-BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH-

In the Matter of the Application of PACIFICORP and SCOTTISH POWER PLC for an Order Approving the Issuance))	Docket 98-2035-04 Division of Public Utilities Rebuttal Testimony of Ronald L. Burrup
PLC for an Order Approving the Issuance of PACIFICORP common stock)	Rebuttal Testimony of Ronald L. Burrup Exhibit No. DPU 3.0R (RLB)

July 16, 1999

Docket # 98-2035-04

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	А	My name is Ronald L Burrup, I am employed by the Utah Division of Public
3		Utilities (Division) as a Technical Consultant.
4	Q	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED IN THIS CASE?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
7	А	There are three purposes, first I explain why the proposed merger condition of Mr.
8		Carl N. Stover regarding establishing a fixed A&G allocation factor of 34.24% for the
9		Hunter II ownership and management agreement is not in the public interest. Second, in
10		response to the testimony of Mr. David B. Winder, regarding corporate citizenship, I
11		propose a condition regarding procurement policy and competitive bidding. Finally, I
12		concur with some merger conditions proposed by Mr. Maruice Brubaker regarding
13		dividend payment policy and assumption of obligations. These new conditions are shown
14		separately on Exhibit No. DPU RLB 3.1R.
15	Q	WHAT ARE YOUR COMMENTS REGARDING MR. STOVER'S TESTIMONY?
16	А	Mr. Stover's statement that the merger should not be approved because there is no
17		net benefit is not correct. There is a benefit to PacifiCorp customers because the A&G
18		expenses paid by Deseret reduce coal costs for PacifiCorp customers. If the formula is
19		frozen then Utah Power customers will be harmed if A&G expenses increase. Likewise if
20		A&G expenses fall, Deseret will be harmed because they will not share in the benefit of

-2-

1		any reduction. The formula was set by contract between the two parties, it should be
2		allowed to change as the contract terms dictate. Freezing the A&G expense formula is
3		not in the public interest.
4	Q	PLEASE COMMENT ON THE TESTIMONY OF MR. DAVID B. WINDER.
5	А	Mr. Winder's testimony discusses economic development, support of Utah
6		industries and businesses, and local control issues. In this regard the Division again
7		reviewed the prior merger order referred to by Mr. Winder, and found that a merger
8		condition relating to procurement policy and competitive bidding had not been included.
9		The Division recommends that the Commission include the following as a merge
10		condition.
11 12 13 14		PacifiCorp shall continue to comply with the procurement policy and competitive bidding requirements approved by the Commission on January 16, 1991 in Docket 90-2035-05.
15	Q	PLEASE COMMENT ON THE TESTIMONY OF MR. MAURICE BRUBAKER
16	А	My original testimony expressed a concern of the Division that dividend payments
17		not interfere with the capital requirements of the utility. I recommended a condition that
18		required a cash flow statement with each dividend report. Mr. Brubaker's testimony
19		recommends similar conditions. In addition, Mr. Brubaker recommends a condition
20		regarding PacifiCorp's assumption of other's obligations which I also believe is
21		appropriate. The Division adopts some of Mr. Brubaker's concepts in the following two
22		proposed conditions.

Docket # 98-2035-04

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		For two years following the merger, PacifiCorp shall file a cash flow summary (or other evidence) with its dividend reports, showing that service will not be impaired by payment of the dividend, and shall comply with the provisions of Utah Code Ann. 54-4-27. In addition, an officer of PacifiCorp shall be satisfied and shall formally certify to the Commission that PacifiCorp has adequate capital to meet all of its outstanding commitments and carry out its public service obligations in the State of Utah.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		PacifiCorp shall not, without the approval of the Commission, assume any obligation or liability as guarantor, endorser, surety or otherwise for ScottishPower or its affiliates provided that this condition shall not prevent PacifiCorp from assuming any obligation or liability on behalf of a subsidiary of PacifiCorp. ScottishPower shall not pledge any of the assets of the regulated business of PacifiCorp as backing for any securities which ScottishPower or its affiliates (but excluding PacifiCorp and its subsidiaries) may issue.
17 18	Q	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
19	A.	Yes.