-BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH-

In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp
And Scottish Power for an Order Approving
The Issuance of PacifiCorp Common Stock

DOCKET NO. 98-2035-04 UTAH DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ROBERT J. MALONEY, WITNESS 6

SERVICE QUALITY AND ADDED VALUE --

FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

STATE OF UTAH

July 16, 1999

1		INTRODUCTION
2		
3		
4	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
5		
6	A	My name is Robert J. Maloney. On June 18, 1999, I provided direct testimony in this
7		proceeding.
8		
9		
10	Q	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
11	Y	WIET IS THE TORK OSE OF TOUR REBUTTIE TESTINONT.
12	A	This testimony clarifies condition #1 on page 13 of my direct testimony. This testimony also
13	71	recommends condition #12, a new condition addressing transmission line reliability. (My direct
14		testimony includes eleven service quality conditions.)
15		
16		
17	Q	WHAT DOES THE ABBREVIATED VERSION OF CONDITION #1, WHICH APPEARS
18		IN EXHIBIT 6.4 ON PAGE 27 OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY STATE?
19		
20	A	The abbreviated version of condition #1 states "Continuously meet performance standards,
21		provide service guarantees, and do not allow underlying outages to increase above current
22		levels."
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1		
2	Q	WHAT TWO PROVISIONS DID YOU OMIT IN ABBREVIATING CONDITION #1?
3		In abbreviating condition #1, I omitted the following two requirements:
5		
6		Please see lines 21 through 23 on page 13 of my direct testimony. These lines state "File tariffs
7		specifying the five network performance standards, two customer service performance
8		standards, and eight guarantees listed in their (ScottishPower's) proposed package."
9		
10		2. Please see lines 4 and 5 on page 14 of my direct testimony. These lines state "Formally agree
11		to update the aforementioned standards and service guarantees during 2004 and each year
12		thereafter."
13		
14		
15	Q	DO YOU RECOMMEND THE COMPANY AGREE TO EACH OF THE TWO
15 16	Q	DO YOU RECOMMEND THE COMPANY AGREE TO EACH OF THE TWO AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS?
	Q	
16	Q A	
16 17	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS?
16 17 18	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of
16 17 18 19	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of its service quality commitments and updating its service quality commitments in 2004 and each
16 17 18 19 20	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of its service quality commitments and updating its service quality commitments in 2004 and each
16 17 18 19 20 21	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of its service quality commitments and updating its service quality commitments in 2004 and each
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of its service quality commitments and updating its service quality commitments in 2004 and each
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of its service quality commitments and updating its service quality commitments in 2004 and each
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of its service quality commitments and updating its service quality commitments in 2004 and each
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	·	AFOREMENTIONED PROVISIONS? Yes. Customers are more likely to benefit if the Company is held accountable for meeting all of its service quality commitments and updating its service quality commitments in 2004 and each

Robert J. Maloney Docket No. 98-2035-04 3 of 4

1	Q	WHY ARE YOU RECOMMENDING A NEW CONDITION ADDRESSING
2		RELIABILITY OF TRANSMISSION LINES?
3		
4	A	Parties in this Docket have described high outage levels on some transmission lines. I therefore
5		recommend the Company agree to the following, a 12 th service quality condition (My direct
6		testimony includes eleven service quality conditions):
7		
8		Through transition planning, PacifiCorp will specify reasonable internal outage targets for
9		transmission lines. Each quarter, the Company will report outages against these targets.
10		
11		Each quarter, the Company will also report the name, location, and outage results for each
12		transmission line for which it has been cost-prohibitive to reduce the twelve-month rolling
13		average outage rate to targeted levels.
14		
15		The Division can audit and provide recommendations to the Commission if ScottishPower either
16		does not propose reasonable transmission outage targets or if it is cost-prohibitive to reduce the
17		twelve-month rolling average outage rate to targeted levels.
18		
19		
20	Q	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
21		
22	A	Yes.