate case and trued up every year in the EBA.

And that's why I believe that the PTCs are a better fit in the EBA because they are closely correlated to that wind generation forecast that gets trued up every vear.

- Q. Okay. So, for example, Mr. Jetter asked you -- I guess I should clarify, PTCs are based upon actual generation, not on forecasted generation. Right?
- A. The production tax credits are based on the wind that is actually produced, yes. That is why they are called, "production tax credits." You're correct.
- Q. Okay. So if we take Mr. Jetter's example, and you have set a forecasted generation level but the winds actually blows much stronger than that during the 12 months of a year -- do you follow me?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Under your scenario, the Company's proposal, what would customers receive in that year where the forecast was exceeded by the wind -- the actual wind generation exceeded the forecasted wind generation?
 - A. When the actual wind generation exceeds the

forecast, there will be additional net power cost

benefits and there will be additional production tax

credit benefits, which will lower customers rates due to

the increased wind over the forecast.

- Q. And if these productions tax credits were not addressed in the EBA and that same scenario happened, what would customers receive?
- A. Customers would receive the base amount. If the production tax credits are not in the EBA, that production tax credit base level would not be trued up, and the year that the actual generation is higher than forecast, customers would not receive the benefit of lower rates due to the additional production tax credits.
- Q. Okay. And the contrary is also true. If the wind blows less than or if the wind generation is less than forecasted, under your scenario, please explain how that would be handled.
- A. The wind generation forecast, if the actual wind is lower than the wind generation forecast set in this rate case, then the net power costs would be higher and the production tax credits would be lower, which would increase rates.
- Q. Okay. And if -- and I will follow up again.
 Under the current scenario, if that hypothetical
 occurred, the winds blew less than was forecast, what

would customers receive under the current system?

2.1

- A. What do you mean by "current system"?
- Q. Sorry. Under the manner in which you currently handled production tax credits as opposed to putting them in the EBA?
- A. Right. Okay. Currently, the way that the -- correct. So right now, under the current system, as you said, production tax credits are in base revenues, so they are set in the rate case and they don't change until another rate case.

So if the forecasted generation is set in the rate case and the actual generation is lower, then the actual production tax credits remain the same as what was in base.

- Q. Right. So in that instance, customers would receive a benefit that was actually not a benefit they should have received?
- A. That is correct. The benefit would be higher than what lines up with the wind generation. That's true. And there would not be a matching in that circumstance of costs and benefits.
- Q. Okay. So under the Company's proposal and where PTCs are matched with generation, is it correct to say that customers would receive each year exactly the amount of PTCs that they would entitled to based upon the

actual wind generation?

- A. That is correct. The customers would receive exactly what the wind generation production tax credits are. No more no less.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Jetter asked you if there was -- do you remember his hypothetical about earthquakes, if there was an earthquake that knocked out a wind facility and it was not able to produce wind during a period of time, that he was talking with you about the risk of -- associated with that?
 - A. Yes, I remember that.
- Q. I want to give you a different hypothetical. Under the current system, if, let's say, Lakeside suffers an outage, and there is one at issue here, if Lakeside suffers an issue -- an outage, how does that impact customers in the EBA?
- A. The actual net power cost associated with that outage and the replacement power for that flows through the EBA, because the EBA is a 100 percent true-up to actual costs and benefits.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So whether it's a wind plant or gas plant or any other type of generation resource, it's the same.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And can PTC rates change between rate cases? Can that value change over time?

Yes, the value changes over time according to 1 Α. 2 the IRS regulations. Right now, it's 2.5 cents per kilowatt hour, I believe, and it goes up with an 3 4 inflation factor. 5 Ο. So under the current approach of dealing with PTCs, not the company's proposal but the current 6 approach, what would happen if PTC rates changed between 7 rate cases? Would customers get any benefit or feel any 8 difference from rate case to rate case? 9 10 No, they would not. The amount that is in Α. 11 effect as of when we file would remain the same until the 12 next rate case. It would not be --13 Under the Company -- thank you. Q. 14 Under the Company's proposal to include PTCs 15 in the EBA, if PTC rates were increased, let's say, in 16 Year 2 after a rate case, how would that be handled under 17 the Company's proposal? Customers would receive lower rates if the 18 PTC amount goes up from, say, 2.5 to 2.6. That would be 19 20 trued up in the EBA, and customers would receive the 2.1 benefit of that higher production tax credit. 22 Thank you very much, Mr. Webb. 0. Okay. 23 MR. SABIN: Mr. Chairman, I don't have any further redirect. Thank you. 24

If anyone has any

COMMISSIONER LEVAR:

1 recross on those questions from Mr. Sabin and those answers, please unmute yourself and indicate your 2 3 intention to ask recross questions. 4 MR. SNARR: Chairman LeVar, I have one 5 question that might provide clarity. May I ask it? COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And it looks like 6 7 Mr. Jetter has some also. 8 Do you have some recross also, Mr. Jetter? MR. JETTER: 9 I do have a brief -- a little 10 bit of recross. 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Why dont we go to 12 Mr. Snarr and then Mr. Jetter. Let me just -- let me 13 just give one more moment to see if anyone else has 14 I'm not seeing any. 15 So Mr. Snarr, why don't you go ahead, and 16 then we will go to Mr. Jetter. 17 MR. SNARR: Sure. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. SNARR: 20 Mr. Webb, do you understand that Mr. Hayet 0. 21 and the OCS has decided to embrace your proposal as it 22 was set out and to allow the PTCs to work through the 23 EBA? 24 Α. I understand from the surrebuttal testimony 25 that was filed last week that Mr. Hayet no longer opposes

1 PacifiCorp's proposal. That's correct. 2 0. Thank you. That's all I have. 3 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Snarr. 4 Mr. Jetter? 5 MR. JETTER: Thank you. 6 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JETTER: 7 Just briefly following up on some questions 8 0. you were asked in redirect here, would you agree with me 9 10 that if the forecasts are approximately in the middle of 11 the range of probabilities for output that over a course 12 of multiple yearly cycles, that the PTCs should average 13 out to, roughly, what the forecast would be? 14 The forecast from the developers is what you 15 are referring to, should be an approximately 50 percent, 16 that is -- that is correct. 17 Q. Okay. And so if the PTCs were included in 18 base rates over a series of multiple years, you would expect that over that series of multiple years, the years 19 20 with excess generation and the years with less than 21 expected generation would average out? 22 Over the course of the life of the plant, 23 that is the idea, but in any given year, it would be 24 different. 25 Q. And so is it possible that customers Okay.

then could receive the full benefit over -- over the long 1 2 run, even if those PTCs were not -- were not included in 3 the energy balancing account? 4 Α. Not necessarily the particular customers in 5 any one given year unless you're a customer for the entire life of the wind plant. 6 7 Q. Okay. But over a period of time, you would expect those to be approximately equal? 8 Over a long period of time, that is correct. 9 Α. 10 And you would also agree with me that 0. Okay. 11 the risk of the earthquake would not follow that same 12 There is no positive benefit that would offset model. the negative cost of the earthquake? 13 14 Let me see if I understand -- can you Α. Okay. 15 repeat the question, please? 16 Over a long number of cycles, no 0. 17 offsetting positive benefit that would effectively cancel out or zero out the risk of an earthquake? 18 19 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Jetter, we had a 20 little bit of audio problem. Can you restate that from 21 the beginning? 22 MR. JETTER: Yes. 23 BY MR. JETTER: 24 0. So what I'm asking is, over the same course 25 of the long run of those projects, there is no positive

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

25

inverse earthquake-type event that you know of that would result in an average to zero over time for that risk, is there? 4 Α. So what I would say in response to that question is the developers forecast that we use is a normalized forecast. And so it picks up normal expected outages and maintenance. It doesn't necessarily pick up acts of God, as you were referring to in as earthquake. But you would agree with me that those 0. happen, earthquakes do happen, and hurricane force winds 11 happen and wind turbine blades come apart occasionally. 12 Those happen, don't they? 13 Α. Sure. Yes. That's all my redirect -- or recross, excuse 14 0. 15 me. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Jetter. I will go to Commissioner Clark next. Do you have any questions for Mr. Webb? COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have no questions. Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 22 Commissioner Allen? 23 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I have no questions. 24 Thank you. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And I don't have any

So thank you for your testimony this morning and 1 either. this afternoon, Mr. Webb. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: We will go back to Rocky 5 Mountain Power for your next witness. 6 MR. SABIN: Mr. Chairman, Rocky Mountain Power calls Dana Ralston as its next witness. 7 8 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good afternoon, 9 Mr. Ralston, do you swear to tell the truth? 10 MR. RALSTON: Yes, I do. 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. SABIN: 14 Mr. Ralston, would you state your full name 0. 15 for the record, please? 16 Dana Ralston. Α. 17 And, Mr. Ralston, what is your position with Q. 18 PacifiCorp? I am the senior vice president of thermal 19 Α. 20 generation and mining. 21 And how long have you held that position? Q. 22 Α. About five years. 23 Okay. And in your duration of your time at Q. 24 PacifiCorp, what have you -- what has been your 25 involvement with the generation facilities with the

1 | Company?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

- A. About 11 years ago, I came here to operate the generation fleet and then added on to the partner plants mining and environmental.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Ralston, I have that you have submitted in this matter rebuttal testimony; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And I have that the rebuttal testimony also has with it two exhibits, DMR-1 and DMR-2; is that correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
 - Q. Do you have any changes to your testimony?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Okay. If we were to ask you the same questions that are contained in your testimony, would the answers be the same today?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- MR. SABIN: Mr. Chairman, I move for the admission of Mr. Ralston's rebuttal testimony with Exhibits DMR-1 and DMR-2.
- COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to that motion, please indicate your objection.
- I'm not seeing or hearing any objection, so the motion is granted.

1	MR. SABIN: Thank you.
2	(Rebuttal testimony of Mr. Ralston,
3	with attachments, were admitted.)
4	BY MR. SABIN:
5	Q. Mr. Ralston, have you prepared a summary of
6	your rebuttal testimony?
7	A. Yes, I have.
8	Q. Would you please share that with the
9	commissioners now?
10	A. Good afternoon, Chairman LeVar, Commissioner
11	Clark and Commissioner Allen.
12	I appreciate the opportunity to address you
13	in this case. Again, my name is Dana Ralston. I'm the
14	senior vice president of thermal generation and mining.
15	I filed rebuttal testimony in the revenue requirement
16	phase of this proceeding, responding to the testimony of
17	Mr. Philip Hayet on behalf of the Office of Consumer
18	Services, which was adopted by Ms. Brenda Salter for the
19	Division of Public Utilities in her surrebuttal.
20	Mr. Hayet originally raised two Rocky
21	Mountain Power thermal out-resource outage issues, the
22	first one on August 18, 2019, at the Lakeside 2 Unit 3
23	and the second one on December 26, 2018, at Rocky
24	Mountain Power's geothermal facility Blundell 2.
25	In his surrebuttal testimony, Mr. Hayet

1 withdrew his opposition to the Blundell outage, and the 2 Company's treatment of cost for those outages are now 3 unchallenged by any party in this proceeding. 4 Lakeside 2 Unit 3 outage, Mr. Hayet argues that the Commission should deny any cost related to the repair of 5 6 that unit, both capital and expenses, and adjust the associated net power cost. 7 I disagree with this conclusion. Mr. Hayet 8 9 offers no substantive basis for or support for his 10 position. 11 MR. SABIN: Mr. Ralston, I don't mean to 12 interrupt you. 13 Chairman, I forgot to raise one issue, and 14 that is, I think there is -- some of what Mr. Ralston has 15 to talk about in his summary and I suspect any questions 16 he gets will be confidential in nature because his 17 rebuttal testimony was entirely confidential and the -- much of the testimony from interveners was 18 19 confidential in nature. 20 We tried to figure out if we could do it in a 21 way that wouldn't implicate confidential information, but 22 I don't know that there is a good way to do that. 23 there any objection to us moving briefly to a closed 24 session for just Mr. Ralston's testimony? 25 MR. SNARR: I have input on that, if you

would like, on behalf of OCS. We have a limited number 1 2 of questions which I intend to ask Mr. Ralston, and I 3 have fashioned them in a way that I don't believe it is 4 going to touch on any confidential information. That is just my input on the issue. 5 leave it to Mr. Sabin and Rocky Mountain Power, how they 6 want to handle their summary or anything else with other 7 8 parties. 9 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Snarr. 10 Let me just add, Mr. Sabin, do you -- or 11 Mr. Ralston. Do you anticipate that Mr. Ralston's 12 summary will cover confidential information? 13 MR. SABIN: Yes. The reason I interrupted him when I did is I was just alerted to the fact that a 14 15 portion of what he wants to or needs to say is marked 16 "confidential" in both sets of testimony, both his and 17 interveners, I mean by that. Well, I will -- I 18 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. 19 will take that as a motion from you, Mr. Sabin, to close 20 the hearing to the public at this point. 21 And let me just ask if anyone else -- if any 22 other attorneys representing parties has any thoughts on 23 closing the hearing to the public for, at least some or 24 all of Mr. Ralston's testimony. This is Justin Jetter for the 25 MR. JETTER:

```
I don't have an objection to closing the
 1
    Division.
   hearing at this point for a period of time for
 2
 3
    Mr. Ralston.
                  I, of course, don't know what he is going
 4
    to say, but I can take a little bit of a guess at it.
 5
                My cross-examination questions will be, I
    think, limited and can probably be done in a public
 6
    hearing setting, so -- but I think it's utilities' claim
 7
    of confidential, and I assume that they are doing so in
 8
    good faith. I have no reason to challenge that.
 9
10
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                     Thank you, Mr. Jetter.
11
                Mr. Snarr, I'm going to interpret your
12
    previous statement as you didn't feel like there was a
13
    need, but I didn't hear if you had any objection to what
14
    Rocky Mountain Power asking.
                            I'm not taking a position on what
15
                MR. SNARR:
16
    they are asking. I'm just providing information that, at
17
    least, on behalf of OCS, we do not anticipate venturing
    into the confidential information.
18
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay.
19
                                            Does anyone else
20
    have a position on concern about the motion?
21
                       Then I will turn to my colleagues.
                Okav.
22
    Under Utah Code 54-3-21-4, the Commission has the
23
    authority to close the hearing to the public or a portion
24
    of the hearing to the public upon a finding that is in
25
    the best interest of the public to do so.
```

So let me turn to Mr. -- Commissioner Clark 1 2 and Commissioner Allen for any thoughts on the issue. 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Chair LeVar. 4 This is Commissioner Clark. And I wonder -- I think it would help the record as we make this determination if 5 6 counsel for the utility would address the proprietary nature of the information that the witness is going to 7 offer, its commercial value or whatever else is deemed by 8 the utility to require it to remain confidential in order 9 10 to protect the interest of the utility and its customers. 11 MR. SABIN: I appreciate that. So the Sure. 12 specific issue, because Blundell -- the Blundell outage, 13 I believe, is no longer an issue, we won't be addressing 14 that, so I will only address the Lakeside issue for now. 15 If Blundell comes up, I'm a happy to talk about that as 16 well. 17 The specific issue in Mr. Ralston's 18 testimony, as well as in the interveners that address the 19 issue he is speaking about, relates specifically to an 20 outage at Lakeside 2 Unit 3, and that outage specifically 21 relates to the generator that is -- was purchased by 22 Rocky Mountain Power and operated by Rocky Mountain Power 23 and a subsequent investigation. 24 There is a bit of an ongoing investigation 25 still into that issue, but the contract and the nature of

```
1
    the relationship between Rocky Mountain Power and
 2
    its -- Siemens requires some degree of confidential, as
 3
    well as the matters at issue in the investigation, and
    the results of the investigation are matters of
 4
    confidentiality because of the nature of the
 5
    investigation and because of the nature of the findings.
 6
                We want to be able to talk openly about those
 7
    in this proceeding and answer any questions openly about
 8
 9
    those and don't -- I don't know whether Ralston, to feel
10
    restrained either by the contractual relationship by
11
    Siemens or the nature of the underlying investigation,
12
    that he wouldn't be able to be completely -- to answer
13
    the questions without hesitation that he's saying
14
    something that violate either the contract or the nature
15
    of the investigation that was done.
16
                I hope that's helpful but that -- that's the
    reason for -- it was marked confidential and the reason
17
    it has been maintained confidential is there's a little
18
19
    bit of ongoing nature investigation here, as well as an
20
    underlying contract issue with Siemens.
21
                So I'm happy to take any questions on that,
22
    but I want Mr. Ralston to really feel unrestrained in his
23
    ability to answer questions without worrying about that.
24
                COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. That's -- I
25
    don't have any follow-up questions.
```

```
1
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                     Okay.
                                             Thank you,
 2
    Commissioner Clark.
 3
                Commissioner Allen, anything further from
 4
    you?
                COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes.
                                            This is
 5
 6
    Commissioner Allen.
                         I recall that pre-filed confidential
    testimony and understanding that I can see why it would
 7
    probably be wise to go ahead and have this closed to the
 8
 9
    public.
10
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                     Okay.
                                             Well, then I'm
11
    going to propose as a finding for the three of us that it
12
    is in the best interest of the public to close this
13
    hearing to the public, and I'm going to suggest that
14
    while we generally want to keep as much as possible open
15
    to the public, I think in the interest of efficiency, it
16
    would make sense to simply close the hearing for all of
17
    Mr. Ralston's testimony and cross-examination so that we
18
    are not forced to go in and out of closed session for
19
    this portion.
20
                Is there any objection to that, Mr. Clark or
    Mr. -- Commissioner Clark or Commissioner Allen?
21
22
                                     No objection from me.
                COMMISSIONER CLARK:
23
    This is Clark speaking.
24
                COMMISSIONER ALLEN:
                                     Yes.
                                           No objection.
25
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                      Okay.
                                             Well, with that,
```

```
we make that finding as described.
 1
                                       I will ask
 2
   Ms. Paschal to disconnect the streaming for a moment
 3
   until we reconnect it. I will ask everyone just to look
 4
    at the list of participants. There should be a way to
 5
   pull up a participant list and just ensure that you don't
    see any names that shouldn't be there.
 6
               The "unknown" at the bottom of the list, I
 7
   believe, is Mr. Snarr, and I'm not quickly seeing any
 8
 9
    other connections that cause any concern. But please
10
    take a moment or two and indicate to me if you see any
11
   participants that you feel like should not be on for this
12
   portion.
13
               MR. SABIN: I'm not sure who Kellie Peterson
14
    is.
15
               MS. SHURMAN:
                             The court reporter? Okay.
16
                           Sorry. I think with that
               MR. SABIN:
17
    exception, Mr. Chairman, we don't see anybody else that
18
    shouldn't be on.
19
               COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay.
                                           We do have to
20
   keep the court reporter on.
21
               MR. SABIN: Yes, I know.
22
               Sorry, Ms. Peterson. I wasn't trying --
23
               (Beginning of Closed Session.)
               24
25
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
               *********
20
               (Conclusion of closed session.)
21
22
               COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                   Okay. Mr. Ralston,
   thank you for your testimony this afternoon.
23
               And we will go to Rocky Mountain Power for
24
25
   their next witness.
```

1	MR. SABIN: Rocky Mountain Power calls
2	Melissa Nottingham as its next witness.
3	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good afternoon,
4	Ms. Nottingham, do you swear to tell the truth?
5	MS. NOTTINGHAM: Yes, I do.
6	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
7	Go ahead.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. SABIN:
10	Q. Mr. Nottingham, could you state your full
11	name for the record, please?
12	A. Melissa Nottingham.
13	Q. Ms. Nottingham, what is your current position
14	with PacifiCorp?
15	A. I am a manager of customer advocacy and
16	tariff policy.
17	Q. Okay. And in this action, you have submitted
18	testimony; is that correct?
19	A. Yes, I have.
20	Q. And I show let me pull it up here. I show
21	that you have submitted direct testimony with one exhibit
22	marked MSN-1; is that correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And do you have any changes to your direct
25	testimony or that exhibit?

1 No, I do not. Α. 2 If we ask you the same questions 0. Okav. 3 in -- that are contained in your direct testimony, would 4 you provide the same answers today? Yes, I would. 5 Α. 6 Q. Okay. 7 MR. SABIN: Mr. Chair, I move for the admission of Ms. Nottingham's direct testimony, with 8 9 Exhibit MSN-1. 10 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to the 11 motion, please indicate your objection. 12 I'm not seeing or hearing any objection, so 13 the motion is granted. 14 (Direct testimony of Ms. Nottingham, 15 with attachments, were admitted.) 16 BY MR. SABIN: 17 Q. Okay. Ms. Nottingham, have you prepared a summary of your testimony? 18 19 Yes, I have. Α. 20 Would you please share that with the group Q. 21 now? 22 Good afternoon, Commissioners. No problem. Α. 23 My testimony is to support four changes to 24 Rocky Mountain Power's Schedule 300. This schedule 25 represents charges associated with the corresponding

1 electric service regulation. 2 The proposed changes are: Decreasing the 3 return check charge from \$20 to \$12, increasing the pole 4 disconnect/reconnect charge from 150 to \$200, increasing the temporary service charge from two charges of 85 or 5 150 to one charge of \$215, and adding a credit of 50 6 cents per customers enrolled in paperless billing. 7 These changes and the fees are due to changes 8 in the cost associated with the work and to pass the 9 10 savings of paperless billing directly back to the 11 customers participating in the program. No party objects 12 to those proposals or raised any challenges to my 13 testimony on these issues. 14 This concludes my summary. 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Nottingham. 16 MR. SABIN: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Nottingham is 17 available for cross-examination or questions from the 18 Commission. 19 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okav. Thank you. 20 If any of the participating attorneys have 21 cross-examination for Ms. Nottingham, please indicate to 22 me that you do. 23 I'm not seeing any indication of

cross-examination questions, so I'll go to Commissioner

24

25

Clark.

1	Do you have any questions for Mr. Nottingham?
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank
3	you.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
5	Commissioner Allen?
6	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No questions. Thank
7	you.
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. And I don't
9	either, so thank you for your testimony this afternoon.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
11	MR. SABIN: Thank you, Ms. Nottingham.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Rocky Mountain Power,
13	your next witness.
14	MR. SABIN: The Company calls Julie Lewis as
15	its next witness.
16	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good afternoon,
17	Ms. Lewis. Do you swear to the tell the truth?
18	MS. LEWIS: I do.
19	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
20	Go ahead.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. SABIN:
23	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Lewis. Can you state
24	your full name for the record?
25	A. Julie Lewis.

1	Q. Okay. Ms. Lewis, what is your current
2	position with PacifiCorp?
3	A. I am the vice president of people.
4	Q. Okay. What does that mean?
5	A. It's another term for human resources. I'm
6	the vice president of human resources.
7	Q. Okay. Great. And, Ms. Lewis, have you
8	submitted testimony in this proceeding?
9	A. I have.
LO	Q. Okay. I show that you've submitted let's
L1	see, excuse me, I have I have that you submitted
L2	direct testimony; is that correct?
L3	A. Yes.
L4	Q. And did you also submit rebuttal testimony?
L5	A. I did.
L6	Q. Okay. And I don't have any exhibits
L7	associated with that testimony; is that right?
L8	A. Correct.
L9	Q. Okay. And in your direct and rebuttal
20	testimony, if we were to ask you the same questions that
21	you were asked in that testimony, would you provide the
22	same answers today?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you have any corrections to your
25	testimony?

1 No, I do not. Α. 2 Q. Okay. MR. SABIN: Mr. Chair, I move to admit into 3 4 evidence the direct and rebuttal testimony of Ms. Lewis. 5 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to that motion, please indicate your objection. 6 BY MR. SABIN: 7 0. I think I -- I think I misled you, Ms. Lewis. 8 I think you just filed direct testimony, I'm sorry -- or 9 10 just rebuttal. I'm sorry, just rebuttal. 11 Α. Rebuttal. Rebuttal, yes. 12 Q. I'm sorry. 13 MR. SABIN: So just -- Mr. Chair, we are just 14 moving for the admission of her rebuttal testimony. 15 sorry, I misspoke. 16 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Sabin. 17 Again, if anyone objects to the motion, 18 19 please indicate your objection. 20 I'm not seeing any objection, so the motion 21 the granted. 22 (Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Lewis 23 was admitted.) 24 MR. SABIN: Okay. Great. BY MR. SABIN: 25

Q. Ms. Lewis, have you prepared a summary of
your rebuttal testimony that you can share today?
A. I have.
Q. Okay. Why don't you go ahead and share that
now?
A. Good afternoon. My testimony response to the
Utah Association of Energy Users witness Kevin Higgins'
proposal to disallow a portion of the Company's annual
incentive plan, or AIP program, on the ground that it is
awarded based on a small part of the Company achieving
its financial strength goals.
The Commission should reject this adjustment
because employees' overall compensation, including their
AIP, is set at market, and customers benefit from a
company with a strong financial foundation and quality
workforce.
PacifiCorp's compensation philosophy aims to
recruit, retain and encourage employees to perform
efficiently and provide quality products and services.
Therefore, a certain percentage of each eligible
employee's market compensation is considered at risk.
Compensation is structured so that each
employee has the opportunity to receive total
compensation at the market medium, so long as that
employee performs at an acceptable level. If an employee

fails to earn the full guideline incentive, that
individual will, in fact, be paid less than the -- excuse
me, than the competitive total cash compensation in the
market place for that year.

Any reduction beyond the competitive target incentive will place the Company in a position of not being able to offer competitive pay levels and would place operational and customer objectives at risk. It would also impact the Company's ability to attract and retain a strong workforce.

Financial strength benefits customers because as explained in this Ms. Nikki Kobliha's testimony, in the cost capital portion of this proceeding, the Company is able to maintain its high credit rating and receive favorable terms on long-term debt as a direct result of its financial strength.

Importantly, in 2008, the Commission approved the at-risk portion of the PacifiCorp compensation plan on the grounds that the total compensation, including both base and incentive compensation, was reasonable, targeted to the -- excuse me, reasonable, targeted to the market average of total compensation.

The at-risk portion of the compensation at issue in this case was partially based on the financials or on the Company's same six behavioral factors that are

the same -- that are at issue here, which include 1 2 financial strength. 3 Finally, it is worth noting the Company's 4 customers are not made worse off by the virtue of the 5 Company's compensation plan. Because the employees are receiving the medium compensation paid in the market for 6 duties performed, even taking into account the incentive 7 pay, the Company is only recovering the same market cost 8 that would have been paid if no incentive plan were in 9 10 effect. 11 Put otherwise, ratepayers will not pay even 12 the Company's incentive plan, pay any more than what they what the market would dictate for the employee services. 13 14 In conclusion, I recommend that the Company -- or the Commission rejects UAE's proposed 15 16 disallowance of a portion of employees' at-risk pay 17 because the AIP incentive does not result in excessive 18 wages to employees, and there is a credibility link 19 between the Company's financial performance goals and 20 tangible benefits to the customers. Thank you. 21 Q. Thank you, Ms. Lewis. 22 Mr. Chair, Ms. Lewis is available MR. SABIN: 23 for cross-examination or Commission questions. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Sabin. 24

Mr. Jetter or Ms. Schmid, any questions for

25

1	Mr. Lewis?
2	MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division.
3	Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
5	Mr. Snarr or Mr. Moore?
6	MR. SNARR: No questions from the Office.
7	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
8	Mr. Russell?
9	MR. RUSSEL: Yes, just a couple of quick
10	questions designed to clarify what everybody's positions
11	are here.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. RUSSEL:
14	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Lewis.
15	A. Hi.
16	Q. You understand that Mr. Higgins is not his
17	recommendation is not that the Company not pay the
18	portion of the incentive plan tied to financial
19	performance. Right? He's just recommending that the
20	Commission disallow that in rates. Correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. I would like to add, though
24	Q. Go ahead.
25	A. Okay. Can I add something, though?

I understand what he is trying to do. 1 2 don't -- yes, I do get that. But what I also want the 3 Commission to understand is, is that we would pay -- we 4 would be asking for that anyway. Right? Because if we didn't have the incentive plan at all, we still would be 5 paying people at market. 6 So they would be -- we would be asking for 7 the same amount, regardless that it's, you know, 8 9 part -- you know, part -- one of the goals that we have 10 in our pillars is financial strength. Because we pay at 11 market. So what we consider at market is our base pay 12 plus our at-risk. 13 If we didn't have the at-risk portion, we 14 would still have to pay at market, which would include 15 that amount. So we are going to pay it either way, which 16 is why we think we should -- you know, that portion 17 should be passed on to the customers. 18

Q. I'm not entirely sure I understood what you just said, and maybe it will help me to understand this question.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are you saying that the Company is indifferent as to whether it is allowed to collect that amount that is tied to financial performance in rates, or are you saying the employees are indifferent? Maybe just clarify that issue for me.

Yes, I am not saying anybody is indifferent. 1 Α. 2 What I'm -- what I'm trying to point out is that we pay 3 at, at market. You know, we look at -- we target our 4 salaries at market. What we have done is said, "Okay. Part -- to 5 get -- to get to market pay, our market -- you know, a 6 market level, we are going to have a portion of it be 7 base pay and a portion be at-risk." 8 Because a portion of that is at risk -- if we 9 10 didn't have that, if we didn't have the AIP program, we 11 would still be considered -- we would have to bring 12 salaries up to be at market. So we would be at -- we 13 would be asking for, you know, that to be in rates, 14 regardless. 15 I get -- I don't know if I'm explaining it, 16 you know, really --17 Q. You are. I get you now. I get you now. Ι understand what you are saying. 18 19 Α. Okay. 20 I think what I understand you saying, you 0. 21 correct me if I -- if I get this wrong. What you are 22 saying, I think, is that if the employee's incentive plan 23 did not include this portion that was associated with 24 financial performance, you would have to increase 25 another -- other portion of the employee pay so that

```
1
    you're paying your employee at market; is that your
 2
    point?
 3
           Α.
                Well, if we got rid of the AIP program, we
 4
    would have to increase the base pay for it to be at
 5
    market. That's what I'm saying.
                Okay. And you understand that Mr. Higgins'
 6
           Q.
    testimony on this point is not aimed at the entirety of
 7
    the AIP program. It's just that portion that is related
 8
 9
    to financial performance. Right?
10
           Α.
                Right.
                        Right.
11
           Q.
                Okay.
12
           Α.
                Yes.
13
           Q.
                Okay.
14
                I am aware of that, yeah.
           Α.
15
           Q.
                Okay. All right.
16
                All right. I think we are all on the same
17
    page now.
               Thank you for that.
18
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                      Thank you, Mr. Russell.
19
    Is that all your questions?
20
                MR. RUSSELL: Yes, it is. Thank you.
21
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: All right. Thank you.
22
                Mr. Holman?
23
                MR. HOLMAN:
                              I have no questions.
                                                    Thank you,
24
    Chair.
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
25
                                      Thank you.
```

1		Mr. Sanger?
2		MR. SANGER: I have no questions. Thank you.
3		COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Boehm?
4		MR. BOEHM: No questions, Your Honor. Thank
5	you.	
6		COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
7		Mr. Sabin, any redirect?
8		MR. SABIN: One question.
9		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SABII	1 :
11	Q.	Ms. Lewis, is the are the pillars the
12	same the	se six pillars that you base your AIP on, the
13	same six pi	llars that have been in existence for many
14	years, incl	uding through the last several rate cases?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	And those haven't changed at all?
17	Α.	No, the pillars have been the same.
18	Q.	Okay.
19		MR. SABIN: I have no further questions,
20	Mr. Chair.	Thanks.
21		COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
22		MR. RUSSELL: I actually have one follow up
23	to that, if	I may?
24		COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Russell, go ahead.
25		MR. RUSSEL: Yes.

1	RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. RUSSELL:
3	Q. In the portion of following up on Mr. Sabin's
4	question to you, the portion of the financial incentive,
5	or the AIP that is tied to financial performance that
6	you that you say has been included in rate in rate
7	cases previously, this Commission has not allowed those
8	portions to be included in rates in previous rate cases;
9	is that correct?
10	A. My understanding that in 2008, the AIP was
11	accepted.
12	Q. Okay. And what about the most recent rate
13	case, 2014?
14	A. I am not aware of that. I'm sorry, I don't
15	have that information.
16	Q. Okay. Thank you.
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q. That's all I had.
19	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
20	Mr. Russell.
21	I assume there is no recross from anyone else
22	because no one else had cross. If I'm wrong on that,
23	please let me know.
24	Okay. Otherwise, I will go to Commissioner
25	Clark next.

1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Sorry. 2 Clicking on the wrong mute button. I have a couple 3 questions. 4 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER CLARK: 5 First I just want to clarify my understanding 6 0. 7 of the operation of the incentive portion of 8 compensation. Within that portion, are there specific 9 10 dollars tied to each one of the pillars, or is -- are 11 dollars awarded on the basis of performance with respect 12 to all six in the aggregate? 13 Well, dollar -- I mean, percentages are 14 looked at, so we have a scorecard, which are -- which is There are subsections in there. But if we 15 our pillars. 16 achieve those goals, then we get a certain percentage. 17 That would be, you know, pushed out to employees. 18 there is a big pool, then we push it out to employees. 19 Looking at just one employee, for example, 0. 20 for -- financial performance will, in some way, affect 21 the level of incentive compensation that the employee 22 But what I'm wondering, is there specific receives. 23 lineage between some amount of the incentive compensation 24 and the financial performance objective, discretely? 25 is the -- in other words, is the incentive opportunity

divided up into those six categories and certain amounts of dollars tied to each of the -- each of the six?

- A. All of the pillars are weighted the same. I think that -- I think that is what you are asking, is there is a bigger piece for one -- one pillar or --
 - Q. Right.

- A. No. They are all weighted the same.
- Q. Okay. And then when looking at market compensation and particular dollar level for a particular job classification, for example, is it -- is it a one-for-one relationship, the relationship of the incentive dollars, to the dollars that you would add to base compensation if no incentives were going to be paid and if the compensation was 100 percent based and none at-risk?
- A. When you look at, in your pricing, a certain job, it gives you a range, a dollar range for base pay, but then it also will tell us what the -- if there is incentive, you know, tied to it, what that incentive would be. Right?

So, and then that gives us a total compensation. So I don't know if it is a one for one, but we are -- we do have the ability to figure out if it is a 50 percent, you know, what the total dollar amount would be that we would have to pay that person in order

1 to be at market. 2 And we don't look at -- and we also don't pay 3 at a very high percentile. We decide -- we have decided 4 that market pay is at the 50th percentile, so we are not We are at the 50 for our compensation. 5 at the 75 or 100. Thank you. That concludes my 6 Q. Okay. question. 7 8 Thank you. Α. 9 Thank you very much. 0. 10 Uh-huh. Α. 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Commissioner 12 Clark. 13 Commissioner Allen, any questions? 14 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I do. I have one 15 question. 16 **EXAMINATION** 17 BY COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Ms. Lewis, I was just curious as you are out 18 0. 19 hiring -- and, typically, I imagine you have to hire some 20 qualified people. 21 Have you had any difficulties recently in 22 finding trained employees, or does there seem to be a 23 good wellspring of talent out there? 24 Α. Right now, as far as talent for the 25 administrative -- or the non-union group, we are able to

find -- we are able to find talent. We are having 1 2 problems, honestly, with finding linemen, but that is because they can make more money being a contractor. 3 4 But linemen is where we are really struggling 5 right now. Which has nothing to do with the annual percentage plan because they are not in it, but that's 6 where we are having problems, is the journeymen/linemen. 7 I just want to know what your 8 0. That's great. general hiring conditions right now -- I imagine they 9 10 change from year to year. Things always do --11 Α. Yes. 12 -- in your business. Okay. 0. Great. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. Thank you. That was my question. 0. 15 Α. Uh-huh. 16 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 17 Commissioner Allen. And, Ms. Lewis, I do not have any further 18 19 questions for you, so thank you for your testimony this 20 afternoon. 21 Thank you. THE WITNESS: 22 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And we will 23 go -- actually, why don't we take a ten-minute break, and 24 then we will turn for Rocky Mountain Power's next 25 witness.

1	(Whereupon, a break was taken.)
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good afternoon. We are
3	back on the record.
4	And we are will go to Rocky Mountain Power
5	for your next witness.
6	MR. MOSCON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	Rocky Mountain Power next calls Mr. Curtis
8	Mansfield.
9	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good afternoon,
10	Mr. Mansfield. Do you swear to tell the truth?
11	MR. MANSFIELD: I do.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. MOSCON:
15	Q. Mr. Mansfield, would you please state your
16	name and your position for the record?
17	A. Curtis B. Mansfield, vice president of
18	transmission and distribution operations.
19	Q. Okay. Now I understand that you filed
20	testimony both in this, the revenue requirement phase, as
21	well as the cost of service phase; is that correct?
22	A. That is correct.
23	Q. Okay. So everything that I am about to ask
24	you, I just want to clarify, pertains only to this
25	revenue requirement phase, because that's all we are

1	going to put you forth for today. Okay?
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. Am I correct that you filed three sets of
4	testimony: Direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. And you had one exhibit to your direct,
7	CBM-1, and to your rebuttal, you had two exhibits, CBM-1
8	and CBM-2; is that correct?
9	A. That is correct.
L O	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any inaccuracies in
L1	any of those sets of testimony or exhibits?
L2	A. I am not.
L3	Q. So if we were to ask you the same questions
L4	that are set forth in those documents, your answers would
L5	be record as recorded therein?
L6	A. Yes.
L7	Q. Okay.
L8	MR. MOSCON: Mr. Chairman, I move for the
L9	admission of Mr. Mansfield's direct, rebuttal and
20	surrebuttal, together with all three exhibits attached
21	thereto.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to
23	that motion, please state your objection.
24	I'm not seeing or hearing any objection, so
25	the motion is granted.

(Direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal 1 2 testimony of Mr. Mansfield, with 3 attachments, were admitted.) 4 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. BY MR. MOSCON: 5 6 0. Mr. Mansfield, have you had an opportunity to prepare a summary of your testimony that pertains to this 7 phase of the hearing? 8 9 I have. Α. 10 0. Would you please share that? 11 Α. Good afternoon, Commissioner LeVar, Yes. 12 Commissioner Clark and Commissioner Allen. I appreciate 13 the opportunity to testify today. 14 My testimony in this proceeding supports two 15 main aspects of the Company's case. First, the costs 16 associated with the wildland fire protection plan; and 17 second, the Company's Utah advanced metering 18 infrastructure project, which is commonly referred to as 19 AMI. 20 Regarding the wildland fire protection plan, 21 my direct and rebuttal testimony filed in the revenue 22 requirement phase of this case presented the cost 23 associated with implementation of the plan that was 24 approved by the Commission on October 13, 2020, in Docket 20-035-28. 25

As discussed by Mr. McDougal in his rebuttal 1 2 testimony, filed on October 5, 2019, 2020 and 2021, 3 wildfire mitigation costs associated with the 4 Commission-approved plan are included in the rates requested by the Company in this proceeding. 5 intervening party expressed opposition to the cost 6 7 presented. However, I am happy to address any question 8 the Commission may have regarding the Company's wildland 9 10 fire protection plan. 11 Thank you. Q. Okay. 12 MR. MOSCON: Mr. Mansfield is available for 13 cross-examination or question of the Commission. 14 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. 15 Mr. Jetter or Ms. Schmid, any question for 16 Mr. Mansfield? 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JETTER: 18 19 Good afternoon, Mr. Mansfield. I just have a 0. 20 few questions for you today. 21 Can -- do you have your rebuttal testimony 22 with you? 23 I do. Α. 24 0. If you wouldn't mind, would you turn to 25 page -- looking at page 4 and page 5, let's go to page 5

1	first, and I'm looking at
2	(Technical difficulties.)
3	BY MR. JETTER:
4	Q. I think we are getting a little bit of
5	feedback.
6	A. Yes, I couldn't understand the question.
7	Could you repeat are you looking at line 90? Is that
8	where you are at, Mr. Jetter?
9	Q. So I'm looking at lines 92 through 94, and
10	this is on page 5, and it is kind of in the first full
11	paragraph there.
12	A. Is that on sorry, let me clarify your
13	question, Mr. Jetter. So that's on my rebuttal testimony
14	for wildfire?
15	Q. Oh, no, that's on your
16	A. Or AMI.
17	Q. AMI. Are you planning to
18	A. Sorry, I paused thinking that there might be
19	some questions on wildfire before I moved forward.
20	Q. If you are if you are intending to give
21	another summary and address AMI separately, I will hold
22	these questions.
23	MR. MOSCON: Mr. Chairman, I think it's
24	correct, Mr. Mansfield does have additional summary. And
25	I apologize, I didn't realize he also had additional

summary. So I'm the one that turned him over for 1 2 cross-examination. That's no one's fault but mine. With the Commission's permission, I'm going 3 4 to turn the microphone back to Mr. Mansfield to finish 5 his summary, and then we will turn the microphone back over, if that's okay with the Chair. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Yes, that sounds like the best way forward. 8 9 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. MOSCON: 12 Mr. Mansfield, with my apologies, please 0. 13 finish with the rest of your summary. 14 Α. Thank you. Turning to AMI project, parties 15 raise various concerns with the Company's AMI project, 16 some of which were raised in this phase of the proceeding and others raised in the cost of service, a hearing that 17 will be held later this month. 18 19 To address the parties' concern in an 20 organized fashion, I will limit my testimony today to 2.1 issues that were raised in this phase of the proceeding 22 by the Office of Consumer Services' witness Mr. Amos 23 [sic], and the Division of Public Utilities' witness, 24 Mr. Orton only. 25 I will also be testifying on the cost of

- hearing and will focus that testimony on issues raised by
 Mr. Nelson, from the Office, Mr. Howe from WRA, and Ms.
 Wright from UCE.
 The Company began the Utah project, AMI
 - The Company began the Utah project, AMI project, in 2018, which once complete, will consist of construction and AMI field network, including devices which enable remote reading of 790,000 existing AMI meters and an on-site replacement of approximately 175,000 existing meters to smart meters.

The Utah AMI project will leverage existing information technology infrastructure that is currently being used for the Company's California and Oregon AMI projects. This infrastructure will be modified and expanded to support Utah specific functionality.

The Utah AMI project will fully automate and retrieve hourly metering, reading data on a daily basis, allowing Utah customers to access their usage data on Rocky Mountain Power's energy website, and improve outage management. In addition, the AMI project will enable Rocky Mountain Power to remotely connect and disconnect electric service through the smart meters.

This project will lay the foundation for future smart grid investments, including distribution automation, more advanced outage management, and customer phasing energy efficient application and rate design. My

direct testimony provides additional descriptions of the 1 2 many aspects and benefits AMI has to offer Utah 3 customers. 4 Mr. Amos and Mr. Orton propose in their direct and rebuttal testimonies, respectfully, to remove 5 6 the projects from the case entirely based on the fact that it experienced some project delay. The Company 7 updated the time of the project in rebuttal testimony so 8 9 the revenue requirements presented by Mr. McDougal, which 10 based on the timing of the project, was approximately 1.4 11 million in revenue requirements as calculated by 12 Mr. McDougal. 13 While it is true that entire AMI project will 14 not be complete until 2022, the entire project does not 15 need to be completed before the assets placed in service 16 are used and useful in providing some of the benefits 17 that are outlined in my direct testimony. 18 infrastructure technology and field network will be 19 substantially completed by the end of 2021, with advanced 20 meter installation beginning third quarter of 2021. And 21 the systems will begin reading existing automatic meter 22 readings soon after. 23 Neither Mr. Amos or Mr. Orton provide a solid 24 reason not to allow the Company to include this portion

of AMI project that is already in service or will be

25

```
1
    placed in service during the test period of this case.
 2
                In conclusion, the Company's Utah AMI project
    will provide significant benefits to Utah customers. Due
 3
 4
    to the timing, only a small portion of the cost of the
 5
    project are included in this case, which I request the
 6
    Commission allow the Company to recover.
 7
           Q.
                Okay.
                       Thank you.
                             Now Mr. Mansfield is available
                MR. MOSCON:
 8
 9
    for cross-examination.
10
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Jetter,
11
    we will go back to you.
12
                MR. JETTER:
                             Thank you.
13
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
    BY MR. JETTER:
15
           0.
                Maybe we can jump back to where we left off
16
    here.
17
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Jetter, I don't know
18
    if it is something that can be dealt with at this point,
19
    but it seem like your microphone is cutting in and out
20
    for some reason.
21
                             Okay. Let me --
                MR. JETTER:
22
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I didn't want to mention
23
    that on the fly while you're questioning but --
24
                MR. JETTER:
                             If you will give me one minute,
25
    I will switch over to a headset and see if that helps us
```

1 out. 2 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: That might help. Thank 3 you. 4 MR. JETTER: Okay. Are folks able to hear me a little bit better now? 5 6 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. At this point, we are not hearing anything from you. Yes, maybe it's best 7 to go back to what you were doing before because we are 8 9 not hearing anything from you now. 10 Okay. We are still not hearing you at all. 11 I'm sorry, I think I made things worse. 12 MR. JETTER: How does this sound? 13 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: This is back to where we 14 started, so why don't you go ahead. Thank you. BY MR. JETTER: 15 16 Let's see -- okay. So I will try to focus my 0. 17 questions to limit them here. Mr. Mansfield, the question I'm trying to get 18 19 to the heart at here is, you said that the installation 20 of the AMI meters will begin in the third quarter of 21 2021; is that accurate? 22 Portions of the AMI installation will be in Α. 23 third quarter 2021. 24 0. Okay. And during the 2021 calendar year, of 25 the eight listed benefits on page 4 of your rebuttal

testimony, only the first -- the first three of those will be available during the 2021 calendar year?

- A. Yes. Mr. Jetter, I provided three benefits. These eight benefits that are listed are not all inclusive, meaning there are other benefits that were not necessarily provided in this testimony. So I can enhance what the additional would be, but I can also directly address your question on those three.
- Q. Well, what I'm asking, I guess, here is, the benefits of numbers 4 through 8, is it also accurate that those will not be available during 2021 or 2022, but rather, those will become available in January of 2023?
- A. So not completely true. So let me, maybe, back up and give you a little more detail. And if I outline the system, I apologize if you are already aware of this. So the -- the AMI network is made of three basic components. It's the head-end system, which is basically the computer the data comes into filling out each management engineering services at our headquarters. So the IT infrastructure portion of it.

Then the second major portion of the AMI network is the field area network, which is made up of the meters that actually talk to a collector site, and the collector site then provides that information back to the billing and what we call the head-end system.

Then there is the actual meter itself. And so in this particular rollout of our project in 2021, we will have almost all -- almost 90 percent of the IT infrastructure will be done. I will give you the exact numbers with our contacts we have in place, give me one second. So the IT will be 88.5 percent completed in 2021.

The field area network, which is made up of the collector sites and being able to put the information and data back into our corporate headquarters, and then that will be 82.3 percent.

And then the meters that you just spoke about, will have 34,500 AMI meters installed in that third quarter, fourth quarter period in 2021. For those meters, all aspects of 1 through 8 will be available to customers.

- Q. And so that is for 34,000 customers out of about 800,000; is that right?
- A. Yeah. So there will be 175,000 AMI meters that part of the project initially installed, out of -- there's 976,619 meters in Utah. And then that doesn't take into account -- and as part of my testimony is, there's also, roughly, 22,000 new customers a year that's connected, there's 5,000 meters that fail during the course of the year, and then we're also, based on our

net metering tariff, we are installing, roughly, about 7,500 net meter -- net metering -- meters a year. So those -- as we move into 2021, those will also be installed. So we will be growing more than just that 34-5.

- Q. Okay. And those are your current estimates, and you recognized that the project has been delayed some since the last, sort of, forecast of that. And so it's possible that similar delays could push the installation of those 34,000 meters into Quarter 4 or, potentially, into 2022?
- A. Mr. Jetter, it is possible but highly unlikely. And I will tell you that I was the deciding factor in delaying, the reason we delayed the project. And that was because we want to put AMI meters in. Three quarters of all meters in the U.S. are AMI. This is not new technology, and our customers are a little behind the eight ball but not having this in place at this point in time.

The reason it was delayed was the fact that we found -- we had a cyber security issue with a vendor that we had, and we wasn't willing to take that risk of exposing either to get into our system to control the electrical network or the customer's data access.

So we made a decision to delay until that

specific issue was rectified. It has nothing to do with 1 2 the technology or the ability to put the network in or 3 contracts that we have signed currently to deliver it 4 by -- with our vendors to deliver this project by the end of 2022. 5 6 Okay. And just to make sure I'm clear, full Q. 7 completion is expected January of 2023; is that right? That's correct. 8 Α. Those are all the questions I have. 9 0. Okay. 10 Thank you for your time. 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 12 Mr. Jetter. 13 Mr. Snarr? 14 MR. SNARR: We have no questions at this 15 time. 16 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Russell? 17 No questions, Mr. Chairman. 18 MR. RUSSEL: 19 Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Holman? 21 22 No questions. MR. HOLMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 24 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Sanger? 25 MR. SANGER: No questions. Thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. Boehm? Thank you. 2 Mr. Moscon, any redirect? 3 MR. MOSCON: Just very quickly, two 4 questions. 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. MOSCON: Mr. Mansfield, I want to make sure I 7 Q. understand a response that you gave to Mr. Jetter about 8 whether we are likely to have additional delays. 9 10 You had given him some statistics about where 11 we are going to be by the end of 2021, which is the test 12 period in this case, 82 percent, 88 percent, depending on 13 what we are talking about. Is -- are those numbers 14 arrived at by estimation, or are those based on 15 contract -- contracts that the Company has with outside 16 vendors? 17 They are based on contracts we have with Α. 18 outside venders. 19 And then the dollars that are -- that 0. Okay. 20 the Company is asking for in this case, is that the total 21 project price, or is that simply, are we asking customers 22 to only pay in this rate case for the actual application 23 and meters and facilities that are going to be installed 24 during the test period or that have already been installed? 25

We are only asking to pay from the period of 1 Α. 2 time we started the project, in 2018 up through 2021. 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. That is all the redirect I 4 have. 5 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 6 Mr. Moscon. 7 Mr. Jetter, any recross? MR. JETTER: No recross. Thank you, 8 9 Mr. Chairman. 10 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Any recross from anyone else? If you do, 11 12 please indicate. 13 And I'm not seeing anything, so I will go to 14 Commissioner Allen. Do you have any questions for this 15 witness? 16 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No, no questions. Thank 17 you. 18 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 19 Commissioner Clark? 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have no questions. 21 Thank you very much. 22 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. And I 23 don't have any questions either, so Mr. Mansfield, thank 24 you for your testimony this afternoon. 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And Rocky Mountain 2 Power, we will go to you for your next witness. 3 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. I'm going to turn it 4 over to Mr. Sabin to introduce Ms. Kobliha. 5 MR. SABIN: So Rocky Mountain Power's next 6 witness is Nikki Kobliha. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good afternoon, 7 Ms. Kobliha. 8 9 MS. KOBLIHA: Hello. 10 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Do you swear to tell the 11 truth? 12 MS. KOBLIHA: I do. 13 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Okay. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SABIN: 16 Ms. Kobliha, would you state your full name 0. 17 for the record, please? Nikki Kobliha. 18 Α. 19 For the court reporter's benefit, would you 0. 20 spell your last name? 21 Α. K-O-B-L-I-H-A. 22 Ms. Kobliha, what is your position 0. Okay. 23 with PacifiCorp? 24 Α. I'm chief financial officer and treasurer of 25 PacifiCorp.

And have you submitted direct and 1 Q. Okay. 2 rebuttal testimony in this case? 3 Α. Yes, I have. 4 I have for this particular phase, the Q. Okay. revenue requirement phase, I have that you submitted 5 direct testimony, with Exhibits NLK-1 through NLK-6, and 6 then rebuttal testimony, with Exhibit NLK-1R; is that 7 right? 8 9 NLK-1RR was the exhibit. Α. 10 Q. RR? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Thank you. Okay. And do you have any Q. 13 corrections or changes to that testimony today? 14 Α. No, I don't. 15 Q. Okay. And if you were asked the same 16 questions that are set forth in the direct and rebuttal testimony, would you provide the same answers? 17 18 Yes, I would. Α. 19 0. Okay. 20 Mr. Chair, I move to admit MR. SABIN: 21 Mr. Kobliha's direct and rebuttal testimonies, with the 22 associated Exhibits NLK-1 through NLK-6 and then NLK-1RR. 23 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: If anyone objects to the 24 motion, please state your objection. 25 I'm not seeing or hearing any objection, so

1	the motion is granted.		
2	(Direct and rebuttal testimony of		
3	Ms. Kobliha, with attachments,		
4	were admitted.)		
5	BY MR. SABIN:		
6	Q. Okay. Ms. Kobliha, have you prepared a		
7	summary of your direct and rebuttal testimony that's		
8	relevant to this second phase of the rate case?		
9	A. Yes, I have.		
10	Q. Okay. Would you please go ahead and share		
11	that now?		
12	A. Thank you. Good afternoon Commissioners, I'm		
13	pleased to be here today to provide a summary of my		
14	direct and rebuttal testimony.		
15	My testimony provides an overview of the		
16	Company's projected pension costs, specifically related		
17	to an inclusion of an estimate for a pension settlement		
18	loss in base rates and the inclusion of prepaid pension		
19	balance in rate base.		
20	My testimony also includes discussion around		
21	the use of the reverse South Georgia method to amortize		
22	protected excess deferred income taxes, which went		
23	unopposed by all parties.		
24	The Company operates a defined benefit		
25	pension plan which covers current, former and retired		

employees. Over the past several years, the Company has been shifting the accrual of new benefits to its defined contribution 401(k) plan, with the defined benefit pension plan, ultimately frozen as of December 31, 2016.

Despite the plan being frozen, the Company will continue to incur a net periodic benefit cost for its defined benefit pension plan until all obligations have been settled, which is anticipated to go several years into the future.

Based on the accounting rules detailed in Accounting Standards Codification 715, compensation, retirement benefits, the Company has approximately 420 million of unrecognized net actuarial losses recorded as a regulatory asset, which will generally be recognized as pension expense over the average remaining life of planned participants, which is approximately 21 years.

However, recent events have resulted in the accelerated recognition of the unrecognized net actuarial loss, causing what is referred to as a settlement loss. A settlement loss of 22 million on a total Company basis in 2018 was the subject of a deferral request in Docket 18-035-48, where the Commission concluded pension settlement losses were not unforeseeable or extraordinary enough to warrant deferred accounting in a deferred accounting order.

```
1
                As a result of the order in Docket 18-035-48,
 2
    the Company has evaluated its pension plan and concluded
 3
    a settlement loss is likely to occur in both 2020 and
 4
    2021, and therefore, has estimated an amount for
 5
    inclusion in base rates in this general rate case.
 6
                Similar to estimated net periodic benefit
    costs, the estimation of a settlement loss involves
 7
 8
    making several assumptions. These assumptions include
 9
    projected interest rate, the number of participants
10
    expected to retire, and how many of those were optioned.
11
    The Company working with its actuary has made its best
12
    estimate for these assumptions using data available at
13
    the time this cost was filed.
14
                The Company has forecasted settlement
15
    costs --
16
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                     I'm sorry. We -- you
17
    cut out very briefly there. Could you maybe repeat just
18
    the last couple of sentences? I'm sorry to interrupt
19
    you.
20
                THE WITNESS: Sure. How about "These
21
    assumptions"?
22
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                     I'm sorry, I didn't
23
    track exactly when you cut out but I think two or three
24
    sentences should be enough. I should have kept better
25
    track.
```

THE WITNESS: 1 Okay. 2 BY MR. SABIN: 3 Q. Nikki, it's right before you were talking 4 about the factors that you would need to know to be able 5 to make the estimation, whatever sentence that was. Now your -- you've cut out for me. 6 Α. Okay. Oh, sorry can you hear me? 7 Q. 8 I can go with -- now I can, yes. Α. You cut out right before you were 9 0. Okay. 10 talking about the factors you'd have to know in order to 11 make the assessments. 12 I will go with then, similar to Α. Okav. estimating net period benefit costs, the estimate of a 13 14 settlement loss involves making several assumptions. These assumptions include projected interest rates, the 15 16 number of participants expected to retire, and how many 17 of those retirees will elect the lump sum payment option. 18 The Company, working with its actuary, has 19 made its best estimate for these assumptions using data available at the time this case was filed. 20 The Company 21 has forecasted settlement loss on a totally Company basis 22 of 11.9 million in 2021, the test period in this case. 23 Witnesses for OCS and UAE agree the Company 24 needs -- agree with the Company that something needs to be done to include settlement loss -- settlement cost in

25

1 rates. OCS and UAE witnesses recommend deferring
2 settlement costs and amortizing them over approximately
3 20 years.

2.1

This is consistent with the Company's proposal in Docket 18-035-48. However, the settlement loss was the only item under consideration, whereas in this case, total pension cost include an estimate for settlement loss, is being considered, along with all other revenue requirement items.

In this case, rather than requesting a deferral and amortization of settlement costs alone, the Company has provided an alternative recommendation to establish a balancing account that would include all pension and other post-retirement net periodic benefit costs and settlement losses with an initial amount reflected in base rates using the 11.9 million 2021 forecast settlement loss. This option was not available in Docket 18-035-48 due to its limited scope.

Both OCS and UAE witnesses have testified pension amounts deemed to be in rates have exceeded the Company's actual pension cost. While the Company disagrees with the characterization of what parties have deemed to be determined as in rates, which I will discuss a bit more later, we do recognize that there are several assumptions when estimating pension costs that are

challenging to predict, causing forecasts of pension costs to inevitably vary from the actual pension cost.

The Company believes the use of balancing accounts should alleviate parties' concerns over the variances between pension costs established during this rate proceeding and actual incurred pension costs. Under a balancing account option, if total pension costs including settlement losses are lower than those forecast in this case, a regulatory liability would be established to return any over-collection to customers at some future date.

This is not dissimilar to the Company's current property insurance balancing account or even the energy balancing account, where significant volatility can occur making it difficult to accurately predict actual costs. While using the balancing account would be a departure from historical treatment, I believe we are in a new environment related to our waning pension plan, which warrants a new way of thinking to develop a reasonable and balanced approach to recovery.

As it relates to net prepaid pension and other post-retirement assets, the Company is proposing inclusion of its cumulative net prepaid balances in rate base with a return on those balances equal to the Company's weighted average cost of capital. Net prepaid

pension and other post-retirement assets is equal to the cumulative contributions made to the Company's defined benefit plans in excess of the cumulative expense recognize for accounting purposes.

While the Company recovers its net periodic benefit costs through cost of service, the Company finances any differences between the amounts contributed to the plan and the amounts expensed for accounting purposes with its blended capital. Inclusion of the net prepaid pension in rate base would allow the Company to recover its pension financing cost, along with all other rate base items.

Use of any interest rate, such as the expected return on plant assets used in determining net periodic benefit costs included in rates does not compensate the Company for its outlay of funds, which the Company would have financed at its weighted average cost of capital.

Other parties in this case suggest the

Company has not borne the cost of finance the net prepaid

based on the comparison of amounts deemed to be in rates.

That comparison is not appropriate, given the Commission

sets rates to recover an overall revenue requirement

based on estimates of forecast, which, inevitably, will

differ. To isolate net periodic benefit costs as in

rates disregards variances and other actual costs 1 2 compared to what was estimated in setting rates. 3 Witnesses for UAE and OCS both acknowledge this issue in 4 their analysis, seeming to agree with the Company's 5 position. 6 While the Company is currently in a prepaid 7 position, there were several years in the past when the Company was in an accrued position, and yet it did not 8 9 include those amounts as an offset to rate base. 10 oversight was highlighted in Docket 13-08 -- 035-184 by a 11 Mr. Stuber as unintentional and only discovered through 12 detailed reconciliation of financial return on equity 13 compared to regulatory return on equity. That took place 14 during 2011. 15 Docket 13-035-184 was settled without a final 16 resolution of this prepaid pension item, and therefore, 17 it is being considered again in this case, not simply because the balance is in a prepaid position. 18 19 In the Company's proposal, if the net prepaid 20 were to now shift to a net accrued position, continued 21 inclusion in rate base would be appropriate with benefits 22 flowing to customers. 23 In my testimony, I provide further analysis 24 of the prepaid pension data and conclude customers have

not been harmed due to the oversight of not including the

25

pension balance in rate base while it was in an accrued
position.

As presented in Exhibit NLK-1RR, the cumulative revenue requirement benefit owed to customers through 2013 was approximately \$2 million, the time period in which there were years when the pension amount were in an accrued position. Since that time period, the pension amounts have been in a prepaid position, resulting in loss recovery of significant financing costs or by the Company of approximately 448 million.

The Company is not seeking recovery of this historical lost opportunity, but rather, looking to have the cumulative balance included in rate base, with the opportunity to earn a fair return on the Company's outlay of cash, which would be at its weighted average cost of capital.

In conclusion, I recommend the Company be allowed to recover its net period benefit cost inclusive of estimated pension settlement loss, as well as be allowed to earn a return on its net prepaid pension at the Company's weighted average cost of capital.

For recovery of the net period benefit cost,
I recommend the Commission authorize a balancing account
for all pension and other post retirement costs,
including events such as pension settlements, with the

initial amount based on the net periodic benefit costs 1 and settlement loss included in Company's test period in 3 this proceeding. That concludes my summary. 4 Thank you, Ms. Kobliha. 0. Mr. Chairman, Ms. Kobliha is 5 MR. SABIN: available for cross-examination or Commission questions. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. I will go to Ms. Schmid. 8 9 Do you have questions for Ms. Kobliha? 10 MR. JETTER: We have no questions from the Division. 11 Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Sorry. 13 Okay. Mr. Snarr? 14 MR. MOORE: This is Mr. Moore. I'll have a 15 couple questions from the Office. 16 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Great. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. MOORE: 19 Ms. Kobliha, concerning the issue of 0. 20 settlement losses and regarding the OCS's position that all settlement losses be deferred and amortized 2.1 22 consistent with the position that Rocky Mountain Power 23 took in Docket 18-035-48, may I direct your attention to 24 your rebuttal testimony, page 3 line 47? 25 Α. Yes, I'm there.

1 If you look at the very end of that sentence, Q. 2 the last sentence that begins with the word "if," can you 3 read that sentence into the record, please? 4 Α. "If neither of these options are acceptable, 5 the Company's final option would be to, as it proposed in Docket 18-035-48, which requested the ability to defer 6 and amortize all actual settlement losses going forward." 7 8 0. Thank you. The Office has no further 9 MR. MOORE: 10 questions. 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Moore. 12 Mr. Russell, do you have any questions? 13 MR. RUSSEL: I have just a few. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. RUSSELL: 16 And good afternoon, Ms. Kobliha. 0. 17 Α. Hello. I want to make sure that I understand what 18 0. 19 the -- what assumptions that the Company has to make on 20 its pension settlement losses or projected amount of 21 settlement losses. 22 You said it in your summary. In fact, we 23 made you say it twice. I just wanted to make sure I got 24 it because it wasn't -- it wasn't entirely clear to me. 25 One of the assumptions that the Company has

1 to make is, you need to make a -- you need to project an 2 interest rate that will be applicable during the test 3 period. Right? 4 Α. Yes. I don't know if it is me or others, but I have heard about every other word from you there. 5 I'm sorry, I will try again. 6 Q. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I heard all of it, so I 7 wonder if it is a problem with your connection, 8 9 Ms. Kobliha. 10 But, Mr. Russell, why don't you repeat it and 11 see if we get any better result this time. 12 MR. RUSSEL: Okay. I will try again. 13 BY MR. RUSSELL: 14 And my question relates to the projected 0. 15 settlement losses. 16 It doesn't look like you are hearing me very well. 17 (Witness shakes head.) 18 Α. 19 0. Okay. 20 No. All I can do is ask if someone wants to Α. 21 come in here and fix the computer because it's just 22 plugged in. 23 Yes, I am hearing you just fine. Q. 24 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I will jump in. 25 fallback is always connecting by the phone line for the

1 audio, if we can't -- if we can't fix it. Because there is a phone line associated with this connection, but I 2 3 know that when we've tried that before, sometimes that's 4 created other issues with feedback. 5 Are you hearing me okay, Ms. Kobliha? 6 I am hearing you just fine. THE WITNESS: COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Well, that's 7 confusing to me because I was hearing everything 8 9 Mr. Russell was saying, so I can't -- I imagine where the 10 problem is, but I'm not a tech person. 11 MR. RUSSELL: Yes, let's troubleshoot. 12 THE WITNESS: I hear you there. 13 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Why don't we try one 14 more time, then see if we need to take a break and come 15 back? 16 MR. RUSSEL: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 I will try it again. MR. RUSSELL: 19 BY MR. RUSSELL: 20 My question relates to the assumptions that 0. 21 the Company needs to make to get to its projection or its 22 estimate of the pension settlement losses. 23 I think you said them during your summary, but I want to make sure that it is clear. One of those 24 25 assumptions is that the Company needs to project an

interest rate that will be applicable during the test year; is that right?

A. Yes. Interest rate, which ends up being the better term, the discount rate, associated with pension costs is one of the items. And it is noted in Table 8 that is in my direct testimony, a few of these items anyway that are included in the projection.

And I think to your point, I would also mention, you know, the number of employees who are going to retire, the number of those employees who are going to elect settlement. And so those bits of data -- you know, we have a lot of information associated with our pension plan that we look to in leverage. You know, we know the -- all the participants in the plan, how old they are, which, you know, gives us an indicator of when they might retire.

We also have the history of how many participants have elected a lump sum, and it varies sometimes in years when -- when the segment rates drop, which is another concept we can talk about if we need to, we see sometimes a spike in people taking the settlements position because it changes the value of the cash they would receive.

Q. And to get to the number that the Company is proposing to include in base rates here, you had to

project all of those numbers with all those variables into the test year; is that right?

2.1

- A. Correct. Similar to even the rest of the pension costs, and not only the settlement loss but all the pension costs, we did that same analysis for it. And we actually do it every year, because every year we remeasure our pension plan and come up with the estimate for the next year, which is required under General Accounting Principles.
- Q. And in his -- in his testimony, Mr. Higgins suggests that taking account of all of these factors into a future test period is too speculative to include in base rate. Right? I'm certainly not asking you to agree with that. I'm just setting up the next question.
 - A. Yes, I understood that in his testimony.
- Q. Okay. All right. And you note that -- and starting at line 25 of your rebuttal testimony, that "While it is" -- I guess it's line 30. "While it is difficult to accurately project future pension settlement losses, the Company based its projection on the best available information from its actuaries to determine there would be an estimated pension settlement loss in the test period."

Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do. Yup.

1 And I guess my question to you is: Q. How can 2 the Commission be sure that even with the best available 3 information, the amount that you are proposing to put in 4 rates isn't too attenuated on information that we just 5 don't have? Sure. You know, I think -- as I pointed to Α. 6 the Table 8 where we had some of those assumptions laid 7 out, that, yes, we have made the best estimate based on 8 the information that's available to us, which, 9 10 inevitably, will be different. 11 And that actually is why I'm recommending the 12 balancing account to grab all of those costs, not only 13 the settlement but all pension costs. And under the 14 assumption that we will be wrong because of all these varying factors, let's go ahead and balance it out. 15 16 And if we've overestimated any differences, 17 we will go back to customers for those totality of 18 pension costs, including -- including a settlement loss. 19 0. Thank you very much. That is all I Okay. 20 had. 21 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 22 Mr. Russell. 23 Mr. Holman? 24 MR. HOLMAN: I have no questions. Thank you. 25 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Sanger?

1	MR. SANGER: No questions. Thank you.		
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.		
3	Mr. Boehm?		
4	Okay. Any redirect from Rocky Mountain		
5	Power?		
6	MR. SABIN: Mr. Chairman, thank you. Just		
7	quickly, thank you.		
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
9	BY MR. SABIN:		
10	Q. Ms. Kobliha, Mr. Moore asked you he had		
11	you read a statement from your testimony, where you were		
12	referencing the 2018 docket in which the Company		
13	requested the deferred accounting order for purposes of		
14	dealing with settlement losses.		
15	And I heard you in your opening statement to		
16	make a distinction there. I want to probe that		
17	distinction. Why was		
18	A. Me again. I missed a lot of that, I'm sorry.		
19	Q. Okay. I do see that your screen is the one		
20	that is glitching, and I don't I think it's happening		
21	on that end, but I don't know.		
22	A. I can hear you now.		
23	Q. We will try it.		
24	A. Okay.		
25	Q. Just stop me if you lose me. Okay?		

1 A. Okay.

Q. So let me back up. Mr. Moore had pointed in your testimony to a statement where you had indicated that your third preferred alternative for dealing with settlement losses, for pension settlement losses, would be to have a deferred accounting order. Right?

Shoot, I think we froze up there again.

- A. I lost you at -- yeah, I lost you at "settlement losses."
- Q. Okay. I will try it one more time. Can you hear me okay now?
 - A. Right at this moment.
- Q. Okay. All right. If this doesn't work, I think we will try and call you and do it over the phone or something. I know it is disruptive, but I'm sorry, I want you to be able to hear.

Okay. I will try one more time. Do you recall during Mr. Moore's questioning that he asked you -- he opened your testimony and asked you to read a statement about -- from your rebuttal that indicated that the Company's third preferred alternative for dealing with settlement losses, pension settlement losses, would be to use a deferred accounting order; is that right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What I want to -- what I wanted to ask

you is that during your opening statement, you made a distinction about why it was appropriate for a deferred accounting order when dealing with pension settlement losses and why you think a balancing account is more appropriate for the whole entirety of the pension expenses and settlement losses.

Could you please explain why a deferred accounting order for the pension settlement losses was acceptable in 2018, but why it is not the preferred option now?

A. Yes, as I -- I did hear you. As I noted in my summary that -- you know, in that particular docket, I referenced the deferred accounting docket. That was the only item at issue, was the pension settlement loss that we had in 2018.

And as we are now in this case, not only do we have a settlement loss that we have, you know, estimated and projected, but we have the entirety of pension costs. And those pension costs were -- you know, the rest of the costs were subject of that deferral docket, where parties, you know, expressed significant concern around the costs that were deemed in rates for pension relative to the Company's actual costs.

So that is why when I look at a proposal for a balancing account, we are now in this world where we

```
can scope all of those items in, in the bucket where they
 1
 2
    all come together because they are all for the same
 3
    purpose, it's pension, and put those in rates.
 4
    the extent that the variability of that we will see in
 5
    our actual estimates are different, we can set up a, you
    know, rate liability or rate asset, whichever direction
 6
    it goes, to make sure that customers aren't paying more
 7
    or less or all of those costs in totality.
 8
 9
                If we were dealing with, perhaps, just a
10
    settlement in the proceeding, like we were in the
11
    deferral, that's where the 20 years made, you know, more
12
    sense or amortization deferral and amortization made more
13
            But I think we are in the world where we can
14
    capture all the pieces and bring them together.
15
    balances account is, in my opinion, the best way to get
16
    us there.
17
           Q.
                Okay.
                            And I think that is it,
18
                MR. SABIN:
19
    Mr. Chairman.
                   That is all I wanted to focus on.
20
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
                                      Okay.
                                             Thank you,
    Mr. Sabin.
21
22
                Mr. Moore, any recross?
23
                MR. MOORE:
                            No recross.
                                          Thank you.
24
                COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay.
                                             Thank you.
25
                Mr. Russell, any recross?
```

1	MR. RUSSEL: No, thank you.		
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Anyone else have any		
3	recross? I'm not seeing or hearing any, so I will go to		
4	Commission Clark next.		
5	Do you have any questions for Ms. Kobliha?		
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank		
7	you.		
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner Allen?		
9	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you. No questions		
10	from me either.		
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I don't have any either,		
12	so thank you for your testimony this afternoon, and thank		
13	you for your patience with a few technical issues. I		
14	think we made it through fine.		
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.		
16	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: We will go to Rocky		
17	Mountain Power for your next witness.		
18	MR. MOSCON: Mr. Chairman, at this point, the		
19	Company has a request of the Commission. The Commission		
20	will note from the list that we gave, with the removal of		
21	the subscriber solar issue, we are down to only two		
22	witnesses, who are Mr. Link and Mr. McDougal.		
23	And it is the Company's hope and preference		
24	that Mr. McDougal can be the concluding witness, as we've		
25	already seen some witnesses have, it is common to kick		

1 questions of what the ratemaking treatment to a 2 particular issue is to Mr. McDougal. So we think it 3 makes sense so that someone else doesn't go last and say, 4 "Oh, you should have asked that of Mr. McDougal." 5 And Mr. Link, unfortunately, has had a 6 relatively serious family matter, and we had hoped that we would be able to have him on. But that has not 7 resolved today. And to be candid, we are nearly a full 8 day ahead of schedule, I think -- well, I won't speak for 9 10 everyone of where I anticipated we may be. 11 So with that, it is our request, if the 12 Commission please, that we conclude today, where we are 13 at, and let the Company put on its last two witnesses 14 tomorrow morning, unless there is a concern of the 15 Commission, and try to make alternate arrangements. 16 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Moscon. 17 And with the progress we have made today, I don't see 18 there would be any concern from our side. 19 Let me just ask any parties if there is any 20 concern from anyone else. If you have any, just please 21 unmute yourself and indicate that. 22 Okay. I'm not seeing any, so that seems to 23 be an appropriate way to move forward. 24 I will remind everyone, at 5 o'clock today, 25 we have the public witness hearing. Because of the

1	virtual nature, we requested that individuals wanting to
2	speak would sign up in advance, and at this point we have
3	one speaker who has signed up.
4	I will remind everyone that it does not have
5	the same connection information as this hearing. We
6	created a separate link and a separate video conference
7	for public witness hearing, so if you are intending to
8	join that, you have to have a different link than the one
9	we are using for the rest of the hearings in this
10	proceedings.
11	With that, we will be back at 5 o'clock, and
12	we are in recess until then.
13	(The hearing was concluded at 3:20 P.M.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	State of Utah)		
4	County of Salt Lake)		
5			
6	I hereby certify that the witnesses in		
7	the foregoing hearing were duly sworn to testify to the		
8	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the		
9	within-entitled cause;		
LO	That said hearing was taken at the time		
L1	and place herein named;		
L2	That the testimony of said witnesses		
L3	were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter		
L4	transcribed into typewritten form.		
L5	I further certify that I am not of kin		
L6	or otherwise associated with any of the parties of said		
L7	cause of action and that I am not interested in the		
L8	events thereof.		
L9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand this		
20	11th day of November, 2020.		
21			
22	Locaie toterado		
23			
24	Kellie Peterson, RPR		
25			

Hearing November 03, 2020

Index: \$12..2019

		<u> </u>
\$	100 28:19 29:8 77:11 78:14,22 84:25 87:14	197 26:6
	125:20 131:19 187:14	1R 113:2
\$12 173:3	188:5	1st 22:4,10 23:24,25 68:16,23
\$2 216:5	11 68:9 138:2	00.10,23
\$2.073 25:18	11.9 211:22 212:16	2
\$20 173:3	110 86:5 89:18 91:15	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
\$200 173:4	92:3	2 23:4 33:25 39:24 54:15, 23,25 55:8,12,21,23
\$215 173:6	114 76:24 85:24 94:4	56:2,3,9,13,19 58:2,7
\$22.5 39:25	12 32:21 63:21 75:3 78:2, 11 83:8,10 128:19	•
\$37.8 54:24	13 192:24	69:14 74:21 132:16 139:22,24 140:4 143:20
\$49.5 23:23		2.5 132:2,19
\$62.7 23:11 26:5	13-035-184 215:15 13-08 215:10	2.6 132:19
\$7.7 26:13	13-wonth 41:22	20 12:14,23 42:15 74:14
\$71 23:8		80:13 212:3 227:11
\$72 22:7 25:19	140 110:10	20-035-28 192:25
\$9.7 54:25	143 110:10	20-35-4 7:6
	15 14:24 118:5	2008 178:17 185:10
0	15-minute 71:9	2011 215:14
035-184 215:10	150 173:4,6	2012 56:7 59:5
	16,500 45:24	2013 58:25 59:6 216:5
1	160 82:15 125:4	2014 185:13
1 7:15 22:10 23:4 33:18	161 48:10	2016 54:16 56:5 78:17,19
34:24,25 49:17 51:10	17-035-39 63:6	79:15 84:20,22 86:22,25
62:10 63:19,23 64:6 65:25 66:11 74:24 75:1	17-035-40 63:7 64:5	87:11 110:16,19 209:4
78:6,8 82:1,8,12,13 83:7,	17-035-69 22:21	2017 31:21 54:16 78:18
9 127:1 201:15	175,000 196:9 201:19	2018 55:9 77:2 90:12 99:11 100:11,23 101:18
1.075 30:11	18 99:7,8,14 100:19	139:23 196:5 205:2
1.1 23:17,18	139:22	209:21 224:12 226:9,15
1.4 197:10	18-035-48 209:22 210:1 212:5,18 217:23 218:6	2019 77:4,8 79:21 86:8 89:2,24 90:11 93:23
1.431 114:23	18-35-36 7:7 73:2	101:20,23 102:15,22
10.2 25:24	19 98:22 99:7	103:18,22 111:7 115:14 139:22 193:2

Index: 2020..6th

2020 7:1,4 26:16 33:8 **448** 216:10 3 47:15,25 62:25 64:25 **46** 45:25 74:24 75:2,14 76:20 **467.3** 30:11 **3** 7:1,4 9:16 27:2 76:17 77:10,23,24 78:7,9,14 79:18,19,20,23 80:2,11, 79:9 82:12 97:23 98:3 **47** 217:24 23 97:7 139:22 140:4 106:18 124:19 125:5 143:20 217:24 192:24 193:2 210:3 5 **3.6** 22:7 **2021** 7:15 22:10 23:18,22 24:12,16 32:20 35:7 **5** 75:2 78:9 80:17 82:3,12 **30** 55:9 79:21 80:14 39:25 41:9 65:1 66:12 83:8 84:4,8,10 87:10,23 222:18 69:6 74:25 75:3,5 78:10, 193:2,25 194:10 **300** 28:5 31:11 172:24 12,22 82:16 98:4,6 114:9 **5,000** 201:24 116:11 120:24 124:21,22 **30th** 42:9 59:6 **5.4** 114:25 125:6 193:2 197:19,20 **31** 56:5,7 78:21 209:4 199:21,23,24 200:2,11 **50** 14:24 31:12 134:15 201:2,7,14 202:3 204:11 31st 59:5 173:6 187:24 188:5 205:2 210:4 211:22 **34** 123:23 **500** 45:25 47:12,21 212:16 **34,000** 201:17 202:10 **500/230** 48:4 **2022** 23:18 42:12,18 **34,500** 201:13 197:14 200:11 202:11 **50th** 188:4 203:5 **34-5** 202:5 **52** 88:4,11 91:7 106:6 **2023** 23:19 27:10 200:12 **345** 47:19 108:18,23 203:7 **35** 79:25 **53** 97:13 **21** 88:3 90:25 91:3 **37** 92:4 **54-3-21-4** 142:22 103:22 106:4 209:16 **3rd** 15:24 65:22 **55** 97:14 **22** 209:20 **22,000** 201:23 4 6 **22.5** 23:24 **4** 30:21 33:19 61:20 **6** 74:13 **230** 47:13.22 48:4.6 50:4 62:10 75:1 78:8 82:1,8, **60** 15:25 **240** 76:23 85:4 12,13 83:8 84:3,8,10 **622.6** 114:23 98:2,3 193:25 199:25 **247** 80:1 200:10 202:10 **628** 115:1 **25** 222:17 **40** 66:4 **65** 104:15 **26** 139:23 **401(k)** 209:3 **66** 31:3 **27** 72:15 **41** 74:22 6th 65:21 **272** 77:5 **420** 209:12 **2nd** 74:16 **43** 74:22

	92 194:9	226:4,25 227:15
7	94 194:9	accounting 209:10,11,
7 77:23 110:9	976,619 201:21	24,25 214:4,8 222:9 224:13 225:6,23 226:3,8,
7,500 202:2	98 84:25	13
70 91:14	9:00 7:1	accounts 213:4
715 209:11		accrual 209:2
73 84:18 85:25 88:2 89:14 90:6 91:23 92:7	A-N 72:7	accrued 215:8,20 216:1,
106:19 108:23		accumulated 23:5
75 188:5	A.M. 7:1	accuracy 80:13
790,000 196:7	AACE 80:11,17	accurate 84:13 118:6
7th 67:1 68:24	ability 64:19 78:24 144:23 178:9 187:23 203:2 218:6	121:3,8,11 122:11,16,21 125:18 126:8 199:21
8	absent 24:25 42:2	200:10
8 74:24 75:2 78:6,9 82:3,	absolutely 104:4 108:22	accurately 213:15 222:19
13 83:8 97:22 200:10 201:15 221:5 223:7	accelerated 55:23 58:9 209:18	accusation 27:22
80 75:1,2,3 78:8,10,11	accept 116:5	achieve 186:16
82:15 101:6 124:18,19,	acceptable 177:25 218:4	achieved 66:25 68:5
21 125:5	226:9	achieving 177:10
800,000 201:18	accepted 185:11	acknowledge 215:3
82 204:12 82.3 201:11	access 46:6,19,24 196:17 202:24	acquire 85:14 90:15 94:21 101:24
85 173:5	accessories 85:20 86:3	acquired 79:2 84:14,17
88 204:12	accommodation 16:16	85:8,11,14,15 86:14,20,
88.5 201:6	accompanied 112:24	21 88:5,12,13,15 89:14 91:8 93:4,7 94:1,3,15
89 57:23,24	•	100:17,18 101:19,21
· 	accordance 54:18 55:21 56:19,22	102:5,13,17,19 103:17 106:2,7 108:23
9 9 49:20 63:21 75:3 78:10	account 22:17 24:23 30:10 31:1,4,7 93:4 114:15 116:2 117:17	acquiring 88:18 89:6 91:9 94:7
83:8	121:2 124:5,14 125:1	acquisition 33:15 77:1
9.8 25:24	135:3 179:7 201:22 212:13 213:7,13,14,16	79:1 104:3 108:18
90 194:7 201:3	216:23 222:11 223:12	act 22:22 26:7 55:4

acted 38:18

action 87:13 171:17

actively 47:20

acts 136:8

actual 30:17 50:10 115:14 120:18 128:12, 23,25 129:11,18 130:12, 13 131:1,17,20 201:1 204:22 212:21 213:2,6, 16 215:1 218:7 226:23 227:5

actuarial 209:13,18

actuaries 222:21

actuary 210:11 211:18

add 141:10 180:23,25 187:12

added 138:3

adding 25:5 173:6

addition 25:2 32:21 42:2, 3 46:25 47:25 56:9 63:13 74:20 85:12 196:19

additional 25:5 38:3,10 47:13 64:3 65:23 82:14 85:7,20,22 86:20 88:5 89:13 90:9 94:3,6 106:6 108:19,23 124:19 129:1, 2,13 194:24,25 197:1 200:7 204:9

additionally 63:18 78:9

additions 26:12

address 12:6 14:5 16:20 17:13 40:17 47:16 103:9 139:12 143:6,14,18 193:8 194:21 195:19 200:8

addressed 13:13 32:10 34:14 84:2 129:6

addresses 33:24

addressing 12:10 143:13

adjust 140:6

adjusted 125:13

adjustment 27:1 115:19, 23 116:1,6 177:12

adjustments 26:7 30:23 34:6.21 115:23 116:24

administered 55:5

administrative 25:5 188:25

admission 20:17 45:2 53:21 113:14 138:20 172:8 176:14 191:19

admit 62:8 73:17 75:21 176:3 207:20

admitted 20:25 45:9 54:3 62:17 73:25 76:6 113:22 139:3 172:15 176:23 192:3 208:4

adopted 139:18

advanced 27:4 192:17 196:24 197:19

advancement 80:11

advocacy 34:3 171:15

Advocates 11:22

Aeolus 50:6,17 51:13

Aeolus-to-bridger/anticline 47:12

affect 119:10 186:20

affects 32:1 78:23 120:14

affiliate 38:16,20 39:1

affirmatively 38:18

affordable 46:6

afternoon 117:12,13 137:2,8 139:10 170:23 171:3 172:22 174:9,16, 23 177:6 180:14 189:20 190:2,9 192:11 193:19 205:24 206:7 208:12 218:16 228:12

age 46:21

agencies 55:6

Agency 55:5

agent 56:18

agents 55:20

aggregate 186:12

agree 36:16 37:14 38:6 117:19 134:9 135:10 136:9 211:23,24 215:4 222:13

agreed 22:20

agreement 28:21 51:6 55:14,15,16,18 56:11,13, 14,17 77:5

agreements 77:3

ahead 7:22 12:8 44:4 50:23 52:22 61:4 71:23 72:2 83:20 96:14 112:10 114:3 133:15 145:8 171:7 174:20 177:4 180:24 184:24 199:14 208:10 223:15

aimed 64:13 183:7

aims 177:17

AIP 177:9,14 179:17 182:10 183:3,8 184:12 185:5,10

Air 55:4

	Hearing November 03, 2020	Index: alertedargument
alerted 141:14	amount 15:1,3 22:14	34:12
align 24:11,21 31:24 96:17 116:24 121:25	37:18 39:2 63:12 94:21 99:20 106:14 129:8 130:25 132:10,19 181:8,	applicable 55:17,21 56:16,19 219:2 221:1
aligned 122:25 123:3 alignments 109:11	15,23 186:23 187:24 210:4 212:15 216:6 217:1 218:20 223:3	application 7:9,13 13:10 28:16 31:15 42:16 82:20, 23,25 124:2 196:25
Allen 7:17 21:7 43:18,19 45:17 52:5,7 60:6,8 70:23,24 76:14 111:20,	amounts 187:1 212:20 214:7,8,21 215:9 216:8	204:22 applied 26:8
22 114:6 136:22,23	analyses 58:16	apply 12:19 28:2
139:11 143:2 145:3,5,6, 21,24 174:5,6 188:13,14, 17 189:17 192:12	analysis 33:16,17 101:23 215:4,23 222:5	applying 28:3 approach 18:11 132:5,7
205:14,16 228:8,9	Anderson 10:6	213:20
alleviate 213:4	annual 116:20 177:8	appropriately 50:14
allocated 30:12 114:24	189:5	appropriation 103:21
123:25	annualized 24:19 42:13	approval 7:11 24:25
allowed 56:24 64:2 181:22 185:7 216:18,20	answers 20:10 44:23 53:14 62:5 73:12 75:18	30:19 31:16 32:11,16 56:5,7 82:25
allowing 46:11,12 78:22 196:17	113:11 133:2 138:17 172:4 175:22 191:14 207:17	approve 25:17 26:5,11 30:10 31:6 35:4 57:4 80:25
alternative 117:23 212:12 225:4,21	anticipate 16:19 66:8 141:11 142:17	approved 22:3 31:7 63:5, 7 80:24 103:20,21,24
Alyson 10:5 American 77:21	anticipated 63:20 66:17 67:3 87:24 209:8	178:17 192:24
AMI 27:4,6 34:11,14	Anticline 47:19	approximately 23:11 26:13 45:23 125:4,5,9
192:19 194:16,17,21		126:3 134:10,15 135:8
195:14,15 196:4,6,7,10, 12,15,19 197:2,13,25	apologies 68:18 127:11 195:12	196:8 197:10 209:12,16 212:2 216:5,10
198:2 199:20,22 200:16, 21 201:13,19 202:15,16	apologize 48:24 127:8 194:25 200:15	April 68:16
amortization 212:11	apparent 32:9	area 48:9 101:3 200:22 201:8
227:12	apparently 86:11	areas 46:5
amortize 208:21 218:7	appearance 7:25	arena 27:23
amortized 217:21	appearances 7:20,23	argue 27:17
amortizing 212:2	12:25	
Amos 195:22 197:4,23	appearing 8:15 10:1,4, 10,20 11:2,19 12:20	argues 29:2 140:4 argument 14:9,22 15:3,

Hearing November 03, 2020

Index: arguments..base

	November 03, 2020	index. argumentsbase
14,23 16:4 18:2	assumed 58:8,12	automation 196:24
arguments 18:24	assumption 90:5 223:14	availability 79:7 90:1,2
array 46:2	assumptions 37:19	108:12
arrive 25:7	210:8,12,21 211:14,15, 19 212:25 218:19,25 220:20,25 223:7	average 41:22 134:12,21 136:2 178:22 209:15
arrived 204:14		213:25 214:17 216:15,21
articulated 28:2	asymmetrical 119:2	awarded 69:19 177:10
asks 25:16	120:10	186:11
aspect 86:11	at-risk 178:18,23 179:16 181:12,13 182:8 187:15	aware 13:22 20:1 44:18 53:10 101:12 106:14
aspects 87:5 192:15 197:2 201:15	attached 20:18 191:20	111:14 117:22 118:25 183:14 185:14 191:10
assemble 96:16	attachments 45:9 62:16 73:24 76:5 113:21 139:3	200:15
assembled 88:22 91:14	172:15 192:3 208:3	В
assert 59:2	attempt 8:14	
asserts 78:25	attention 57:22 217:23	back 30:8 43:8,22 52:2,
assess 75:12	attenuated 223:4	15 60:15 93:14 96:20 108:1 126:24 137:4
assessed 58:6	attorney 9:7 10:2 14:18	173:10 190:3 195:4,5
assessment 58:2,12,24 59:13 69:13	attorneys 14:17 109:21 141:22 173:20	198:11,15 199:8,13 200:14,24 201:10 220:15 223:17 225:2
assessments 74:18 211:11	attract 178:9	balance 12:22 22:19,25
asset 23:3 30:20 209:14 227:6	attribute 86:17,22,24 87:8,12 94:9,15 95:8	46:5 114:14 208:19 215:18 216:1,13 223:15
assets 22:24 34:18 67:22	attributes 86:15	balanced 213:20
197:15 213:22 214:1,14	audio 135:20 220:1	balances 24:23 213:23,
assigned 51:1	August 54:16 86:8 139:22 authority 7:10,14 46:4 142:23	24 227:15
assist 65:5		balancing 30:10 31:1,4,7 46:4 114:15 115:19
assistant 10:2		117:16 121:2 135:3
associate 11:3	authorize 38:25 216:23	212:13 213:3,7,13,14,16 216:23 223:12 226:4,25
association 10:8,10 55:20 80:10 177:7	authorized 21:21 25:25	ball 202:18
assume 14:23 17:15	103:20	base 22:9,15 23:13,22
39:20 58:11 121:13	automate 196:15	24:12,14 26:10,13,25 30:10 34:25 35:6,9 41:23
142:8 185:21	automatic 197:21	114:25 116:17 117:17,21

Index: based..breakdown

120:25 122:5,7,12,23 125:25 126:2 129:8,10 130:8,14 134:18 178:20 181:11 182:8 183:4 184:12 187:13,17 208:18,19 210:5 212:16 213:24 214:10,12 215:9, 21 216:1,13 221:25 222:13

based 29:20 39:2 69:24 87:11 97:19 115:13,23 118:18,19 119:16 125:23 126:2,5 128:1,11,13 130:25 177:10 178:24 187:14 197:6,10 201:25 204:14,17 209:10 214:21,24 217:1 222:20 223:8

baseline 31:8

basic 200:17

basically 51:11 92:25 93:4 200:18

Basin 50:6,17

basis 24:19 27:8 28:3 30:11,12 32:6 54:24 55:1 69:20,24 89:17 91:12,16 114:24 140:9 186:11 196:16 209:20 211:21

Bear 50:11 101:15 104:7

bearing 120:10,12

bears 36:16

Beaver 118:23

began 77:2 78:17 100:10 196:4

begin 14:18 16:24 64:23 197:21 199:20

beginning 13:3 135:21 146:23 197:20

begins 29:12 218:2

behalf 10:1,4,10,15,19 11:19 57:16 139:17 141:1 142:17

behavioral 178:25

believes 30:3 213:3

beneficial 64:3,14 65:4

benefit 12:11 48:16 57:1 121:18 122:9 125:23 129:12 130:16,18 132:8, 21 135:1,12,17 177:14 206:19 208:24 209:3,6,7 210:6 211:13 212:14 214:3,6,15,25 216:4,18, 22 217:1

benefiting 63:10

benefits 22:24 24:18,22 27:8,9,14 28:18 35:8 40:12,13 45:22 46:10 47:7 58:7 63:2,16,21,24, 25 64:20,24 69:21 77:1 104:17,22 116:12,19,21, 22 120:18 121:25 122:4 123:1 129:2,3 130:21 131:20 178:11 179:20 197:2,16 198:3 199:25 200:3,4,5,10 209:2,12 215:21

Berkshire 38:16 79:2 84:15,21 85:12 86:12 87:7,21,22 88:12,21 89:8 90:7 91:1,8 92:25 93:5,7 94:1,8,15 95:5,6 106:2 108:19

BHER 79:3,4,13 84:22 85:15 86:1 88:3 89:12,14 91:3 110:15

big 186:18

bigger 187:5

bill 21:21 24:15 26:8 31:3,12,13 34:4

billing 34:4 173:7,10 200:25

billion 25:18 26:13 30:11 114:23

bit 9:11,18 13:21 39:15, 22 69:1 100:21 101:4 104:11,18 117:18 133:10 135:20 142:4 143:24 144:19 194:4 199:5 212:24

bits 221:11

blade 118:23 119:9

blades 86:2,19 89:13 91:13 92:3 136:11

blank 90:13

blended 214:9

blew 129:25

blows 128:18 129:15

Blundell 34:1 139:24 140:1 143:12,15

Bob 17:1 24:6 27:20 33:9

Boehm 11:18,19,21 43:4, 5 52:1 60:1 70:19 105:20 126:21,22 184:3,4 204:1 224:3

book 39:3 88:14 89:7

booked 88:13

borne 214:20

bottom 146:7

bought 116:3

break 71:12 127:2 189:23 190:1 220:14

breakdown 89:15

Hearing November 03, 2020

Index: Brenda..Chair

Brenda 9:16 139:18 calendar 68:12 78:16 139:13 178:24 185:13 192:15,22 197:6 198:1,5 199:24 200:2 **Brian** 11:4 204:12,20,22 207:2 California 196:12 **Bridger** 23:3 47:19 208:8 210:5 211:20,22 call 9:18 16:12 51:3,5 212:7,10 213:9 214:19 **briefly** 25:13 28:23 72:13 200:25 225:14 215:17 226:16 105:22 107:9 108:24 134:8 140:23 210:17 called 55:15 56:13 cases 131:25 132:8 128:15 184:14 185:7,8 **briefs** 14:22,24 15:10 18:2,24 calling 11:5 cash 178:3 216:15 221:22 bring 64:22 182:11 calls 71:16 112:6 137:7 171:1 174:14 190:7 227:14 cast 8:21 **bringing** 64:13,17 Cameron 8:16 catalatic 26:21 33:3 **broad** 46:2 cancel 135:17 catalytic 54:11 **bucket** 227:1 capable 96:23 catches 16:8 **budget** 36:23 capacity 29:10 46:9,14, categories 187:1 20 74:14,16 76:23 79:25 build 28:16 46:17 85:23 category 119:12 115:14 118:18 119:16,24 103:16 120:2 **caused** 19:19 **building** 102:18 capital 7:21 48:2 55:17 causing 209:19 213:1 **built** 102:23 119:23 56:15 72:7,16,24 140:6 **CBM-1** 191:7 125:7,8 178:13 213:25 214:9,18 **CBM-2** 191:8 216:16,21 **burden** 36:17 **cease** 79:20 capped 29:5 **business** 72:17,23 189:12 Cedar 49:24 51:10,14 caps 92:21 66:19 **button** 186:2 capture 76:25 227:14 cent 31:12 buy 22:18 carbon 72:21 76:22 **center** 96:21 buy-down 22:20 23:6 case 7:23 12:24 13:21 cents 132:2 173:7 14:8,13 21:8,11,15,18, **buying** 92:10 23,24 23:1 25:2,12,14,15 **CEO** 21:12 26:14 27:7,18 30:9 31:8, C **CFO** 34:15 10,23,25 32:6,10,12,15 33:1 35:6 36:16 37:4,6, chain 24:5 77:17 **calculated** 116:12 118:7 17,23 38:2,5,11 40:10 Chair 10:18 11:1 42:24 128:1 197:11 42:3 45:20 48:3,12 51:21,24 59:21,24 70:14, **calculation** 33:21 41:22 54:10,13,21 55:7 76:15 17 105:14 114:5 126:16 112:20 114:7,10,18,21 **calculations** 41:12 74:18 143:3 172:7 176:3,13 115:1,8 116:10,11 118:1,11 120:2,9 179:22 183:24 184:20 125:19 128:4 129:20 195:6 207:20 130:9,10,12 132:9,12,16

Hearing November 03, 2020

Index: Chairman..commission

18,21,22 30:9 31:6,7

Chairman 21:6 35:12 circuit 70:6 74:23 82:9. **close** 91:25 141:19 39:12 43:2 45:16 49:6 10,11 142:23 145:12,16 54:9 60:22 62:7 73:16 circuit-by-circuit 78:3 **closed** 140:23 145:8,18 75:20 76:13 105:17,22 146:23 170:21 **circuits** 74:23 75:1,2,3 112:5 113:13 117:3,9 78:2,6,8,9,10 81:25 82:1, closely 128:7 127:7 132:23 133:4 2,7,21 83:7,9 96:3 97:22 137:6 138:19 139:10 **closing** 15:3 141:23 98:2 140:13 146:17 173:16 142:1 190:6 191:18 194:23 circumstance 130:21 closure 30:21 33:18 203:18,23 205:9 217:5 circumstances 28:13 55:23 59:13 224:6 227:19 228:18 35:2 41:24 coal 72:18 115:2 challenge 142:9 City 11:13,14 12:19 13:2 coal-fired 22:19 80:9 challenges 173:12 **claim** 69:21 70:5 142:7 coal-fueled 79:20 80:19 **challenging** 64:8 213:1 claims 24:4 **Code** 142:22 chance 14:2 clarification 87:17 Codification 209:11 **change** 7:14,22 13:20 clarify 59:10 94:17 106:1 17:8 24:10,12,14,17 colleague 8:16,18 60:18 128:11 180:10 181:25 25:1,11 34:25 35:7,9 colleagues 142:21 186:6 190:24 194:12 55:25 77:22 98:7,11 125:1,12,18 130:9 **collect** 181:22 **clarity** 93:21 133:5 131:24,25 189:10 **collector** 78:2 200:23,24 **Clark** 7:18 21:7 43:14,16 201:9 changed 101:4 125:14, 45:17 52:9,10 60:10,11 17 126:7 132:7 184:16 71:1,2 76:14 110:2,3,5,8 **Colorado** 55:7,10 56:6, 114:6 136:17,19 139:11 18.22 91:24 106:25 changing 107:25 143:1,3,4 144:24 145:2, Colorado's 56:4 characterization 212:22 20,21,22,23 173:25 174:2 185:25 186:1,5 column 50:8 characterize 120:20 188:12 192:12 205:19,20 121:9 122:1 Comeau 13:16 228:4.6 **charge** 173:3,4,5,6 commenced 37:10 **Class** 80:11,17 Chargepoint 11:16 **comment** 18:1,17 classification 187:10 **charges** 31:11,13 172:25 comments 18:24 40:24 Clean 10:17,19 55:4 173:5 commercial 66:11,25 clear 36:21 102:9 203:6 **check** 59:17 85:1 86:7 68:5,23 70:5,7 143:8 218:24 220:24 96:18 173:3 **commission** 7:6 12:11 Clicking 186:2 checking 109:10 13:5,22,24 14:2,7,21,23 **client** 16:5 15:1,6,9,21,23,25 16:1,6 chief 206:24 17:9 22:3,21 24:9 25:6, **climb** 109:8 Cholla 30:21 33:18 16 26:5 28:12 29:3,4,14, **climbing** 109:15

32:16,18 35:4 36:10 48:19 54:10 57:3 63:5,7, 10,12 64:1 65:2 80:24 82:9 96:4 97:3 116:5 117:20 121:16 140:5 142:22 173:18 177:12 178:17 179:15,23 180:20 181:3 185:7 192:24 193:9,13 198:6 209:22 214:22 216:23 217:6 223:2 228:4,19

Commission's 15:18 195:3

Commission-approved 193:4

commissioned 69:18,21 96:11 109:3

Commissioner 7:3,17,18 8:4,8,11 9:2,22 10:7,16, 23 11:7,20 12:6,8 17:12, 19,25 19:7,10 20:19 21:7 35:14,21 39:9 42:20,25 43:3,13,14,16,18,19,20 44:1,4 45:4,17 48:21 49:2,7,11 51:19,22,25 52:5,7,9,10,12,15,19,22 53:22 57:10,14,18 59:19, 22,25 60:5,6,8,10,11,13, 24 61:4 62:11 65:10 67:8,12 70:11,15,18,22, 24 71:1,2,4,8,13,18,22 73:19 75:25 76:13,14 81:5,10,13,17 83:15,20 95:14 105:11,15,19 109:20 110:1,3,5,8 111:4,19,20,22,24 112:3, 7,10 113:16 114:6 117:5 123:8,14 126:12,17,20, 23 127:3,8 132:25 133:6, 11 134:3 135:19 136:16, 17,19,21,22,23,25 137:4, 8,11 138:22 139:10,11

141:9,18 142:10,19 143:1,2,3,4 144:24 145:1,2,3,5,6,10,21,22, 24,25 146:19 170:22 171:3,6 172:10 173:19, 24 174:2,4,5,6,8,12,16, 19 176:5,16 179:24 180:4,7 183:18,21,25 184:3,6,21,24 185:19,24 186:1,5 188:11,13,14,17 189:16,17,22 190:2,9,12 191:22 192:11,12 193:14 195:7 198:10,17,22 199:2,6,13 203:11,16,20, 24 204:1 205:5,10,14,16, 18,19,20,22 206:1,7,10, 13 207:23 210:16,22 217:7,12,16 218:11 219:7,24 220:7,13 223:21,25 224:2 227:20, 24 228:2,6,8,9,11,16

commissioners 7:17 60:22 139:9 172:22 208:12

commissioning 75:11 96:18 108:25 109:4,6

commitment 21:16

committed 92:20

common 228:25

commonly 192:18

companies 107:13

company 11:17,19 13:15 16:12,18 19:16 22:6,8,12 23:21 24:8,10,21,24 25:1,16 26:4,7 27:11,22, 25 28:7,10,15,25 29:7,9, 10,21,24 30:3,5,9,11,19, 22,25 31:22 32:3,11,13, 18 35:3 36:16 39:24 40:8 41:7,16 42:16 47:5 54:24 55:1,22,24 56:1,18 58:6,

9,12 61:13 62:24 63:14, 18 64:1,7,9,22 65:3,5 69:12 72:10 74:22 77:21, 24 78:4 79:12,19,23 80:21 81:24 82:24 85:2 96:4 100:10 101:18,23 102:6,12,13,16,17 103:16 106:14 115:8,11, 18 116:2,7,25 117:20 120:17 121:12 123:24 124:13,25 132:13 138:1 174:14 177:10,15 178:6, 13 179:8,15 180:17 181:21 193:5 196:4 197:7,24 198:6 204:15. 20 208:24 209:1,5,12,20 210:2,11,14 211:18,20, 21,23,24 212:12,21 213:3,22 214:5,6,10,16, 17,20 215:6,8 216:10,11, 17 218:19,25 220:21,25 221:24 222:20 224:12 228:19

company's 19:5 23:7,16 24:19 25:8,20,23 26:11, 13 27:1 29:6 30:2 31:15 33:4,15 34:6,10,11 35:6 36:10,24 39:17,23 43:24 47:8 48:14 54:13 57:1 58:2 79:1 80:1 114:8,13 115:20 116:5 127:21 128:21 130:22 132:6,14, 17 140:2 177:8 178:9.25 179:3,5,12,19 192:15,17 193:9 195:15 196:12 198:2 208:16 212:4,21 213:12,25 214:2 215:4, 19 216:14,21 217:2 218:5 225:21 226:23 228:23

Company-owned 63:4 comparable 89:1,19 91:16 119:12

Index: compared..contingent **compared** 88:17,20 94:4 200:17 congress's 69:3 114:25 117:17 215:2,13 compressed 98:23,24 connect 196:20 comparing 89:16 99:2 **connected** 82:2,3,4 comparison 58:16 **comprised** 76:24 86:4 201:24 214:21,22 compromises 25:21 connecting 219:25 compensate 214:16 computer 12:13,18,22 **connection** 81:12 219:8 200:18 219:21 compensation 177:13, 220:2 17,21,22,24 178:3,18,19, connections 146:9 computers 8:15 20,22,23 179:5,6 186:8, **concept** 39:5 221:20 consecutive 9:14 21,23 187:9,13,14,22 188:5 209:11 conceptually 88:9 99:21 considerable 99:20 **competitive** 79:14 85:2,8 concern 78:23 142:20 consideration 212:6 89:3 94:23 95:1 110:21 146:9 195:19 226:22 considered 87:23 99:23 178:3,5,7 concerns 32:7 115:22, 177:21 182:11 212:8 competitively 85:22 24,25 195:15 213:4 215:17 **complete** 66:5 77:22 conclude 215:24 consist 196:5 78:6 85:3,14 89:10,11 **concluded** 209:22 210:2 consistent 97:21 101:20 90:8 96:16 100:6 196:5 102:12 115:16 212:4 197:14 **concludes** 35:10 57:6 217:22 111:17 117:1 173:14 completed 27:10 38:9 188:6 217:3 **constantly** 12:15 122:22 58:16 77:3 85:2 87:24 89:22,23 197:15,19 concluding 228:24 construction 47:12 201:6 62:25 64:6,16,21 65:1 **conclusion** 14:4 18:7 68:1,7 70:3 72:19,24 completely 122:15 29:22,23 80:21 140:8 77:16 78:6,17,20 98:2,8 144:12 200:13 170:21 179:14 198:2 196:6 216:17 completes 11:25 **Consumer** 9:23 139:17 concurrent 24:13 **completing** 65:1 98:24 195:22 condition 46:22 **completion** 27:15 66:5 contacts 201:5 93:25 203:7 conditions 28:24 29:11 **contained** 80:19,25 55:13 56:12 64:9 189:9 **compliance** 47:6 54:19 138:16 172:3 56:8,23,24 79:21 conference 7:19 contemplated 79:7 **comply** 46:14 47:1 55:2 confidential 88:8 97:9 contemplating 31:25 103:1,12 140:16,17,19, **component** 85:16 89:16 contested 26:2 54:22 21 141:4,12,16 142:8,18 103:5 143:9 144:2,17,18 145:6 80:1

confidentiality 144:5

confusing 220:8

context 59:14 97:3

contingent 102:5,17

components 77:20

79:11,12 88:18 89:11 90:2,25 91:11 92:2,24

	He Novembe
continue 56:21,25 209:6	44:16,17,2
continued 54:20 215:20	15 53:16,18
continues 78:13	61:20,23,24 73:5,6 74:1
	85:6,9,10 8
continuing 68:13 75:12	91:3 93:10
continuity 78:16,20 87:9	99:5 110:18
contract 85:19,21 86:4,6	113:1,3 117
88:23,24 89:12,18 91:15,	122:6 124:2
18 92:9,10,14 93:12,14	126:10 128
105:1 143:25 144:14,20	23 131:2 13 138:7,8,11,
204:15	23 175:12,
contractor 24:4 80:6	182:21 185
189:3	191:3,5,8,9
contractors 64:10	222:3
contracts 98:25 99:1	corrected 2
203:3 204:15,17	53:11 74:1
contractual 103:1 144:10	correction
contrary 129:14	75:23
contributed 214:7	corrections
	175:24 207
contribution 209:3	correctly 66
contributions 214:2	correlated
control 30:2 41:25 56:20	Correlated

contrary 129:14			
contributed 214:7			
contribution 209:3			
contributions 214:2			
control 30:2 41:25 56:20 96:21 202:23			
controls 96:19 106:22 109:10			

conversation 95:25
conversations 108:16
conversion 27:2 76:17 80:2,24
converted 79:23

copies 88:25 corporate 201:10 Corporation 11:13,14 correct 20:3.14.15 36:12. 19,25 38:21 40:3,15 42:9

20:2 44:19 5,16

74:12,13

3 20:5 74:11 7:13

6:23 85:5

128:7

corresponds 40:4

cost 7:21 21:20 24:1,18, 20 25:5,9 26:22 27:17,20 28:18 29:6 30:10 31:2,5, 8 33:20,21 34:13 36:21, 22 37:12,15 38:1,25 41:1,7 47:17 50:7 51:5 63:11,15 64:15 65:3 66:8,18,21 79:13 80:8, 11,12,16,19 82:20 87:22 88:14,16 89:15 90:21,22 91:8,9,16 97:14 110:15, 20 114:8,25 115:9 116:9, 24 121:19,22 122:10,25 129:1 131:17 135:13 140:2,5,7 173:9 178:13 179:8 190:21 192:22

193:6 195:17,25 198:4 209:6 210:13 211:25 212:7,21 213:2,25 214:6, 11,17,20 216:15,18,21, 22

Index: continue..Craig

cost-effective 54:20

costs 25:3 27:7,12 29:5 30:21 34:10 36:14,17,23 37:1,3,9,21,24 38:2,4,10, 19 39:2,3 50:18 51:1,2,6 54:24,25 64:2 80:25 82:20,23 83:5,7,9,12 97:16,20 112:18 114:13, 17,22 115:4,19 116:16, 21 120:18 121:25 129:20 130:21 131:20 192:15 193:3 208:16 210:7,15 211:13 212:2,11,15,25 213:2,5,6,7,16 214:6,15, 25 215:1 216:9,24 217:1 221:5 222:4,5 223:12,13, 18 226:19,20,22,23 227:8

counsel 8:23 143:6

County 76:22

couple 12:5 17:13 49:13, 22 57:19 69:1 95:22 107:1,25 110:6 123:16 180:9 186:2 210:18 217:15

court 12:11 15:21 146:15,20 206:19

cover 16:10 31:18 141:12

covered 118:5

covers 33:7 208:25

COVID-19 24:6 64:9 77:18 83:12

Craig 26:22,25 33:4

IIIUEA. GIEGIEUDEGEIIIDEI	Index:	createdD	ecember
---------------------------	--------	----------	---------

54:15,23 55:8,12,15,18, 21,22 56:2,11 58:2,7 59:9,14 created 22:17 220:4 creating 25:4 creation 30:20 31:1 credibility 179:18

credit 23:12,19 24:11,12 31:12,14 64:18 114:16 118:1 120:13,25 121:2 129:3,10 132:21 173:6 178:14

credits 28:20,21 34:4 35:7 69:2 77:6,12 79:17 104:12 117:16 118:7 120:11 121:14,17,24 122:4,7,12,23 123:3 127:25 128:3,13,15 129:5,9,13,21 130:4,8,13 131:3

Creek 26:18 33:18 63:19, 23 64:6 67:2,3 118:24

crew 96:17 109:6

criticisms 27:21

criticize 27:25

cross 81:4 185:22

cross-examination 11:6 35:13 36:2 39:13 49:1,15 57:9,20 65:9,15 67:15 81:20 83:21 95:18,23 117:4,10 123:7,18 133:18 134:6 142:5 145:17 173:17,21,24 179:23 180:12 193:13,17 195:2 198:9,13 217:6,17 218:14

cross-examining 8:19 cumulative 213:23

214:2,3 216:4,13

curious 69:12 188:18

current 24:6 25:25 35:1 47:16 97:16 126:9 129:24 130:1,2,7 131:13 132:5,6 171:13 175:1 202:6 208:25 213:13

Curtis 27:11 34:8 190:7, 17

curve 115:12,21

customer 27:13 31:11 34:2,3 46:12 63:2,16 76:25 104:16,21 120:17 135:5 171:15 178:8 196:24

customer's 202:24

customers 21:14,16,22 23:9,12 24:15,22 27:14 28:18 30:5 31:12,17,21 34:23 35:7 40:19 45:22 46:7,10,25 47:8 48:16,17 57:2 63:2,11,24 64:23 65:6 108:4 116:19 120:15 121:18 122:3 123:4 125:22 128:22 129:3,7,8,12 130:1,15,24 131:2,16 132:8,18,20 134:25 135:4 143:10 173:7,11 177:14 178:11 179:4,20 181:17 196:17 197:3 198:3 201:16,17, 23 202:17 204:21 213:10 215:22,24 216:4 223:17 227:7

cut 22:14 81:11 96:14 210:17,23 211:6,9

cuts 22:22

cutting 198:19

cyber 202:21

cycles 134:12 135:16

D

daily 196:16

damages 92:18

Dana 17:2 33:23 137:7, 16 139:13

data 196:16,17 200:18 201:10 202:24 210:12 211:19 215:24 221:11

date 15:24 42:16 77:9 78:20 86:8 97:20 103:21, 22,23 110:17 125:23 213:11

dates 68:13 74:23 124:7, 10,14,15 125:11,16,21 126:6,7

Dave 17:2 22:25 30:18 33:20

David 7:18 112:6,15

day 14:4 15:16,22 16:8 42:8 47:21 107:1

day-ahead 115:18,22 116:1,5

days 68:9

deadline 15:22 64:17

deal 93:3,12 100:20

dealing 34:25 39:1 83:11 132:5 224:14 225:4,21 226:3 227:9

dealt 198:18

debt 178:15

decades 63:3

December 15:20,24 54:16 56:5,7 59:5 66:21 77:10 78:7,21 125:5

	November 03, 2020	Index: decidedevices
139:23 209:4	delamination 119:9	72:13 84:4 107:9
decide 107:23 188:3	delay 41:25 69:15 70:2	describes 45:21
decided 13:9 133:21	75:8 78:23 197:7 202:25	describing 114:9
188:3	delayed 24:4 39:18 40:1, 5 69:6 77:19 202:7,14,20	descriptions 197:1
deciding 202:13	• •	design 31:25 32:1,14
decision 28:12,14,16,17	delaying 202:14	196:25
29:19 36:24 37:11,13,22 58:3 59:8,11 103:16 202:25	delays 34:23 39:16 64:11,21 83:12 124:5 202:9 204:9	designated 12:13,17 13:2
decision-making 59:15	deliver 63:20 64:20	designating 46:13
decisions 28:8 48:14	203:3,4	designed 46:1 180:10
declared 96:22	delivered 63:16 66:2	desire 60:1
decommission 80:8	deliveries 75:9 77:19,22	detail 13:24 24:7 26:3
decommissioning 72:20	delivery 64:11 85:21	200:14
76:19 80:4,10,16,20 81:1	demand 46:20 106:16	detailed 101:10 209:10 215:12
decontaminate 80:8	demolish 80:8	details 47:9
decrease 115:2 Decreasing 173:2	demolition 72:20 80:12, 17	determination 37:5 143:5
deemed 143:8 212:20,23	demonstrate 36:17	determine 222:21
214:21 226:22	demonstrated 28:15	determined 212:23
deep 88:8	demonstrates 48:14	determining 214:14
defer 23:2 58:14 104:25	62:23	develop 213:19
218:6	deny 140:5	developed 77:25 100:25
deferral 209:21 212:11 226:20 227:11,12	departure 213:17	101:5,6 115:16
deferred 22:23 26:6 35:8	dependent 119:19	developers 134:14 136:5
208:22 209:24 217:21	depending 14:13 204:12	developing 102:6
224:13 225:6,23 226:2,7,	deploy 77:14	development 33:7,9
13	deposit 108:5,7,8	61:15 62:24 72:12,18,23
deferring 212:1	depreciate 22:25	77:1 79:9 98:15,21,23,24 99:13,19,24 100:1,2,3,5,
defined 208:24 209:2,3,7 214:2	:2,3,7 depreciation 7:14 22:2 6, 23:2,4 73:1,11,17,23 13 76:18	6,24 101:11,19,24 102:5, 13,16 103:3,17 104:3
definition 70:1		developments 75:7
degree 144:2	deprived 15:9	devices 196:6

describe 13:23 47:11

Hearing November 03, 2020

Index: DGW..Dunlap

DGW 112:24 direction 18:14.25 227:6 divided 187:1 **DGW-1** 112:23,25 113:15 directly 86:14 88:19 89:6 **Division** 9:4,9,12,17,20 116:12,13 173:10 200:7 35:15,19 49:5 57:12 **DGW-1R** 113:1,15 65:13 96:1 139:19 142:1 director 33:2,6,10 34:19 **DGWR** 112:25 180:2 195:23 217:11 53:4 61:14 72:12,14 **Dick** 8:22 **DMR-1** 138:10,21 disagree 140:8 Dickman 11:4 **DMR-2** 138:10,21 disagrees 24:24 212:22 **dictate** 179:13 docket 7:13 12:1 18:19 disallow 27:19 177:8 22:21 42:17 63:6,7 64:5 differ 214:25 180:20 73:2 192:24 209:21 difference 14:12 89:9 disallowance 179:16 210:1 212:5,18 215:10. 132:9 15 217:23 218:6 224:12 disallowed 27:18 differences 214:7 223:16 226:12,13,21 disconnect 146:2 196:20 differently 29:16 dockets 7:6,7 disconnect/reconnect difficult 213:15 222:19 document 83:3 103:22 173:4 104:1 difficulties 188:21 194:2 disconnected 82:2 documents 191:14 dig 88:8 discount 92:6 221:4 dollar 103:4 116:11 diligence 100:14 discovered 215:11 186:13 187:9,17,24 diligently 64:10 discretely 186:24 dollars 104:2 186:10,11 direct 19:11,24 25:22 187:2,12 204:19 discuss 18:10 22:11 24:7 32:8 44:6,14 49:18 51:1 27:11 32:14 34:22 **Donna** 10:6 52:24 53:8,21 54:2 212:23 dont 133:11 57:22,23,25 61:6,16,19 discussed 26:1 27:1 62:8,9,15 71:24 73:1 double 119:5 30:17 111:15 114:12,18 74:4,13 75:21 76:4 84:3, 124:11,16 193:1 downside 119:10 10,24 98:14 99:10,14 discussion 208:20 106:1 112:11,20,22 **DPU's** 28:23 113:3,7,10,14,20 114:1 disregards 215:1 driven 21:24 115:2 118:3,4 124:2 137:12 disrupted 24:5 171:8,21,24 172:3,8,14 drivers 46:16 174:21 175:12,19 176:4, disruption 12:21 77:17 **drop** 221:19 9 178:15 190:13 191:4,6, disruptive 225:15 due 41:25 75:8 77:17 19 192:1,21 195:10 79:7 83:12 100:14 197:1,5,17 206:14 207:1, dissimilar 213:12 106:17 124:7 129:3,13 6,16,21 208:2,7,14 distinction 224:16,17 173:8 198:3 212:18 217:23 221:6 226:2 215:25 directed 104:5 **distribution** 34:9 72:23 **Dunlap** 66:23,24,25 directing 8:18,25 190:18 196:23 68:21

	November 03, 2020	Index: durationEngelenhover	
duration 68:2 137:23 duties 179:7 dynamic 13:20 35:1	effectively 22:14 23:14 24:15 25:4 26:9 27:19 92:2 135:17 effectuate 58:9	employees 177:18 179:5,18 181:24 186:17, 18 188:22 209:1 221:9, 10	
E	efficiency 145:15	employees' 177:13 179:16	
eager 31:22 32:17 earlier 22:3 31:4 104:5, 19 108:17 early 59:13 75:3 78:10	efficient 196:25 efficiently 177:19 effort 78:4 efforts 22:15 23:7 64:13 103:11	employer 61:13 72:10 enable 23:5 196:7,19 enables 32:19 encourage 177:18	
82:16 earn 21:20 178:1 216:14, 20 earnest 108:5	Ekola 66:15,16 elect 211:17 221:11 elected 221:18	end 14:22 18:11 19:1 30:22 42:7 47:23 66:12, 17 67:4 74:25 75:4,5 77:24 78:7,12 82:12 84:20 97:23 98:3,5	
earthquake 118:22 120:22 131:7 135:11,13, 18 136:8	electric 7:10,11,12 31:18 46:2 107:12 173:1 196:21	84:20 97:23 98:3,5 106:18 123:6 124:19,22 125:4,21 197:19 203:4 204:11 218:1 224:21	
earthquake-type 136:1	electrical 202:24	ends 221:3	
earthquakes 119:8 131:7 136:10	electronics 34:4 electronics 96:20 109:10	energized 47:20 82:5	
EBA 24:23 30:10,14,15 33:22 40:19 114:15 116:9,15,18,20 120:19 121:17 123:2,3 125:20 128:5,7 129:6,9 130:5 131:16,19 132:15,20 133:23	elements 25:13 66:10 110:22 eligible 77:11,14 78:14 87:13,14 116:8 177:20 email 108:16	energizing 75:8 96:2 energy 10:8,11,17,19 21:14 22:18 24:22 26:16 28:21 30:10,16 31:18 33:7,8 38:17 46:2,5 47:14,25 61:15 62:25 65:6 77:6 79:2,17 84:22	
echo 8:5,8	embrace 133:21	94:15 96:23 104:12 114:14,15 117:16 121:2	
economic 28:17 33:15, 17 59:13 101:23	emergency 16:15 Emily 8:22	135:3 177:7 196:18,25 213:14	
economical 90:18 103:7	emission 56:8	enforceable 56:9	
edit 75:24 edits 75:16	emissions 56:20 employee 177:23,25 179:13 182:25 183:1	engaged 100:21 101:12, 18 Engelenhove 112:1	
effect 22:4 24:10 96:3 132:11 179:10	186:19,21	Engelenhoven 17:2,22	

42:8 71:16,19,21 72:1,4,

182:22

effective 7:15 59:6

6,25 73:18,24 74:3 75:15,21,24 76:5,9 81:3, 7,18,22 83:17 95:16 105:25 112:1

engineering 80:5 200:19

engineers 80:11

enhance 200:6

enhances 21:16

enrolled 173:7

ensure 91:1 92:19 106:4 146:5

ensured 79:15

enter 95:6

entire 64:25 79:16 87:12 88:3 89:18 92:9 135:6 197:13,14

entirety 78:13 183:7 226:5,18

entitled 123:5 130:25

environment 213:18

environmental 33:2 53:4 54:18 55:3,5 56:23,24 79:22 138:4

EPA 55:5 56:5 59:5,9,14

EPA's 56:7

equal 135:8 213:24 214:1

equipment 39:3 64:11 66:2,3 75:9 77:15 79:6,8 85:3,8 86:20 87:1 92:10 93:16,24 94:6,7,8,11,12, 13,14,21,22 95:4,7 110:22 111:6,13

equity 25:24 215:12,13

erected 66:4

errata 74:15 75:23

error 127:10

essentially 25:7 51:9 69:19 87:10,13 88:23 90:13 107:11 108:8

establish 212:13

established 55:4 102:11 213:5,9

estate 108:4

estimate 80:11,17 116:11 208:17 210:12 211:13,19 212:7 220:22 222:7 223:8

estimated 37:15 80:25 210:4,6 215:2 216:19 222:22 226:18

estimates 47:17 80:8,16 202:6 214:24 227:5

estimating 211:13 212:25

estimation 118:2 204:14 210:7 211:5

evaluate 58:16

evaluated 210:2

event 95:3 120:14 136:1

events 119:23 120:11 209:17 216:25

Everpower 100:15,17 101:8,9,11,13

everybody's 180:10

evidence 110:24 111:6 176:4

exact 42:8 86:8 201:4

EXAMINATION 19:11 44:6 52:24 61:6 71:24 105:23 110:7 112:11 127:14 137:12 171:8

174:21 184:9 185:1 186:4 188:16 190:13 195:10 204:5 206:14 224:8

examined 87:21

exceed 63:25

exceeded 128:23,24 212:20

exceeds 128:25

exception 146:17

excess 134:20 208:22 214:3

excessive 179:17

exciting 47:18

excuse 10:22 15:21 17:23 46:9 103:19 136:14 175:11 178:2,21

executed 86:6

exercise 107:23

exhibit 50:10 62:10 73:4, 18 74:5 75:22 112:23,24 113:15 171:21,25 172:9 191:6 207:7,9 216:3

exhibits 20:13,18 44:16 45:3 53:17 61:20 62:9 113:7 138:10,21 175:16 191:7,11,20 207:6,22

exist 101:1

existence 184:13

existing 46:22 63:4 72:18 196:7,9,10 197:21

expand 31:23 32:4

expanded 196:14

expansion 32:17

expect 15:16 78:6 134:19 135:8

Index: expected..fill

expected 42:5 80:13		fashion 195:20
134:21 136:6 203:7 210:10 211:16 214:14	F	fashioned 141:3
expecting 41:16	face 64:8	fate 8:14
expects 28:9 66:20	facilities 46:18,22 54:17,	fault 195:2
69:13,15	21 56:25 72:20 76:25 80:12,19 120:23 137:25	favor 55:23
expense 23:1,2,4 115:3,	204:23	favorable 178:15
4,7 209:15 214:3	facility 27:19 51:7,17	favorably 30:4
expensed 214:8	63:19,20 101:7 131:8	federal 26:7 54:18 56:6,
expenses 34:7 65:25	139:24	23 59:4 64:18 79:17
140:6 226:6	fact 27:25 28:4,9 41:2 92:7 108:19 116:2	federally 56:9
experienced 75:8 197:7	141:14 178:2 197:6	feedback 194:5 220:4
experiencing 107:5	202:20 218:22	feel 132:8 142:12 144:9,
expiration 106:17	factor 29:10 74:14,16	22 146:11
explain 85:13 106:10 123:24 129:16 226:7	118:18,22 119:16,24 120:2 132:4 202:14	fees 34:3 173:8
	factors 30:1,4 115:15	felt 107:2
explained 116:25 178:12	118:16 119:19 178:25	field 196:6 197:18 200:22 201:8
explaining 182:15	211:4,10 222:11 223:15	figure 50:8 90:21 114:24
explains 116:23	facts 28:13	140:20 187:23
explore 104:17	fail 201:24	file 11:10 25:1 74:7,9
exposing 202:23	fails 178:1	112:20 132:11
expressed 193:6 226:21	failure 118:23	filed 9:21 13:9,18 19:19,
extend 23:3 69:16	failures 119:9	23,24 20:3 23:16 28:25 29:6 37:17,23 42:16
extended 64:15 78:16	fair 104:7 106:14 119:1	44:12,14,24 45:20 53:7,8
extension 64:16 69:3 70:2	126:11 216:14	61:16 65:21 73:1 74:4
	fairly 18:3 21:20 91:25 106:16	75:23 76:19 82:24 112:19 125:9 133:25
extent 37:2 125:11,14,16 227:4		139:15 176:9 190:19
extraordinarily 64:8	faith 142:9	191:3 192:21 193:2
extraordinary 209:23	fallback 219:25	210:13 211:20
extrapolated 80:16	familiar 37:24 39:5 41:11 68:22 98:18 118:10	filing 22:16 26:17,18,20 27:5
	family 16:14	filings 22:13
	farm 118:24	fill 16:22 94:4

Index: filling..fully

filling 200:18	fleet 63:5 138:3	forecasting 74:23 118:12	
final 9:19 56:7 77:3	flexibility 46:13	128:2	
215:15 218:5	flexible 115:13	forecasts 116:10 118:15, 18 122:11,14,15,16,20	
finalized 77:7	floating 12:14	134:10 213:1	
finally 17:4 80:4 114:17 116:23 179:3	flow 40:18	forgot 140:13	
finance 214:20	flowing 215:22	form 14:9	
financed 214:17	flows 131:18	forward 17:10 19:3 32:17	
finances 214:7	fluctuate 122:8	36:24 37:11 63:18 90:22 115:12,21 194:19 195:8	
financial 177:11,15	fly 198:23	218:7	
178:11,16 179:2,19 180:18 181:10,23 182:24	focus 37:7 40:22 196:1 199:16 227:19	found 202:21	
180:18 181:10,23 182:24 183:9 185:4,5 186:20,24 206:24 215:12	focused 40:23	foundation 117:18 177:15 196:22	
financials 178:24	focusing 37:7	fourth 201:14	
	folks 199:4	frame 18:3,5 68:11	
financing 214:11 216:9	follow 128:19 129:23	104:22	
find 48:19 93:24 99:21 189:1	135:11 184:22	frames 105:4	
finding 29:13 142:24	follow-on 85:3,7	Freezeout 51:13	
145:11 146:1 188:22	follow-up 95:22 144:25	front 103:24 104:1	
189:2	Foote 26:18 33:18 63:19,	froze 225:7	
findings 144:6	23 64:5 67:2,3	frozen 209:4,5	
finds 29:3,14	footprint 101:1,4	fuel 28:18 79:24 115:2,4,	
fine 15:10 65:18 219:23	force 136:10	7	
220:6 228:14	forced 145:18	fueled 79:25	
finish 100:6 195:4,13	forecast 41:12 75:1,6 78:5 114:8 115:10 116:9,	full 19:13 23:5 24:20,21	
fire 30:25 31:2,6 34:10 192:16,20 193:10 fit 116:16 128:7	14 118:6,20 120:13 121:22 122:15,21,22 128:8,23 129:1,4,12,18, 19,25 134:13,14 136:5,6 202:8 212:17 213:8 214:24	27:14 28:19 40:4 41:1,17 42:10,13 64:18,20 69:5	
		77:11 78:24 79:16 85:23 100:4 104:16,21 108:23	
fix 219:21 220:1		112:13 116:19 121:18,19	
Flats 24:1 25:9 39:16,19 40:2,23 41:19 42:5		122:4,9 135:1 137:14 171:10 174:24 178:1	
49:24,25 50:4 64:22 65:25 66:1,11,15,16 69:14 124:6	forecasted 74:22 78:7 119:18 128:12,17,24 129:16 130:11 210:14 211:21	194:10 203:6 206:16 fully 23:19 24:14 27:8,9 31:21 40:19 66:17 85:13 115:20 196:15	

function 128:3

functionality 196:14

funds 23:5 214:16

future 28:9 65:6 118:12 196:23 209:9 213:10 222:12,19

G

Gamesa 107:13

Garlish 8:22

Gary 21:12

gas 27:3 76:17 79:24,25 80:3,23 115:7 131:22

gas-fired 72:19

gather 97:22

gave 65:19 204:8 228:20

gears 68:25 86:3 104:10

general 14:13 16:23 17:6 21:11 25:12 32:10 37:5 45:20 47:1 54:13 67:20 96:10 97:11 101:3,13 107:12 114:10 115:1,22 189:9 210:5 222:8

General's 9:7

generally 68:2 98:18 109:7 145:14 209:14

generals 10:3

generating 72:19 79:24 80:9

generation 29:17 30:6 33:24 46:3,9,11,13 50:25 55:19 57:1 72:17 115:5 116:10,14 118:7,12 119:4,11,12,18 120:19 121:23 122:8,24 127:23 128:1,3,8,12,17,24,25 129:11,15,18,19 130:11, 12,19,23 131:1,3,23 134:20,21 137:20,25 138:3 139:14

generator 51:2 96:17 106:21 143:21

generators 76:24 79:1 84:5,14,19

Georgia 208:21

geothermal 139:24

give 9:11 14:1 16:13 42:8 50:9 67:20 68:11 84:7 108:9 131:12 133:13 194:20 198:24 200:14 201:4,5

Glenrock 68:15

glitching 224:20

global 24:5

goal 21:19

goals 177:11 179:19 181:9 186:16

God 136:8

good 7:3 8:12 9:6,25
10:9,18 11:18 19:7 21:6
36:4,6 44:1 52:19,21
54:9 60:21,24 61:8 62:23
65:17,18 67:17,18 72:1
76:13 81:22,23 83:23,24
95:20,21 112:7 114:5
117:12,13 123:20,21
126:24 137:8 139:10
140:22 142:9 171:3
172:22 174:16,23 177:6
180:14 188:23 190:2,9
192:11 193:19 206:7
208:12 218:16

Goose 48:4

gosh 70:4

Goshen 48:9,11

Goshen-sugarmill-rigby 48:9

governed 55:14 56:13

grab 223:12

granted 20:23 45:7 53:25 62:14 73:22 76:3 113:19 138:25 172:13 176:21 191:25 208:1

great 27:24 57:25 175:7 176:24 189:8,12 217:16

greater 63:21

grid 115:9,21 116:9 128:2 196:23

ground 177:9

grounds 178:19

group 80:5 82:3 172:20 188:25

groups 81:25 82:21

growing 202:4

guarantee 29:8,9,25

guarantees 29:13

guard 16:8

guess 37:8 91:6 97:14 102:4 108:5 117:14 120:7 122:17 128:11 142:4 200:9 222:18 223:1

guidance 77:13 78:15 **guideline** 178:1

Н

H-E-M-S-T-R-E-E-T 61:11

Index: half..House

half 22:14 24:13 32:19 head 86:9 219:18 Higgins 10:15 180:16 222:10 70:4 98:4 head-end 200:17,25 **Higgins'** 177:7 183:6 Hamstreet 24:6 headquarters 200:19 handle 141:7 201:10 high 89:5 106:16 178:14 188:3 handled 127:20 129:17 **heads** 12:15 130:4 132:16 higher 119:18 121:6 **headset** 198:25 122:24 129:11,20 130:18 **hands** 95:8 **hear** 8:3,7 81:9,15 132:21 142:13 199:4 211:7 **Hang** 93:21 higher-than-average 220:12 224:22 225:11,16 happen 132:7 136:10,11, 116:3 226:11 12 highlighted 215:10 heard 18:23 66:23 219:5. happened 129:6 7 224:15 highly 202:12 happening 224:20 hearing 7:5,21 8:25 9:10 hire 188:19 happy 15:10 143:15 11:12,15 15:17 16:11 hiring 188:19 189:9 144:21 193:8 17:7 18:3,8,11,22 20:22 **historical** 213:17 216:12 34:13 43:7 45:6 48:22 harbor 77:15 78:16 53:24 60:1 62:13 73:21 86:10,15,17,22,24 87:8, historically 116:2 76:2 109:22,25 113:18 9,12 88:3 90:16 91:1,4 **history** 98:13 100:16 138:24 141:20,23 142:2, 94:9.14 95:8 106:5 104:11 221:17 7,23,24 145:13,16 harbored 88:19 172:12 191:24 192:8 hold 34:22 108:7,9 195:17 196:1 199:7,9,10 hard 127:12 194:21 207:25 219:16.23 220:5. **harmed** 215:25 **Holman** 10:18,19,24 6,8 228:3 42:21,23,25 51:20,21 harmless 34:23 40:20 heart 106:20 199:19 59:20,21 70:12,14 **Hathaway** 38:16 79:2 105:12,14 126:14,16 heavily 92:20,21 100:21 84:15,22 85:12 86:12 183:22,23 203:21,22 held 22:22 40:19 137:21 87:7,21,22 88:13,21 89:8 223:23,24 90:7 91:1,8 92:25 93:5,7 195:18 honestly 189:2 94:1,8,15 95:5,6 106:3 **helpful** 16:5 17:5 58:23 Honor 11:18 184:4 108:19 82:19 144:16 Hayden 26:22 33:4 Hoogeveen 21:12 **helps** 94:17 198:25 54:15,25 56:3,8,12,14, hope 144:16 228:23 **Hemstreet** 17:1,22 33:6 16.19 60:19,23,25 61:1,3,8,10 hopeful 16:15 32:3,15,18 Hayet 10:6 115:21 62:9,16,19 65:8,12,14 hour 127:1 132:3 133:20,25 139:17,20,25 67:10,17 71:5 104:19 140:4,8 hourly 115:16,17 196:16 110:14 **Haze** 55:3,9,10 56:4,6 **House** 31:3 hesitation 144:13

59:1

185:6,8 193:4 198:5

214:15 216:13 217:2

includes 25:12 31:10

221:7

implemented 115:18

implicate 140:21

implications 28:11

independent 55:19 56:17

58:2,24 80:6,7

independently 58:6

Hearing November 03, 2020

Index: indicating..issues

indicating 99:10 77:9 82:6 124:7.10.14 interrupt 140:12 210:18 125:11,16 126:6,7 indication 110:1 173:23 interrupted 141:13 install 58:3,18,20 indicator 221:15 intervener 33:10,24 34:6, installation 26:21 33:3 11,16 80:1 indifferent 181:22,24 55:16 56:15 197:20 **interveners** 11:9 12:1 182:1 199:19,22 202:9 140:18 141:17 143:18 individual 15:8 54:19 installed 54:12,17 55:9 178:2 interveners' 25:22 56:4 66:3 79:9 124:19 individually 96:11 109:3 intervening 193:6 201:13,20 202:4 204:23, 25 individuals 109:15 intervention 13:17 installing 202:1 introduce 206:4 industry 109:5 instance 28:10 120:25 inefficiency 25:5 inventory 79:4,5 130:15 inevitably 213:2 214:24 inverse 136:1 insurance 213:13 223:10 investigation 143:23,24 **insure** 47:5 inflation 132:4 144:3,4,6,11,15,19 integrated 115:14 influenced 30:1 investment 21:25 26:25 29:4 48:2 80:2 intend 9:19 13:5 16:24 **inform** 46:16 109:24 141:2 investments 26:15 **informal** 107:18 48:15.19 49:23 50:2 intended 106:7 informally 32:14 80:22 196:23 intending 11:11 194:20 **information** 15:6 16:3 involved 38:15,20 59:7 **intends** 16:12 29:20 88:8 97:9 103:1,13 involvement 90:12 107:17 111:1 119:16 intention 133:3 100:11 137:25 126:3,5,10 140:21 141:4, interconnect 46:11 12 142:16,18 143:7 involves 210:7 211:14 50:25 185:15 196:11 200:24 **Irion** 11:1 201:9 221:12 222:21 interconnecting 50:19 **IRS** 69:25 132:2 223:3,4,9 51:16 **isolate** 214:25 infrastructure 27:4 interconnection 46:4 192:18 196:11,13 197:18 47:14 50:20,24 51:3,4,6 **issue** 16:20,21 18:6,10 200:20 201:4 131:14,15 140:13 141:5 interest 48:20 55:1 143:2,12,13,14,17,19,25 initial 212:15 217:1 142:25 143:10 145:12,15 144:3,20 178:24 179:1 210:9 211:15 214:13 initially 97:15 201:20 181:25 202:21 203:1 219:2 221:1,3 Innogy 100:17,18 101:21 215:3 217:19 226:14 interested 102:7 110:14 102:14,21,22 228:21 Internal 77:12 78:14 input 80:6 140:25 141:5 issued 59:8 74:16 78:15 interpret 142:11 inservice 42:11 74:23,25 issues 75:11 92:17

114:18,19,20 139:21 173:13 195:21 196:1 220:4 228:13

item 212:6 215:16 226:14

items 21:18 101:13 212:9 214:12 221:5,6 227:1

iteration 67:22

iterations 92:11

J

James 17:1 33:2 52:18 53:3,21

January 7:15 22:4,10 23:24 34:25 55:9 59:6 76:19 79:21 82:16 90:11 200:12 203:7

jargon 85:17

Jetter 9:6,7,22 35:16,17, 19,22 49:4,5 57:10,12 65:11 117:6,8,11 123:9 127:20 128:10 131:5 133:7,8,9,12,16 134:4,5,7 135:19,22,23 136:16 141:25 142:10 179:25 180:2 193:15,18 194:3,8,13 198:10,12,14,17,21,24 199:4,12,15 200:3 202:12 203:12 204:8 205:7,8 217:10

Jetter's 128:16

Jim 23:3 47:19

job 22:22 72:14 187:10,

Joelle 16:25 19:5,15 20:17

Johnston 22:25

joined 8:17

joining 12:15

joint 55:11,13,25 56:12

jointly 33:4

Joni 9:19 11:2

journeymen/linemen

189:7

Julie 34:5 174:14,25

July 22:10 23:24,25 58:25

jump 198:15 219:24

June 42:9 66:12 68:23 77:8 103:22 124:22 125:5

Juniper 26:17 33:18 63:14,23

justify 36:24

Justin 9:6 141:25

Κ

K-O-B-L-I-H-A 206:21

keeping 89:4

Kellie 146:13

Kevin 10:14 177:7

key 25:14

kick 228:25

Kiewit 80:5

kilowatt 132:3

kind 16:14,21 89:4 90:7 94:9 100:12,15 106:22

107:24 194:10

knocked 131:7

knocks 120:22

knowledge 11:25 101:10

117:25

Kobliha 17:4,24 34:15 206:4,6,8,9,12,16,18,22 208:3,6 217:4,5,9,19 218:16 219:9 220:5 224:10 228:5

Kobliha's 178:12 207:21

Kroger 11:17,19

Kurt 11:18

kv 45:25 47:12,13,19,21, 22 48:4,6,10 50:4

L

labeled 44:16

labor 34:7

laid 223:7

Lake 11:13,14 12:19 13:2

Lakeside 33:25 131:13, 14 139:22 140:4 143:14, 20

large 48:10 72:16,17,24 93:15

largely 15:7 21:24

lastly 27:3 31:15 34:19

late 75:9 99:12 100:5

late-breaking 33:12

late-stage 79:9 98:15,21 99:12,24 100:2

Lauren 8:18 60:18

law 55:3,17,21 56:10,16, 19

lay 196:22

layouts 107:16

lead 60:19

leading 107:13

Leaning 26:17 33:18

63:14,23

learned 124:9

leave 13:23 141:6

leaving 12:15

left 113:2 198:15

legal 14:9,19 15:7 16:3

28:3

Legislature 31:4

lengths 27:24

Levar 7:3,16 8:4,8,11 9:2,22 10:7,16,18,23 11:7,20 12:8 17:12,19,25 19:7,10 20:19 21:6 35:14,21 39:9 42:20,24, 25 43:3,13,18,20 44:1,4 45:4,16 48:21 49:2,7,11 51:19,22,25 52:5,9,12, 15,19,22 53:22 57:10,14, 18 59:19,22,25 60:5,10, 13,24 61:4 62:11 65:10 67:8,12 70:11,15,18,22 71:1,4,8,13,18,22 73:19 75:25 76:13 81:5,10,13, 17 83:15,20 95:14 105:11,15,19 109:20 111:19,24 112:3,7,10 113:16 114:5 117:5 123:8,14 126:12,17,20, 23 127:3,8 132:25 133:4, 6,11 134:3 135:19 136:16,21,25 137:4,8,11 138:22 139:10 141:9,18 142:10,19 143:3 145:1, 10,25 146:19 170:22 171:3,6 172:10 173:19 174:4,8,12,16,19 176:5, 16 179:24 180:4,7 183:18,21,25 184:3,6,21, 24 185:19 188:11 189:16,22 190:2,9,12 191:22 192:11 193:14 195:7 198:10,17,22 199:2,6,13 203:11,16,20, 24 204:1 205:5,10,18,22 206:1,7,10,13 207:23 210:16,22 217:7,12,16 218:11 219:7,24 220:7, 13 223:21,25 224:2 227:20,24 228:2,8,11,16

level 37:12 38:2,25 89:5 128:17 129:10 177:25 182:7 186:21 187:9

levels 23:1 178:7

leverage 196:10 221:13

Lewis 17:3,23 34:5 174:14,17,18,23,25 175:1,7 176:4,8,22 177:1 179:21,22 180:1,14 184:11 188:18 189:18

liability 22:17 213:9 227:6

life 104:23 134:22 135:6 209:15

light 31:22 35:1

likewise 56:14

limit 195:20 199:17

limited 28:17 79:7 80:18 90:1,2,12 106:16 108:11 141:1 142:6 212:18

lineage 186:23

linemen 189:2,4

lines 45:24 74:22 84:25 130:19 194:9

link 17:3,23 27:20 33:14 58:14 101:25 102:1 105:2,7 179:18 228:22

Link's 102:12

liquidated 92:18

list 17:14 30:8 146:4,5,7 228:20

listed 44:23 53:14 88:24 199:25 200:4

live 12:18

living 35:2

LLC 10:25 11:2 77:5

load 46:3,12 47:7

located 48:5 55:7 76:22

location 99:22

long 7:8 135:1,9,16,25 137:21 177:24

long-term 178:15

longer 23:8 126:8,9 133:25 143:13

looked 98:9 111:2 186:14

lose 224:25

losing 79:5

loss 208:18 209:19,20 210:3,7 211:14,21,25 212:6,8,17 216:9,19 217:2 222:4,22 223:18 226:14,17

losses 34:17 209:13,23 212:15 213:8 217:20,21 218:7,20,21 219:15 220:22 222:20 224:14 225:5,9,22 226:4,6,8

lost 17:14 216:12 225:8

lot 14:8 221:12 224:18

low-cost 21:13 56:25

lower 39:3 115:2,3

119:19 121:7 122:25 129:3,13,19,21 130:12 132:18 213:8

lower-than-average 116:4

lowest 90:22

lump 211:17 221:18

machines 108:12

M

made 16:4 20:6 29:20 37:11 48:14 56:7 75:24 77:10 86:10 87:22 91:20 93:1 96:3 114:10 115:9 179:4 199:11 200:16,22

201:8 202:25 210:11 211:19 214:2 218:23 223:8 226:1 227:11,12

228:14

Magnesium 10:12

main 75:10 192:15

maintain 79:21 178:14

maintained 144:18

maintains 47:6

maintenance 119:21 136:7

major 25:1 32:24 42:2,3 47:10 48:2 86:24 92:10 98:25 106:22 200:21

make 7:25 13:21 17:16 50:13 62:1 103:16 143:5 145:16 146:1 189:3 203:6 204:7 211:5,11 218:18,19,23 219:1 220:21,24 224:16 227:7

makes 29:21,22 90:17

making 15:9 100:3 109:10 210:8 211:14 213:15

managed 62:24 64:7 105:1

management 196:19,24 200:19

manager 33:20 34:2 112:18 171:15

managing 33:6 61:14 64:15 72:16

mandated 46:18 47:2

mandates 55:16 56:15

mandatory 46:14

manner 26:8 64:23 130:3

Mansfield 17:3,23 27:11 34:8,12 190:8,10,11,15, 17 192:2,6 193:12,16,19 194:24 195:4,12 198:8 199:18 204:7 205:23

Mansfield's 191:19

manufactured 79:13 91:23,24 92:5 106:23

manufacturer 119:17

manufacturer's 118:20

manufacturers 107:14 119:25

manufacturing 106:13

maps 101:10

March 76:19

marked 141:15 144:17 171:22

market 39:3 46:6 79:14 85:2 89:1,2,5,20 91:17 94:22 106:15 107:3,6 108:14 110:21 111:9,12 114:14 177:14,21,24 178:4,22 179:6,8,13 181:6,11,14 182:3,4,6,7, 12 183:1,5 187:8 188:1,4

marketplace 89:24 91:10 93:23 94:23 95:6,7

markets 46:6

match 24:18 30:15 63:25

matched 121:19 130:23

matches 116:20

matching 130:20

material 77:3

materials 20:11

math 88:4 92:4

matter 16:9 28:4 73:2 138:6

matters 12:5 144:3,4

Mcdougal 17:4,24 26:2 27:2 30:23 34:19 40:16 41:10 193:1 197:9,12 228:22.24

Mcnary 48:3

meaning 44:22 200:5

means 13:15 96:7

meant 97:3 99:7,13 106:10

mechanical 66:5

mechanism 31:8 114:15

medium 177:24 179:6

meet 82:6

meeting 32:13 65:6 120:13

megawatt 85:4 101:6

megawatts 28:6 75:1,2,3

Hearing November 03, 2020

76:23 78:8,10,11 79:25 80:1 82:15 124:18,20,21 125:4.5 Melissa 34:2 171:2,12 members 54:10 mental 88:4 92:4 mention 198:22 221:9 mentioned 69:2 75:16 106:4,6 107:4 108:17 109:2 met 78:21 meter 27:4 197:20,21 201:1 202:2 metering 192:17 196:16 202:1,2 meters 196:8,9,21 199:20 200:23 201:12, 13,15,19,21,24 202:2,10, 15,16 204:23 method 115:11 208:21 microphone 195:4,5 198:19 Midamerican 118:23 middle 16:14 39:25 40:14 41:2 42:12 50:8 134:10 miles 45:24 million 22:7 23:8,12,23, 24 25:19 26:5 30:12 39:25 54:24,25 114:23, 25 115:1 197:11 209:13. 20 211:22 212:16 216:5, 10 mind 193:24

mine 195:2

minimize 21:21

minority 55:24 minus 80:13 198:24 **minutes** 15:25 **misled** 176:8 misplaced 78:25 missed 224:18 misspoke 176:15 mistaken 44:15 mistakes 44:19 mitigates 26:8 **mixed** 127:9 128:2 135:12 **modeled** 115:21 **modest** 24:15 modifying 21:17 225:12 momentarily 26:20 money 102:21,22 108:5 189:3

Index: Melissa.. Mountain minimum 85:18 Montana 76:22 mining 33:24 137:20 month 125:10 126:3 138:4 139:14 195:18 months 42:15 68:3,4 93:14 120:23 128:19 Moore 10:2 13:16 35:23 minute 84:7 93:20 103:2 49:8 57:15 83:16 123:10 180:5 217:14,18 218:9, 11 224:10 225:2 227:22, 23 Moore's 225:18 morning 7:3 9:6,25 10:9, 18 11:18 19:7 21:6 36:4, 5,6 44:1 45:18 52:13,19, 21 54:9 60:14,21,24 61:8 62:23 65:17,18 67:17,18 71:6 72:1 76:13 81:22,23 mitigate 64:11 108:20 83:23,24 95:20,21 111:25 112:7 114:5 117:12 123:20,21 124:9, mitigation 22:13,15 23:7 16 137:1 26:1 31:1,6 34:10 193:3 Moscon 8:2,3,6,10,13 9:3 12:4,9 17:12,18,21 18:1 19:2,4,12 20:16 **model** 115:9 116:10,12 21:1 35:12 43:9,11,13,24 44:5,7 45:1,10,11 48:21, 23 49:3 52:2,4,17,23,25 modeling 114:10 115:9 53:20 54:1,4 57:8 60:3,4, 116:1,6 118:11 119:23 17 190:6,14 191:18 192:4,5 193:12 194:23 195:9,11 198:8 204:2,3,6 modification 75:9 205:6 206:3 228:18 modified 22:5 196:13 motion 20:20,22 45:5,7 53:23,24 62:12,13 73:20, 21 76:1,2 113:17,19 moment 22:11 101:15 138:23,25 141:19 142:20 104:8 133:13 146:2,10 172:11,13 176:6,18,20 191:23,25 207:24 208:1

Mountain 7:9,14,25 8:23

12:2 13:9 18:18 19:18

21:10,12 24:1 26:19

27:16 28:16,24 29:3,14, 15 32:23 33:11,13,16 36:8,11,15 37:9 40:2 41:19 43:22 45:1 52:16 53:4,20 60:15 69:14 71:10,14,15 74:19 76:16, 21 77:10,14 78:19 79:3 80:23 82:25 84:1,5 85:4 87:6,25 91:2 97:15 101:1 112:4,5 124:5,10,18 125:3,12,17 126:25 137:5,6 139:21,24 141:6 142:14 143:22 144:1 170:24 171:1 172:24 174:12 189:24 190:4,7 196:18,20 206:1,5 217:22 224:4 228:17

mouth 69:9

move 9:19 16:25 19:3 20:16 32:17 36:24 37:11 43:8 62:8 71:13 73:16 75:20 90:22 113:13 138:19 172:7 176:3 191:18 202:3 207:20

moved 16:21 194:19

moves 45:1 53:20 63:18

moving 30:8 108:1 140:23 176:14

MSN-1 171:22 172:9

multiple 45:25 134:12, 18,19

mute 186:2

muted 8:2 43:6

muting 8:14

Ν

N-G-E-L-E-N-H-O-V-E-N 72:8

nacelle 89:16,17 91:12 95:10 109:8,16

nacelles 85:15 86:1 88:2 89:14 91:4,23 92:5,7 93:6 106:2,19 110:16 111:7

nameplate 76:23

names 7:7 85:17 146:6

natural 27:3 76:17 79:24, 25 80:3,23 115:6

nature 50:1 79:10 140:16,19 143:7,25 144:5,6,11,14,19

Naughton 27:2 76:17 79:18,19,23 80:2,23

necessarily 87:1 118:3 119:14 120:17 121:21 135:4 136:7 200:6

necessitated 59:3

needed 22:14

negative 135:13

negotiated 77:21 92:9, 15,20,21

negotiating 98:25

negotiations 77:4 93:15

Nelson 196:2

NERC 47:2

net 28:17 29:10 33:20,21 34:17 112:18 114:8,12, 17,22,25 116:9,16,23 121:22 122:25 129:1,20 131:17 140:7 202:1,2 209:6,13,18 210:6 211:13 212:14 213:21, 23,25 214:5,9,14,20,25 215:19,20 216:18,20,22 217:1

network 47:13,23 50:14 51:5,16 196:6 197:18 200:16,22 201:8 202:24 203:2

Nikki 34:15 178:12 206:6,18 211:3

NLK-1 207:6,22

NLK-1R 207:7

NLK-1RR 207:9,22 216:3

NLK-6 207:6,22

nod 81:14

nominal 85:4

non-safe 88:19

non-union 188:25

non-weather 118:16

nonaffiliated 85:8

nonrefundable 108:8

normal 136:6

normalized 136:6

note 16:13,18 18:2 47:18 97:7 222:16 228:20

noted 8:22 23:21 25:19 63:8 221:5 226:11

notice 9:12 77:19

notification 24:8 77:16

noting 179:3

notion 30:5

Notting 17:3,23

Nottingham 17:23 34:2 171:2,4,5,10,12,13 172:14,17 173:15,16,21 174:1,11

174:1,11

Nottingham's 172:8

November 7:1,4 15:20

Index: NPC..optioned

obligations 209:7 125:4 65:22 74:16 77:23 **NPC** 40:12 114:18 obtain 25:3 open 46:19,24 145:14 123:25 124:13 125:1,7,8, occasionally 136:11 **opened** 225:19 12,17,25 occur 120:12 210:3 opening 224:15 226:1 **Nucor** 11:23 213:15 openly 144:7,8 number 21:18 25:13,21 occurred 37:18 129:25 operate 55:21 56:19,25 48:1 75:13 84:13,16 **OCS** 78:25 133:21 141:1 138:2 85:23 90:20 92:11 98:8, 142:17 211:23 212:1,19 10,11 99:22 104:4,6 **operated** 80:18 143:22 215:3 108:15 114:17 135:16 operates 45:23 208:24 141:1 210:9 211:16 **OCS's** 78:23 217:20 221:9,10,24 operating 55:20 56:18 **October** 65:21 77:2 **numbers** 104:6 124:1,13 operation 54:21 66:11 98:22 99:6,8,11 100:11, 125:1,7,8,12,17,25 67:1 68:5.23 70:6.7 23 101:17 192:24 193:2 126:2,7 200:10 201:5 79:20 119:15 186:7 offer 34:22 143:8 178:7 204:13 222:1 operational 178:8 197:2 numerical 9:14,21 operations 34:9 56:22 offers 140:9 numerous 72:17 190:18 office 9:7,23 10:1,4 **opinion** 227:15 27:24 57:17 67:11 0 139:17 180:6 195:22 **opportunity** 21:3 45:18 196:2 217:15 218:9 76:25 79:12 139:12 **OATT** 46:20,23 177:23 186:25 192:6,13 officer 206:24 **object** 62:11 216:12,14 official 115:11,20 objection 20:21 45:5,6 oppose 27:6 **offline** 119:3 120:23 53:23 62:12 73:20 76:1 **opposed** 26:17,19,23 113:17,18 138:23,24 offset 23:13 24:14 35:8 31:9,14 115:17 130:4 140:23 142:1,13 145:20, 115:5 135:12 215:9 22,24 172:11,12 176:6, opposes 24:19 25:8 offsetting 135:17 19,20 191:23,24 207:24, 26:18 27:3 29:10 133:25 **oldest** 63:19 25 **opposition** 16:20 18:12 on-site 66:3 196:8 27:1 140:1 193:6 objective 186:24 objectives 178:8 one's 195:2 **opt** 31:12 **objects** 20:19 45:4 53:22 one-for-one 187:11 **opted** 31:23 73:19 75:25 113:16 option 18:4 211:17 one-year 64:16 138:22 172:10 173:11 212:17 213:7 218:5 ongoing 21:16 24:18 176:5,18 191:22 207:23 226:10 143:24 144:19 obligated 46:23 56:2 optioned 210:10 online 64:14,17,22 **obligation** 55:19 56:17

66:17,20 67:3 124:20,22

options 218:4 owed 216:4 parallel 77:4 oral 14:22 15:14,23 18:2, Owen 17:1,21 52:18,19, paraphrasing 69:8 21 53:1,3,21 54:2,5 57:7, 24 part 23:14 27:7 89:23 8,11,22 60:14 order 9:13,14,18,21 92:8 93:2 98:4 106:22 16:23 17:16 18:3 24:14 **Owens** 33:2 124:20 177:10 181:9 25:3 29:5 31:24 77:22,23 182:5 201:20,22 owned 33:4 80:18 86:12 143:9 187:25 209:25 115:15 partially 99:25 115:5 210:1 211:10 224:13 178:24 owner 55:11,24 225:6,23 226:3,8 participant 146:5 owners 56:1 **Oregon** 48:5 77:4 196:12 participants 7:22 146:4, ownership 55:13 56:12 organized 195:20 11 209:16 210:9 211:16 owns 45:23 original 29:6 37:19 77:9 221:14,18 82:15 participate 11:11 Ρ originally 36:23 139:20 participated 59:8,11 **Orton** 195:24 197:4,23 **P.M.** 127:1 participating 8:24 21:8 out-resource 139:21 109:21 173:11,20 **Pacificorp** 13:2 44:11 45:23 46:12,17 47:1 outage 118:22 131:14, participation 46:5 55:14, 55:11 59:7 72:11,15 15.18 139:21 140:1.4 15,16,18 56:11,13,14,16 112:17 121:11,12,16 114:13 143:12,20 196:18,24 137:18,24 171:14 175:2 outages 33:25 118:16,17 parties 9:12,17 10:14 178:18 206:23,25 136:7 140:2 13:5,9,14,22 14:2,8,25 **Pacificorp's** 45:21 46:1. 15:1,13 17:5 18:9,17 outcome 55:25 3,4,7,19,23 47:11 48:16 21:8,17 22:20 25:6 26:2 outlay 214:16 216:14 72:16 80:18 134:1 27:6,17 31:9,14 32:8,14 177:17 34:21 49:1 54:21 114:21 **outline** 200:15 115:17 141:8,22 195:14 package 93:11 outlined 30:4 47:4 208:23 212:22 214:19 197:17 pages 14:24 84:3 226:21 output 30:16 77:7 119:13 paid 88:20 102:21,22 parties' 195:19 213:4 134:11 110:15 111:11 178:2 partner 138:3 179:6,9 187:13 outright 102:19 parts 31:18 88:11 91:7, pandemic 24:6 42:1 64:9 over-collection 213:10 77:18 overestimated 223:16 party 15:25 18:20 20:19 paper 31:13 90:13 25:8 26:18 27:3 140:3 overruns 36:23 37:19 **paperless** 173:7,10 173:11 193:6 38:1 par 63:16 Paschal 146:2 oversight 215:10,25 paragraph 194:11 overview 21:9 208:15 **pass** 173:9

pass-th	rough	24:22
passed	31:3 1	81:17

past 28:8 75:7 209:1 215:7

patience 228:13

Patricia 9:8

paused 194:18

pay 22:24 56:2 88:20 178:7 179:8,11,12,16 180:17 181:3,10,11,14, 15 182:2,6,8,25 183:4 187:17,25 188:2,4 204:22 205:1

paying 89:7 181:6 183:1 227:7

payment 211:17

pension 34:17 208:16, 17,18,25 209:4,7,15,22 210:2 212:7,14,20,21,25 213:1,2,5,6,7,18,21 214:1,10,11 215:16,24 216:1,6,8,19,20,24,25 218:20 220:22 221:4,12 222:4,5,7,19,22 223:13, 18 225:5,22 226:3,5,8, 14,19,23 227:3

people 12:15,21 16:8,10 34:5 109:7 127:13 175:3 181:6 188:20 221:21

percent 22:7 23:17,18,19 25:24 28:19 29:9 66:4 77:11 78:14,22 80:14 87:10,11,14,23 125:20 131:19 134:15 187:14,24 201:3,6,11 204:12

percentage 119:15 177:20 186:16 189:6

percentages 186:13

percentile 188:3,4

perform 177:18

performance 63:15 107:17 179:19 180:19 181:23 182:24 183:9 185:5 186:11,20,24

performed 118:11 179:7

performs 177:25

period 27:13 41:3,12 68:7 69:16 108:10 114:9, 23 119:5 131:9 135:7,9 142:2 198:1 201:14 204:12,24 205:1 211:13, 22 216:6,7,18,22 217:2 219:3 222:12,23

periodic 209:6 210:6 212:14 214:5,15,25 217:1

periods 116:4 118:13 **permission** 195:3

person 187:25 220:10 perspective 103:19

pertains 190:24 192:7

Peterson 81:13 146:13, 22

phase 7:20,23 8:16,19,25 9:9 10:5,13 11:10 12:24 14:5 16:11 18:7,8 19:25 21:8,11 23:14 25:14 32:22 34:13,14 35:8 44:13 53:7,8 98:5 139:16 190:20,21,25 192:8,22 195:16,21 207:4,5 208:8

phased 64:23phases 26:10 66:12phasing 79:5 196:25

Phil 10:6

Philip 139:17

Phillip 10:9

philosophy 177:17

phone 108:16 219:25 220:2 225:14

phrase 98:18 100:2

pick 136:7

picks 136:6

piece 187:5

pieces 85:7 89:23 90:8 91:7,19 93:4 94:7 227:14

pillar 187:5

pillars 181:10 184:11,12, 13,17 186:10,15 187:3

place 25:7 29:13 77:23 78:8 81:25 82:10,14 97:10 98:2 108:4 178:4, 6,8 201:5 202:18 215:13

places 55:18 56:17

placing 78:2 97:22

plan 18:14,25 22:18 42:2, 3 46:23 55:11 77:25 101:9 115:14 177:9 178:18 179:5,9,12 180:18 181:5 182:22 189:6 192:16,20,23 193:4,10 208:25 209:3,4, 5,7 210:2 213:18 214:8 221:13,14 222:7

planned 66:2 78:8,12 82:15 209:16

planning 31:2 33:15 90:14 194:17

plans 31:6 214:3

plant 22:19,25 25:2

61:13.14 72:10 105:2 pre-filed 9:14 20:9.17.24 72:21 119:15 126:6 131:22 134:22 135:6 108:7 112:16 137:17,21 28:22 62:1,4 74:11 75:17 214:14 140:10 142:15,20 171:13 145:6 175:2 178:6 190:16 plants 26:22 33:5 115:15 preapproved 63:9,12,13, 206:22 215:5,7,8,18,20 17,22 64:1,5 138:4 216:2,7,8 217:20,22 **pleased** 208:13 precise 70:1 221:22 plugged 219:22 **predict** 213:1,15 positions 25:22 180:10 point 14:1 18:18 37:10 prefer 121:13 positive 135:12,17,25 51:3,4 96:22,24 98:15 preferable 12:23 post 216:24 100:13,21 102:24 103:25 preference 228:23 108:3,14 127:3 141:20 post-hearing 14:21 18:2, 142:2 182:2 183:2,7 24 preferences 18:10 198:18 199:6 202:18 post-retirement 34:18 preferred 225:4,21 226:9 221:8 228:18 212:14 213:22 214:1 **prefers** 15:10 pointed 223:6 225:2 potentially 120:9 202:10 **preliminary** 12:5 16:9 **points** 37:8 power 7:9,14 8:1,23 12:3 premise 29:12 **pole** 173:3 13:9 19:18 21:13 26:22 32:23 33:20,21 43:22 prepaid 34:17 208:18 **policy** 171:16 45:1 52:16 53:5,20 60:15 213:21,23,25 214:10,20 politely 14:17 71:14,16 75:10 112:4,6, 215:6,16,18,19,24 216:8, 18 114:8,12,17,22,25 20 **pool** 186:18 115:3 116:3,4,9,16,23 **prepare** 15:14 21:3 popular 31:20 121:22 122:25 126:25 113:25 192:7 popularity 31:22 129:1,20 131:17,18 prepared 10:21 18:9 137:5,7 139:21 140:7 **portion** 18:19 22:23 62:19 76:9 80:5 103:23 141:6 142:14 143:22 23:11 27:19 39:18,25 105:1 139:5 172:17 144:1 170:24 171:1 40:1 57:25 58:5 64:24 177:1 208:6 174:12 190:4,7 196:20 106:1 123:23 141:15 206:2 217:22 224:5 present 8:23 9:13 10:14 142:23 145:19 146:12 228:17 21:5 121:16 177:8 178:13,18,23 179:16 180:18 181:13,16 **Power's** 18:18 21:10 presentation 60:20 71:10 139:24 172:24 182:7,8,9,23,25 183:8 presented 13:14,17 15:6 189:24 196:18 206:5 185:3,4 186:7,9 197:24 59:14 192:22 193:7 198:4 200:20,21 powered 115:15 197:9 216:3 portions 24:3 40:5 185:8 practicable 64:14 presenting 11:5 110:24 199:22 pre-approval 14:11,14 presents 34:20 pose 37:25 38:8 27:23 28:5,8,10 36:11 preserved 104:17,22 position 19:16 24:24

pre-commissioning 78:1

president 19:17 21:11

25:9 39:23 44:9 53:2

32:23 33:14,23 34:5,8,15 44:10 137:19 139:14 175:3,6 190:17

pressure 106:15

presume 15:17

presumption 38:17

pretty 47:18

previous 67:22 80:15 115:1 142:12 185:8

previously 9:21 23:21 26:1 78:5,7,11 100:14 109:9 185:7

price 79:14 88:24,25 89:5,7,19 92:15,16,22 95:7 107:21 108:9 110:21 111:6,9,10,11,12 115:12,21 116:4 204:21

prices 107:25 116:3

pricing 34:13 39:3 79:7 107:5,9,20 108:20 187:16

principles 38:24 222:9

prior 27:14 77:12 84:20 86:25 107:18

probabilities 134:11

probe 224:16

problem 16:16 135:20 172:22 219:8 220:10

problems 189:2,7

procedure 109:4

proceed 13:6 16:24 45:15 54:8 62:22 76:12 103:6,20

proceeding 8:20 10:5,12, 13,20 13:11 18:12 19:20 21:19 22:21 32:20,22

36:16 38:20 44:13 53:7,9 56:21 61:17 65:5 74:4 76:15,18 92:24 139:16 140:3 144:8 175:8 178:13 192:14 193:5 195:16,21 213:6 217:3 227:10

process 59:15 90:14 95:2 100:3 115:23

procured 31:19

procurement 95:2 107:19

produce 131:8

produced 116:9 128:1,14

producing 96:23

production 28:20 64:18 69:1 77:12 79:17 114:16 115:9 117:16 118:1,7 120:11,12,24 121:1,13, 17,24 122:4,7,12,23 123:2 127:25 128:2,13, 15 129:2,9,10,13,21 130:4,8,13 131:3 132:21

productions 129:5

products 177:19

profile 115:16,17

program 31:16,17,19,20, 23,24 32:1,2,4,9,14,16, 17 173:11 177:9 182:10 183:3,8

project 26:16,19 27:4,7, 10,14,17,21 29:5,8 33:16 34:12 36:8,11,15,18,22 37:10,12 38:15 39:16,17, 19 40:23 41:1 42:5,11 50:3,17,19 54:12,22,23 55:23 56:1 58:7 59:2 63:14,15,20 64:6,22,24, 25 66:1,6,8,10,16,18,20 67:24 68:4.14.21.23 74:19,20 76:16,21,23 77:2,7,10,14 78:13,19, 21,23 79:3,10,16 80:23 84:1,6 85:4 87:6,10,11, 12,24 88:4,6 90:10,11 91:2,5,25 92:8 93:2,25 94:5 95:4 97:15 98:13, 14,15,22,23,25 99:6,11, 13,20 100:11,13,15,16, 18,22,23,25 101:1,12,14, 17,19 102:6,14,18,23 103:5,6,17,20 104:11,13, 24 106:5,8,11 116:25 124:10,18 192:18 195:14,15 196:4,5,10,15, 19,22 197:7,8,10,13,14, 25 198:2,5 201:2,20 202:7,14 203:4 204:21 205:2 219:1 220:25 222:1.19

project's 78:2

project-by-project 69:23

projected 24:3 29:10 66:13 97:16 208:16 210:9 211:15 218:20 219:14 226:18

projection 29:6 220:21 221:7 222:20

projects 24:3,7 26:15
32:25 33:4,8,11 34:24
40:6,13 41:8,18,21,23
47:4,10,14,15,17,22
48:1,2,8,15 49:24 50:15
54:15 55:2 56:20,24 57:4
63:1,6,9,11,13,17,22,24,
25 64:3,4,8,14,17,19
65:4,20 67:20,21,23,25
68:2 69:5,14,16 70:3
72:16,24 78:17 101:7
118:19 124:6 125:22
135:25 196:13 197:6

promote 46:6

proof 36:17

properly 27:12 30:15

125:24

property 213:13

proposal 14:5 18:1,18 23:16 25:4 29:12 31:12 34:22 117:15 121:17,20 127:21 128:21 130:22 132:6,14,17 133:21 134:1 177:8 212:5 215:19 226:24

proposals 22:13 26:1 107:17 173:12

propose 145:11 197:4

proposed 7:11 13:12 22:16 23:25 25:1 28:24 30:23,25 35:5 40:18 114:14,22 115:23 116:7 173:2 179:15 218:5

proposes 24:11

proposing 23:10,22 213:22 221:25 223:3

proprietary 143:6

protect 143:10

protected 208:22

protection 55:5 106:5 192:16,20 193:10

proud 21:13

prove 96:19

proved 31:20

provide 21:13 23:8 24:8, 20 26:3 27:13 28:17 29:7 33:12 45:19 46:6,10 47:7,9 63:1,24 107:21 113:11 133:5 172:4 175:21 177:19 197:23 198:3 207:17 208:13 215:23

provided 36:9 76:15,18 79:11 80:10 88:25 111:1, 4,5 200:3,6 212:12

providing 92:7 107:16 142:16 197:16

proximity 91:25

prudence 14:12 28:1,4, 11,12 29:14 54:11

prudent 26:11 29:15,19 38:4 48:14,19 55:1

prudentially 62:24

prudently 29:3 30:7 36:18 38:19 64:7 80:22

Pryor 24:1 26:19 27:16 28:16,24 29:3,14,15 33:11,13,16 36:8,11,15 37:9 40:2 41:19 69:14 74:19 76:16,21 77:9,14 78:19 79:3 80:22 82:25 84:1,5 85:4 87:6,24 91:1 97:15 101:1 124:5,10,17 125:3,12,17

PTC 40:12 64:20 69:16 82:6 87:5 116:11 127:20 131:24 132:7,15,19

PTCS 29:9 30:14,15,17 33:22 40:17 69:3,5,13, 15,19 70:5,7 77:12,14 78:14,22,24 79:4 87:14 104:16,20,21 106:18 114:16 116:8,13,15,17, 18 117:21 127:23,25 128:3,6,11 130:23,25 132:6,14 133:22 134:12, 17 135:2

public 7:5 9:4,9 35:15 48:18,20 55:1 56:18 63:5

64:12 96:1 139:19 141:20,23 142:6,23,24, 25 145:9,12,13,15 195:23

published 56:5 59:4

pull 146:5 171:20

pulled 93:1

purchase 77:5 79:6,11, 15 86:11 87:22 89:13 115:3

purchased 84:20,21 85:12 86:1,21,25 87:7,21 88:2 89:10,11 90:25 94:12,13 95:5 103:3 110:18 115:15 143:21

purchases 93:16

purpose 227:3

purposes 214:4,9 224:13

pursuant 55:4

pursuing 27:23

push 186:18 202:9

pushed 38:11 186:17

put 17:10 29:13 32:25 69:8 70:7 87:10 89:12 90:19,20 91:13 108:5,6 179:11 191:1 201:9 202:15 203:2 223:3 227:3

putting 83:7,9 130:5

Q

qualification 79:16 qualified 188:20 qualifies 29:8 qualify 42:3 64:17 77:13

78:22,24

Index: qualifying..re-powering

qualifying 27:19 28:19 77:13 101:7

quality 8:11 177:15,19

quarter 42:7 74:25 75:5 78:12 90:12 98:5 197:20 199:20,23 201:14 202:10

quarters 202:16

question 15:12 38:8 49:1 69:11 82:18,22 87:2 93:22 94:18 96:10 97:11 98:7 99:3,9 100:8 101:25 102:4,8,15 119:6 125:24 133:5 135:15 136:5 181:20 184:8 185:4 188:7,15 189:14 193:8, 13,15 194:6,13 199:18 200:8 219:14 220:20 222:14 223:1

questioning 198:23 225:18

questions 13:24 14:16, 19 17:10 20:9 35:13,16, 18,20,24 36:1,7 39:8,11 41:14 42:21,23 43:2,4, 10,11,14,16,19 44:23 49:5,10,14,22 51:9,20, 21,24 52:6,7,11 53:13 57:9,11,12,16 59:20,21, 24 60:2,4,7,8,11 62:4 65:9,12,13 66:14 67:5,9, 11,14,19 69:1 70:12,14, 17,23,24 71:2,5 73:11 75:17 81:4,6,18,24 83:14,16,18,25 88:7 95:12,16,22,23 96:2 98:12 104:25 105:3,5,8, 13,14,17 108:25 109:19, 23 110:3 111:18,21,22 113:9 117:4,7,8,15 123:7,11,12,17 126:14, 16,19,22 127:18 133:1,3 134:8 136:18,19,23 138:16 140:15 141:2 142:5 144:8,13,21,23,25 172:2 173:17,24 174:1,2, 6 175:20 179:23,25 180:2,6,10 183:19,23 184:2,4,19 186:3 188:13 189:19 191:13 193:20 194:19,22 199:17 203:9, 14,18,22,25 204:4 205:14,16,20,23 207:16 217:6,9,10,15 218:10,12 223:24 224:1 228:5,6,9

quick 49:13 92:4 180:9 quickly 146:8 204:3 224:7

R

R-O-B-E-R-T 72:7

raise 140:13 195:15

raised 28:24 32:7 114:20 139:20 173:12 195:16, 17,21 196:1

raises 115:22

raising 15:15

Ralston 17:2,15,22 33:23 137:7,9,10,14,16,17 138:5 139:2,5,13 140:11, 14 141:2,11 142:3 144:9, 22 170:22

Ralston's 138:20 140:24 141:11,24 143:17 145:17

Ramas 10:6

range 45:24 134:11 187:17

rate 7:23 14:13 21:11,21, 23 22:9,12 23:8,12,13, 22,23 24:12,14,17,25

25:11,12,25 26:10,13,14, 25 28:19 31:24 32:10 33:1 34:25 35:6,9 36:16 37:5,17 38:2,4,5,11,19 39:24 41:15,23 45:20 48:3,12 54:13 76:15 77:11 114:10 115:1,8 125:19 128:4 129:20 130:9,10,12 131:25 132:8,9,12,16 184:14 185:6,8,12 196:25 204:22 208:8,19 210:5,9 213:6,23 214:10,12,13 215:9,21 216:1,13 219:2 221:1,3,4 222:13 227:6

ratemaking 34:22

ratepayers 179:11

rates 7:11,15 21:19 22:2, 8,15 24:18 25:17 27:7 32:5 35:4 39:1 57:5 117:17,21 120:25 122:5, 7,12,23 123:5 129:3,13, 22 131:24 132:7,15,18 134:18 180:20 181:23 182:13 185:8 193:4 208:18 210:5 211:15 212:1,16,20,23 214:15, 21,23 215:1,2 221:19,25 223:4 226:22 227:3

rating 178:14

rationale 36:10

RAV-1 44:16 45:3

RAV-5 44:16 45:3

re-file 32:15

re-powered 26:14 63:14

re-powering 26:17 33:7, 17 62:25 63:4,9,16,19, 21,24,25 64:3,6 65:4 67:20,21

Index: reach..reduction 112:14 137:15 143:5 171:11 174:24 190:3,16 191:15 206:17 218:3 recorded 20:11 191:15 209:13 recover 24:1 25:17 64:2 65:3 69:5 121:1 198:6 214:11,23 216:18 recovering 179:8 recovers 214:5 recovery 23:3 24:21 25:3,9 26:22 30:20 31:2 32:5 36:14 38:2,4,12,19 39:1 40:5 41:1,7 69:4 213:20 216:9,11,22 recross 109:22,24 133:1, 3,8,10,14 136:14 185:1, 21 205:7,8,11 227:22,23, 25 228:3 recruit 177:18 **RECS** 77:6 104:16,21 rectified 203:1 redesign 32:9 redirect 43:8,9 52:3,4 60:3,4 70:20,21 105:21, 23 126:25 127:4,6,14 132:24 134:9 136:14 184:7,9 204:2,5 205:3 224:4.8 reduce 23:4,7 88:6 92:13 106:7 reduced 107:2 reduces 23:1 91:25

reach 42:11

read 7:7 17:14,19 85:1,5 218:3 224:11 225:19

reading 196:7,16 197:21

readings 197:22

ready 95:4 127:4

real 108:4

reality 37:18

realize 15:13 194:25

realized 27:8,10

realtime 115:19,22 116:1.6

reason 117:22 121:15 141:13 142:9 144:17 197:24 198:20 202:14,20

reasonable 18:14 28:13 80:20 178:20,21 213:20

reasonableness 114:8

reasons 15:15

rebuttal 20:3 22:5 23:17 27:5 35:6 36:9 37:2 40:9 61:18,22,23 62:8,10,15 65:21 66:9 73:13 74:7,9, 21 75:22 76:4 97:6,20 98:10 104:14 109:18 110:10 112:20,23 113:3, 7,10,14,20 114:1 116:24 123:22 124:12,24 125:9 138:6,9,20 139:2,6,15 140:17 175:14,19 176:4, 10,11,14,22 177:2 191:4, 7,19 192:1,21 193:1,21 194:13 197:5,8 199:25 207:2,7,16,21 208:2,7,14 217:24 222:17 225:20

REC 40:12 77:5 100:20 105:1

recall 8:21 39:20 68:16 90:19 96:5 106:8 107:6 145:6 225:18

receive 106:5 116:19 119:25 121:18 122:3,9 123:4 128:22 129:7,8,12 130:1,16,24 131:2 132:18,20 135:1 177:23 178:14 221:23

received 95:24 108:25 119:16 130:17

receives 29:8 186:22

receiving 31:13 64:24 179:6

recent 33:12 75:6 185:12 209:17

recently 118:24 188:21

recess 71:9 126:24 127:1

reclaim 80:9

recognition 209:18

recognize 38:10 212:24 214:4

recognized 55:24 202:7 209:14

recommend 14:25 48:18 57:3 116:5 179:14 212:1 216:17,23

recommendation 180:17 212:12

recommending 180:19 223:11

recommends 26:7,24

reconciliation 215:12

reconnect 146:3

record 16:7 19:14 44:9 53:2 61:9 72:5 97:10

reducing 106:10

reduction 25:23 26:21,24 33:3 54:12 56:8 114:12, 24 115:5 178:5

	Hearing November 03, 2020	Index: referrequired
refer 58:1 102:1 110:9	relate 36:7 67:19	repair 140:5
123:22 reference 83:3	related 13:18 48:15 51:9 58:1 59:9 96:2 98:13 99:10 116:16 140:5 183:8 208:16 213:18	repeat 8:7 119:7 125:15 135:15 194:7 210:17
referenced 226:13		219:10
referencing 74:21 224:12	relates 143:19,21 213:21 219:14 220:20	repeatedly 106:15 rephrase 87:4
referred 192:18 209:19		replaced 68:8
referring 83:3 93:18 134:15 136:8	relationship 127:22,24 144:1,10 187:11	replacement 131:18 196:8
reflect 25:20 115:19	relative 226:23	reporter 12:11 146:15,20
reflected 22:12,15 27:12	relevant 208:8	•
30:12 37:2,4 115:20	reliability 46:11,18 47:3,	reporter's 206:19
212:16	6	representation 110:15
reflecting 35:4	reliable 21:13 46:7,14 54:20	representing 9:8 10:11 141:22
reflects 21:15 25:25	reliably 46:2	represents 87:24 114:24
refund 23:10 26:5,8	rely 38:24	172:25
refunded 23:20	remain 75:5 82:14	request 18:19 21:10,15 23:8 26:12 30:14,24
regard 65:20 66:15 82:1	130:13 132:11 143:9	32:11 46:21 65:2 107:17
Regional 55:3,9,10 56:4, 6 59:1	9:1	
register 56:6 59:4	remained 56:1	requested 25:23 65:5 117:21 193:5 218:6
regular 109:3	remaining 11:9 23:10 26:5 75:4 114:20 209:15	224:13
regulated 38:18	remeasure 222:7	requesting 22:6,8 41:16, 17,22 107:16 108:3
regulating 115:12	remember 131:6,11	212:10
regulation 19:17 173:1	remote 196:7	requests 25:14 26:4
regulations 7:12 79:22 132:2	remotely 196:20	30:8,9 35:3 39:18 80:22, 24 103:21
	removal 228:20	require 29:24 32:4 79:12
regulators 38:24	remove 197:5	103:6 108:7 143:9
regulatory 22:17,24 23:3 27:23 30:20 209:14	removed 40:11 68:10	required 15:3 30:7 47:6
213:9 215:13	renewable 28:5,21 33:6	55:2,8,17 56:3,16,21
reject 177:12	61:14 77:6 79:2 84:22 98:15 104:12 115:4	58:18 75:9 76:24 79:20 85:3,23 88:3 91:4 106:4
rainated 25:11	55.15 15 1 .12 115. 1	000.0

renewables 94:16 99:12

222:8

rejected 25:11

rejects 30:5 179:15

Hearing November 03, 2020

requirement 7:5 21:10
22:7 24:20 25:10,18
26:3,9 30:13 34:20 35:5
39:17 40:9,11,21 41:11
42:13 78:20 82:6 98:9
115:13 139:15 190:20,25
192:22 207:5 212:9
214:23 216:4
requirements 14:11
41:18 47:1,2 54:19 56:8,
23 197:9,11
requires 28:12 87:9
144:2
reserve 46:14 105:6
115:12,13

resolution 32:19 215:16 resolve 30:4

resolved 114:19 resolves 16:15

resource 11:22 21:25 28:8,12,18 29:15,17 30:6,7 31:19 32:4 33:9, 14 72:11,14 115:14 131:23

resources 24:2,9 28:5 30:16 46:3,13 57:1 116:8,20 175:5,6

respect 18:16 41:16 64:4 79:18,19 87:20 94:6 111:6 186:11

respectfully 54:17 65:2 80:21 197:5

respective 55:6

respond 27:21,22 28:23 82:17 87:16 100:8 102:3 105:3 109:17 114:20

responding 96:9 139:16

responds 30:23 33:10 34:6,10,16,21

response 11:15 25:21 32:7 43:7 136:4 177:6 204:8

responsibilities 72:14

responsible 120:13

responsive 21:17

rest 90:8 195:13 222:3 226:20

restate 135:20

restrained 144:10

result 23:17 24:4 29:25 48:16 75:6 118:8 136:2 178:15 179:17 210:1 219:11

resulted 209:17

resulting 64:9 77:1 216:9

results 30:1 144:4

retail 7:10

retain 177:18 178:10

retire 210:10 211:16 221:10,16

retired 23:6 67:23 68:6,8 208:25

retirees 211:17

retirement 209:12 216:24

retiring 30:22

retrieve 196:16

retrofit 33:3 54:12,22,23 56:20

retrofits 26:21 72:17

retrofitted 56:25

return 21:21 25:23 26:20, 25 71:10 127:1,4 173:3 213:10,24 214:14 215:12,13 216:14,20

Index: requirement..roadmap

returning 27:16

revenue 7:5 21:10 22:6 24:19 25:10,17 26:3,9 28:20 30:13 34:20 35:5 39:17 40:9,11,21 41:11, 18 42:13 77:13 78:15 98:9 115:6 139:15 190:20,25 192:21 197:9, 11 207:5 212:9 214:23 216:4

revenues 116:17 130:8

reverse 208:21

reviewing 13:8

revised 25:20 78:15

revision 116:24

Richard 43:25

Rick 16:25 17:1 27:20 32:23 33:14 44:10 45:2 102:1 105:2

rid 183:3

rightfully 29:18

rights 101:19,24 102:5, 14,16,19 103:3,17 104:3

risk 88:6 90:23 92:1,13 102:23 106:7,11,13 107:3 108:20 119:4,8 120:10,12,17 121:5,6,10, 13,21 131:10 135:11,18 136:2 177:21 178:8 182:9 202:22

risks 106:11 119:2,10,12 120:4.8

roadmap 16:11

Index: Robert..selective

Robert 10:2 71:16 72:6

Rocky 7:9,13,25 8:23 12:2 13:9 18:18 19:18 21:9,12 32:22 43:22 45:1 52:16 53:4,20 60:15 71:10,13,15 112:3,5 126:25 137:4,6 139:20, 23 141:6 142:14 143:22 144:1 170:24 171:1 172:24 174:12 189:24 190:4,7 196:18,20 206:1, 5 217:22 224:4 228:16

ROE 25:24,25

rolled 85:21

rollout 201:2

Ron 7:17

roughly 134:13 201:23 202:1

RR 207:10

rule 55:3,10

rules 209:10

ruling 58:25 59:1

run 69:15 135:2,25

running 97:13

RUSSEL 39:12,14 49:13 57:19,21 67:14,16 95:17, 19 123:16,19 180:9,13 184:25 203:18 218:13 219:12 220:16 228:1

Russell 10:9,10,16 39:10 42:20 49:12,16 51:19 57:18 59:19 67:13 70:11 95:15 105:11 123:15 126:13 127:19 180:8 183:18,20 184:22,24 185:2,20 203:17 218:12, 15 219:10,13 220:9,11, 18,19 223:22 227:25

RV-1 73:4,18 74:5 75:22

S

Sabin 8:17 112:5,12 113:13,23,24 117:3 127:6,8,11,15 132:23 133:1 137:6,13 138:19 139:1,4 140:11 141:6,10, 13,19 143:11 146:13,16, 21 171:1,9 172:7,16 173:16 174:11,14,22 176:3,7,13,17,24,25 179:22,24 184:7,8,10,19 206:4,5,15 207:20 208:5 211:2 217:5 224:6,9 227:18,21

Sabin's 185:3

safe 21:13 54:20 64:12 77:15 78:16 86:10,15,17, 22,23 87:8,9,12 88:3 90:16 91:1,4 94:9,14 95:8 106:5

salaries 182:4,12

sale 77:6

sales 28:21 115:6

Salt 11:13,14 12:19 13:2

Salter 9:16 139:18

salvage 80:12

Sanger 11:1,2 43:1,2,3 51:23,24 59:23,24,25 70:16,17 105:16,17 126:18,19 184:1,2 203:24,25 223:25 224:1

Sarah 10:19

savings 23:11 26:6

173:10

scaler 115:11

scenario 58:8,17 128:21 129:6,16,24

scenarios 90:21

schedule 15:18 16:17 26:6 31:11 32:13,19 34:24 64:16 77:5 98:8 99:1,2 124:23 125:2 172:24

schedules 7:12

Schmid 9:8 35:16,17 49:4 57:11 65:11,13,16 67:8 81:8,11,15,16,19,21 83:15 95:25 117:6 179:25 193:15 217:8

Schmid's 96:9

scope 92:12 212:18 227:1

scorecard 186:14

SCR 54:12,14,22,23 55:8,23 56:2,3 58:3,7,18 59:3

scrap 80:12

screen 224:19

SCRS 54:17

scrutinizing 38:25

sec 50:9

security 202:21

seek 28:10 32:5 36:14

seeking 30:19 36:10 37:1 38:1,19 40:25 41:7 42:1 216:11

seeks 39:24

segment 86:24 221:19

selected 26:21 33:3

selective 54:11

November 03, 2020 Index: sell..situation **sell** 95:6 **set** 20:9 35:5 51:9 62:4,5 **Shurman** 8:18 60:19,21, 69:11 73:12 104:20 25 61:5,7 62:7,18 65:8 **senior** 33:23 137:19 128:4,17 129:19 130:9, 139:14 11 133:22 177:14 191:14 73:16 74:1,2 75:20 76:7, sense 17:6 90:17 100:3 207:16 227:5 8 81:3,6 105:21,22,24 107:18 119:2 120:11 109:20 127:4 146:15 **sets** 50:25 141:16 191:3, 145:16 227:12,13 11 214:23 **shut** 58:13,20 **sentence** 211:5 218:1,2, setting 142:7 215:2 **shutdown** 58:10,17 222:14 sic 17:3 195:23 **sentences** 210:18,24 settled 209:8 215:15 **side** 40:9 **separate** 12:24 24:10 settlement 34:17 208:17 32:20 101:6 **Siemens** 107:12 144:2, 209:19,20,23 210:3,7,14 11,20 separately 82:10 96:4 211:14,21,25 212:2,5,8, 194:21 **sign** 93:13 11,15,17 213:8 216:19 217:2,20,21 218:7,20,21 **September** 67:1 68:24 signed 203:3 219:15 220:22 221:11 77:4 86:8 significance 86:23 96:8 222:4,19,22 223:13,18 sequence 16:12 224:14 225:5,9,22 226:3, **significant** 63:1 92:17 series 134:18,19 6,8,14,17 227:10 94:21 198:3 213:14 216:9 226:21 settlements 216:25 **serve** 46:12 47:7 53:3 221:21 significantly 107:2 **service** 7:5,10,12 22:1,2 **shakes** 219:18 24:9 27:14 31:11 32:25 similar 88:17 202:9 210:6 211:12 222:3 34:3,13 40:14 41:2,8,20 **share** 45:13 54:6 56:2 42:6 46:7,21 47:20,21 114:3 139:8 172:20 **simply** 145:16 204:21 48:18 50:20,24 54:16 177:2,4 192:10 208:10 215:17 56:18 63:5,10 66:6,24 shareholders 120:14 single 85:21 88:22 91:15 67:25 68:10 74:24 75:1, 121:1,12 93:12 2,4,5,14 77:13,24 78:2,9, 10,11,15,21 81:25 82:8, **sheet** 90:13 **SIP** 55:11 56:4,6 58:25 11,14,16 83:10 96:23 59:1,9,15 **shift** 215:20 97:22 98:2,3 124:15 sir 87:16 95:20 103:10 125:22 173:1,5 190:21 shifting 209:2 105:9 110:11 195:17 196:21 197:15,25 **Shirley** 50:5,16 198:1 214:6 site 68:15 76:22 85:24 **Shoot** 225:7 200:23,24 services 9:24 32:24 39:4 **short** 11:5 16:19 18:4 139:18 177:19 179:13 **sites** 201:9

145:18 146:23 170:21

200:19

Services' 195:22

session 12:16 140:24

3

71:8 **show** 38:3,18 112:22 171:20 175:10 shown 74:14

70:20,21 71:15,25 72:2,3

sitting 42:4 75:18

situation 35:1 83:11 106:16 107:3 121:4

25:10 39:24

34:19

Steve 9:25 26:2 27:2

situations 75:12 sizable 41:24 **sizes** 90:3 108:12 **skirt** 103:12 Sliger 11:2 **slightly** 12:12 16:17 99:4 100:7 124:11,23 small 115:6 177:10 198:4 smaller 104:5 **smart** 196:9,21,23 **Snarr** 9:25 10:1,7 35:23, 25 36:3 39:9 49:8.9 57:15,16 67:9,11 83:16, 18,22 95:14 123:10,12 133:4,12,15,17,19 134:3 140:25 141:9 142:11,15 146:8 180:5,6 203:13,14 217:13 **Snow** 48:4 solar 13:11,19 18:16 31:17.18 115:16 228:21 sold 116:4 **solely** 13:18 solicit 85:22 solicitation 85:2 89:3 95:1 107:19 108:1 **solid** 197:23 **sort** 68:11 101:22 118:22 202:8

sought 28:7 31:15

sound 8:11 199:12

sources 84:4 85:9

sounds 195:8

South 208:21

space 72:7 **spans** 14:10 **speak** 18:20 speaking 143:19 145:23 specialized 80:7 **specific** 22:15 32:5 41:13 47:2 51:12 103:4 111:2 118:25 143:12.17 186:9. 22 196:14 203:1 **specifically** 31:19 47:16 48:3 54:14 75:7 78:15 115:10 116:18 120:3 143:19,20 208:16 speculative 222:12 **spell** 61:9 72:5 206:20 spelled 72:6 spike 221:21 **spoke** 39:15 104:19 201:12 **spot** 16:22 springing 14:3 **Springs** 49:24 51:10,15 66:19 stability 23:9 **Stadion** 10:25 11:2 stage 100:1,5,12 **stages** 100:24 stand-alone 91:11 standard 14:12 28:1.3.4. 11 95:2 109:5 **standards** 46:15,19 47:3, 6 209:11 start 7:24 49:25 69:4 78:19 83:5 90:5 107:24

	November 03, 2020	
Steward 13:13,23 16:25 17:21 19:6,8,9,13,15	subscriber 13:11,19 18:16 31:16 228:21	
20:18,25 21:2 35:11,12, 17,18,20,24 36:4 39:11,	subsections 186:15	
15 42:22 43:5,10,15,21	subsequent 143:23	
stop 119:11 224:25	subset 80:18	
stopped 8:9	substantial 100:16	
storage 79:13 91:24	substantially 197:19	
106:23,24	substantive 140:9	
straight 15:17 51:2	substation 48:5,11 75:8	
streaming 146:2	suffers 131:13,15	
strength 177:11 178:11, 16 179:2 181:10	suggest 15:19 18:6 145:13 214:19	
strong 8:5 177:15 178:10	suggested 18:5 92:24	
stronger 128:18	suggests 222:11	
strongly 30:3	sum 211:17 221:18	
structure 31:16,24 32:2, 12	summarize 110:23	
structured 177:22	summarized 16:3	
struggling 189:4	summary 10:21 13:13 21:3 35:3,10 39:15	
Stuber 215:11	45:12,19 54:5 57:6 58:1 60:20 62:20 69:2 76:10, 11 113:25 117:1 139:5 140:15 141:7,12 172:18 173:14 177:1 192:7	
studied 80:13		
studies 76:19 80:4,10		
study 80:20 81:1 115:13		
stuff 103:13	194:21,24 195:1,5,13 208:7,13 217:3 218:22	
subcontractors 80:7	220:23 226:12	
subject 17:8 37:4 59:16	summation 14:22	
86:7 99:18 209:21 226:20	supplier 24:4 77:20 78:1 92:12,19	
submit 175:14	suppliers 64:10 89:3	
submitted 112:23 113:11 138:6 171:17,21 175:8, 10,11 207:1,5	107:12 108:2,17 supply 24:5 77:17 106:17	
subscribed 31:21	support 29:24 32:9 33:16	
aunachbeu oi/i		

Index: Steward..talk 196:14 supported 31:2 supporting 76:18 **supports** 33:2,21 34:3 54:11,14 110:24 114:7 192:14 **surrebuttal** 13:8 19:25 20:4 28:25 29:2 32:8 133:24 139:19,25 191:4, 20 192:1 8 **suspect** 140:15 sustainable 22:17 swear 19:8 44:2 52:20 61:1 71:17,19 112:8 137:9 171:4 174:17 190:10 206:10 switch 68:25 86:2 104:10 198:25 **system** 45:21 46:1,8,9,24 51:17 115:19 130:1,2,7 131:13 200:15,17,25 202:23 1 **systems** 197:21 8 Т **T-I-M-O-T-H-Y** 61:10 table 49:17,23 50:8 221:5 223:7 takes 99:20 1 taking 68:3 142:15 179:7 221:21 222:11 talent 188:23,24 189:1 talk 39:22 65:24 104:11 140:15 143:15 144:7 200:23 221:20

76:25 140:9 172:23

92:13,17 120:14 178:15

114:9,22 198:1 204:11,

24 211:22 217:2 219:2

11:10 13:8,16,18 14:9

9,14,17,24 21:3,9 22:5

25:22 27:25 28:22 29:1

30:18 33:10,25 34:11,16

36:9 37:2 40:9,12 43:21 44:13,14,20,23 45:2,8,

13,20,21 47:5,10 48:13

49:18 52:13 53:7,8,11,

14,18,21 54:2,6,10,14

57:4,23 58:1,6 60:14,20 61:16,18,19,22 62:1,4,8,

15,20,23 63:8 65:19,21

66:9 71:6 73:1,8,11,13,

17,23 74:4,8,9,11,13,14,

17,21 75:17,21,22 76:4,

10,16,18 84:3,11,24

97:6,7,20,25 98:10,14

14 106:1,8,16 107:5,7

110:10,23 111:3,16,25

14,20 114:1,7 116:23

124:2,12,24 125:9

112:20,22,24 113:4,7,10,

118:2,3 122:3 123:22,23

133:24 137:1 138:6,9,13,

99:10.14 102:13 104:10.

19:20,22,24,25 20:2,4,6,

221:1 222:2,12,23

212:19

192:13

207:21

56:12 66:5.18 77:3

talking 82:4,5 100:20 104:23 106:11 131:9 204:13 211:3,10 tangible 179:20 target 178:5 182:3 targeted 178:21 tariff 46:19 171:16 202:1 tax 22:22,24 26:6,7 28:20 35:8 64:18 69:2,21 77:12 79:17 114:16 117:16 118:1,7 120:11,12,24 121:2,13,17,24 122:4,7, 12,23 123:2 127:25 128:2,13,15 129:2,5,9, 10,13,21 130:4,8,13 131:3 132:21 taxes 208:22 **TB** 24:1 25:9 39:16,18 40:1,22 41:19 42:5 49:24,25 50:4 64:22 65:25 66:1,11 69:14 124:6 TCJA 22:22.23 23:11 24:11 26:6 35:8 tech 220:10 technical 194:2 228:13 technologically 13:6 technology 77:21 196:11 197:18 202:17 203:2 telemetry 96:20 temporary 173:5 ten 69:20 70:7

ten-minute 189:23

108:4 175:5 221:4

test 27:13 41:3,12,20 testified 21:12 94:2 testifies 32:24 34:9 testify 45:18 122:2 testifying 119:22 195:25 **testimonies** 32:8 197:5 testimony 9:14,19 10:22 term 23:8 68:3 97:2 terms 17:7 41:17 55:13

Thad 7:16 191:21 139:14,21 **thinks** 16:1 143:2

187:2,19

Index: talking..tied 16,20 139:2,6,15,16,25 140:17,18,24 141:16,24 143:18 145:7,17 170:23 171:18,21,25 172:3,8,14, 18,23 173:13 174:9 175:8,12,14,17,20,21,25 176:4,9,14,22 177:2,6 178:12 183:7 189:19 190:20 191:4,11 192:2,7, 14,21 193:2,21 194:13 195:20 196:1 197:1,8,17 200:1,6 201:22 205:24 207:2,6,7,13,17 208:2,7, 14,15,20 215:23 217:24 221:6 222:10,15,17 224:11 225:3,19 228:12 **The's** 51:13 thereto 73:18 74:5 thermal 33:24 137:19 thing 12:9,12 13:1,7 16:9 things 17:10 21:25 99:22 100:6 108:11,15 119:8 189:10 199:11 thinking 194:18 213:19 third-party 80:6 thought 12:9,22 13:4,14 14:20 96:15 thoughts 18:10 141:22 threat 64:19 three-phase 98:1 tied 116:13 122:8 180:18 181:23 185:5 186:10

123:24 177:23 178:3,19,

total 30:11 54:24.25

63:11 86:4 87:11,22

22 187:21,24 204:20

209:20 212:7 213:7

totality 223:17 227:8

tower 91:13 109:7,8,15

totally 211:21

touch 141:4

Tim 17:1 24:6 33:6

time 15:2,3,14 17:20

94:20 99:1,12,20,21

6,15 108:10 110:21

136:2 137:23 142:2

205:2 210:13 211:20

time-limited 79:10

timeline 47:17 58:18

times 68:1 119:17,19

21:24 197:10 198:4

TJH-1 61:20,23 62:10

today 12:12 16:25 18:13

20:10 42:5 45:19 47:18

53:11 62:5 65:21 73:11

75:18 97:25 113:11

177:2 191:1 192:13

138:17 172:4 175:22

193:20 195:20 207:13

Timothy 61:10

TJH 62:9

208:13

top 86:9

topic 110:13

topics 14:10

timing 13:20 15:12 16:22

225:10,17

timelier 32:5

67:21

216:5,7 219:11 220:14

100:19 101:12 104:22

119:5,15 123:7 126:24

131:9,25 132:1 135:7,9

197:8 202:19 203:10,15

105:4,9 106:14,17 107:2,

18:3,5 28:14,17 29:19,21

66:7,13 68:11 78:3 79:14 83:10 89:1,21 91:10,17

37:9,10,11,15,22 47:18

Index: Tim..turn 25:10 42:1 140:2 213:17 treatments 41:15 **Tri-state** 55:19 troubleshoot 220:11 true 38:23 86:13 95:5 101:5 129:14 130:20 197:13 200:13 true-up 30:14 125:21 131:19 trued 120:19 121:23 128:4,8 129:10 132:20 trueing 116:21 truing 121:24 truth 19:8 44:2 52:20 61:2 71:20 112:8 137:9 171:4 174:17 190:10 206:11 Tuesday 7:4 turbine 68:9,17,22 69:18, 21,22,24 70:1,6 76:24 77:15.20.25 78:1 79:1.8 82:9 84:4,14 88:24 89:17,19,23 91:16 92:24 94:4 95:9,10 96:4,16,19 106:20 107:14 109:1,14 118:23 119:9 120:23 136:11 turbine-by-turbine 69:20 turbines 68:6 75:13

towers 86:2,20 89:13 92:3 track 17:14 31:5 127:12 210:23,25 tracking 87:3 traditional 41:22 **trained** 188:22 tranche 124:21 transacted 108:16 transaction 38:16 79:15 transfer 46:2 transferring 121:21 transformer 48:11 75:10 transmission 22:1 26:15 32:24,25 34:9 44:11 45:21,24 46:1,8,9,18,19, 20,21,22,24,25 47:4 48:1,4,6,10 49:23 50:2,5, 17 51:12,14 55:20 72:22 99:22 119:9 190:18 transportation 22:18 92:1 107:2 treasurer 34:16 206:24 treat 30:6

77:23 85:11,15,23 86:5. 14,25 87:7,20 88:5,11,19 89:6,10,11,19,22 90:3,6, 9.25 91:20 93:24 94:3.5. 23 96:10 106:6 108:13, 19 109:2,4 119:3 turn 17:11 49:17 60:17 97:7 108:24 142:21 143:1 189:24 193:24

treated 27:18 29:16

treatment 13:12 24:20

195:4,5 206:3

turned 195:1

Turning 84:3 195:14

two-phase 98:1

two-step 24:11 35:6

type 41:15 59:12 111:13 120:8 131:23

types 119:2

typical 92:10

typically 58:15 68:4 93:15 188:19

U

U.S. 10:12 55:4 106:24, 25 202:16

UAE 10:15 24:19 26:24 27:18 211:23 212:1,19 215:3

UAE's 24:24 25:4,9 179:15

UCE 196:3

Uh-huh 185:17 188:10 189:15

ultimately 21:19 102:6, 17 103:15 209:4

un-depreciated 22:19

un-muting 8:14

unaware 120:6

unchallenged 140:3

unclear 93:17

uncontested 80:20

underlying 38:16 144:11, 20

understand 38:17 102:2 119:6 125:24 133:20,24 135:14 180:16 181:1,3, 19 182:18,20 183:6 190:19 194:6 204:8 218:18

understanding 97:21 101:18,20 124:17 145:7 185:10 186:6

understood 103:8 181:18 222:15

unexpected 118:16 119:20,23

unforeseeable 209:23

unilaterally 58:9

unintentional 215:11

unit 27:2 30:21 33:19,25 54:15,19,23,25 55:8,12, 14,21,23 56:2,3,8,13,19 58:2,7,10,12 59:9,14 72:23 76:17 79:18,19,20, 23 80:2,23 88:23 139:22 140:4,6 143:20

unit's 79:24

units 22:19 23:4,6 26:22 55:6 72:18 80:9

University 10:11

unknown 119:4 146:7

unmute 18:21 20:20 109:23 133:2

unnecessary 25:6

unopposed 208:23

unprecedented 29:23

unrecognized 209:13,18

unrecovered 30:21

unrestrained 144:22

update 31:10 33:12 74:12,20 125:19

updated 27:4 35:4 74:22 75:6 78:5 97:16,19 98:9 115:11,12 124:13 125:1 197:8

updates 25:21 34:3 65:20,23 66:7,18 74:10

upgrade 51:5,6,16

upgrades 47:13,23 50:14

usage 196:17

Users 10:8,11 177:7

Utah 7:11 9:7 10:3,8,10, 12,17,19 11:23 21:14 25:17 27:3 30:12 34:12 45:20,22 48:17,18 63:2,6 65:6 114:24 123:24 142:22 177:7 192:17 196:4,10,14,15,17 197:2 198:2,3 201:21

Utilities 9:5,9 35:15 96:1 139:19

utilities' 142:7 195:23

utility 7:10,24 38:18 143:6,9,10

utilizing 77:25

V

Vail 17:1,21 32:23 43:25 44:1,3,8,10 45:2,8,12 48:25 49:10,17 52:13

values 105:4

Van 17:1,22 24:6 27:20 33:9,11 37:23 42:8 71:16,19,21 72:1,4,6,25 73:17,23 74:3 75:15,21, 24 76:5,9 81:3,7,18,22

Index: Vantage/pomona..wind

volume 81:14 47:24 107:22 133:25 83:17 95:16 105:25 112:1 **volumes** 116:10 weeks 18:9 34:14 75:7 Vantage/pomona 48:6 107:23,25 voluntary 28:6,7 31:17 variability 227:4 Wegener 8:22 volunteer 15:2 variable 116:16 weigh 18:23 vote 55:24 variables 222:1 weighted 187:3,7 213:25 voted 55:22 56:1 214:17 216:15,21 variances 213:5 215:1 wellspring 188:23 W varies 221:18 western 11:22 45:25 vary 16:16 213:2 wage 34:6 106:25 114:13 varying 223:15 wages 179:18 wheel 60:18 **venders** 204:18 waiting 18:8 wheeling 115:3 vendor 77:16 202:21 walk 25:13 whichever 227:6 vendors 203:4 204:16 Wallula 48:3 wholesale 115:6 venturing 142:17 **Walmart** 11:24 wildfire 193:3 194:14,19 verification 58:15 waning 213:18 wildland 30:25 31:2,5 versus 121:7 34:10 192:16,20 193:9 wanted 13:21 14:1,5 16:6 Vestas 77:20,22 84:14 218:23 225:25 227:19 wind 21:25 24:1 26:15,19 85:22 86:13,14 88:18,20 33:7,8,11,16 34:23 36:8, wanting 18:23 89:6,12 90:6 91:20 92:7 11,15,22 47:15 51:10 93:4 94:4,14,22 107:12 warehoused 86:12 62:25 63:1,4,6,8,19 64:4 65:4 75:13 76:16,21,24 warrant 209:24 viable 117:22 77:10,15,20,21,25 78:1, **warrants** 213:19 vice 19:17 32:23 33:14, 19 79:1,3,8,17 80:23 23 34:5,8,15 44:10 Washington 48:7 82:9 84:1,4,5,14 85:4,11, 137:19 139:14 175:3,6 14,23 86:5,13,25 87:20 **weather** 119:20 190:17 88:11,19,23 89:5,10,11, **Webb** 17:2.22 30:18 video 7:18 17,18 90:3,6,9,25 91:15, 33:20 112:6,7,9,13,15,16 16,19,25 92:24 93:24 **violate** 144:14 113:21,25 117:2,3,7,12 94:3,4,5,23 95:9,10 123:20 126:15 127:5,7, **virtue** 179:4 96:10,16,19 99:20 16,18 132:22 133:20 100:25 106:20 107:14 **Vision** 26:16 33:8 47:15, 136:18 137:2 108:12 115:15,16 116:8, 25 62:25 10,14,20,25 118:6,12,19, Webb's 113:14 Vitesse 77:5 24 119:3,15,17 120:19, website 196:18 23 121:6,22,23 122:24 volatility 79:8 89:20 week 8:7,17,21 15:20 124:6,15 125:21 128:1,3, 107:5.10 108:20 213:14 18:11,13 19:1 21:12 8,14,23,24,25 129:4,15, voltages 45:24

Hearing November 03, 2020

18,19 130:19 131:1,3,8, 22 135:6 136:11 wind-related 118:17 winds 128:18 129:25 136:10 wiring 96:17 wise 145:8 withdraw 13:10 18:19 withdrawing 32:11 withdrawn 114:19 withdrew 140:1 witnesses 8:19.25 9:13. 15 10:5 12:18,20,23 13:7,18 14:17 15:8 16:12,14,19 32:22 40:10 92:23 117:1 211:23 212:1,19 215:3 228:22, 25 wondered 99:13 wondering 41:14 59:12 124:25 186:22 word 218:2 219:5 words 69:9 186:25 work 92:12 96:18,20

work 92:12 96:18,20 109:9 127:24 133:22 173:9 225:13 worked 64:10 72:15,22 93:14 workers 64:12

workforce 177:16 178:10 working 47:20 75:10,13 107:11 210:11 211:18 workload 25:6

world 226:25 227:13

works 15:24

worldwide 77:17 worry 127:12 worrying 144:23 worse 179:4 199:11

worth 40:5 41:18 42:10 93:1 179:3

WRA 196:2 wrap 18:7,13 wrapped 50:7 Wright 10:20 196:3

wrong 85:1 99:18 182:21 185:22 186:2 223:14

WTG 85:3,7 **WTGS** 86:11

Υ

year 22:3 24:13 30:22 31:4 37:22 40:14 41:1,9, 20 42:3 58:11,20,21 66:3,6,17,21 67:4,25 68:18,19,20,22 116:22 120:24 121:7 122:9 128:4,9,19,22 129:11 130:24 132:16 134:23 135:5 178:4 189:10 199:24 200:2 201:23,25 202:2 221:2 222:2,6,8

year's 40:5 41:18 42:10

yearly 134:12

years 21:23 23:13,15 35:9 69:4,20 70:4,7 72:15 78:17 99:21 100:4 134:18,19,20 137:22 138:2 184:14 209:1,9,16 212:3 215:7 216:6 221:19 227:11 yesterday 75:23

Yup 222:25

Ζ

Index: wind-related..Zenger's

Zenger 11:8 29:2 30:4 **Zenger's** 9:19 29:12