

Attachment 1

TIMELINE

- 1990s
Questar Gas experiences a gradual decline in Btu content of gas delivered from interstate pipelines.
- 1997-1998
Price area coal seam gas development ramp up of production reaches the point that blending limit will be exceeded. Questar Gas must decide how to deal with customer safety.
- January 1998
First meeting between Questar Gas and Commission, Division and Committee, discussing declining Btu problem and discussing necessity to have appliances adjusted. CO₂ processing solution had not yet been identified.
- March-August 1998
Series of meetings between Questar Gas and Commission, Division and Committee to discuss the CO₂ processing option that had been identified.
- November 25, 1998
Questar Gas and Questar Transportation Services sign CO₂ Processing Agreement. Questar Gas files Account 191 application (Docket No. 98-057-12) seeking approval of CO₂ Processing Agreement and inclusion of costs in Account 191.
- Fall 1998-June 1999
CO₂ plant built and placed in service in time to deal with rising volume of coal seam gas.
- December 3, 1999
Commission denies recovery of processing costs in Docket No. 98-057-12. Does not decide prudence of costs incurred under the CO₂ Processing Agreement.
- December 17, 1999
Questar Gas files a general rate case (Docket No. 99-057-20) and an emergency motion for interim relief.
- January 25, 2000
Commission grants motion for interim relief in Docket No. 99-057-20.
- December 1999-January 2000
Questar Gas requests rehearing of Commission order in Docket No. 98-057-12 and appeals order to Utah Supreme Court.

- June 2, 2000
Stipulation filed between Division and Questar Gas in Docket No. 99-057-20 for allowance of \$5 million per year of CO₂ processing costs in rates set in case and up to \$5 million per year for five years.
- June 6, 2000
Division, Questar Gas, Large Customer Group and Industrial Gas Users file Rate Design Stipulation in Docket No. 99-057-20. Based on Rate Design Stipulation Large Customer Group and Industrial Gas Users withdraw opposition to CO₂ cost recovery.
- August 11, 2000
Commission issues decision in Docket No. 99-057-20 accepting the CO₂ Stipulation without ruling on prudence of costs incurred under the CO₂ Processing Agreement.
- October 7, 2000
Committee appeals Commission order in Docket No. 99-057-20 approving the CO₂ Stipulation.
- October 23, 2001
Utah Supreme Court reverses Commission order in Docket No. 98-057-12.
- December 14, 2001
Questar Gas files Account 191 application (Docket No. 01-057-14) seeking, among other things, recovery of CO₂ processing costs. Case later consolidated with Docket No. 98-057-12 on remand.
- May 3, 2002
Questar Gas files general rate case (Docket No. 02-057-02).
- August 14, 2002
Commission issues order in Docket Nos. 01-057-14 and 98-057-12 granting recovery of \$3.76 million of CO₂ processing costs incurred before the effective date of the order in Docket No. 99-057-20 (August 11, 2000) and not recovered in interim rates.
- October 7, 2002
Committee appeals order in Docket Nos. 01-057-14 and 98-057-12. The appeal is later consolidated with the appeal of order in Docket No. 99-057-20.
- December 30, 2002
Commission issues order in Docket No. 02-057-02. A stipulation (joined in by the Committee) is accepted allowing future recovery of CO₂ processing costs through Account 191. No party appealed order.
- May 30, 2003
Questar Gas files Account 191 application (Docket No. 03-057-05) seeking, among other things, recovery of \$5 million in CO₂ processing costs.

- July 1, 2003
Commission issues order in Docket No. 03-057-05 allowing Questar Gas application to take effect on an interim basis
- August 1, 2003
Utah Supreme Court reverses Commission's order in Docket No. 99-057-20.
- August 8, 2003
Committee files petition in Docket No. 03-057-05 seeking reduction in rates and refund of CO₂ processing costs collected to date.
- August 26, 2003
Commission issues Scheduling Order in Docket Nos. 98-057-12, 99-057-20, 01-057-14 and 03-057-05 setting schedule for briefs on issue whether the Commission has jurisdiction to address recovery of CO₂ processing costs or must immediately order a rate change and refund after the August 1, 2003 Utah Supreme Court decision.
- September 4, 2003
Questar Gas files Account 191 application (Docket No. 03-057-10) seeking, among other things, full recovery of CO₂ processing costs, but leaving amount at \$5 million on an interim basis.